Early snowmelt significantly enhances boreal springtime carbon uptake
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We determine the annual timing of spring recovery from spaceborne microwave radiometer observations across northern hemisphere boreal evergreen forests for 1979–2014. We find a trend of advanced spring recovery of carbon uptake for this period, with a total average shift of 8.1 d (2.3 d/decade). We use this trend to estimate the corresponding changes in gross primary production (GPP) by applying in situ carbon flux observations. Micrometeorological CO₂ measurements at four sites in northern Europe and North America indicate that such an advance in spring recovery would have increased the January–June GPP sum by 29 g·C·m⁻² (3.7%/decade). We find this sensitivity of the measured springtime GPP to the spring recovery to be in accordance with the corresponding sensitivity derived from simulations with a land ecosystem model coupled to a global circulation model. The model-predicted increase in springtime cumulative GPP was 0.035 Pg/decade [15.5 g·C·m⁻² (6.8%) per decade] for Eurasian forests and 0.017 Pg/decade for forests in North America [8.8 g·C·m⁻² (4.4%) per decade]. This change in the springtime sum of GPP related to the timing of spring snowmelt is quantified here for boreal evergreen forests.

Significance

We quantified a 36-y trend of advanced spring recovery of carbon uptake across the northern hemisphere boreal evergreen forest zone. From this trend, we estimated the corresponding change in global gross primary production (GPP) and further quantified the magnitude and spatiotemporal variability of spring GPP, that is, the cross-photosynthetic carbon uptake by forest. Our main findings are the following: (i) We developed a proxy indicator for spring recovery from in situ flux data on CO₂ exchange and recent satellite snowmelt products and (ii) we established a relation between spring recovery and carbon uptake to assess changes in springtime carbon exchange showing a major advance in the CO₂ sink.
passive microwave satellite retrievals of SCD with in situ SR estimates from CO₂ flux-tower measurements. The method facilitates the use of SCD as an indicator for evergreen boreal forest spring recovery. This is necessary because no method has yet been developed to directly retrieve the recovery of photosynthesis or carbon uptake by forests from satellite observations for long periods across the northern hemisphere boreal zone. Annual spring recovery maps are generated for the 36-y microwave satellite data record. The uniqueness of this time series is its temporal precision achieved through complete passive microwave satellite coverage of boreal and high-latitude land areas every 1–2 d.

SCD information is derived solely from analysis of the space-borne passive microwave radiometer time series (10) (Fig. 2), which provides continuous coverage regardless of cloud and illumination conditions. Through the comparison of satellite retrievals with in situ data (SR derived from eddy covariance tower measurements of CO₂ fluxes in Finland, Sweden, Canada, and Russia), a linear regression model is established to describe SR as a function of SCD (Fig. 3). Based on the regression formula, the spatial patterns of SR can be mapped (Fig. 2) and temporal variability and trends in SR determined (Figs. 2 and 4 and Table 1). For comparison, SR is also analyzed using an independent landscape freeze/thaw Earth system data record (FT-ESDR) also determined from space-borne microwave radiometer data (18). Our SCD estimates and the FT-ESDR spring thaw estimates are highly correlated despite different retrieval approaches. We place added confidence in our approach because comparison with SCD derived from weather station observations indicates that the SCD dataset has a higher correlation with observed snowmelt than FT-ESDR. Note that FT-ESDR is highly correlated with the near-surface air temperature, as reanalysis-based air temperature is used for calibration of freeze/thaw retrievals (18).

We estimated the in situ SR through CO₂ net ecosystem exchange (NEE, equivalent to −NEP) measured at eddy covariance flux towers; see Materials and Methods for details. The 10 stations employed for regression analysis are located in Finland (4), Sweden (2), Canada (2), and Russia (2), representing conifer-dominated northern, central, and southern boreal forests. Flux observations from the stations cover different time periods between 1996 and 2014 and collectively provide 84 SR dates. The comparison of satellite data with in situ SR (Fig. 3) shows that SCD retrievals can be used as a proxy indicator for the spatial patterns of SR for coniferous forests. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of the
linear regression was 0.57 and the root mean-square error (statistical accuracy) was 9.4 d. FT-ESDR data on landscape freeze/thaw state were employed similarly to establish the regression relation between SR and the landscape thaw estimate. A slightly lower coefficient of determination was obtained ($R^2 = 0.52$). Table 1 shows the decadal change of SR for evergreen boreal forests obtained using both SCD and FT-ESDR as proxies. Both data sources provide SR estimates and trends that agree with each other within statistical error margins.

