

A short review and primer on event-related potentials in human computer interaction applications

Minna Huotilainen¹, Benjamin Cowley^{2,3}, and Lauri Ahonen²

¹ Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, Finland

² Quantitative Employee unit, Finnish Institute of Occupational Health,
benjamin.cowley@ttl.fi,
POBox 40, Helsinki, 00250, Finland

³ Cognitive Brain Research Unit, Institute of Behavioural Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Abstract. The application of psychophysiology in human-computer interaction is a growing field with significant potential for future smart personalised systems. Working in this emerging field requires comprehension of an array of physiological signals and analysis techniques.

Event-related potentials, termed ERPs, are a stimulus- or action-locked waveform indicating a characteristic neural response. ERPs derived from electroencephalography have been extensively studied in basic research, and have been applied especially in the field of brain-computer interfaces. For ecologically-valid settings there are considerable challenges to application, however recent work shows some promise for ERPs outside the lab. Here we present a short review on the application of ERPs in human-computer interaction.

This paper aims to serve as a primer for the novice, enabling rapid familiarisation with the latest core concepts. We put special emphasis on everyday human-computer interface applications to distinguish from the more common clinical or sports uses of psychophysiology.

This paper is an extract from a comprehensive review of the entire field of ambulatory psychophysiology, including 12 similar chapters, plus application guidelines and systematic review. Thus any citation should be made using the following reference:

B. Cowley, M. Filetti, K. Lukander, J. Torniaainen, A. Henelius, L. Ahonen, O. Barral, I. Kosunen, T. Valtonen, M. Huotilainen, N. Ravaja, G. Jacucci. *The Psychophysiology Primer: a guide to methods and a broad review with a focus on human-computer interaction*. Foundations and Trends in Human-Computer Interaction, vol. 9, no. 3-4, pp. 150–307, 2016.

Keywords: event related potentials, electroencephalography, psychophysiology, human-computer interaction, primer, review

1 Introduction

Event-related brain potentials are well-known and widely studied signatures of brain activity that are time-locked to a specific stimulus event, such as the beginning of a sound or the presentation of an image. Distinct from EEG oscillations, ERPs are direct representations of the time domain. These potentials are extracted from the raw EEG signal by averaging over tens to hundreds of EEG time periods (epochs) of fixed duration and are offset with respect to the time stamp for the event [Luck, 2014]. Some ERP components are so strong that with a large enough number of channels and careful investigation of the spatial and spectral characteristics of the ERP, they can be recognised even without averaging [Delorme and Makeig, 2004]. Such ‘single-trial’ ERPs, together with ERPs evoked by more naturalistic stimuli (for example, continuous ecologically valid sounds), constitute the main focus of this section. The broader subject of ERPs is quite vast; for further reading on the topic, see Kappenman and Luck [2011].

Some ERPs, especially those with low latencies, typically remain unaffected by cognitive workload, mental effort, vigilance, or affective processes, while others, especially those related to higher cognitive functions and with higher latencies, can be used reliably as indicators of mental state and task difficulty in HCI settings [Nittono et al., 2003].

2 Background

In electrophysiology, cognitive processes are traditionally studied via examination of high-latency neural responses of the ERP that are extracted from the continuous EEG by signal averaging. One can refer to ERPs in terms of their polarity (positive or negative) and the latency after the trigger event. Customarily in plots of ERPs, positive values are positioned below the x -axis, and latencies are denoted canonically, not exactly. Hence, for example, two common ERPs are designated as N1 and P3 (these are also referred to as the N100 and P300).

