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1. Introduction

Primary producers, particularly vascular plants, are known to evolve reciprocal, mutualistic relationships with decomposer communities in the soil (Wardle, 2002). While activities of soil biota regulate plant growth (Bonkowski et al., 2000; Bever, 2003; Wardle et al., 2004), plants affect the soil and its organisms through, for instance, the uptake of water and nutrients. Evidence indicates, that plants influence the composition of the soil decomposer community through variation in the quantity and quality of litter (Wardle et al., 2006) and root exudates (Bais et al., 2006). Moore and Hunt (1988) proposed that the degradation of plant-derived material depends on the chemical nature of the resources (recalcitrant or labile), and that the decomposition (i.e. detritus channel, Moore and Hunt, 1988) is divided into two separate energy/nutrient channels: a bacterial-based channel and a fungal-based channel. A separate energy channel is characterized by living plant roots (i.e. the root channel).

Plant species identity seems to play a role in this (Wardle and Nicholson, 1996; Priha and Smolander, 1997; Bardgett et al., 1999a,b; de Deyn et al., 2004; Wardle, 2005). Slow-growing plants, such as conifers or hardy dwarf shrubs, produce poor-quality (recalcitrant) litters due to their high C:N ratio, high lignin content, and the presence of large amounts of phenolic compounds (Priha and Smolander, 1997; Wardle, 2002), slowing down the decomposition of such litters. Furthermore, secretion of root-derived compounds (root exudation) by conifers is hampered due to the ectomycorrhizal hyphal mantle around their roots. This can lead not only to reduced growth of bacteria (Olsson et al., 1996), but also of their predators, such as protozoa (Timonen et al., 2004).
In contrast, fast-growing plants, such as grasses and herbs, produce labile, easily decomposable litter (Priha and Smolander, 1997; Bardgett, 2005). These plant species commonly exude plenty of resources to the soil through root exudation. The energy channel theory of Moore and Hunt (1988) predicts that plants producing recalcitrant resources and liberating reduced amounts of root exudates promote a fungal-based energy channel underneath, which is characterized by a higher amount of fungal than bacterial biomass. A decomposer food web with a relatively higher bacterial than fungal biomass is likely to exist beneath plant species that produce labile litters. The energy channel theory rests on the assumption that, of the two primary decomposer groups bacteria and fungi, only fungi are able to degrade recalcitrant resources efficiently (de Boer et al., 2005). Given that the consumers of fungi and bacteria differ in their diet, for example Collembola are regarded commonly as fungal feeders (Hopkin, 1997) while protozoa as bacterial consumers (Bonkowski et al., 2000), a cascading effect is expected to take place higher up in the soil food web. Consequently, more fungal feeding nematodes and microarthropods are anticipated to settle beneath plant species that produce recalcitrant material, whereas beneath labile plant species a food web rich in bacterivores is expected. According to Moore and Hunt (1988) the root channel is characterized by a high number of root feeding nematodes, followed by predatory nematodes and nematophagous mites.

The influence of different plant species or species communities on soil decomposers has been studied in various terrestrial ecosystems. For example, soil microbial biomass (Wardle and Nicholson, 1996; Priha et al., 2001; Innes et al., 2004), and its composition (Bardgett and McAlistier, 1999; Priha et al., 2001) and activity (Bradley and Fyles, 1995) have been shown to vary beneath different plant species. Knapp et al. (2009) recently showed that different food resources also influence the microflora through the gut microbes of earthworms. Furthermore, changes in plant species or species properties have been proven to affect the composition of soil microfauna (protozoa: Timonen et al., 2004; nematodes: Ingham et al., 1985; de Deyn et al., 2004; Saj et al., 2007) and of mesofauna (microarthropods: Petersen and Luxton, 1982).

Plant community composition can reflect the rate at which nutrients are cycled within a system and hence affect the fertility of the soil (Mulder et al., 2002; Bever, 2003). The fungal-based energy channel is assumed to be more conservative, being capable of retaining nutrients in the system better than a strongly bacterial-dominating system (Coleman et al., 1983; Wardle et al., 2004; van der Heijden et al., 2008). Recently de Vries et al. (2006) and Gordon et al. (2008) showed that fungal-dominated systems tend to loose less nitrogen by leaching than bacterial-dominated systems.

