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Sonication of Abdominal Drains: Clinical Implications of Quantitative Cultures for the Diagnosis of Surgical Site Infection
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Abstract

Background: The use of drains in patients undergoing abdominal surgery has been a subject of debate for several decades. In this paper, the usefulness of quantitative cultures of sonicated abdominal drains for diagnosing surgical site of infection (SSI) and the association between culture results with patient outcome is evaluated.

Methods: Forty-five abdominal drainage tubes from 35 patients who underwent abdominal surgery were studied. Samples were sonicated for 5 min, the sonicate was centrifuged, and the sediment was cultured on different media. Total bacterial counts were adjusted to the actual surface of the drainage tubing. Clinical information of the patients was reviewed retrospectively.

Results: A relation was observed between SSI and the use of drains for more than 3 d (p = 0.0216). The presence of a suspected pathogen was related to the prevalence of SSI (p = 0.035), complications (p = 0.013), and greater leukocyte count (p = 0.048 Mann Whitney test), as well as to the use of drains for more than 3 d (p = 0.0386) and to the serous appearance of the exudates at the point of insertion of the drain (p = 0.0399). The sonication procedure showed a sensitivity of 50%, specificity of 84.2%, positive predictive value of 72.72%, and negative predictive value of 66.67% in the diagnosis of SSI. The most commonly isolated group of organisms was coagulase-negative staphylococci, being present in 18 patients (51.43%) who, however, were not associated with SSI. One or two organisms considered as pathogens were detected in 11 patients (31.43%). The more common pathogens detected were Enterobacteriaceae spp. (nine patients): Enterobacter aerogenes (2), Enterobacter cloacae (1), Escherichia coli (4), Klebsiella pneumoniae (1), Morganella morganii (1); and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (five patients). Candida spp. and Enterococcus spp. were detected in one patient each one.

Conclusions: The detection and quantification of organisms not present in skin microbiota after drain sonication is helpful in the diagnosis of SSI and it is associated with a worse outcome in patients. Duration of use of drainage tubes is an independent risk factor for the development of SSI.

Intra-operatively, surgical drains are placed to prevent the post-operative accumulation of fluid. Pancreatic fistula and abscesses are serious and frequent complications after intra-abdominal operations [1]. Insertion of drains during surgery is the standard of care for patients with intra-abdominal abscesses.

Several publications have appeared in recent years documenting the incidence and prevalence of infection-related complications in the peritoneal cavity or other locations having an implanted biomaterial [2–6]. A causal relation between the use of surgical drains and surgical site infections (SSI) has not been clearly established in the literature, and in recent years, prophylactic drainage of the peritoneal cavity has become less popular [7].

A study by Iwata et al. found that drains may be left in place for an extended period without increasing the risk of infection, even in the presence of prosthetic material; thus, the prophylactic use of antibiotics to prevent infection of
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drains is unnecessary [8]. Several other studies have indicated that drains could potentially result in either SSI or an intra-abdominal infection via extra-luminal or luminal colonization of the drain by pathogens and their subsequent migration along the surface of the drain or via drained liquid, allowing bacteria access to deeper areas in the surgical space [9].

Bacterial adherence to biomaterials has been implicated as an initial step in the cascade of events leading to eventual bacterial colonization and subsequent sepsis. Thus, to counter the problem of biomaterial-associated infections, the prevention of bacterial adherence is crucial, and in recent years, research on biomaterials with antimicrobial or anti-adhesive properties has intensified [10].

Septic implant analysis is important because infected material becomes a reservoir for the pathogen. Both the diagnosis and treatment of prosthetic infections is complicated because of the development of a bacterial biofilm, wherein the bacteria often change their phenotypes to a resistant sessile form of life [11–12]. The ideal diagnostic approach to establish the presence of a pathogen requires high sensitivity and specificity of the microbiological investigation technique to confirm true infection of the drain in the context of the appropriate clinical picture. In this prospective study, we have explored the usefulness of quantitative cultures from the sonicate of abdominal drains for the diagnosis of SSI. The species and the number of colony forming units (CFU) detected in cultures have been evaluated in order to discriminate between contamination and true infection. We have also established a relation between the microbiological results and true SSI related to drains in an intra-abdominal surgery practice using a methodology with proved usefulness in the diagnosis of other kind of implant-related infections [2–6].

Patients and Methods

Samples and patients

Removed drains of patients, who underwent routine laparotomy and laparoscopic surgeries at the Department of General Surgery at the Fundación Jiménez Díaz Hospital during March to June 2013, were included in this prospective study. They were removed under aseptic conditions when the patients were clinically stable, without post-operative intra-abdominal sepsis.

The distal intra-abdominal portion of each drain was aseptically cut, collected in a sterile tube, and immediately sent to the Microbiology department.

