PRKCDBP (CAVIN3) and CRY2 associate with major depressive disorder
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\section*{1. Introduction}

Patients with depressive disorders often have not only sleep disturbances, but also abnormalities in their sleep-wakefulness cycle or rapid-eye-movement sleep that suggest there are dysfunctions in the intrinsic clocks generating and maintaining the circadian rhythms. Concerning the circadian rhythms, a strong repressor during the evening is irreplaceable to the morning phase in the normal circadian clock (Ukai-Tadenuma et al., 2008). The cryptochrome circadian clocks 1 and 2 (CRY1 and CRY2) proteins modulate circadian rhythms in a cell and influence emotional reactions and mood in an individual. The protein kinase C delta binding protein (PRKCDBP, or CAVIN3), similar to the serum deprivation response protein (SDPR, or CAVIN2), reduces metabolic stability of the PER2-CRY2 transcription factor complex that plays a role in the circadian rhythm synchronization. Our aim was to study SDPR, PRKCDBP, CRY1 and CRY2 genetic variants in depressive disorders.

\section*{Methods}

The sample included 5910 Finnish individuals assessed with the Munich-Composite International Diagnostic Interview (M-CIDI) in year 2000. In year 2011, 3424 individuals were assessed again. After genotype quality control, there were 383 subjects with major depressive disorder, 166 with dysthymia, and 4154 healthy controls. A total of 48 single-nucleotide polymorphisms from SDPR, PRKCDBP, CRY1 and CRY2 genes were analyzed using logistic regression models controlling for age and gender.

\section*{Results}

The earlier reported association of CRY2 variants with dysthymia was confirmed and extended to major depressive disorder (q < 0.05). In addition, novel associations of PRKCDBP rs1488864 with depressive disorders (q=0.02) and with major depressive disorder in specific (q=0.007) were found.

\section*{Limitations}

The number of cases was moderate and coverage of depressive disorders.

\section*{Conclusions}

CRY2 and PRKCDBP variants may be risk factors of major depressive disorder and provide information for diagnosis.
Currently, it is not known, whether the aforementioned dynamics between PER and CRY proteins holds for humans as well. Here, if it were to hold, the model built by Aziz Sancar and his coworkers (Ye et al., 2011, 2014) may provide a key to understanding of the pathogenesis in mood disorders. The model says that the CRY proteins are in a dynamic equilibrium with the ARNTL-CLOCK complex on E-boxes, that in the presence of PER proteins at a sufficiently high concentration in the nucleus all CRY proteins become trapped by the dimerization, and that these dimers cannot bind to the ARNTL-CLOCK complex on E-boxes (Ye et al., 2011, 2014). Thus, a key to the pathogenesis may lie in abnormal signals of the evening that are due to the actions of the CRY1 and CRY2 proteins encoded by CRY1 and CRY2, respectively, whose genetic variants might therefore associate with major depressive disorder. Moreover, the CRY1 and CRY2 proteins block the increases in cyclic adenosine monophosphate, which are driven by activation of G protein-coupled receptors, e.g. those for dopamine, and the subsequent cascades in the liver (Zhang et al., 2010) and possibly universally within the organism (O’Neill et al., 2008). It has been demonstrated that the increases in cyclic adenosine monophosphate and the downstream activation of cyclic-adenosine-monophosphate-responsive element binding protein in the striatum lead to a depressive-like behavioral phenotype in mice (Park et al., 2005). To sum up, the CRY1 and CRY2 proteins are hence strategically positioned to modulate not only circadian rhythms of a cell or a tissue but also to influence emotional reactions and mood of the individual.

Beyond, the protein kinase C delta binding protein (PRKCDBP, also known as CAVIN3) regulates the abundance of PER2 and possibly CRY2 (Schneider et al., 2012). PRKCDBP increases the strength of interaction within the PER2-CRY2 complex and reduces their metabolic stability, similar to the serum deprivation response (SDPR, also known as CAVIN2), and the CAVIN3 gain-of-function lengthens the circadian period.

Thus, genes SDPR, PRKCDBP, CRY1, and CRY2 are among the key targets for studying the etiology and genetic mechanisms of mood disorders. The aim of our present work was therefore to study SDPR, PRKCDBP, CRY1 and CRY2 genes in major depressive disorder and dysthymia (chronic depressive disorder). In addition, with more cases identified in the year 2011, we wanted to confirm the positive association of CRY2 with dysthymia reported earlier.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

Our sample included 5910 individuals who had in year 2000 given blood samples, taken part to the Munich-Composite International Diagnostic Interview (M-CIDI) (Wittchen et al., 1998) and filled in a self-report on the seasonal variations in mood and behavior adapted from the Seasonal Pattern Assessment Questionnaire (Rosenthal et al., 1984). Of these 5910 individuals, 3424 took part in the M-CIDI interview again in year 2011. The sample is part of the national Health 2000 survey (Aromaa and Koskinen, 2004) of the Finnish population aged 30 years and older (n=8028) and its follow-up survey in the year 2011 (n=7964). The study was approved by the ethics committees of the National Public Health Institute and the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District. The study was carried out in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and its amendments. All participants provided a written informed consent.

