Measurement of acceleration while walking as an automated method for gait assessment in dairy cattle
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ABSTRACT

The aims were to determine whether measures of acceleration of the legs and back of dairy cows while they walk could help detect changes in gait or locomotion associated with lameness and differences in the walking surface. In 2 experiments, 12 or 24 multiparous dairy cows were fitted with five 3-dimensional accelerometers, 1 attached to each leg and 1 to the back, and acceleration data were collected while cows walked in a straight line on concrete (experiment 1) or on both concrete and rubber (experiment 2). Cows were video-recorded while walking to assess overall gait, asymmetry of the steps, and walking speed. In experiment 1, cows were selected to maximize the range of gait scores, whereas no clinically lame cows were enrolled in experiment 2. For each accelerometer location, overall acceleration was calculated as the magnitude of the 3-dimensional acceleration vector and the variance of overall acceleration, as well as the asymmetry of variance of the steps, and walking speed. In experiment 1, cows were selected to maximize the range of gait scores, whereas no clinically lame cows were enrolled in experiment 2. For each accelerometer location, overall acceleration was calculated as the magnitude of the 3-dimensional acceleration vector and the variance of overall acceleration, as well as the asymmetry of variance of acceleration within the front and rear pair of legs. In experiment 1, the asymmetry of variance of acceleration in the front and rear legs was positively correlated with overall gait and the visually assessed asymmetry of the steps (r > 0.6). Walking speed was negatively correlated with the asymmetry of variance of the rear legs (r = 0.8) and positively correlated with the acceleration and the variance of acceleration of each leg and back (r ≥ 0.7). In experiment 2, cows had lower gait scores (2.3 vs. 2.6; standard error of the difference (SED) = 0.1, measured on a 5-point scale) and lower scores for asymmetry of the steps (18.0 vs. 23.1; SED = 2.2, measured on a continuous 100-unit scale) when they walked on rubber compared with concrete. Despite the improvements in gait score that occurred when cows walked on rubber, the asymmetry of variance of acceleration of the front leg was higher (15.2 vs. 10.4%; SED = 2.0). The difference in walking speed between concrete and rubber correlated with the difference in the mean acceleration and the difference in the variance of acceleration of the legs and back (r ≥ 0.6). Three-dimensional accelerometers seem to be a promising tool for lameness detection on farm and to study walking surfaces, especially when attached to a leg.
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INTRODUCTION

Lameness is prevalent in modern dairy herds (Espejo et al., 2006; Ito et al., 2010), but producers have difficulties detecting lame cows (Whay et al., 2003; Espejo et al., 2006). Therefore, a need exists for better detection methods. Traditionally, lameness assessment has relied on subjective methods of gait scoring, yet this method is difficult on large farms. Some other behavioral changes in lame cows besides changes in gait might be monitored automatically using new technologies. For instance, lame cows show an unequal distribution of weight between their legs and this can be detected using force-plates that measure ground reaction forces when cows walk (Rajkondawar et al., 2006) or the weight that cows place on each leg while standing (Pastell and Kujala, 2007; Rushen et al., 2007; Chapinal et al., 2010a).

Commercially available activity loggers and 3-dimensional accelerometers attached to the legs have been used to measure the time that cows spend standing or lying and have shown that lame cows usually have longer lying bouts and lie down longer daily (Chapinal et al., 2009; Ito et al., 2010) than nonlame cows. Three-
dimensional accelerometers can detect alterations in gait while cows are walking (Tanida et al., 2008) and have been used to identify severely lame cows (Pastell et al., 2009). These automated measures of lameness have been assessed by correlating them with gait scores. Gait is affected by walking surfaces such that concrete flooring, more than rubber flooring, has been associated with inferior gait (Telezhenko and Bergsten, 2005; Rushen and de Passillé, 2006; Flower et al., 2007). Therefore, correlates differences in gait with differences in acceleration when cows walk on different surfaces could be used as an alternative method to assess 3-dimensional accelerometers.

The aims were to determine whether measures of acceleration of the legs and back of dairy cows while they walk could help detect changes in gait or locomotion that were associated with lameness (experiment 1) or walk could help detect changes in gait or locomotion that were associated with lameness (experiment 1) or differences in the walking surface (experiment 2).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals and Housing**

Lactating Holstein cows were housed in sand-bedded freestalls (2.4 m long × 1.2 m wide × 0.4 m deep) at the University of British Columbia’s Dairy Education and Research Centre in Agassiz, Canada. Cows were housed in groups of 12 to 36 with at least 1 freestall per cow. Cows were fed a TMR diet twice daily, formulated to meet requirements for dairy cows (NRC, 2001). Water was freely available from self-filling troughs. Cows were milked in the parlor at approximately 0800 and 1700 h daily. The experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care Committee, which is monitored by the Canadian Council on Animal Care.