Despite the existence of distinct fungal-dominating (such as coniferous forests) and bacterial-dominating (such as grasslands) plant communities, we know little how responsive the various trophic levels of the below-ground decomposer community are to variation in single plant species or different plant community compositions (Wardle and Nicholson, 1996; Bardgett and Shine, 1999; Wardle et al., 2003; Wardle, 2005). In order to find out whether plant species have the ability to control the composition of decomposer community, we designed our experiment to test the following hypotheses:

(i) the perennial grass Holcus lanatus and the legume Lotus corniculatus promote a bacterial-dominating system beneath, while the dwarf shrub Calluna vulgaris and the coniferous tree Picea abies promote a fungal-dominating soil food web;

(ii) the divergent energy channels give rise to dissimilar higher level decomposer communities;

(iii) nutrients (N and P) leach out in higher amounts from soil beneath Lotus and Holcus and from the plant-free soil than from soil beneath Calluna and Picea.

To test these hypotheses, we used a novel laboratory experimental approach that allowed soil biota to freely choose and establish in their favored below-ground environment during an 18-month (three growth periods for the plants) experiment.

2. Methods

2.1. Mesocosms

Eight round plastic vats (Ø 50 cm, depth 40 cm) were prepared as experimental mesocosms. Each was divided into five sections by a 5-cm-high plastic wall glued to the bottom of the mesocosms to form one circular section (Ø 17 cm) in the center, and four outer trapeze-shaped sectors of similar area. A lysimeter system to collect water leachates was adjusted to the bottom of each section. The lysimeters consisted of a plastic funnel (Ø 5 cm) glued upside down on a conventional garden root mat (mesh size <0.5 mm). The root mat efficiently prevented root growth and particle flow into the funnel, when the system was irrigated with water. The mesocosms were filled with 30 kg (fresh mass) of soil, forming a 12-cm-deep layer, i.e. reaching 7 cm above the rims of the plastic walls on the bottom. The soil was the common test soil applied in the multinational project BIORHIZ (Biotic Interactions in the Rhizosphere as structuring forces for plant communities). It was collected in February 2005 at a re-established grassland area next to the CLUE site in the Netherlands (Planken Wambuis, Ede, 52°04′N 5°45′E), where the last crop plant in 1995 was corn. The site is surrounded by heath, mixed forest, and abandoned arable land (van der Putten et al., 2000; Hedlund et al., 2003). The soil was transferred to Lahti, Finland, and stored at 4 °C in darkness until the experiment started. It had an organic carbon content of 21.3 g kg⁻¹, a total N content of 1.27 g kg⁻¹, a total P content of 0.33 g kg⁻¹, and a pH (water) of 6.3. Stones, soil macrofauna, and plant debris were sorted out by hand, and the soil was homogenized by gently mixing.

2.2. Plants and litter

As model plants we selected the perennial grass H. lanatus, the legume L. corniculatus, the hardy shrub C. vulgaris and the conifer P. abies. Holcus and Lotus occur naturally in the site of the soils origin and were used as model plants in the above-mentioned project BIORHIZ. They are regarded to produce easy decomposable litter (Bardgett, 2005), whereas Calluna and Picea produce recalcitrant litter (Wardle, 2002). All plants differ in their root symbionts: Holcus: arbuscular mycorrhiza (West, 1996), Lotus: nitrogen fixing bacteria (Bardgett, 2005) and arbuscular mycorrhiza (Scheublin et al., 2004), Calluna: ericoid mycorrhiza (Genney et al., 2000), and Picea: ectomycorrhiza (Bonkowski et al., 2001).

