Nuclear Dependence of the Transverse-Single-Spin Asymmetry for Forward Neutron Production in Polarized \( p + A \) Collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \)
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During 2015, the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) provided collisions of transversely polarized protons with Au and Al nuclei for the first time, enabling the exploration of transverse-single-spin asymmetries with heavy nuclei. Large single-spin asymmetries in very forward neutron production have been previously observed in transversely polarized $p + p$ collisions at RHIC, and the existing theoretical framework that was successful in describing the single-spin asymmetry in $p + p$ collisions predicts only a moderate atomic-mass-number ($A$) dependence. In contrast, the asymmetries observed at RHIC in $p + A$ collisions showed a surprisingly strong $A$ dependence in inclusive forward neutron production. The observed asymmetry in $p + A$ collisions is much smaller, while the asymmetry in $p + Au$ collisions is a factor of 3 larger in absolute value and of opposite sign. The interplay of different neutron production mechanisms is discussed as a possible explanation of the observed $A$ dependence.
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The ZDC comprises three modules located in series at ±18 m away from the collision point. The ZDC has an acceptance in the transverse plane of $10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$, with a total of 5.1 nuclear interaction lengths (or 149 radiation lengths), and an energy resolution of $\sim 25\% - 20\%$ for 50–100 GeV neutrons. The SMD comprises x-y (horizontal-vertical) scintillator strip hodoscopes inserted between the first and second ZDC modules (approximately at the position of the maximum hadronic shower) and provides a position resolution of $\sim 1 \text{ cm}$ for 50–100 GeV neutrons. These detectors are located downstream of the RHIC DX beam splitting magnet, so that near beam-momentum charged particles from collisions are expected to be swept into the beam lines and out of the ZDC acceptance (see Fig. 1).

To accommodate asymmetric $p + A$ collisions of beams with different rigidity, the DX magnets were moved horizontally [40]. In this special setup for the present measurement, the proton beam was angled off axis by $\sim 2 \text{ mrad}$ relative to the nominal beam direction at the collision point, with a crossing angle with the Au (Al) beam of 2.0 (1.1) mrad. Correspondingly, the ZDC was moved by 3.6 cm (2 mrad) to keep zero-degree neutrons at the ZDC center (see Fig. 1).

The data were collected with triggers engaging the ZDC and beam-beam counters (BBCs) [41]. Only the north ZDC detector, facing the incoming polarized proton beam, was used in this analysis. Two BBCs are located at ±144 cm from the nominal collision point along the beam pipe and are designed to detect charged particles in the pseudorapidity range of ±(3.0–3.9) with full azimuthal coverage. The ZDC inclusive trigger required the energy deposited in the ZDC to be greater than 15 GeV. The ZDC $\otimes$ BBC-tag trigger in addition required at least one hit in each of the BBCs, and the ZDC $\otimes$ BBC-veto trigger required no hits in both BBCs. The latter two sets represent mutually exclusive but not complete subsets of the ZDC inclusive triggered data.

As described in detail in Ref. [39], event selection and neutron identification cuts include (i) a total ZDC energy cut of 40–120 GeV, (ii) at least two SMD strips fired (above threshold) in both x and y directions and a nonzero (above threshold) energy in the second ZDC module (to reject photons), and (iii) an acceptance cut of $0.5 < r < 4.0 \text{ cm}$ for the reconstructed radial distance r from the determined beam center (to reduce the impact of the position resolution and edge effects in the asymmetry measurements).

The raw asymmetry [$e_N(\phi)$] is calculated using the square-root formula [39] for each azimuthal angle ($\phi$) bin. The polarization normalized $A_N^{fit}$ is then extracted from the fit to a sine function

$$e_N(\phi) = P A_N^{fit} \sin (\phi - \phi_0),$$

where $P$ is the proton beam polarization and $\phi_0$ is the polarization direction in the transverse plane.

Figure 2 compares $e_N(\phi)/P$ results for ZDC inclusive samples from $p + p$, $p + Al$, and $p + Au$ collisions and shows the nuclear dependence of $A_N^{fit}$, including a sign change from negative in $p + p$ collisions to positive in $p + Au$ collisions. The $A_N^{fit}$ was measured separately in each PHENIX data-taking segment, typically 60 min long, and then the weighted average was calculated. The obtained $A_N^{fit}$ is then corrected for backgrounds and detector responses. The main background contribution comes from protons, generated by elastic, diffractive, and hard processes.

