A Direct Top-Quark Width Measurement from Lepton + Jets Events at CDF II
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We present a measurement of the top-quark width using $t\bar{t}$ events produced in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at Fermilab’s Tevatron collider and collected by the CDF II detector. In the mode where the top quark decays to a $W$ boson and a bottom quark, we select events in which one $W$ decays leptonically and the other hadronically (lepton + jets channel). From a data sample corresponding to 4.3 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity, we identify 756 candidate events. The top-quark mass and the mass of the other hadronically (lepton + jets channel) $W$ boson that decays hadronically are reconstructed for each event and compared with templates of integrated luminosity, we identify 756 candidate events. To set a lower limit with 68% CL. This is the first direct measurement of $\Gamma_t$ to set a lower limit with 68% CL.

PACS numbers: 14.65.Ha, 13.85.Qk, 12.15.Ff

The top quark is the heaviest known elementary par-
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ticle, whose large mass results in the largest decay width and hence the shortest lifetime of the quarks in the standard model (SM). A precise measurement of the top-quark width $\Gamma_t$ is a good test of the standard model, whose prediction at the Born level [1] is affected by radiative corrections of order $10\%$ [2], as well as by electroweak [3, 4], which are of order $1.5\%$. The dominant decay mode of the top quark in the SM produces a $W$ boson and a bottom quark ($b$). Neglecting terms with $V_{ts}$ and $V_{td}$, which are two of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix elements, and terms of order $m_b^2/m_t^2$, $\alpha_s^2$, and $(\alpha_s/\pi)M_W^2/m_t^2$, where $m_b$, $m_t$, and $M_W$ denote the masses of the bottom quark, top quark, and $W$ boson respectively and $\alpha_s$ is the strong coupling constant, the next-to-leading-order top-quark width predicted in the SM is [1, 2]:

$$\Gamma_t = |V_{tb}|^2 \frac{G_F m_t^3}{8\pi\sqrt{2}} \times \left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2}\right)^2 \times \left(1 + 2 \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} - \frac{5}{2}\right)\right),$$

(1)

where $G_F$ is the Fermi coupling constant and $V_{tb}$ is another one of the CKM matrix elements. If we take $|V_{tb}|$ to be unity, given a top-quark mass of $172.5\text{ GeV}/c^2$ [3] the above equation gives a value of $\Gamma_t$ of $1.3\text{ GeV}$, corresponding to a lifetime of $5 \times 10^{-25}\text{ s}$.

A deviation from the SM could indicate a significant contribution of non-SM particles. Novel top-quark decay modes motivated by the large top-quark mass include decay to a charged Higgs $t \rightarrow b + H^+$ [4, 5], decay to its supersymmetric scalar partner stop plus neutrinos [6, 10, 11], and flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) top-quark decays [12]. Therefore, the direct measurement of $\Gamma_t$ is a general way to constrain such processes.

The first direct measurement of $\Gamma_t$ was carried out with an integrated luminosity of $1\text{ fb}^{-1}$ of CDF data in the lepton + jets channel [13] and set an upper limit on $\Gamma_t < 13.1\text{ GeV}$ at 95\% confidence level (CL). Here we increase the data set to $4.3\text{ fb}^{-1}$ in the same channel, apply a kernel density estimation (KDE) [14, 15] technique to make templates, and determine the jet energy scale (JES) calibration in situ. In addition, the methods for setting and incorporating systematic effects are different from the previous analysis. We are able to set a lower bound on the top-quark width at 68\% CL for the first time.

CDF II [16] is a general-purpose detector located at one of the two collision points along the ring of the Tevatron accelerator. A silicon microstrip tracker and a cylindrical drift chamber in a 1.4 T magnetic field serve as a charged particle tracking system. Electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters are used to measure the energies of electrons and jets. Outside the calorimeters lie drift chambers which can detect muons. We employ a cylindrical coordinate system for the detector where $\theta$ and $\phi$ are the polar and azimuthal angles, respectively, with respect to the proton beam, and pseudorapidity $\eta \equiv -\ln(\tan(\theta/2))$. Transverse energy and momentum are defined as $E_T = E \sin \theta$ and $p_T = p \sin \theta$, respectively, where $E$ and $p$ are energy and momentum.