Early-going or ‘fast’ ERPs tend to be related to orienting responses, so the N1 ERP is a fast neuronal response to stimuli. The ERPs associated with cognition typically peak hundreds of milliseconds after the onset of an event and originate in connected cortical areas [Savers et al., 1974]. Increases in task difficulty, a lack of cognitive resources, and higher cognitive loads result in a decrease in the amplitude and an increase in the latency of several ERP components. A typical example of longer-latency ERP components is the P3 (or P300), an ERP elicited in the process of decision-making. The P3 component is an endogenous ERP component related to cognitive processes such as attention, rather than physical properties of the stimulus that caused it in the first place. It can be divided into two sub-components, the P3a and P3b, which peak at different sites on the scalp. The delay in P3 (sub-)components is around 250–500 ms and depends on the task [Picton, 1992].

Figure 1, taken from reporting on an experiment that contrasted two groups (ADHD patients and healthy controls) across two conditions (illusory contour

and no contour) [Cowley, 2013], illustrates some simple properties of ERPs. Firstly, N100 and P300 waves are clearly visible in all panels. Secondly, the P300 at posterior sites is much less condition-responsive than that at frontal sites. This is logical, given the back-to-front propagation of visual processing in the cortex. Thirdly, the conditions discriminate between the groups: when compared to the controls, ADHD patients show a significantly diminished P300 at F3 (above the left dorsolateral pre-frontal cortex).

Several ERP peaks are visible in responses to sound stimuli recorded while the subject is fully engaged in a primary task with a computer and not paying any attention to the sounds. The auditory P3 brain response is particularly valuable in this connection, since it has been linked to the allocation of attention to surprising, unexpected sounds separate from the primary task. The strength of this response is related to the extent of cognitive resources available at a given moment [Kramer et al., 1983]. The auditory P3 is also proposed to index the momentary processing capacity of the relevant individual [Kok, 2001].

Somatosensory ERP responses have been proposed as possible indices of cognitive functions, primarily attention, during a task [Chen et al., 2014]. Similarly to sounds, somatosensory stimuli can be delivered unexpectedly, without disrupting the primary task excessively.

ERPs related to a user's own actions have been proposed as markers of user cognitive state. The contingent negative variation (CNV) [Boehm et al., 2014] is a preparatory motor potential that is observed prior to movement. Its duration and strength are posited to be related to the planning of the imminent movement. Specifically, inhibition of the response is proportional to the strength of the CNV response even prior to the motor movement after decision-making [Boehm et al., 2014].

Accordingly, the 'event' for an ERP may include *responses* to stimuli; indeed, stimulus-event- and response-locked potentials are often analysed in pairs [O'Connell et al., 2012]. In a study by O'Connell et al. [2012], the response was a subjective decision with respect to continuous (audio and visual) stimuli crossing the perception threshold. This illustrates that ERPs can be derived not only from discrete trials but also from continuous multimodal stimuli.

A further and more field-ready demonstration of ERPs from continuous natural stimuli has been provided by Poikonen et al. [2016], who extracted musical features from natural sounds and the tango nuevo, techno, and lullaby musical genres. They found that ERPs were detectable in periods of rapid feature increase, especially when such feature 'peaks' were preceded by an extended duration of low-feature values. This demonstrates the utility ERPs can have for the practitioner when combined with automated methods of extracting information from natural stimuli.

As described in Cowley et al. [2016, sect.3.5], cognitive processes are also associated with EEG oscillations, and the relationship between ERPs and oscillations has been investigated by, for example, Watanabe et al. [2002], who found that event-related gamma-band oscillation and the P3 may share several components of a common larger process related to recognising rare stimuli. Indeed,




Fig. 1. An example of ERPs, from four electrode sites – F3, F4, P3, and P4 (‘F’ for frontal, ‘P’ for parietal, ‘3’ for left, and ‘4’ for right) – in two conditions for a computerised response task (the condition targets are shown in black squares). The P300 is marked in the top-left panel; abscissa (ms) and ordinate (μV) scales are marked at the top right.

if we consider the time course of an ERP as a summation of fixed-frequency waves – e.g., the P3 is a wave with a 300 ms wavelength – considering ERPs to represent a brief-time-window snapshot of convolved oscillations is intuitive.