Protons from elastic and diffractive reactions travel close to the beam line and are swept by the DX magnet to the right (toward negative x in Fig. 1). Only a small fraction of such protons scattered by large angles, larger than 4–5 mrad, fall in the ZDC acceptance. Because the cross section for these reactions falls sharply with the scattering angle, these protons contribute mainly on the right side of the ZDC. This contribution was evaluated from the particle position distribution as measured by the SMD and found to be 9% and 32% in the inclusive ZDC and ZDC $\otimes$ BBC-veto.
triggered samples, respectively, in $p + p$ collisions, $< 2\%$ in both samples in $p + A$ collisions, and negligible in ZDC $\otimes$ BBC-tag samples of both $p + p$ and $p + A$ collisions. The significant suppression of elastic and diffractive proton background relative to the neutron signal in $p + A$ collisions can be understood as due to the stronger magnetic fields in the DX magnets. Correspondingly, the minimum scattering angle for the elastic and diffractive proton backgrounds to reach the ZDC acceptance increases from 3.8 to 5 mrad, leading to a cross section reduction by an order of magnitude.

The contribution of the charged hadron background from hard scattering processes, distributed nearly uniformly over the ZDC acceptance, was estimated using Pythia6 [42] with a Geant3 [43] detector simulation. However, from previous studies where a charge veto counter was installed in front of the ZDC to measure the charged hadron background, it was found that the simulation underestimates the proton background by a factor of $\sim 2$ [39]. Therefore, the hard scattering background contribution from the simulation was scaled by a factor of 2 with an uncertainty equal to the size of the increase. In $p + p$ collisions, this background fraction resulted in $6 \pm 3\%$, $3 \pm 1.5\%$, and $12 \pm 6\%$ in ZDC, ZDC $\otimes$ BBC-veto, and ZDC $\otimes$ BBC-tag triggered samples, respectively. In $p + A$ collisions, due to the increased neutron signal from electromagnetic (EM) processes (to be discussed later), the relative background contributions are expected to be smaller. Therefore, the measured asymmetries in $p + A$ collisions were not corrected for background, but one-sided systematic uncertainties (in the direction of the asymmetry magnitude increase) equal to the upper 1$\sigma$ limit of the background fractions taken from the $p + p$ case, i.e., 9%, 4.5%, and 18%, were conservatively assigned in ZDC, ZDC $\otimes$ BBC-veto, and ZDC $\otimes$ BBC-tag triggered samples, respectively.

From the considerations above, only the $p + p$ asymmetries were corrected for backgrounds according to

$$A_N^{\text{eff}} = \frac{A_N^{\text{raw}} - r_{\text{eff}}A_N^B}{1 - r_{\text{eff}}},$$

(2)

where $A_N^{\text{eff}}$ and $A_N^B$ stand for signal and background asymmetries, respectively, and $r_{\text{eff}}$ is the “effective” background fraction in the reconstructed neutron sample. The parameter $r_{\text{eff}}$ accounts for the dilution of the background effect in $A_N^B$ in the case when the background contributes preferably on one side of the detector (as from elastic or diffractive protons). This effect, which was studied in the simulation, comes from a specific way the left and right sides of detector acceptance are combined in the square-root formula for asymmetry calculation. The background asymmetry $A_N^B$ was evaluated from the comparison of asymmetries with and without the charge veto cut from the 2008 data when the charge veto counter was available and then used in Eq. (2). The asymmetries $A_N^B$ were found to be consistent with zero within statistical uncertainties for all triggers. After a background correction, $A_N^\text{fit}$ results for $p + p$ from 2008 and 2015 data were found to be consistent within statistical uncertainties. Asymmetries from 2015 data were used in the final results.

Besides charged hadrons, the other background sources are photons and $K^{0}$ mesons. From the Pythia6 simulation, their contribution after the analysis cuts was evaluated to be below 3% in all collision systems and triggers and was neglected in the asymmetry results.