**General Procedures and Measures**

**Gait Score.** Cows were scored by walking them down a designated passageway (13 m long × 1.3 m wide) after the morning milking. A handler walked behind the cows encouraging them to walk in a consistent manner. Each cow was video-recorded at normal speed from the side with a color digital camera (Sony DCRSR100 HDD Handycam Camcorder, 30 frames/s; Sony Corporation, Park Ridge, NJ) placed from 8 to 15 m from the cow, which allowed recording at least 4 complete strides for each cow during each passage. An experienced observer used these videos to score cow gait using a 1-to-5 numerical rating score (NRS; where 1 = perfect gait and 5 = severely lame) as described by Flower and Weary (2006) and Chapinal et al. (2009), and which has been related to the presence of hoof lesions. If a cow exceeded the requirements of a particular score, but did not meet all the requirements of the next successive score, a half-integer score was allocated. A continuous 100-unit visual analog scale (VAS) was used to assess overall gait (overall VAS) and asymmetry of the steps, a gait component associated with lameness and hoof lesions (Flower and Weary, 2006; Chapinal et al., 2009). Two marks separated by 9.35 m were painted on the floor and walls of the same passageway. Using the same video recordings described above, walking speed was calculated as the time between when the nose of the cow was aligned with the starting and end mark.

**Accelerometers.** Each cow was fitted with five 3-dimensional accelerometers (Hobo Pendant G Acceleration Data Logger, Onset Computer Corp., Pocasset, MA), described in Moreau et al. (2009) and de Passillé et al. (2010). Accelerometers were fitted in pouches. One accelerometer was attached by means of a strap to the lateral side of each leg above the fetlock. The fifth accelerometer was glued to a belt that was fastened around the torso such that the accelerometer was positioned approximately 10 cm to the right of the dorsal midline, at the level of the 8th to 10th thoracic vertebrae. The accelerometer measured a range of ±3.2 g with an accuracy of 0.075 g at 25°C. The accelerometer was set to sample at 33.3 Hz, which allows about 10 min of data collection. Acceleration data from each walking passage (time to pass between the 2 wall markers in the passageway) were selected from the accelerometers’ output by matching the accelerometers’ output with the video recordings. In this way, only acceleration data collected when cows were walking in a straight line were used for the analyses. Only passages in which cows walked at a steady pace were considered.

**Specific Experimental Procedures**

**Experiment 1.** Twelve lactating multiparous dairy cows (mean ± SD; parity = 4.3 ± 2.7; DIM = 103 ± 60 d; daily milk production = 39 ± 7 kg) were selected, maximizing the range of gait scores (NRS range = 1.5 to 4.5). Data were collected over 3 different days (3–4 cows/d). Cows were restrained in headlocks after the morning milking and fitted with the 5 accelerometers as described above. Immediately, cows were video-recorded walking down a nongrooved concrete passageway from 4 to 7 times. All cows had previously been habituated to the area by walking them down the passageway at least 4 times/d for 4 d.

**Experiment 2.** Twenty-four lactating multiparous dairy cows (mean ± SD; parity = 3 ± 1; DIM = 121 ± 19 d; daily milk production = 44 ± 13 kg) judged as not being severely lame (NRS <4 and no hoof lesions) were selected. Hooves were scored within 4 wk before and within 4 wk after the experiment to ensure...
that cows did not have any hoof lesions. On 5 different
days, 5 or 6 cows were restrained in headlocks after
the morning milking and fitted with the 5 accelerom-
ers, as described in experiment 1. Immediately after,
cows were video-recorded walking down 1 of 2 paral-
ellel passageways that were either nongrooved concrete
or nongrooved concrete covered with rubber. The
rubber covering used consisted of a bottom layer of
revulcanized rubber mats (1.9 cm thick each; Animat,
Saint-Élie d’Orford, QC, Canada) and an upper layer of
antislip rubber (0.6 cm thick each, #125 2-ply; Cobelt
Canada Inc., Saint-Laurent, QC, Canada), as described
in Rushen and de Passillé (2006). The passageways had
the same dimensions as in experiment 1. The rubber
covering was switched between the 2 passageways each
day. Cows were walked from 6 to 8 times, alternating
the passageways at each trial. The order of the treat-
ments was balanced across cows. All cows had been
previously habituated to the area by walking them
down the passageway at least 4 times/d for 4 d.