Before initiation of the experiment, 10 g of fresh litter, collected at the site where the soil originated, was placed on the surface of each mesocosm to ensure an effective inoculation of the systems with soil biota. This method is not sufficient to inoculate the experiment with the entire soil biota. Hence euedaphic life forms are not considered in this study. The litter was removed 1 week after placement. Each outer sector of the mesocosms received one 3-month-old seedling of each mesocosm, and was placed in quartz sand and provided with Ingestad nutrient solution (Ingestad, 1979) every month. C. vulgaris (five 8-cm-high seedlings for each mesocosm, with debris attached to the roots carefully removed) was collected from a road bank in southern Finland.
H. lanatus and L. corniculatus (Appel Gehölzsamener, Germany) were seeded (10 seeds per mesocosm). To ensure mycorrhization of the Picea seedlings, a small amount (0.5 g in dry mass) of fresh Picea roots collected from the field were cut into 1-cm pieces and mixed with the Picea sector just before planting the seedlings. The circular core in the center remained as the plant-free control. To prevent roots from invading the other sectors, they were cut along the plastic section “borders” every 2 weeks with a sharp knife.

Each plant sector received an inoculum of dried (defaunated) litter of its own kind on the surface of the respective sector. This was to simulate natural conditions right from the start for the decomposer community and to ensure a maximal influence of the plant species plus their respective litter on the soil. The litter was taken from the field (P. abies and C. vulgaris) or was separately pre-grown (H. lanatus and L. corniculatus) in the experimental soil prior to the start of the experiment. The sectors growing Picea received 10 g of dried twigs and needles (1/1), those growing Holcus 1.5 g of dried leaves, and those growing Calluna 1 g of dried twigs and leaves (1/1), those growing Holcus 1.5 g of dried leaves, and those growing Lotus 1 g of dried twigs and leaves (1/1). The amount of litter was chosen so as to form a layer that covers the soil and thereby helps in maintaining suitable abio-biotic conditions in the rhizospheres. The plants were provided with tap water every second day with a garden sprayer. Based on the varying water needs of the plant species, sectors with Lotus and Holcus received twice as much water than those with Picea or Calluna or the bare soil. Due to the extensive growth of Holcus and Lotus, their above-ground parts were cut after each growing season. To maintain the litter layer to mimic natural litter fall after growth periods and hence keep the natural conditions for the decomposer community and to prevent the soil from drying out, 50 g of Holcus litter and 100 g of Lotus litter were returned to the respective container sectors after the first sampling (5 months after the start of the experiment). Picea and Calluna sectors received dried and defaunated field-litter: 10 g of Picea and 5 g of Calluna litter after the first sampling, and 15 g of Picea and 10 g of Calluna after the second sampling.

2.3. Experiment

The experiment was conducted in a growth room. The mesocosms were placed in a water bath (depth 5 cm) without direct contact to the water and with changing water temperature according to the season (10°C summer, 8°C autumn, and 5°C winter). The experiment started with a 5-month summer period, with an average day temperature of 23°C and a night temperature of 15°C (measured 10 cm above the soil surface). The light/dark cycle was 16/8 h, with a photon flux density of 100 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. After this, a 2-month autumn was established by decreasing stepwise the temperature to 15°C (day: 10 h) and 10°C (night: 14 h), and illumination intensity was cut to half. During the 1-month winter period a continuous darkness with a temperature of 8°C was maintained. After the winter, the second period started with 1-month spring with increasing temperature to 15/10°C (day/night) and an average illumination intensity of 50 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ was established. Spring was followed by 3-month summer, 1 month autumn and 1-month winter. The third growth period consisted of 1-month spring, followed by 3-month summer, after which the experiment was samples the last time. All together this made the experiment last for 18 months.

2.4. Sampling and analyses

The experiment was sampled at the end of each summer period. At the first sampling, a single soil core (9 cm, depth 12 cm) was randomly taken from the planted sectors and the plant-free section. The samples were then divided into subsamples for analyses of soil fauna, microbes, and soil abiotic characteristics (see below). At the second and third samplings, separate soil samples for each of the variables were taken. The holes resulting from the sampling procedure were filled with quartz sand to keep the structure and moisture of the adjacent soil as normal as possible. After each artificial summer, the position of the mesocosms in the growth room was randomized.