3 Methods

The recording for ERP signals is similar to that used for EEG applications, but it additionally requires a temporally very accurate time stamp for the events in question. This implies that the experimenter must account for transmission latencies between the EEG amplifier and the source of the stimulation event. In consequence of the very high precision required, one should also correct for time stamp ‘jitter’ (the slight non-periodicity inherent in any electronic signal) when events are in a stream. On the assumption that these preconditions are met, in a typical basic research setting, epochs are combined across hundreds of experiment trials and dozens of participants, to form a *grand average* waveform [Luck, 2014].

The process of averaging is a key factor, because the waveforms characteristic of the ERP may not be seen clearly in any of the individual trials unless they are of large amplitude. This *noisiness* of the individual signals can arise from source mixing and volume conduction, in addition to external contributions such as artefacts. Therefore, the ERP can be considered an idealised representation of the underlying process.

In an applied setting, one should use EEG amplifiers that are lightweight and portable to improve usefulness and affordability; even some consumer-grade devices can be used. In recent years, there has been a great increase in the commercial availability of ambulatory EEG sensors, partly due to technological advances and also on account of the popularity of the ‘quantified self’ movement. The sensors available range from lower-cost consumer-oriented models such as the Muse headband, from Interaxon (Toronto, Canada), with fixed electrodes, to expensive medical-grade devices such as the Embla Titanium, from Natus Medical Incorporated (Pleasanton, CA, USA). The Enobio (Neuroelectronics, Barcelona, Spain) amplifier is an intermediate device that is suitable for research purposes and supported in this respect.

Consumer-oriented devices are usually accompanied by software aimed at self-quantification and cognitive enhancement; for instance, the MUSE band comes with an application that teaches meditation techniques. Devices such as this nevertheless usually employ a communication protocol that allows other software to access the device’s raw data. Adding time stamps in the form of triggers, however, may prove challenging, since the solutions currently available for triggering portable EEG recorders do not typically demonstrate ERP-grade temporal accuracy. This necessitates manual verification of the trigger latency and jitter by the experimenter, a time-consuming and technically demanding task. In the field of BCI, issues of raw data access or temporal registration have usually been resolved in isolation within labs; however, this is problematic, as BCI research is largely concerned with clinical studies [Bamdad et al., 2015, Ahn et al., 2014]. For these two reasons, most of the relevant reports to date have been from laboratory-based experiments. That said, the impediments to implementation outside the lab seem to be mainly an engineering problem, in terms of both data recording and design of the stimulation events.

4 Applications

ERP applications require that a stimulus event of sufficient information-processing novelty evoke a clear brain response. The stimulus may be environmental or be triggered by predefined subject behaviour during an HCI task. This implies one of the following: **a)** the person is going to be slightly or moderately distracted on occasion by an external stimulus (e.g., sound, a somatosensory stimulus, or light); **b)** the event is extracted from the task-related behaviour of the person in question [O’Connell et al., 2012]; **c)** the event is isolated from a continuous stimulation stream, such as a piece of music [Poikonen et al., 2016].

It should be noted with respect to external events that a secondary task related to external stimuli may annoy, distract, or fatigue the participant [Kramer and Spinks, 1991, Trejo et al., 1995, Ullsperger and von Cramon, 2001]. Therefore, external events should be rare enough with respect to the primary task. In addition, the stimuli should be subtle enough not to disturb but salient enough for evoking measurable ERPs. In some cases, the strength of the stimulus, e.g. loudness of a sound, may need to be adjusted for each individual.

Studies showing the effects of cognitive load and processing capacity on the auditory P3 response have been conducted in the field (i.e. in ecologically valid settings, outside the lab) or in flight simulators [Fowler, 1994, Sirevaag et al., 1993], during simulated driving [Bayliss and Ballard, 2000], and in safety-critical monitoring [Trejo et al., 1995, Ullsperger and von Cramon, 2001]. Furthermore, the P3 has been reliably extracted with freely moving subjects performing an auditory oddball task outside [De Vos et al., 2014], indicating that the relevant paradigm is nearly field-ready.