SCD can be used as a proxy for SR because of the tight coupling between snowmelt, soil thaw, and the onset of transpiration (17, 19, 20). While soil thaw can be a critical factor affecting SR via the availability of water to roots, earlier work has demonstrated that photosynthesis can begin across a range of soil temperatures, following the rise of near-surface air temperature through a dynamic delay response (13, 16, 19). In regions with seasonal snow cover, the onset of snowmelt immediately precedes soil thaw that starts from the top of and bottom of the frozen layer (21, 22). The soil begins to thaw well before snow clearance is completed, triggered by the infiltration of water from the melting snowpack. Snow melt and clearance are also related to daily air temperature and are often described in hydrology with a simple degree day model (21), similar to the dynamic delay response of photosynthesis (23). Thus, our basic

---

**Fig. 3.** Proxy for SR. Relation between CO$_2$ flux-tower data-derived SR and SCD from microwave radiometry for 10 conifer-dominated sites representing southern, central, and northern boreal forests. The flux stations include treated forests (harvested in the past) as well as natural forests (including forests with uniform age structure suggesting regeneration following wildfire). The regression equation between SCD and SR, used as a proxy indicator for SR, is also shown: The line of regression is shown by a black line and the 95% prediction band of the regression equation by dashed lines (case A), whereas the regression including Norunda data from the ephemeral snow region is depicted by a blue line (case B).
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**Fig. 4.** SR trends in northern hemisphere. Estimated yearly time series of mean SR are shown for conifer boreal forests of northern hemisphere, Eurasia, and North America based on the regression equation of Fig. 3. Trend lines by linear regression are also shown (see Table 1 for numerical results).
Our results indicate a trend toward earlier snow clearance from the landscape, with a related shift to earlier SR (Fig. 4 and Table 1). This hemispheric trend is driven almost entirely by Eurasia, where the start of the growing season is ∼11 d earlier by the end of the time series (1979–2014). For North America the change is smaller and the interannual variability is larger. The influence of advanced SR on springtime carbon uptake was quantified by analyzing the flux measurement-based 6-mo GPP sum starting from the beginning of the year (GPPspring) at four sites having a sufficient number of observation years; two forests in northern Finland [Kenttärova (N67.98, E24.25) and Sodankylä (N67.37, E26.63) sites] and two forests in Canada [old Jack Pine (OJP) (N53.92, W104.69) and old Black Spruce (OBS) (N53.99, W105.12) sites]. When combined with the hemispheric advance of SR, the average observed sensitivity of GPPspring to satellite-derived SR (δGPPspring/δSR) suggests an increase of 8.4 g C·m⁻² (3.7%)/decade in GPPspring for such boreal forests (Table 1).

Additionally, global climate model simulations provided the change of GPPspring for all of the model grid cells covered by boreal forest. These estimates confirm that the earlier SR affects the decadal carbon sink (uptake) during spring within the whole region (Table 1). It is well known that the representation of vegetation phenology could be improved in many ecosystem models (24). However, testing a different temperature response for GPP in our model retained the sensitivity of GPP to SR, confirming that the result is robust. The correlation between early onset of SR and the level of midsummer GPP was also investigated by comparing satellite data retrievals of SR with the model-predicted July–August GPP sum. The analysis was carried out for all pixels representing evergreen boreal forests (over 11,000 pixels with a size of 625 km²). The results show a weak positive correlation between the early onset of uptake and the higher level of GPP during the midsummer, even though a small negative correlation was found for some regions (Fig. S1).

We investigated the validity of the modeling approach by comparing the modeled springtime GPP sums with satellite-derived SR (sensitivity δGPPspring/δSR) through Eurasia and North America and by comparing δGPPspring/δSR values with those observed for Canadian and Finnish flux sites (Fig. 5). Flux data analysis provided δGPPspring/δSR values ranging from −3.0 to −4.1 g C·m⁻²·d⁻¹. The sensitivities obtained from the model predictions showed a mean value of −2.2 g C·m⁻²·d⁻¹ with a SD of 1.4 g C·m⁻²·d⁻¹ for Eurasian forests and mean of −2.3 g C·m⁻²·d⁻¹ with a SD of 1.6 g C·m⁻²·d⁻¹ for North American forests, respectively (Fig. 6). This indicates that the applied flux stations represent typical boreal forest in terms of δGPPspring/δSR.