2.2. Phenotypes

Phenotypes of interest were major depressive disorder, dysthymia, and depressive disorders (herein, major depressive disorder, dysthymia, or both). Cases included the participants diagnosed to have a depressive disorder in year 2000 added with new cases from year 2011. Healthy controls were free of depressive disorders, anxiety disorders (panic disorder w/o agoraphobia, generalized anxiety disorder, social phobia, and/or agoraphobia) and alcohol use disorders (abuse and/or dependence) in year 2000 and also in year 2011, if they took part in the follow-up. For depressive and anxiety disorders, the diagnoses were assessed for the past 12 months and for alcohol use disorders for the lifetime.

2.3. Gene and SNP selection

Selection of SNPs in SDPR, PRKCDBP, CRY1 and CRY2 was based on HapMap phase 3 data (http://www.hapmap.org/), and tagging was done using the Tagger program in the Haploview 4.1 software (Barrett et al., 2005). The linkage disequilibrium (LD) within the gene and within 10 kb of their 5′ and 3′ flanking regions, that is, 122 kb for CRY1 (chr12: 107 375–107 497 kb, GRCh37/hg19 assembly), 56 kb for CRY2 (chr11:45 859–45 915 kb), 22 kb for PRKCDBP (chr11: 6329–6351 kb) and 33 kb for SDPR (chr2:192 689-192 722), was used to select tag-SNPs capturing most of the genetic variation. The aim was to capture all the SNPs having a minor allele frequency (MAF) of > 5% in the European population (CEU and TSI) in the HapMap database. The pair-wise r² was set to ≥0.9 in order to select a tag-SNP among the SNPs that were in high LD. Ten out of 21 CRY1, 10 out of 34 CRY2 and 3 out of 14 SDPR SNPs fulfilled the criterion and were all successfully included in the genotyping multiplexes. Of the 12 out of 19 PRKCDBP SNPs fulfilling the criterion, 8 were successfully included. In addition to the aforementioned tag-SNPs, 20 potentially functional CRY1 (12) and CRY2 (8) variants were selected using Pupasuite,21 Variewatch,22 database of SNPs affecting miR Regulation (dbSMR)23 and microRNA SNP24 databases, and were included in the study. Supplementary Table S1 presents all the 53 SNPs that were successfully genotyped in this study.

2.4. Genotyping

Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood according to standard procedures. The SNPs were genotyped at the Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), Technology Centre, University of Helsinki, using the MassARRAY iPLEX method (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) (Jurinke et al., 2002), with excellent success (> 95%) and accuracy (100%) rates (Lahermo et al., 2006). For quality control purposes, positive (CEPH) and negative water controls were included in each 384-plate. Genotyping was performed blind to phenotypic information.

314 of 5910 individuals were excluded due to a high missing genotype rate (i.e. > 0.1). The total genotype rate in the remaining individuals was 0.997. Three SNPs turned out to be non-polymorphic (CRY2 rs35488012, rs117531403 and rs76545099), and two SNPs were removed because their minor allele frequency was < 0.01 (CRY2 rs3747548, CRY1 rs7294758). Finally, there were 5596 individuals and 48 SNPs for the statistical analyses.

2.5. Statistical analyses

SNP and haplotype statistical analyses were performed using logistic regression and additive genetic model controlling for age and gender (unadjusted models in Supplementary Table S3 online) with PLINK software version 1.07 (Purcell et al., 2007). Only haplotypes with more than 5% frequency are reported. In order to account for multiple comparisons, false discovery rate (FDR) q-values (Storey, 2003) were computed to correct for the SNPs and phenotypes analyzed using stats package in R software version 3.0.0 (R Core Team, 2015).

3. Results

General characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1, and...
the genotype frequencies, minor allele frequencies and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) p-values in the whole sample are reported in Supplementary Table S1 online (please, see Supplementary Table S1 online for the genotype counts of major depressive disorder, dysthymia and depressive disorders, respectively). All the single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were in HWE (P > 0.01).

Table 2 displays the significant (q-value < 0.05) SNP association results of the adjusted model.