ERP paradigms can be developed to use stimuli that are elicited by the participants. For instance, the P3 difference between unexpected vs. expected stimuli can be locked to the participant’s mouse clicks [Nittono et al., 2003]. More recently, blink-related activity and N2 waves have been found to be predictive of user condition in a study of a simulated logistics-work environment, where the conditions involved physical effort, cognitive effort, and rest [Wascher et al., 2014].

5 Conclusion

Recording EEG data with portable devices and in real-world settings is non-invasive, is affordable, and exhibits a high success rate. However, the practical application of ERPs requires suitable hardware/software, which is not currently straightforward to obtain, and demands a clever stimulation protocol that is sufficient to evoke detectable responses yet not interrupt the user. Once such solutions have been identified, ERPs should constitute a valid approach for workplace HCI. Their use as a control input is still limited by the very low information throughput [Allison et al., 2007], but they possess a clear strength in their long history as a tool used to detect and probe cognitive states. We can conclude that using ERPs is to be recommended whenever the application clearly supports the rather strict requirements imposed by this approach.

Bibliography

- Minkyu Ahn, Mijin Lee, Jinyoung Choi, and Sung Chan Jun. A Review of Brain-Computer Interface Games and an Opinion Survey from Researchers, Developers and Users. *Sensors*, 14(8):14601–14633, Aug. 2014.
- Brendan Allison, Bernhard Graimann, and Axel Gräser. Why use a BCI if you are healthy. In Anton Nijholt and Desney Tan, editors, *BRAINPLAY 07 Brain-Computer Interfaces and Games Workshop at ACE (Advances in Computer Entertainment)*, pages 7–11, Salzburg, Austria, 2007. ACE.
- Mahdi Bamdad, Homayoon Zarshenas, and Mohammad A. Auais. Application of BCI systems in neurorehabilitation: A scoping review. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, 10(5):355–364, Sep. 2015. ISSN 1748-3107.
- Jessica D. Bayliss and Dana H. Ballard. Single trial P3 epoch recognition in a virtual environment. *Neurocomputing*, 32-33:637–642, jun 2000. ISSN 09252312.
- Udo Boehm, Leendert van Maanen, Birte Forstmann, and Hedderik van Rijn. Trial-by-trial fluctuations in CNV amplitude reflect anticipatory adjustment of response caution. *NeuroImage*, 96:95–105, aug 2014. ISSN 1095-9572.
- Jui-Cheng Chen, Dorothea Himmerer, Kevin D’Ostilio, Elias P Casula, Louise Marshall, Chon-Haw Tsai, John C Rothwell, and Mark J Edwards. Bi-directional modulation of somatosensory mismatch negativity with transcranial direct current stimulation: An event related potential study. *The Journal of Physiology*, 592(Pt 4):745–757, Feb. 2014. ISSN 0022-3751.
- Benjamin Cowley. Experimental Investigation of Saliency Processing in ADHD. *45th European Brain and Behaviour Society (EBBS) meeting*, 2013.
- Benjamin Cowley, Marco Filetti, Kristian Lukander, Jari Tornainen, Andreas Henelius, Lauri Ahonen, Oswald Barral, Ilkka Kosunen, Teppo Valtonen, Minna Huotilainen, Niklas Ravaja, and Giulio Jaccuci. The Psychophysiology Primer: a guide to methods and a broad review with a focus on human computer interaction. *Foundations and Trends in HCI*, 9(3-4):150–307, 2016.
- Maarten De Vos, Katharina Gandras, and Stefan Debener. Towards a truly mobile auditory brain-computer interface: Exploring the P300 to take away. *International journal of psychophysiology : Official journal of the International Organization of Psychophysiology*, 91(1):46–53, jan 2014. ISSN 1872-7697.
- Arnaud Delorme and Scott Makeig. EEGLAB: An open source toolbox for analysis of single-trial EEG dynamics including independent component analysis. *Journal of neuroscience methods*, 134(1):9–21, mar 2004. ISSN 0165-0270.
- Barry Fowler. P300 as a measure of workload during a simulated aircraft landing task. *Human factors*, 36(4):670–83, Dec. 1994. ISSN 0018-7208.
- Emily S. Kappenman and Steven J. Luck. *The Oxford Handbook of Event-Related Potential Components*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, dec 2011. ISBN 9780195374148.
- Albert Kok. On the utility of P3 amplitude as a measure of processing capacity. *Psychophysiology*, 38(3):557–77, may 2001. ISSN 0048-5772.