Top quarks decay almost exclusively to a $W$ boson and a $b$ quark through the weak interaction in the SM. We identify $t\bar{t}$ events in the lepton + jets channel, where one $W$ boson decays to a charged lepton and neutrino, and the other $W$ boson decays to two quarks. The $t\bar{t}$ candidate events used in this analysis are collected by triggers that identify at least one high-$p_T$ lepton. Offline these events are selected by requiring a high-$E_T$ electron or high-$p_T$ muon ($E_T$ or $p_T > 20\text{ GeV}$), large missing transverse energy $E_T \not\!{\mathbf{E}}$ ($E_T > 20\text{ GeV}$) due to the undetected neutrino from the leptonic $W$ decay, and at least four hadronic jets. Jets are reconstructed with the JETCLU [17] cone algorithm using a cone radius of $\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \phi^2} = 0.4$. To determine if a jet comes from a $b$ quark, the SECVTX [18] algorithm, which makes use of the transverse decay length of a $b$ quark inside a jet (b-tag), is applied. At least one jet must be identified as b-tagged. We divide the candidate events into those with one b-tagged jet and those with two or more b-tagged jets in order to improve the usage of statistical information, since these two kinds of events have different signal-to-background ratios. When an event has one b-tagged jet (b-jet), we require this event to have exactly four jets each with $E_T > 20\text{ GeV}$; when an event contains two or more b-jets, three jets are required to have $E_T > 20\text{ GeV}$, the fourth must have $E_T > 12\text{ GeV}$, and the event is allowed to have extra jets. More details about event selection criteria can be found in [19].

Monte Carlo (MC) simulated signal samples are created for a fixed top-quark mass of $172.5\text{ GeV}/c^2$ by the PYTHIA version 6.216 [20] event generator and have different values of $\Gamma_t$ between $0.1\text{ GeV}$ and $30\text{ GeV}$, as well as various values of $\Delta_{JES}$, which is the difference between the JES effects in MC simulation and data and has a range from -3.0 $\sigma_t$ to +3.0 $\sigma_t$, where $\sigma_t$ is the CDF JES fractional uncertainty [21]. The overall rate of background events with one $W$ boson and additional jets ($W + \text{jets}$), the dominant background process, is determined using data after subtracting off the rate of events coming from QCD multi-jet production (non-$W$ events), and separating out a MC based estimate for electroweak processes (EWK) such as diboson ($WW/WZ/ZZ$) and single-top production. The fractions of $W + \text{jets}$ events with heavy flavor quarks ($Wc, Wc\bar{c}$, and $Wb\bar{b}$ events) are determined from MC simulated samples. The rate with which events with a $W$ boson and light flavor quarks contain a misidentified b-jet is determined using data samples triggered by the presence of jets. Table II summarizes the background compositions, and the selection criteria for determining the background rates are described in [22]. Diboson backgrounds are modeled with PYTHIA version 6.216 [20] and $W + \text{jets}$ by ALPGEN version 2.10 [23], with jet fragmentation modeled by PYTHIA.

We use a template method to extract $\Gamma_t$, Two observables, the reconstructed top-quark mass ($m_{t^{reco}}$) and the invariant mass of the two jets from the hadronically decaying W boson ($m_{jj}$), are built for each data event or MC simulated event (both signal and background). With the assumption that the leading (most energetic) four jets in the detector come from the four primary quarks of $t\bar{t}$ events in lepton + jets channel, there are 12 possible assignments of jets to quarks in each event. The neutrino transverse momentum is calculated from the imbalance of the transverse momentum of decaying products, jets and lepton, in the event, with unclustered energy taken into account, which is the energy in the calorimeter not associated with the lepton or one of the four leading jets. We use a $\chi^2$-like kinematic fitter 26 (with 9 degrees of freedom) to fit top-quark mass for each assignment and take $m_{t^{reco}}$ from the assignment that has the lowest $\chi^2$.