2.5. Microbial community analyses

2.5.1. Basal and substrate-induced respiration

Soil microbial biomass and activity were estimated from 100 g (samplings 1 and 2) or 10 g (sampling 3) of fresh soil samples. After removing plant parts, the soil was placed in respiration jars (closed 200 ml containers with a hole in their lid, the hole was closed by a septum through which the air sample was taken), and the soil water content was adjusted to 20% of fresh mass. Basal respiration (microbial activity) in the soil was measured by taking a 1-ml air sample from the respiration jars (0 and 2 h after start) by syringe and analyzed for CO₂ using an infrared carbon analyzer (samplings 1 and 2: EQ 90 Easy Quant Universal Carbon Analyzer; sampling 3: Apollo 5000 Combustion TOC Analyzer, Teledyne, Tekmar). Substrate-induced respiration (SIR), an indicator of microbial biomass, was measured by analyzing the CO₂ evolution after 2 and 4 h from the same soil upon addition of glucose (powder, 2% of fresh sample mass) (Beck et al., 1995).

2.5.2. Phospholipid fatty acid analyses

Differences in the microbial community were determined by analyzing the ester-linked phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) composition of microbes extracted from soil samples, of which plant parts were removed. Extraction and the analysis procedure of PLFAs followed those described by Frostegård et al. (1991). Two replicate samples per mesocosms section (=2 × 5) were analyzed for each sampling. Briefly, lipids were extracted from 1.5 g of freeze-dried soil by shaking in a one-phase mixture of chloroform, methanol, and citrate acid buffer (1:2:0.8, v/v/v) overnight. After centrifugation (2500 rpm, 10 min), the supernatant was collected and split into two phases by adding chloroform and citrate buffer. The lipid extract was taken, dried under a N₂ stream, and stored at ~20°C. The lipids were fractionated in a column (Varian, HF BOND ELUT LRC SI, 500 mg) into neutral-, glycol-, and phospholipids containing polar lipids. The latter were dried under a stream of N₂ and saved for preparation into fatty acid methyl esters.

2.5.3. Transesterification

Methyl nonadecanoate and tridecanoate were added as internal standards before the lipids were transferred to fatty acid methyl esters by mild alkaline methanalysis, separated, and analyzed on a gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, 6890N Network GC System). The column used was 30 m ZB-5ms, with film thickness of 0.25 μm (Zebron).

The fatty acid methyl esters were identified by retention time comparison using a standard bacterial acid methyl ester mix (Supelco, BAME 47080-U, FAME 47885-U).

Fatty acid nomenclature follows that described by Frostegård et al. (1993). The fatty acids chosen for our data analysis were 14:0, i15:0, a15:0, 116:0, 16:1ω9, i17:0, cy17:0, and cy19:0 for bacteria, and 18:3ω6 and 18:2ω6,9 for fungi (Zelles, 1997).

2.6. Soil fauna

2.6.1. Protozoa

The number of culturable Protozoa was estimated using the most probable number (MPN) method by Rønn et al. (1995). Briefly, each well of a microtiter plate (Costar no. 3598) received
100 µl of sterilized tryptic soy broth (TSB, Difco®) solution (0.1 g l⁻¹). Five grams of fresh soil was mixed for 1 min in 100 ml of TSB (0.1 g l⁻¹) with a kitchen blender, and a subsample (50 µl) was taken and transferred to the first row of the microtiter plates. By mixing and transferring the subsamples from one rank to the next, a dilution series was prepared. The plates were stored in a climate cabinet at 15 °C and checked for protozoa after 7 and 21 days. Calculation of the MPN of Protozoa was performed according to Briones and Reichardt (1999).

2.6.2. Nematodes

Fifteen grams of fresh soil was used for nematode extraction using the wet funnel method by Sohlenius (1979). The extracted nematodes were fixed in 4% formalin, and counted, and the feeding groups of 100 individuals were determined according to Bongers (1988) and Yeates et al. (1993). At the third sampling, plant parasitic nematodes (PPNs) were also extracted from roots. The roots were then gently washed, cut into 1-cm pieces, and the nematodes were extracted using the same method as described above.