- Arthur Kramer and John Spinks. Capacity views of human information processing. In J. Richard Jennings and Michael G. H. Coles, editors, *Handbook of cognitive psychophysiology: Central and autonomic nervous system approaches*, pages 179–249. John Wiley & Sons, Oxford, England, 1991.
- Arthur F. Kramer, Christopher D. Wickens, and Emanuel Donchin. An Analysis of the Processing Requirements of a Complex Perceptual-Motor Task. *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 25(6): 597–621, dec 1983.
- Steven J. Luck. *An Introduction to the Event-Related Potential Technique*. MIT Press, Cambridge MA, 2nd edition, 2014. ISBN 9780262525855.
- Hiroshi Nittono, Aya Hamada, and Tadao Hori. Brain Potentials after Clicking a Mouse: A New Psychophysiological Approach to Human-Computer Interaction. *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 45(4):591–599, jan 2003. ISSN 1547-8181.
- Redmond G O’Connell, Paul M Dockree, and Simon P Kelly. A supramodal accumulation-to-bound signal that determines perceptual decisions in humans. *Nature neuroscience*, 15(12):1729–35, dec 2012. ISSN 1546-1726.
- Terence W. Picton. The P300 wave of the human event-related potential. *Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology: Official Publication of the American Electroencephalographic Society*, 9(4):456–479, 1992. ISSN 0736-0258.
- Hanna Poikonen, Vinoo Alluri, Elvira Brattico, Olivier Lartillot, Mari Tervanieni, and Minna Huotilainen. Event-related brain responses while listening to entire pieces of music. *Neuroscience*, 312:58–73, nov 2016. ISSN 1873-7544.
- B. McA. Savers, H. A. Beagley, and W. R. Henshall. The mechanism of auditory evoked EEG responses. *Nature*, 247(5441):481–483, Feb. 1974. ISSN 0028-0836.
- Erik J Sirevaag, Arthur F Kramer, Christopher D Wickens, Mark Reisweber, David L Strayer, and James F Grenell. Assessment of pilot performance and mental workload in rotary wing aircraft. *Ergonomics*, 36(9):1121–40, sep 1993. ISSN 0014-0139.
- Leonard J. Trejo, Arthur F. Kramer, and Josh A. Arnold. Event-related potentials as indices of display-monitoring performance. *Biological Psychology*, 40 (1-2):33–71, may 1995. ISSN 03010511.
- Markus Ullsperger and D.Yves von Cramon. Subprocesses of performance monitoring: A dissociation of error processing and response competition revealed by event-related fMRI and ERPs. *NeuroImage*, 14(6):1387–401, dec 2001. ISSN 1053-8119.
- Edmund Wascher, Holger Heppner, and Sven Hoffmann. Towards the measurement of event-related EEG activity in real-life working environments. *International journal of psychophysiology : Official journal of the International Organization of Psychophysiology*, 91(1):3–9, jan 2014. ISSN 1872-7697.
- N Watanabe, N Hirai, T Maehara, K Kawai, H Shimizu, F Miwakeichi, and S Uchida. The relationship between the visually evoked P300 event-related potential and gamma band oscillation in the human medial and basal temporal lobes. *Neuroscience Research*, 44(4):421–427, dec 2002. ISSN 01680102.