Essentially, drains may be classified as “active” when they are connected to a suction device or “passive” when their function depends on gravity [13]. The type of drainage tubing evaluated in this study was “active.” The three different types of drainage tubing in the samples studied were Jackson Pratt, Blake (both made of silicone), and Redon (made of polyvinyl chloride).

Microbiological procedures

In a biosafety cabinet, samples were introduced aseptically in 50 mL of sterile phosphate buffer (PBS) (pH 6.8, BioMérieux, Marcy-l’Étoile, France) in Falcon tubes. The closed Falcon tubes were then subjected to the sonication process for 5 min [14–15] in a low power sonicator (Hz = 50/60) (J. P. Selecta, Abrera, Spain) using the protocol of Esteban et al. [11]. After that, the drainage tubing was removed and measured in order to adjust the amount of bacteria to the actual surface area of the implant.

The sonicate was centrifuged at 2500 xg for 10 min, and supernatant was then discharged. Sediment was re-suspended in 1.5 mL of PBS, vortexed, and then 10 mL of the suspension was inoculated into each of the following culture media: Tryptic soy 5% sheep blood agar, chocolate agar, Schaedler 5% sheep blood agar, MacConkey agar, and ChromID® Candida Agar (CAN2) for levades (all from BioMérieux, Marcy-l’Étoile, France). All media were incubated for 7 d at 37°C under different conditions: 5% CO2 atmosphere (tryptic soy 5% sheep blood agar and chocolate agar), normal atmosphere (MacConkey agar and ChromID Candida Agar [CAN2]), and anaerobic atmosphere (Schaedler 5% sheep blood agar). The media were checked daily for microbial growth, and the result was expressed quantitatively in CFU/mL (CFU/mL = CFU on the plate/10 mL x 1000 mL/inner drain surface [cm²]).

Isolated organisms were identified by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) system (Vitek-MS® BioMérieux, Marcy-l’Étoile, France). Susceptibility testing was performed using disc-plate assay according to European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) methodology.

Clinical data

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Fundación Jiménez Díaz Hospital. Clinical charts of patients whose drains were removed were reviewed. The following data were analyzed: Demographic data, type of surgical drainage, post-operative day of drain removal, post-operative complications, underlying diseases (especially diabetes mellitus, hypertension, overweight, kidney disease, respiratory disease, infectious diseases), analytical parameters, previous positive cultures, previous abdominal surgery, antibiotic treatments, and outcome. In case two or more drains of the same patient were analyzed, the following criteria were used: Only a positive culture was considered, and with two or more positive cultures, the following selection algorithm was applied: a) Only one in which some potential pathogen had been isolated was taken into account and b) with two or more positive cultures in which some potential pathogens had been isolated, the culture with greater CFU was taken into account.

Furthermore, participants were classified into two groups taking into account the presence or absence of clinical criteria of SSI [16], the latter being considered as control group. A diagnosis of SSI was made when at least one of the following was present: Purulent drainage; organisms isolated from an aseptically obtained culture of fluid or tissue from the superficial incision or in the affected organ/space; at least one of the following signs or symptoms of infection: Pain or tenderness, localized swelling, redness, or heat, and superficial incision; and diagnosis of SSI by the surgeon or attending physician [17]. The surgical incisions of the patients were classified in Class I/Clean, Class II/Clean-Contaminated,
Class III/Contaminated, and Class IV/Dirty-Infected according to the Guideline for Prevention of Surgical Site Infection of U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [17].

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using the Stata 11 software with continuous variables expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD), and qualitative variables were expressed as absolute and relative frequencies.

Statistical parameters of sensitivity (Sn), specificity (Sp), positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated considering the isolation of pathogen in culture, either in presence, or in absence of clinical infection. Continuous variables were compared using Mann-Whitney U test, and qualitative variables using Fisher exact test. A p < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. Additionally, non-parametric Spearman rank correlation coefficient was used to analyze continuous variables.

**Results**

**Clinical results**

The method was tested on 45 drainage tubes from 35 patients. Among the 45 samples, there were 27 (60.0%) Jackson Pratt, 13 (28.9%) Blake, and 5 (11.1%) Redon drainage tubes, of which only 24 (56.6%) Jackson Pratt, eight Blake (22.2%) and 3 Redon (8.6%) drainage tubes were considered because of the assumptions made for a patient having two or more drains. The average age of the patients included in the study was 60.14 ± 16.55 y and the age range was 24–88 y, including 20 males and 15 females. Participants were classified into two groups: Those with SSI (n = 16) and those without SSI (n = 19). The overall clinical data for patients with SSI and patients without SSI are summarized below in Table 1.