Data and Statistical Analysis

The raw acceleration data were summarized with R
(version 2.8.0; R Development Core Team, 2008) and
the statistical analysis was conducted using SAS (ver-
sion 9.1: SAS Institute, 2003). From the acceleration
data collected for each of the 3 axes from each of the
5 accelerometer locations, the overall acceleration was
calculated as the magnitude of the 3-dimensional ac-
celeration vector for each leg and for the back acceler-
omete as follows:

\[
\text{Acceleration (g)} = \sqrt{a_{x}^2 + a_{y}^2 + a_{z}^2},
\]

where \(a_{x}\) is the acceleration along the x-axis, \(a_{y}\) is
the acceleration along the y-axis, and \(a_{z}\) is the ac-
celeration along the z-axis. Similar results were obtained
using the acceleration vector and the acceleration in
each axis and therefore only the acceleration vector will
be discussed. For each accelerometer location, the mean
acceleration and the variance over time of acceleration
were calculated based on this vector. To calculate the
mean acceleration for each location, the acceleration
was averaged over time to get a single mean value per
passage, and then averaged across passages to get a
single mean value per cow (and surface in experiment
2). Acceleration was averaged across each pair of con-
tralateral legs to get 1 value for the front pair and 1
value for the rear pair of legs. For each accelerometer
location, the variance of acceleration over time was cal-
culated for each passage. The asymmetry of variance
of acceleration was analyzed within each pair of legs as
follows:

\[
\text{Asymmetry of variance (\%)} = \frac{1 - (\text{Variance}_{\text{min}}/\text{Variance}_{\text{max}})}{\text{Variancemax}} \times 100,
\]

where \(\text{Variance}_{\text{min}}\) is the variance of acceleration of
the leg with least variance, and \(\text{Variance}_{\text{max}}\) is the
variance of acceleration of the leg with largest variance. Values
of variance for each accelerometer location, and asym-
metry of variance of acceleration for each pair of legs
were averaged across passages to get a value per cow
(and surface in experiment 2). Variance was averaged
across contralateral legs to get 1 value for the front legs
and 1 value for the rear legs.

Experiment 1. One to 4 passages/cow, in which
cows were walking at a steady pace, were selected for
the final analyses. Passages in which cows ran, stopped,
or kept the head low were eliminated. Correlations
(PROC CORR) were calculated between gait (NRS,
overall VAS, and degree of asymmetry of the steps),
walking speed, and the acceleration variables (mean ac-
celeration of each leg and back, variance of acceleration
of each leg and back, and asymmetry of variance of
acceleration of the front and rear legs). Pearson correla-
tion coefficients were calculated in all cases except for
the correlations between NRS and the other variables,
in which cases Spearman correlations were calculated.
Results were very similar when the mean acceleration
and the variance of acceleration of each leg were con-
sidered individually and when the values for each pair
of legs (front and back) were averaged; therefore, only
correlation coefficients based on averages are presented.

Experiment 2. One to 4 passages/cow per surface
were selected following the same criteria as in experi-
ment 1. A mixed model (PROC MIXED) that included
day of test as a random block factor, surface as a fixed
effect, and cow as a random effect was used to test dif-
fences in NRS, overall VAS, asymmetry of the steps,
and walking speed in response to the different walking
surfaces. A similar model that included walking speed
as a covariate and the interaction between walking
speed and surface was used to analyze differences in
the acceleration variables. Residuals were examined
to verify normality and homogeneity of variances af-
iter each model. Numerical rating score was an ordinal
variable, but because it included a large number of
response categories and assumptions of normality and
homogeneity of variances were met, it was treated as
an interval-dependent variable in all analyses (Chuang-
Stein and Agresti, 1997). The differences found in NRS
were confirmed by differences in the continuous vari-
able overall VAS, which was correlated to NRS ($r = 0.99; P < 0.001$).

For each cow, the difference between the mean value of each variable when cows walked on concrete and rubber was calculated by subtracting the values for rubber from the values for concrete, and the difference between surfaces in gait and walking speed was correlated to the difference between surfaces in acceleration measures (PROC CORR).