Earlier work applying satellite data for boreal forests has primarily used channel fraction indexes such as normalized difference water index (NDWI) and normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), determined from optical satellite data (25, 26) to investigate the start of the growing season in relation to phenological observations (e.g., timing of bud burst) or modeled phenological indexes (26, 27). Especially in the case of evergreen coniferous forests, only a small number of investigations have directly used CO₂ flux measurements as reference (28, 29). A previous case study on conifer-dominated boreal forests in Finland showed that snowmelt information derived from optical satellite data provides better estimates of SR than the normalized difference snow index (NDSI) or NDWI (29).

Table 1. The extent of the evergreen boreal forest used in the spring recovery (SR) analysis, the change of SR based on trend lines estimated by linear regression (± intervals based on 95% confidence bounds from Eq. 1), P values of trend lines, and the corresponding decadal change of carbon sink (GPP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Forested area, 10⁶ km²</th>
<th>ΔSR, change of spring recovery,* d/decade</th>
<th>P value for SR trend line</th>
<th>ΔGPPspring, increase of springtime GPP†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern hemisphere</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>−2.28 ± 0.46 (−1.99 ± 0.46)</td>
<td>1.0 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.052 ± 0.004* 13.1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurasia</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>−3.04 ± 0.61 (−2.40 ± 0.55)</td>
<td>1.4 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.035 ± 0.004* 15.5†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>−1.27 ± 0.25 (−1.44 ± 0.33)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.022 ± 0.005† 9.9 ± 2.0†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In parentheses is the change of SR estimated from the FT-ESDR dataset, using the same regression method.

*ΔSR estimated from the satellite observations.
†Global model-simulated ΔGPPspring (6-mo sum of daily GPP).
‡Increase of GPP estimated using ΔSR values of the three regions and average δGPPspring/δSR values determined for (i) two flux stations in Eurasia, (ii) two stations in North America, and (iii) four stations in the hemispheric case: ΔGPPspring = ΔSR × δGPPspring/δSR.

Fig. 5. Relation between satellite data (microwave radiometry)-derived SR date and carbon uptake (6-mo GPP sum, January–June) determined from CO₂ observations at flux towers. Four sites in Canada and Finland provided 11 annual observations of GPPspring enabling the estimation of sensitivity δGPPspring/δSR through linear regression.

Although our regression results indicate some systematic differences between the flux stations, the overall relationship between SR and SCD is strong (Fig. 3). There is no indication that the differences among stations arise from differences in tree species or region, that is, southern, central, or northern boreal forest. The SR of photosynthesis has also been found to follow environmental drivers according to a general pattern across different types of boreal coniferous forest (30). An obvious constraint of the methodology is the requirement of the presence of persistent seasonal snow cover, as demonstrated by the results for Norunda, Sweden. The Norunda data show more scatter (Fig. 3) because of its ephemeral (transitory) snow conditions. Fortunately, the proportion of boreal forest with ephemeral snow is very small. Another factor that weakens the correlation between SR and SCD is a large difference in the size of their respective footprints: ~25 km spatial resolution for SCD from space-borne microwave radiometer data, compared with a few hundred hectares for eddy-covariance measurements above forests.

Discussion

Our results show that passive microwave satellite-derived estimates of SCD can be combined with continuous CO₂ flux measurements to retrieve the trends of boreal forest SR (Fig. 3). The trend over 36 y is statistically significant for both Eurasia and North America and particularly strong for Eurasia (Table 1). This trend results in a significant increase in the springtime carbon uptake for Eurasia over the investigated period (Table 1). Here we affirm the important role of EO in producing spatial and temporal information on variability in the carbon cycle not available from flux-tower measurements alone. Thus, combining EO and in situ flux data is a powerful tool to move from direct geophysical retrievals (snow clearance) to high-order parameters (SR and carbon uptake). The numbers obtained here for the advancement of SR (0.23 d/y for the whole region) are consistent with the observed longer-term advance of the seasonal cycle of atmospheric CO₂ in high latitudes, such as 0.17 d/y measured at Barrow, AK (31). An increase in the equivalent photosynthetic active period of 0.48 d/y has been estimated for the boreal zone (figure 3d in ref. 32). This estimate is for the entire year, but it is consistent with our estimates, which are for springtime (6 mo) only. Our estimates of increasing GPP in spring for the boreal forest are comparable to model predictions of annual net primary production reported elsewhere (7). This is apparent since our results suggest that there is typically a slight positive correlation between the early onset of carbon uptake and the level of July–August GPP.