**Laboratory results**

Positive cultures were found in 37 of the 45 tubes studied. Of these, ten were monomicrobial and 27 were polymicrobial. The median of the bacterial count among positive samples was 310.6 CFU/cm² (IQR 98.5–824.2 CFU/cm²). The most commonly isolated group of organisms was coagulase negative staphylococci (CNS) (26 tubes), but there was no evident relation between its presence and SSI or complications in general (p ≤ 1). Consequently, it was regarded as a contamination because this group is the skin microbiota. On the other hand, in 11 cases, an organism different from skin microbiota was detected and was considered to be a potential pathogen (six drains with only one pathogen and five with two pathogens). The organisms were Enterobacteriaceae (Enterobacter aerogenes (2), Enterobacter cloacae (1), Escherichia coli (4), Klebsiella pneumonia (1), Morganella morganii (1), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (5), Candida spp (1), and Enterococcus faecium (1)). All of these organisms are known as common aetiological agents of several infections.
Table 2. Laboratory Results of Patients with SSI and Patients without SSI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SSI (n = 16)</th>
<th>Without SSI (n = 19)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFU &gt;1,000</td>
<td>9 (56.2%)</td>
<td>10 (52.6%)</td>
<td>≤1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogen tube*</td>
<td>8 (50.0%)*</td>
<td>3 (15.8%)*</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant culture**</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
<td>3 (15.8%)</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocyte (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>8,814.00 ± 3,669.34</td>
<td>8,288.21 ± 3,228.66</td>
<td>0.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>12.85 ± 9.35</td>
<td>11.04 ± 5.44</td>
<td>0.874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSI = surgical site infection; CFU = colony forming units; SD = standard deviation; CRP = C reactive protein.

*Pathogen isolated from abdominal drains.
**The same pathogen isolated in a culture from blood, surgical incision exudate, or drainage fluid. It was realized only for nine patients.

Table 2 summarizes the laboratory results of patients with SSI. Only the presence of a potential pathogen was related to the incidence of SSI (p = 0.035). As can be observed from Table 3, the isolation of pathogens from sonicated abdominal drains corresponds well with complications (p ≤ 0.001) and greater leukocytes count (p = 0.048 Mann Whitney test). However, there was no relation between presence of a potential pathogen and C reactive protein (CRP) levels (p = 0.100), co-morbidities (p = 0.234), level of contamination of the operation (p = 0.349), type of the operation (p = 0.545), fever (p = 0.856), or laparotomy surgery (p = 0.674). The negative culture for potential pathogens showed a greater use of previous antibiotic treatment (p = 0.003) but no statistical relation to co-morbidities (p = 0.234). Moreover, there was a good correlation between the presence of pathogen and the extended use of drains for more than 3 days (p = 0.039) or serous appearance of the exudates at the insertion point of the drain (p = 0.040).

In our data, CFU corresponded well with CRP levels (p = 0.048, Spearman rank correlation coefficient) but no with greater leukocytes count (p = 0.183). The results of a statistical study comparing the outcomes between the use of a single versus two drains showed that the presence of two drains did not predict a worse outcome than that in patients having only one.

Statistical parameters

Statistical parameters relating to the usefulness of the sonication technique for the microbiological diagnosis of SSI were Sn = 50%, Sp = 84.2%, PPV = 72.72%, and NPV = 66.67%. The presence of clinical infection and the isolation of a potential pathogen were the criteria considered.

Discussion

Prophylactic intra-abdominal drains are widely used in surgical practice to prevent the accumulation of fluid postoperatively [17–19]. At the present time, little information exists in the literature about their usefulness, and the use of abdominal drainage after surgery is, therefore, often dogmatic. Some surgeons have reported that their use is associated with complications as a risk of ascending infections [13,20].

This paper proposes the potential usefulness of sonication as an innovative and easy technique in the microbiological diagnosis of an infection of abdominal drains. Sonication technique uses low-power ultrasound that liberate all biofilm-embedded organisms from the implants, allowing a more sensitive technique for microbiological diagnosis, especially for prosthetic joint infection [21–22] and other implants [23].

Chisena et al. came up with the colonization of drains to be an element of clinical relevance in the pathogenesis of sepsis using the sonication [20]. They concluded that Staphylococcus epidermidis was the chief contaminant of abdominal drainage and their slime production was a pathogenic factor in sepsis [20]. In this context, our results show that only the presence of microorganisms that are not part of the skin microbiota from sonicated drains was associated with clinical criteria of infection, clinical complications, and a worse outcome of patients. Drains are in contact with the skin, unlike samples such as prostheses, so it is plausible to find