**RESULTS**

**Experiment 1**

The mean acceleration and variance of acceleration were not correlated with gait measures in any accelerometer location, whereas the asymmetry of variance of acceleration in the front and rear legs was positively correlated with NRS, overall VAS, and asymmetry of the steps (Table 1; Figure 1). Walking speed was positively correlated with the mean acceleration and variance of acceleration measured on the back and each leg (both when legs were considered individually and when values for each pair of legs were averaged) and negatively correlated to the asymmetry of variance of the rear legs (Figure 2). Walking speed was negatively correlated with asymmetry of the steps ($r = -0.63; P = 0.03$), but not with NRS or overall VAS.

**Experiment 2**

Cows had lower gait scores (NRS and overall VAS) and scores for asymmetry of the steps when they walked on rubber compared with concrete, and their walking speed increased (Table 2). The mean acceleration of

---

**Table 1.** Correlations between measures of gait and walking speed, and measures of acceleration for 12 multiparous cows with numerical rating score (NRS) ranging from 1.5 to 4.5 while walking in a straight line on concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acceleration variable</th>
<th>NRS1</th>
<th>Overall VAS2</th>
<th>Asymmetry of the steps3,4</th>
<th>Walking speed4 (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Front legs mean acceleration (g)</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>−0.29</td>
<td>0.84***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear legs mean acceleration (g)</td>
<td>−0.26</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>−0.46</td>
<td>0.90***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance of front legs acceleration</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.74*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance of rear legs acceleration</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>0.87***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front legs asymmetry of variance (%)</td>
<td>0.71*</td>
<td>0.68*</td>
<td>0.75**</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear legs asymmetry of variance (%)</td>
<td>0.60*</td>
<td>0.63*</td>
<td>0.77**</td>
<td>0.70**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back mean acceleration (g)</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>0.69*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance of back acceleration</td>
<td>−0.30</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
<td>−0.18</td>
<td>0.78**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Scored on a 5-point scale with half-integer scores. Spearman correlation coefficient.
2Overall gait, scored on a continuous 100-unit visual analog scale.
3Scored on continuous 100-unit visual analog scales.
4Pearson correlation coefficient.

***$P < 0.001$; **$P < 0.01$; *$P < 0.05$. 

---
the front and rear legs and the variance of acceleration of the rear legs were lower when the cows walked on rubber compared with concrete, after including walking speed as a covariate in the model. Despite the improvements in gait score that occurred when cows walked on rubber, the asymmetry of variance of acceleration of the front leg was higher. Walking speed as a covariate showed a positive relationship with mean acceleration and the variance of acceleration of the front legs, rear legs, and back ($P < 0.01$ in all cases).

The difference in walking speed between concrete and rubber was related to differences in several measures of acceleration. The difference in walking speed between concrete and rubber correlated with the difference in the mean acceleration of the front legs ($r = 0.64; P = 0.001$), the rear legs ($r = 0.71; P < 0.001$), and the back ($r = 0.61; P = 0.01$), and with the difference in the variance of acceleration of the front legs ($r = 0.69; P < 0.001$), the rear legs ($r = 0.71; P < 0.001$), and the back ($r = 0.59; P = 0.003$). The difference in walking speed did not correlate with the difference in the asymmetry of variance of acceleration of the front and rear legs. Differences in gait and asymmetry of the steps did not correlate to the difference in any of the acceleration measures ($P > 0.15$).

**DISCUSSION**

Experiment 1 showed that asymmetry of variance of acceleration measured in both the front and rear pair of legs was correlated with gait scores, particularly with the asymmetry of the steps, which is the main change in gait that occurs when animals become lame (Chapinal et al., 2009). That is, lame cows with high gait scores had a greater asymmetry of variance of acceleration in the front and rear pairs of legs. Several studies that measured the weight that cows place on each leg when walking or standing showed that lame cows try to reduce the load on the affected leg (Rajkondawar et al., 2006; Rushen et al., 2007; Chapinal et al., 2010b); as a result, gait is impaired and steps are asymmetrical (Flower et al., 2005; Flower and Weary, 2006; Chapinal et al., 2009). Furthermore, the asymmetries in the steps observed when cows walk have been quantified using kinematic measures (Flower et al., 2005) and measurements from footprints (trackway measurement system; Telezhenko and Bergsten, 2005). Pastell et al. (2009) found that cows with altered gait showed a greater asymmetry of variance of the forward acceleration, but only in the case of the rear legs. Asymmetries between contralateral legs seem to be good predictors of lameness and this can be assessed by observing cows walking or by using different automated methods.
Mean acceleration was a good predictor of walking speed, particularly when data were collected from any of the legs, and to a lesser extent, when data were collected by placing an accelerometer on the back of the cows. This finding is very promising, because Chapinal et al. (2010a) found that combining measures of walking speed with automated measures of lying time and weight distribution improved the identification of lame cows. In this study, no correlation was observed between walking speed and overall gait score, which may reflect the small sample size. However, walking speed was negatively correlated with asymmetry of the steps, which has been described as one of the gait components that best predicts cows with sole ulcers (Chapinal et al., 2009). One single accelerometer attached to 1 leg appears to be a promising way to continuously monitor walking speed that could easily be applied on the farm.