Events with $\chi^2 > 9.0$ are removed from the sample to reject poorly reconstructed events. We also apply boundary cuts on $m_{t^{reco}}$ ($110 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < m_{t^{reco}} < 350 \text{ GeV}/c^2$) and $m_{jj}$ ($50 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < m_{jj} < 115 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for single b-tag events and $50 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < m_{jj} < 125 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for double b-tag events) and normalize the probability density functions (p.d.f.) in these regions. $m_{jj}$ is calculated as an invariant mass of two non-b-tagged jets, which provides the closest value to the world average W boson mass of 80.40 GeV/c$^2$ 27. The estimated number of background events and observed number of events from a data set corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 4.3 fb$^{-1}$ after event selection, $\chi^2$ cut, and boundary cuts are listed in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>Single b-tag</th>
<th>Double b-tag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W + jets</td>
<td>85.6 ± 21.8</td>
<td>9.8 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-W</td>
<td>24.5 ± 20.6</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EWK</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total background</td>
<td>120.2 ± 30.0</td>
<td>14.6 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed events</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We employ a KDE that associates to each data point a function (called a kernel function) and uses a non-parametric method to estimate the p.d.f.’s of a random variable by summing all the kernel functions, without any assumption about functional form of the p.d.f.’s. Figure 1 shows the p.d.f.’s of $m_{t^{reco}}$ with different $\Gamma_t$ and the $m_{jj}$ with various $\Delta_{JES}$ from a full simulation. We compare the distributions of data with signal and background p.d.f.’s using an unbinned maximum likelihood fit 28, where the likelihood function $L$ is defined as

$$L = e^{-\frac{(n_s + n_b)^2}{2\sigma_e^2}} \times \left(\frac{n_s + n_b}{N!}\right)^N e^{-\frac{(n_{sl} - n_{bl})^2}{2\sigma_{nl}^2}} \times \prod_{i=1}^{N} N_s P_s(m_{t^{reco}}, m_{jj}; \Gamma_t, \Delta_{JES}) + n_b P_b(m_{t^{reco}}, m_{jj}; \Delta_{JES})/n_s + n_b,$$

where $n_s$ and $n_b$ are the expected number of signal and background events, $n_{sl}$ is the a priori estimate for the expected number of background events and N is the total number of observed events, and $P_s$ and $P_b$ are the p.d.f.’s for signal and background respectively. The first term in Eq. (2) is a prior that constrains the $\Delta_{JES}$ to the nominal CDF value within its uncertainty, $\sigma_e$. The second term makes the equation an extended likelihood, meaning that the number of signal and background events obey Poisson statistics. The third term constrains $n_b$ within its uncertainty $\sigma_{nl}$ to improve sensitivity. $P_s$ and $P_b$ in the fourth term, which are obtained from the KDE, are used to describe signal and background events. We minimize the negative logarithm of the likelihood using MINUIT 29 to extract the top-quark width. The fitting to $\Delta_{JES}$ reduces the JES systematic effect on $\Gamma_t$ and thus improves the sensitivity to the top width.

We set the limit(s) on $\Gamma_t$ via the Feldman-Cousins

![FIG. 1: (a) Probability density functions of $m_{t^{reco}}$ from double b-tag events for MC simulated samples of different values of $\Gamma_t$; (b) p.d.f.'s of $m_{jj}$ from double b-tag events for MC simulated samples of different values of $\Delta_{JES}$.](image-url)
method \cite{30} which determines the confidence intervals. The ordering parameter for MC simulated samples that appears in \cite{30} is defined here as \( \Delta \chi^2 = \chi^2_{\text{input}} - \chi^2_{\text{min}} \), where \( \chi^2 = -2 \log(\mathcal{L}) \) (different from the \( \chi^2 \) mentioned in event reconstruction), \( \chi^2_{\text{min}} \) is the minimal \( \chi^2 \) value and \( \chi^2_{\text{input}} \) is the \( \chi^2 \) at the real value of parameters \( \Gamma_t \) and \( \Delta \text{JES} \) of the MC simulated sample. We project the likelihood function \( \mathcal{L} \) onto the \( \Gamma_t \) axis \cite{31}. For each value of \( \Gamma_t \) we run 6,000 pseudo-experiments that generate a distribution of \( \Delta \chi^2 \) from which we calculate a critical value \( \Delta \chi^2_\text{c} \) so that 95% of the pseudo-experiments have a \( \Delta \chi^2 \) falling in the interval \([0, \Delta \chi^2_\text{c}]\). With MC simulated samples of 21 different top widths \( \Gamma_t \) we get a profile of \( \Delta \chi^2(\Gamma_t) \). When analyzing the data we obtain \( \Delta \chi^2(\Gamma_t|\text{data}) = -2 \log(\mathcal{L}_0) + 2 \log(\mathcal{L}_0) \), where \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) is the maximum likelihood value of data fitting, then \( \Delta \chi^2(\Gamma_t|\text{data}) \) is compared with \( \Delta \chi^2_\text{c}(\Gamma_t) \) and the accepted interval of \( \Gamma_t \) is all points such that

\[
\Delta \chi^2(\Gamma_t|\text{data}) < \Delta \chi^2_\text{c}(\Gamma_t).
\]

From the above method we obtain a purely statistical upper limit on \( \Gamma_t \) at 95% CL, \( \Gamma_t < 6.7 \text{ GeV} \) and a two-sided limit of 0.5 GeV < \( \Gamma_t < 3.9 \text{ GeV} \) at 68% CL.