Table 3. Results for Negative Culture, Potential Contaminants, and Potential Pathogens for Patients (n = 35)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NC (n = 4)</th>
<th>PC (n = 20)</th>
<th>PP (n = 11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>59.73 ± 17.79</td>
<td>59.79 ± 16.67</td>
<td>60.45 ± 14.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male)</td>
<td>3 (75.0%)</td>
<td>9 (45.0%)</td>
<td>8 (72.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td>3 (75.0%)</td>
<td>13 (65.0%)</td>
<td>5 (45.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td>1 (25.0%)</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
<td>11 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocyte (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>8,258.81 ± 2758.10</td>
<td>8,066.67 ± 3133.72</td>
<td>9,072 ± 3122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>9.30 ± 6.41</td>
<td>12.26 ± 7.60</td>
<td>11.70 ± 7.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic*</td>
<td>1 (25.9%)</td>
<td>14 (70.0%)</td>
<td>1 (9.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>2 (50.0%)</td>
<td>3 (15.0%)</td>
<td>2 (18.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparotomy**</td>
<td>2 (50.0%)</td>
<td>3 (15.0%)</td>
<td>3 (27.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NC = negative culture; PC = potential contaminant; PP = potential pathogen.

*Antibiotic pre-removal of drain.
**Laparotomy surgery compared with laparoscopy.
skin microbiota in drains. However, we should consider that patients with negative culture for potential pathogens (negative culture [NC] and potential contaminant [PC] groups) have received, in a greater proportion, previous antibiotic treatment to remove of the tube. It would reduce the isolation of potential pathogens from the tube allowing the growth of bacteria from the skin.

In some cases, common colonizers of the gastrointestinal tract of healthy individuals were recovered from the tubes. For example, Enterococcus faecium was recovered in mix culture with bacteria from skin in one case. This microorganism has emerged as an important cause of hospital-associated infections. The effects of antibiotics on the gut microbiota and on colonization with antibiotic-resistant enterococci are highlighted, including how enterococci benefit the antibiotic-mediated eradication of gram-negative members of the gut microbiota [24–26].

As observed, elevated values of CFU correspond well with elevated values of CRP, but the significance of this finding is not clear because CRP is an acute phase reactant that is considered an inflammation marker; thus, its increase does not mean infection necessarily. Moreover, the association of high CFU and elevated CRP values could suggest the presence of an infection in this setting. Thus, unlike in urine cultures where counts >10^5 CFU/mL are related to clinical infection [27–28], in this work, a similar degree of CFU is not evident. However, taking into account that the presence of a pathogen is the parameter related to clinical infection, we could recommend the minimum count in which a pathogen is detected (1,500 CFU/mL) as the threshold colony count that indicates that the infection is clinically relevant.

Our attention was focused not only on the use of sonication for diagnosis of abdominal drains infection but also on the consequences of long duration of drains in the outcome of patients. As mentioned earlier, we have found that the presence of the tube for more than 3 d was related to the positive culture for potential pathogens and to a clinical diagnosis of SSI. The results are in good agreement with those of other studies, such as Kawai et al. [29]. The latter concluded in a prospective, non-randomized study that drain removal on post-operative day four was shown to be an independent factor in reducing the incidence of complications, including intra-abdominal infections. Moreover, serous appearance of the exudates at the insertion point of the drain was also related to the detection of a pathogen. In light of our results and the results of Vecchio et al. [13] and Fan et al. [30], we dare to recommend that implants should be removed as soon as appearance at the insertion point becomes serous. Early removal of the drains plays an important role in diminishing incidence of abdominal infections [13,30].

Further research is needed to understand better the role of the type of drain tube or the composition of the tube in the incidence of SSI. Our results demonstrate that it was related neither to SSI nor solely to the presence of a pathogen, but it must be noted that the small number of tubes made of polyvinyl chloride (Redon) is a limitation of the study result (11% of all tubes).

Furthermore, the patients having two drains did not present a worse outcome than patients having only one, a result that is consistent with the study of Shrikhande et al. [31]. Again, these results are not conclusive because of the small number of evaluated patients in the study.

Further, it needs to be noted that although differences in the counts if mixed cultures were large enough to consider them as reliable measures, it is difficult to know the true total CFU of each species. Each species has a different speed of growth and in turn can affect that of the other species, a fact that may be observed as a limitation of this study.

Future work of our laboratory will investigate the results from both surgical incision and blood cultures, and these will then be compared with those obtained by sonication of drains in the same patient.

From our research, it is possible to conclude that duration of use of drains is an independent risk factor for the development of SSI, and they should be removed as soon as possible to avoid contamination by microorganisms. The presence of an abdominal drain can lead to persistent gut germs that without the existence of the foreign body could be eliminated by the peritoneum.

The positive culture from the drain for potential pathogens in patients without clinical should be treated empirically when there are risk factors, as is a blood withdraw after cholecystectomy advanced cholecystitis or immunosuppression. The findings lend support to the idea that sonication of drains could be useful in the diagnosis of SSI. More tests will be needed to verify the significance of our result with data of cultures from sonicated drains in similar and other surgical settings.
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