In experiment 2, the effectiveness of the accelerometers in detecting changes in gait that occurred when cows walked on different flooring surfaces was examined. Gait was improved and walking speed was higher when cows walked on rubber compared with concrete, supporting previous research (Telezhenko and Bergsten, 2005; Rushen and de Passillé, 2006; Flower et al., 2007). After controlling for walking speed in the model, the mean acceleration of the front and rear legs and the variance of acceleration of the rear legs were higher on concrete. This is the first evidence to support earlier suggestions that rubber, compared with concrete, reduces acceleration on the legs. These results suggest that, at the same walking speed, gait is smoother when cows walk on rubber. Rubber absorbs some of the impact of the hoof, which likely affects acceleration. Reducing speed may be a strategy to reduce acceleration on concrete and thus the impact of the hooves when they hit the ground.

In experiment 1, a positive correlation was found between gait score and asymmetry of variance of acceleration. In contrast to our predictions, cows in experiment 2 showed a greater asymmetry of variance of acceleration of the front legs when walking on rubber even though they had lower gait scores. The asymmetry of variance of acceleration was low in this study because severely lame cows were avoided, and therefore, the difference might not be biologically relevant. The different anatomy and mechanical function of the front legs (steering vs. pushing; Phillips, 2002) may have contributed to this result. Research shows that asymmetry in the weight distribution of the rear legs is a better predictor of lameness than asymmetry in the weight distribution of the front legs (Chapinal et al., 2010a,b). The asymmetry of the steps when assessed visually is probably more easily identified on the rear legs than on the front. Therefore, further research is needed to understand whether a greater asymmetry of variance of acceleration in the front legs, rather than the rear legs, is related to lameness.

Changes in leg acceleration measures were positively correlated to changes in walking speed between surfaces. These results suggest that acceleration measures are sensitive enough to identify small changes in walking speed within cows. Although walking speed has been shown to be a good predictor of gait impairment (Chapinal et al., 2010a), further research is needed to validate the use of changes in walking speed within cows to detect lameness.

Chapinal et al. (2010a) concluded that a combination of different automated methods for lameness detection, such as weight distribution, lying behavior, and walking speed, could increase the accuracy in detecting lame cows, which is particularly interesting in large freestall-housed herds. Three-dimensional accelerometers are

Table 2. Least squares means and standard error of the difference (SED) for measures of gait, walking speed, and acceleration for 24 multiparous cows while walking in a straight line on concrete or rubber flooring; walking speed was included as a covariate in the acceleration measures’ models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Rubber</th>
<th>SED</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerical rating score (NRS)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall gait score (VAS)</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetry of the steps</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking speed (m/s)</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front legs mean acceleration (g)</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear legs mean acceleration (g)</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance of front legs acceleration</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance of rear legs acceleration</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front legs asymmetry of variance (%)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear legs asymmetry of variance (%)</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back mean acceleration (g)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance of back acceleration</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Numerical rating score on a 5-point scale with half-integer scores.
2Overall gait scored on a continuous 100-unit visual analog scale.
3Scored on continuous 100-unit visual analog scales.
currently used on some commercial farms to monitor activity, particularly for heat detection and lying behavior. An improved version of a 3-dimensional accelerometer attached to 1 single leg could continuously monitor activity, lying behavior, and walking speed at the same time.

In conclusion, the asymmetry of variance of acceleration within a pair of legs was correlated to gait and visually assessed asymmetry of the steps. Measures of acceleration and its variance collected by 3-dimensional accelerometers were correlated to walking speed, particularly when the accelerometer was attached to the legs, and to a lesser extent, when attached to the back of the cows. Accelerometers identified differences in acceleration when cows walked on concrete or rubber. Small differences in walking speed between surfaces were correlated to differences in acceleration measures. Three-dimensional accelerometers seem to be a promising tool for lameness detection on farm and to study walking surfaces, especially when attached to a leg. If accelerometers are to be used as a diagnostic tool, future larger scale studies are needed to assess the sensitivity and specificity of the measures under practical conditions.
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