The recent boreal warming trend causes earlier SR, which increases the carbon uptake during spring. This negative feedback loop reduces radiative forcing, in part counteracting the positive feedback of the earlier snowmelt (shown here) that reduces the albedo. Concerning the annual carbon cycle, earlier studies suggest that the increased soil respiration due to autumn warming may offset 90% of the increased CO₂ uptake during spring (33). The results obtained here on springtime uptake may be used to revise the trends in annual carbon balance of boreal forests.

Materials and Methods

Evergreen boreal forest SR dates from in situ CO₂ flux measurements are compared with microwave satellite retrievals of the SCD from the northern hemisphere boreal forest. The analysis focuses on 10 eddy-covariance flux sites in Eurasia and North America for 1996–2014. SR was determined from flux data based on the day-night difference in NEE. (Note that NEE = NEEP – NEEd, where NEE is typically used for instantaneous exchange while NEP is used for longer-term balances.) Daily NEE (NEEreg) was obtained as a difference of 7-d running mean of nighttime (photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) < 20 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) NEE and 3-d running mean of daytime (photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) > 600 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) NEE. The summer maximum daily NEE (NEEdmax) across all measurement years at each site (e.g., 2001–2010 in Sodankylä) was estimated as the 90th percentile of the daily NEE from the 30-d period with the highest uptake on average. The SR for different years was then defined as the date when daily NEE first exceeded 15% of site specific NEEreg. The data from all stations were analyzed in the same manner, providing an unbiased dataset.

The obtained linear least-squares model between SR and SCD (Fig. 3) is

\[ SR_{reg} = \beta_1 \cdot SCD + \beta_2, \]

where \( \beta_1 = 0.72 \pm 0.15 \) d and \( \beta_2 = 26.2 \pm 17.4 \) d (with 95% CIs). The coefficient of determination for Eq. 1 is 0.57. This equation holds for evergreen conifers in regions of seasonal snow cover. Of the 10 flux-tower sites, only Norunda (Sweden), at the southern border of the boreal forest zone, has ephemeral snow conditions and is thus excluded from the determination of Eq. 1. Nevertheless, the overall behavior of the Norunda data agrees reasonably well with Eq. 1, and the regression including Norunda data points does not differ statistically significantly from Eq. 1 and Fig. 3. The method according to Eq. 1 was also applied to FT-ESDR data by replacing SCD with the corresponding FT-ESDR landscape freeze-to-thaw transition date.

Based on long-term hemispheric satellite observations of snow cover, derived from daily passive microwave radiometer observations, we derive spatial maps of SCD for each year (Fig. 2, Top). The time series analysis algorithm indicates the timing of snow clearance for all hemispheric grid cells with seasonal snow cover (10). This snowmelt dataset has been also applied to construct the European Space Agency (ESA) GlobSnow daily snow water equivalent (SWE) and SCD climate data record (CDR) extending from 1979 to the present (34, 35). The spatial information on SR is generated by applying Eq. 1 to the SCD retrievals (Fig. 2, Middle). The boreal forest extent is extracted using a criterion that each grid cell of size 625 km² includes conifer evergreen forests for more than 30% of the total area. The forest mask is determined according to ESA GlobCover and ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI) land cover information (36), with the latter used only to filter out larch-dominated regions of Siberian forests.

The reliability of trends in Fig. 4 was analyzed by adding a random noise (SD 9.42 d from Fig. 3) to every data-point time series of Fig. 4 (a Monte Carlo simulation). This resulted in a worst-case scenario assuming that the confidence of the regression algorithm of Fig. 3 is limited by the interannual variability (i.e., fluctuations arise from the year-to-year variability in the relation between the SR and SCD). This worst-case scenario suggests that there is a likelihood \( P > 0.93 \) that the trend is negative for the boreal forests of the northern hemisphere, \( P > 0.97 \) for Eurasian forests and \( P > 0.79 \) for North American forests, respectively (Figs. 52 and 53).
Carbon cycle-climate model simulations were carried out using the JSBACH ecosystem model (37, 38) coupled with the ECHAM6 global circulation model (39). The simulations for past decades (1957–2014) were performed with the coupled ECHAM/JSBACH model nudged toward observed climate [ERA40 and ERA Interim data (40, 41)], sea surface temperature, and atmospheric CO₂ concentration data. Springtime GPP was estimated for boreal coniferous evergreen forest in all model grid cells with significant coverage of that plant functional type, using the first 180 d of each year over the period of 1979–2014. The change of GPP for the simulation period was estimated in each grid cell by linear regression.