We examine systematic effects by comparing MC simulated experiments in which we vary several parameters within their uncertainties. As seen from Table \ref{tab:sys} the dominant systematic effects come from jet energy resolution and color reconnection (CR) \cite{32}, which is a rearrangement of the underlying color structure of an event from its simplest configuration. For jet energy resolution effect, we compare jet energy resolution between data and MC simulated samples using one photon + one jet events and smear jet energy with the difference between data and MC simulated samples. We study the effect of CR by using PYTHIA version 6.4 with different tunes (with and without CR) \cite{32} and evaluate the difference. As one can see in this table, the systematic effect due to JES is very small because we perform an \textit{in situ} JES calibration. Other smaller systematic effects include those due to MC generator, the parton distribution function, and multiple hadron interaction, details of which can be found in \cite{32}. The total change of \( \Gamma_t \) due to these systematic effects is 1.6 GeV. We studied the dominant systematic uncertainties by varying top-quark width, and found no significant dependence of systematic effects on different top-quark widths.

To incorporate systematic effects into the limit(s) on \( \Gamma_t \) we use a convolution method for folding systematic effects into the likelihood function \cite{33, 34}. We convolve the likelihood function with a Gaussian p.d.f. that has a width equal to 1.6 GeV and is centered at 0. With this new likelihood function we apply the Feldman-Cousins approach and find an upper limit of \( \Gamma_t < 7.6 \text{ GeV} \) at 95% CL. Using the same approach we are also able to set a two-sided bound for \( \Gamma_t \) at 68% CL: 0.3 GeV < \( \Gamma_t < 4.4 \text{ GeV} \). Figure \ref{fig:2} (a) shows the data fit from the two-dimensional likelihood function with the statistical uncertainty. The overlap of the \( \Delta \chi^2_\text{c}(\Gamma_t) \) profile and the one-dimensional data fit that comes from the projection of the two-dimensional likelihood function is shown in Fig. \ref{fig:2} (b), on which the point(s) of interception gives the limit(s) of \( \Gamma_t \).

In conclusion, a top-quark width measurement in the lepton + jets channel is presented. Using a data set corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 4.3 fb\(^{-1}\) collected by CDF and an \textit{in situ} JES calibration, we derive for the first time a direct two-sided bound on the top-quark width. Assuming a top-quark mass \( M_{\text{top}} = 172.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \), we find 0.3 GeV < \( \Gamma_t < 4.4 \text{ GeV} \) at 68% CL, which corresponds to a life time of \( 1.5 \times 10^{-25} \text{ s} < \tau_t < 2.2 \times 10^{-24} \text{ s} \). For a typical quark hadronization time scale of \( 3.3 \times 10^{-24} \text{ s} \) (corresponding to 200 MeV) \cite{36, 37}, our result supports top-quark decay before hadronization. An upper limit \( \Gamma_t < 7.6 \text{ GeV} \) at 95% CL is also set, which is consistent with the standard model. This measurement is statistically limited and its dominant systematic uncertainties are likely to be reducible with improved data statistics. The precision of this measurement, therefore, will continue to improve over the course of Run II of the Tevatron.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systematic Sources</th>
<th>( \Delta \Gamma_{\text{top}} ) (GeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jet energy resolution</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color Reconnection</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual JES</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parton distribution functions</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Hadron Interaction</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gluon gluon fraction</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial/Final state radiation</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepton energy scale</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b jet energy</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background shape</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total systematic effect</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II: Summary of changes in measured \( \Gamma_t \) due to systematic effects.
FIG. 2: (a) Contours of the two-dimensional negative log likelihood function from data fit. The three different contours represent different values of $-\log(L)$: 0.5, 2.0, and 4.5. Systematic effects are not included here. (b) Overlap of the $\Delta\chi^2(\Gamma_t)$ profile and the data fit that comes from projection of the two-dimensional data fit onto the $\Gamma_t$ axis, the intersection of which gives a limit(s) on $\Gamma_t$. Systematic effects are included in the plots, both for 68% and 95% CL.