The measured asymmetries are affected by detector resolutions and other detector systematic effects (e.g., edge effects), as well as by the uncertainty in the shape of the neutron production cross section vs $p_T$ and $x_F$, the size of the asymmetry, and the assumption for the shape of $A_N(p_T)$ within the $p_T$ range sampled in this analysis. These effects were studied in detail with a Geant3 Monte Carlo simulation. The fully corrected transverse-single-spin asymmetry $A_N$ was calculated as $A_N = \frac{N^S}{N^C}$, where the correction factor $C_N$ was calculated in the simulation as the ratio of the measured asymmetry to the average input asymmetry over the neutron sample collected with experimental cuts used in the analysis. The biggest variation in $C_N$ comes from the position resolution uncertainty and the assumption for $A_N(p_T)$. The position resolution in the simulation vs data was confirmed from the comparison of the shower shape and its fluctuations in SMD strips. The simulation was tuned to data by varying noise and thresholds in the SMD channels, as well as by introducing a cross talk effect, similar to Ref. [39]. An overall value of 3% was assigned to the $C_N$ uncertainty. For the shape of $A_N(p_T)$, it was modeled as $A_N(p_T) = \text{const}$ (as was assumed in Ref. [39]) and $A_N(p_T) \propto p_T$ (which is supported by theory in the $p_T$ range relevant here [33]). The difference of 3% was included in the $C_N$ uncertainty. The final correction factor applied to the measured asymmetries is $C_N = 0.855 \pm 0.036$. Note that the $C_N$ value here is higher than the one in our previous publication [39] mainly due to two reasons: First, a more realistic $A_N(p_T) \propto p_T$ assumption was used in this analysis, and, second, the optimized SMD thresholds reduced the smearing effect.

In addition to the beam polarization, background, and smearing correction ($C_N$) discussed above, the other sources of systematic uncertainties are the ZDC and SMD gain calibrations (including threshold variation) and location of the beam center on the ZDC plane. The latter is among the dominant uncertainties in this data, contributing 0.002–0.010 to the $A_N$ uncertainty. It was estimated by calculating the asymmetry for varying assumptions of the beam axis projection on the ZDC plane, $\pm 1$ cm in the horizontal and $\pm 0.5$ cm in the vertical direction from the ZDC center, which reflect the uncertainty in ZDC alignment relative to the beam axis.
The analyzed data correspond to the neutron sampled \( p_T \) in the range smaller than 0.25 GeV/c peaked at about 0.1 GeV/c, which is defined mainly by detector acceptance and which is affected by detector resolutions. Because of the varying contribution of different processes to neutron production, the sampled \( p_T \) distribution may vary in different collision systems and in different triggered data. Figure 3 shows the differences in the radial distributions, which is related to the neutron production cross section \( \frac{d\sigma}{dp_T} \) by \( p_T \propto r \) [39]. From a comparison with the simulation assuming different slope parameters, this behavior is amplified once no hits in the BBC are required (ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-veto). While the BBCs cover a limited acceptance, the requirement (or veto) of hits in the BBC should place constraints on the activity near the detected neutron and thus the corresponding asymmetry in the \( p_T \) distribution. The asymmetry in the average \( p_T \) sampled in different collision systems and triggers by as much as 10%. As can be also judged from Fig. 3, due to the small detector acceptance, the sampled \( p_T \) distribution shows a very modest dependence on the slope of the input \( p_T \) distribution, particularly at low \( p_T \) (or \( r \)), which is most responsible for the dilution of the measured asymmetry. As a consequence, the variation of the correction factor \( C_\phi \) due to different slope parameters \( b \) discussed above was less than 1%.

Figure 4 and Table I summarize the results for \( A_N \) in forward neutron production in \( p + p \), \( p + Al \), and \( p + Au \) collisions, for ZDC inclusive, ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-tag, and ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-veto samples. In addition to the 3% scale uncertainty from polarization normalization, common to all points, the other part of the polarization uncertainty is correlated for different triggers in a particular collision system. The presented asymmetries in \( p + p \) collisions are consistent with our previous publication [39], albeit with larger systematic uncertainties in these data due to a larger background (unlike this measurement, the charged veto counter was used in Ref. [39] to suppress the background) and larger variations due to the uncertainty of the beam position on the ZDC plane.