2.6.3. Collembola

Approximately 100 g of fresh soil was taken for the extraction of soil microarthropods using a slightly modified high-gradient extractor (MacFadyen, 1961) for 4 days. The animals were collected in ethanol, and Collembola were counted under a binocular microscope.

2.6.4. Enchytraeid worms

Enchytraeid worms were extracted from approximately 30 g of fresh soil using the wet funnel method by O'Connor, 1967. After extraction, the worms were counted within 2 days. At the second and third samplings, the animals were separated into size classes to estimate their biomass according to Abrahamsen (1973).

2.6.5. Abiotic analyses

Lysimeter water samples were taken right after (sampling 1) or before (samplings 2 and 3) the actual soil sampling by gently irrigating each of the mesocosm sectors with 1 l of tap water. Ten minutes after irrigation, the water that percolated through the soil was sucked out of the lysimeter by a syringe, and 100 ml of the leachate was collected in plastic bottles and frozen. The soil was sucked out of the lysimeter using a syringe, and 100 ml of the soil was irrigated each of the mesocosm sectors with 1 l of tap water. Ten days after irrigation, the worms were counted within 2 days. At the second and third samplings, the animals were separated into size classes to estimate their biomass according to Abrahamsen (1973).

2.6.6. Statistical analyses

The experiment was designed so that the soil biota can freely choose their desired plant–soil milieu in the mesocosms. Consequently, the different plant treatments were not arranged independently of one another within a mesocosm. Therefore the nonparametric Friedman test was applied for testing the general plant effect on the response variables. Due to the lack of a nonparametric model for repeated measurements, the plant effects on the variables were analyzed separately for each sampling time. The Wilcoxon ranking test was used for pairwise comparisons of the plant treatments (Lozan and Kausch, 2004). After testing the normality of the data using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov-test, Pearson correlations were performed to explore relationships between the variables. The statistical package SPSS 15.0 for Windows (SPSS, 2006) was used for all statistical analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Soil microbes

In general, the number of soil biota groups responding significantly to plant treatment increased with time (Table 1). Microbial activity (Fig. 1) and biomass (data not shown), which were estimated using the SIR method, were already significantly affected at the first sampling, and the differences became more pronounced over time (Table 1). Herein the microbial activity and biomass were enhanced beneath Lotus. The plant-free control soil always showed the lowest microbial biomass, and at the two first samplings also the lowest microbial activity. Microbial biomass followed the same pattern as microbial activity for the three sampling times (data not shown).

The fungal to bacterial biomass ratio (estimated by the PLFA method) was significantly affected by the plant treatments at the third sampling only (Table 1, Fig. 2), when a higher fungal to bacterial ratio was found beneath Calluna than beneath the other treatments. By the end of the third growing season, fruiting bodies of the ectomycorrhizal fungus Hebeloma sp. were found in the sectors growing Picea in 5 of the 8 replicate mesocosms.

3.2. Soil fauna

Plant treatment had a significant but inconsistent effect on the abundance of Protozoa at the second and third samplings (Table 1). The total number of nematodes was significantly higher beneath Lotus than beneath the other treatments from the second sampling onwards (Fig. 3). Plant treatment did not affect the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Influence of plant treatment on soil variables at the three samplings (P-values derived from the Friedman test, ns = not significant, nd = not determined).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sampling 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal respiration (µg CO₂ g soil dm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIR (µg CO₂ g soil dm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fungi:bacteria (µg g soil dm⁻¹)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPN Protozoa/g soil dm</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nematodes ind./g soil dm</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial feeding nematodes ind./g soil dm</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyphal feeding nematodes ind./g soil dm</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungal:bacterial feeders ratio ind./g soil dm</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucking predators ind./g soil dm</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingesting predators ind./g soil dm</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total predators ind./g soil dm</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omnivorous nematodes ind./g soil dm</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant parasitic nematodes ind./g soil dm</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant parasitic nematodes ind./g root dm</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enchytraeid ind./g soil dm</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enchytraeid biomass (µg/g soil dm)</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collembola ind./g soil dm</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Basal respiration (mean ± S.E.), as affected by the plant treatment at the three samplings. Different letters above the columns indicate significant differences (Wilcoxon test) between the treatments within a sampling. Control = plant-free soil.