Table 3 displays the haplotype blocks formed in Table 3, the significant (q < 0.05) SNP association results of the adjusted model. All the single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were in HWE (P > 0.01).

Table 3 haplotype blocks estimated.

SNPs associated with dysthymia, which was supported by haplotype analysis. The haplotype blocks formed are shown in Table 3, the significant (q < 0.05) SNP association results of the adjusted model. All the single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were in HWE (P > 0.01).

The haplotype blocks formed are shown in Table 3, the significant (q < 0.05) adjusted haplotype associations in Table 4, and all haplotype associations in Supplementary Table S7 online. PRKCBP1 SNPs rs1488864 associated with both major depressive disorder (OR=1.6, 95% CI=1.2–2.0, p=0.0002, q=0.007) and with depressive disorders (OR=1.5, 95% CI=1.2–1.8, p=0.0009, q=0.02). Seven CRY2 SNPs associated with dysthymia, major depressive disorder, or depressive disorders. All the SNP association results are shown in Supplementary Tables S5 and S6 online (adjusted and unadjusted models, respectively).

The haplotype blocks formed are shown in Table 3, the significant (q < 0.05) adjusted haplotype associations in Table 4, and all haplotype associations in Supplementary Table S7 online. PRKCBP1 SNPs rs1488864 associated with both major depressive disorder (OR=1.6, 95% CI=1.2–2.0, p=0.0002, q=0.007) and with depressive disorders (OR=1.5, 95% CI=1.2–1.8, p=0.0009, q=0.02). Seven CRY2 SNPs associated with dysthymia, major depressive disorder, or depressive disorders. All the SNP association results are shown in Supplementary Tables S5 and S6 online.

4. Discussion

CRY2 rs10838524 G allele, rs7121611 A allele, rs7945565 G allele, rs1401419 G allele and rs3824872 G allele were associated with dysthymia, which was supported by haplotype analysis. CRY2 rs7123390 G allele and rs2292910 C allele were associated with major depressive disorder. CRY2 rs7121611 A allele, rs7945565 G allele, rs1401419 G allele and rs2292910 C allele were associated with depressive disorders. PRKCBP1 rs148864 A allele was associated both with major depressive disorder and with depressive disorders (depressive disorders, dysthymia or both), which were supported by haplotype analysis.

We have earlier reported four of the SNPs (CRY2 SNPs rs10838524, rs7121611, rs7945565 and rs1401419) to associate with dysthymia (Kovanen et al., 2013). During the 11-year follow-up period, the number of individuals with dysthymia increased from 136 to 186. The fifth CRY2 tag-SNP rs3824872 now associated with dysthymia is
located downstream of \( \text{CRY2} \) gene and upstream of \( \text{MAPK8IP1} \) gene. Earlier, we have also reported the associations of rs10838524 allele \( G \) and rs7123390 allele \( G \) in a Finnish sample, and those of rs10838524 allele \( A \), rs10838527 allele \( G \) and rs3824872 allele \( A \) in a Swedish sample with winter depression (Lavebratt et al., 2010). In addition, \( \text{CRY2} \) rs10838524 \( A \) allele has been associated with bipolar disorder with rapid cycling, and \( \text{CRY2} \) haplotype \( \text{GGAC} \) (of SNPs rs10838524, rs7123390, rs10838527 and rs3824872) was under-represented among the rapid-cycling cases (Sjoholm et al., 2010). Furthermore, \( \text{rs7123390} \), \( \text{rs10838527} \) and \( \text{rs3824872} \) was under-represented with depressed female patients with early morning rise and \( \text{rs10838524} \) with rapid cycling, and \( \text{CRY2} \) and \( \text{rs7123390} \) with depressive disorders (Miklowitz et al., 2016) but with conflicting data (Mellon et al., 2016), and \( \text{BCL11A} \) which is relevant to a characterized at-risk phenotype for anxiety and depressive disorders (Alishch et al., 2014).

Our study has several strengths. We based our study on a large random sample representative of the Finnish adult population and its 11-year follow-up data. The diagnoses of mental health were assessed with a structured and validated diagnostic interview method which yielded reliable diagnoses of depressive disorders based on the DSM-IV classification. We had well-covered genetic information for variants in both cryptochrome genes and \( \text{SDPR} \) gene. We applied strict multiple statistical testing correction by the false-discovery rate method. Despite the increased number of cases from the follow-up, Finland is still a relatively small country by population and therefore the number of cases remains moderate. Further, some SNPs in \( \text{PRKCDBP} \) were not successfully included in the genotyping multiplexes.

To conclude, our study supports the role of \( \text{CRY2} \) in depressive disorders and reports a novel association of \( \text{PRKCDBP} \) with major depressive disorder.
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