From Fig. 4, the \( A \) dependence of \( A_N \) for inclusive neutrons is strong. Compared to the \( A_N \) of \( p + p \) collisions, the observed asymmetry in \( p + Al \) collisions is much smaller, while the asymmetry in \( p + Au \) collisions is a factor of 3 larger in absolute value and of opposite sign. This behavior is unexpected, because the theoretical framework using \( \pi \) and \( a_1 \)-Reggeon interference can predict only a moderate nuclear dependence, and there is no known mechanism to flip the sign of \( A_N \) within this framework [34].

The asymmetries requiring BBC hits are remarkably different. Once BBC hits are required (ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-tag), the drastic behavior of the inclusive \( A_N \) vanishes and its sign stays negative, approaching \( A_N = 0 \) at large \( A \). In contrast, the strong \( A \) dependence is amplified once no hits in the BBC are required (ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-veto). While the BBCs cover a limited acceptance, the requirement (or veto) of hits in the BBC should place constraints on the activity near the detected neutron and thus the corresponding production mechanism.

One possibility to explain the present results is a contribution from EM interactions, which have been demonstrated to be important for reactions with small momentum transfer, e.g., in ultraperipheral heavy ion collision at RHIC [44–47] and Large Hadron Collider [48–51], including forward neutron production in \( p + A \) collisions [52], and polarization observables in fixed target experiments [53,54]. Although it was ignored in the interpretation for the \( p + p \) data [34], EM interactions become increasingly important for large atomic number (\( Z \))
nuclei, as the EM field of the nucleus is a rich source of virtual photons, increasing as \( Z^2 \). Forward neutrons in the final state can be produced through nonresonant photo-\( \pi^+ \) production and neutron decay channel from photonucleon excitation processes, such as the \( \Delta \) resonance \([55]\).

According to a Monte Carlo study \([52]\), the neutron and its associated \( \pi^+ \) production through this process are substantially boosted towards the proton beam direction, so that only a small fraction of pions would be detected by the BBC. Thus, a large fraction of EM processes are expected to be suppressed in the ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-tag events while enhanced in the ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-veto events. Here, it is noted that the importance of EM processes in \( p + A \) collisions is also hinted at in the present data: The ratio between reconstructed neutrons in ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-veto and ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-tag samples increases from smaller than 0.5 in \( p + p \) to \( \sim 1 \) (\( \sim 5 \)) in \( p + Al (p + Au) \) collisions. In addition, a faster drop of the neutron production cross section with \( p_T \) in \( p + A \) collisions in ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-veto triggered data discussed in Fig. 3(b) is consistent with the increasing role of EM processes that have a softer \( p_T \) distribution than hadronic processes.

Similarly in the asymmetry measurements, contributions of different production mechanisms may be suppressed or enhanced by different event selection triggers. Hence, while the result for the ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-tag sample may be explained by the conventional pion and \( a_1 \)-Reggeon interference mechanism \([34]\), that for the ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-veto triggered sample could be explained by contributions from interference with EM amplitudes \([55]\), which are expected to be enhanced in that data set. However, there could be other mechanisms, such as diffractive scattering, which is also expected to be enhanced by a ZDC \( \otimes \) BBC-veto trigger. Therefore, further studies are needed to fully understand the present results.

In summary, we observe an unexpectedly strong \( A \) dependence in \( A_N \) of inclusive forward neutron production in polarized \( p + A \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \) GeV. Furthermore, a distinctly different behavior of \( A_N \) was observed in two oppositely trigger-enhanced data sets. These surprising behaviors could be explained by a contribution of EM interactions, which may be sizable for heavy nuclei. Further studies of the production mechanism including EM contributions and diffractive scattering would have an impact not only to hadron physics but also to cosmic-ray science, where measurements of high-energy cosmic rays depend on models of forward particle production in the interactions with nuclei in the air. Spin asymmetry measurements not only provide a unique discriminating power for the models of particle production but also will contribute to our understanding of the origin of the transverse spin asymmetries in hadronic collisions.
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