Fig. 2. Fungal-to-bacterial biomass ratios (mean ± S.E., estimated by the PFLA method), as affected by the plant treatment at the three samplings. Different letters above the columns indicate significant differences (Wilcoxon test) between the treatments within a sampling. Control = plant-free control soil.

Fig. 3. Total number (individuals per gram soil dry mass, mean ± S.E.) of nematodes as affected by the plant treatment at the three samplings. Different letters above the columns indicate significant differences (Wilcoxon test) between the treatments within a sampling. Control = plant-free control soil.
composition of the soil-inhabiting nematode feeding groups during the first two samplings. However, at the final sampling the numbers of fungal feeders (lowest numbers in plant-free control soils), predators (most abundant in Lotus soils), and plant parasites (mostly Pratylenchus and Paratylenchus, most abundant in Lotus soils) were significantly affected by the plant treatment (Fig. 4). Although the Friedman test did not show significant treatment effects on the abundance of bacterial-feeding nematodes, pair wise comparisons (Wilcoxon test) indicated that the number of bacterial feeders was lower in the Picea and Calluna soils than in the other treatments at the final sampling (Fig. 4). Ratios of fungal to bacterial feeding nematodes were not significantly affected by the plant treatment in samplings 1 and 3 (Table 1), neither were they correlated to fungal-to-bacterial ratios of PLFA. Pairwise comparisons of fungal-to-bacterial feeding nematode ratios showed for the final sampling distinct differences (Fig. 5). In sampling 2 the ratios could not be determined, due to the high number of zero values in the number of fungal feeding nematodes. Plant parasitic nematodes (mostly Pratylenchus and Paratylenchus) extracted from roots in the final sampling were significantly more abundant in Holcus and Lotus roots than in Picea or Calluna roots (Fig. 6).

Plant treatment had little influence on the number and biomass of enchytraeid worms at the first two samplings. At the final sampling, Holcus and Lotus soils harbored significantly more worms of higher biomass (on average 0.6 individuals g$^{-1}$ dry soil) than the other plant treatments (varying between 0.22 and 0.39 g$^{-1}$ dry soil) (Table 1).

Collembolans were significantly affected by the plant treatment, but only at the second sampling (Table 1), when more animals were found beneath Holcus and Lotus than beneath the other plant treatments (data not shown).

**Fig. 4.** Number of feeding groups as affected by the plant treatments in sampling 3. Different letters above the columns indicate significant differences (Wilcoxon test) between feeding groups in different plant treatments. Control = plant-free control soil.

**Fig. 5.** Ratios of fungal to bacterial feeding nematodes and fungal to bacterial biomass of PLFA (mean ± S.E.) as affected by the plant treatments at sampling 3. Different letters above the columns indicate significant differences (Wilcoxon test) between the treatments. Control = plant-free control soil.

**Fig. 6.** Number of plant parasitic nematodes per gram root dry mass at the final sampling (means ± S.E.), as affected by the plant treatment at the three samplings. Different letters above the columns indicate significant differences (Wilcoxon test) between the treatments. Control = plant-free control soil.
3.3. Abiotic parameters

The cumulative concentration of nitrate in water leachates was significantly affected by the plant treatments in all samplings (Table 2). At the two first samplings, leaching of nitrate was reduced in the Holcus soils. At the third sampling, leaching of nitrate from Picea soils was significantly reduced compared with Lotus and the plant-free control (Table 2).

Due to technical reasons cumulative data of ammonium concentration in water leachates are available for samplings 2 and 3 only (Table 2). Herein plant treatment significantly affected ammonium leaching in sampling 3 (Table 2).

Statistical analyses for cumulative concentrations of phosphate in water leachates showed significant differences in the latter two samplings (Table 2), though from the beginning more phosphate leached out from Holcus soils.

Plant treatment did not have a consistent impact on soil pH. At the second sampling, pH was significantly lower in Lotus and significantly higher in Picea and Calluna soils than in the unplanted soil, while at the final sampling pH was significantly higher in Holcus soils in comparison to the unplanted soil and Picea and Calluna soils (Table 2).

4. Discussion

Our results confirmed previous findings suggesting that plant species can modify the soil decomposer community structure, but contrary to our prediction this did not give rise to the establishment of distinct energy channels beneath the plants. However, even though the microbial biomass was dominated by bacteria in all treatments at all samplings, there was a significant increase in fungal biomass relative to bacterial biomass under Calluna in comparison to the other treatments at the end of the study. This indicates that, within a period of less than 2 years, this shrub has the potential to modify the soil in the expected manner. Whether the Calluna-derived effects on soil microbes are due to Calluna litter or the presence of ericoid mycorrhizal fungi in Calluna roots remains unclear. If the presence of mycorrhizal fungi of different types (ericoid, ectomycorrhizal) would pose a significant effect on the build-up of a clearly separated fungal-based energy channel, it remains open why the Picea plants with a seemingly well-developed ectomycorrhizal rhizosphere did not result in fungal dominance in the soils. The long agricultural legacy of the experimental soil may still favor bacteria over fungi, since 85% of the relative microbial biomass in the plant-free control soils was composed of bacteria. It cannot be ruled out that the low fungal biomass in our study soils may be due to an underestimation of the fungal marker linoleic acid (18:2ω6,9) in the PLFA-analysis. In addition, this fungal biomarker detects only saprophytic and ectomycorrhizal fungi, but not arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, which were most probably also present. Joergensen and Wichern (2008) and de Vries et al. (2009) recently showed that fungal biomass is often underestimated when compared with ergosterol analysis or microscopic measurements in the soil.

As soil microbes generally did not respond to plant species identity as anticipated, it is hardly surprising that their consumers failed to respond significantly to the plant treatments as hypothesized. For example, although bacterial biomass was clearly enhanced beneath Lotus, the number of microbial-feeding microfauna (Protozoa, nematodes) did not follow this pattern. Furthermore, and in contrast to our assumption, Collembola numbers in sampling 2 were highest beneath Holcus and Lotus, which are in general not regarded to support resources for these fungal feeders (Maraun et al., 2003). Recently Endlweber and Scheu (2007) suggested that high numbers of Collembola under grass species indicate that these animals are not obligatory fungal feeders, but rather graze directly on roots or root exudates. This is supported by the findings of our study—at least during the early stages of the experiment. However, the lack of consistent evidence on the existence of distinct detritus energy channels in our experiment leads us to refute our first and second hypotheses.

It is likely that the short-term manipulation of the plant–soil system was not sufficient to stimulate the development of distinct bacterial- and fungal-dominating systems. As reported by Doblas-Miranda et al. (2008), the development of a microbiologically stable soil is a long-term, multifactorial successional process, where the build-up phase can last up to 5000 years. In line with this, Coleman et al. (1983) pointed out that the formation of a fungal-dominating food web requires undisturbed conditions, preferably a late successional stage of the ecosystem. Holtkamp et al. (2008) found no distinct cascading effects on organisms of different trophic levels within an energy channel, even though their study sites were up to 22 years old. However, Habekost et al. (2008) showed in a field experiment that the effects of grassland plants on microbes in former arable land were detectable already 4 years after abandonment. Similarly, van der Wal et al. (2006) found that fungal biomass in former arable land increased already during the first 2 years after abandonment, after which either a very slow increase or a stabilization phase of decades took place. These findings, as well as our results, suggest that the development of a fungal-dominating soil is a long-term ecosystem-level process in which various factors are involved. Furthermore, the same plant species can behave differently depending on the soil characteristics, as for example shown by Innes et al. (2004) for H. lanatus in soils of varying fertility.

Although we concentrated on exploring the existence of the two different detritus channels, we found a clear evidence for the presence of a root channel under Lotus towards the end of the study. This channel was characterized by high numbers of plant parasitic and predatory nematodes. Also Saj et al. (2007) and Wurst...
et al. (2009), applying the same soil as in the present experiment, found high numbers of plant parasitic, but not predatory nematodes in L. corniculatus soils. Saj et al. (2007) and Wurst et al. (2009) suggested that nutrient (N) leaking from Lotus roots due to nematode feeding results in stimulated growth of bacteria and their grazers, Protozoa- and bacterial-feeding nematodes. This in turn can lead to an increased nutrient supply for the plant itself as suggested by Bardgett et al. (1999a, b). Whether the root channel had a role in affecting the performance of Lotus in our experiment remains open.

We also hypothesized that less nitrogen and phosphorus will be retained in the Holcus, Lotus, and unplanted control soils than in the Calluna and Picea soils, because fungi and organisms within the fungal energy channel have a spatial and temporal advantage over the bacterial one to keep nutrients in the system. This is due to the large surface area of the fungi itself, its ability to transfer and store nutrients within the hyphal network, and the longer generation times of the faunal members of the entire energy channel (Coleman et al., 1983). This prediction was partly supported when the cumulative amounts of nutrients are concerned. At the end of the study less nitrate and ammonium leached from Picea soils than from the unplanted control soils and more phosphate leaked from Holcus soils than from the others. This gives support to the notion that liberation of nutrients from soil organic matter is controlled by an array of parameters, where plant species identity plays a decisive role (Swift et al. 1979). For example soil pH (Scheffer and Schachtschabel, 2002) and high amounts of phenolic compounds in plant litter (Ehrenfeld et al., 2005) can control nutrient dynamics and affect N mineralization. At the final sampling, soil pH decreased beneath Calluna and Picea compared to the first sampling, but beneath Holcus it was significantly increased in comparison to the unplanted soil. Conifers, especially spruce, reduce soil pH (Nykvist, 1963), and Calluna litter is known to contain high amounts of phenolic compounds (Bardgett, 2005) with an acidifying effect on soils. Whether the significantly lower soil pH in Calluna and Picea soils than in other plant treatments is due to litter effects or root exudation effects requires further investigation.

In summary, this study provides evidence that a single plant species can, in a relatively short period, modify the soil decomposer community composition, but not necessarily in the way predicted by the soil detritus energy/nutrient channel theory by Moore and Hunt (1988). Nevertheless we found a clearly separated root channel under the legume L. corniculatus, which supports findings that this legume is an important intermediator of soils. Whether the significantly lower soil pH in Calluna and Picea soils than from the others. This gives support to the notion that liberation of nutrients from soil organic matter is controlled by an array of parameters, where plant species identity plays a decisive role (Swift et al. 1979). For example soil pH (Scheffer and Schachtschabel, 2002) and high amounts of phenolic compounds in plant litter (Ehrenfeld et al., 2005) can control nutrient dynamics and affect N mineralization. At the final sampling, soil pH decreased beneath Calluna and Picea compared to the first sampling, but beneath Holcus it was significantly increased in comparison to the unplanted soil. Conifers, especially spruce, reduce soil pH (Nykvist, 1963), and Calluna litter is known to contain high amounts of phenolic compounds (Bardgett, 2005) with an acidifying effect on soils. Whether the significantly lower soil pH in Calluna and Picea soils than in other plant treatments is due to litter effects or root exudation effects requires further investigation.

In summary, this study provides evidence that a single plant species can, in a relatively short period, modify the soil decomposer community composition, but not necessarily in the way predicted by the soil detritus energy/nutrient channel theory by Moore and Hunt (1988). Nevertheless we found a clearly separated root channel under the legume L. corniculatus, which supports findings that this legume is an important intermediator of plants and soil organisms with respect to nutrient cycling and succession (Bardgett, 2005). We suggest that development of a fungal-based pathway that reaches the consumer level of the soil food web is unlikely to take place unless the entire plant community is made up of slow-growing plants producing recalcitrant litter. Our results corroborate findings that plants take influence on the soil in multifactorial pathways (Wardle, 2002), in which the spatial and temporal (succession) scales should not be overlooked (Wardle et al., 2004; Bardgett, 2005).
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