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Snoring is a primary and major clinical symptom of upper airway obstruction during sleep. Sleep-disordered breathing ranges from primary snoring to significant partial upper airway obstruction, and obstructive sleep apnea. Adult snoring and obstructive sleep apnea have been extensively studied, whereas less is known about these disorders in children. Snoring and more severe obstructive sleep apnea have been shown to have a harmful effect on the neurobehavioral development of children, but the mechanisms of this effect remains unknown. Furthermore, the correlation of this effect to objective sleep study parameters remains poor.

This study evaluated the prevalence of snoring in preschool-aged children in Finland. Host and environmental risk factors, and neurobehavioral and neurocognitive symptoms of children suffering from snoring or obstructive sleep apnea were also investigated. The feasibility of acoustic rhinometry in young children was assessed.

The prevalence and risk factors of snoring (I) were evaluated by a questionnaire. The random sample included 2100 children aged 1-6 years living in Helsinki. All 3- to 6-year-old children whose parents reported their child to snore always, often, or sometimes were categorized as snorers, and invited to participate to the clinical study (II-IV). Non-snorers were invited to serve as controls.

Children underwent a clinical ear-nose-throat examination. Emotional, behavioral, and cognitive performances were evaluated by Child Behavioral Checklist (CBCL), Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence (WPPSI-R) and NEPSY-A Developmental Neuropsychological Assessment (NEPSY). Nasal volume was measured by acoustic rhinometry, and nasal resistance by rhinomanometry. Lateral and posteroanterior cephalometry were performed. A standard overnight ambulatory polysomnography was performed in the home environment. Twenty-six healthy children were tested in order to assess the feasibility of acoustic rhinometry in young children (V).

Snoring was common in children; 6.3% of children snored always or often, whereas 81.3% snored never or occasionally. No differences were apparent between snorers and non-snorers regarding age, or gender. Pediatric snoring was associated with recurrent upper respiratory infections, otitis media, and allergic rhinitis. Exposure to parental tobacco smoke, especially maternal smoking, was more common among snorers. Rhinitis was more common among children who exposed to tobacco smoke.
Overnight polysomnography (PSG) was performed on 87 children; 74% showed no signs of significant upper airway obstruction during sleep. Three children had obstructive apnea/hypopnea index (OAHI) greater than 5/h. Age, gender, or a previous adenoidectomy or tonsillectomy did not correlate with OAHI, whereas tonsillar size did correlate with OAHI. Relative body weight and obesity correlated with none of the PSG parameters. In cephalometry, no clear differences or correlations were found in PSG parameters or between snorers and non-snorers. No correlations were observed between acoustic rhinometry, rhinomanometry, and PSG parameters.

Psychiatric symptoms were more frequent in the snoring group than in the non-snorers group. In particular, anxious and depressed symptoms were more prevalent in the snoring group. Snoring children frequently scored lower in language functions. However, PSG parameters correlated poorly with neurocognitive test results in these children.

This study and previous studies indicate that snoring without episodes of obstructive apnea or SpO2 desaturations may cause impairment in behavioral and neurocognitive functions. The mechanism of action remains unknown. Exposure to parental tobacco smoke is more common among snorers than non-snorers, emphasizing the importance of a smoke-free environment. Children tolerated acoustic rhinometry measurements well.
TIIVISTELMÄ

Unenaikainen ylhähengitystiehtauma käsittää jatkumon ajoittaisesta hiljaisesta kuorsaamisesta vaikea-asteiseen obstruktiviseen uniaipanean, jossa koko uni on toistuvien tukoksellisten hengitystaukojen rikkoma. Vaikea-asteisen obstruktivisen uniaipanean tiedetään voivat vaikuttaa sekä lapsen henkiseen että fyysiseen kehitykseen, mutta yleisemmin on osoitettu kuorsaavien lasten pärjäävän verrokkeja huonommin kouluissa.

Selvitimme 1 - 6-vuotiaiden helsinkiläisten lasten kuorsauksen yleisyttä kyselykaavakkeella. Aineiston valinta perustui satunnaistettuun otantaan väestörekisterikeskus (n=2100). Vastanneista (n=1471, 71%) lapsista 92 (6,3%) kuorsasi aina tai lähes aina, ajoittain kuorsasi 183 lasta (12,4 %) ja 1196 (81,3%) lapsista ei kuorsannut koskaan tai kuorsasi satunnaisesti.

Kutsuimme kaikki 3 - 6-vuotiaat aina tai lähes aina kuorsaavat lapset sekä halukkaat terveet verrokot, jatkotutkimuksiin. Jatkotutkimuksiin osallistui 45 kuorsavaa lasta ja 52 ei-kuorsavaa lasta. Tavoitteenamme oli selvitää kuorsauksen riskitekijöitä, minkä asteista unenaikaisista hengityshäiriöistä lapsen kärsivät ja millaisia päiväöireita kuorsaus aiheuttaa lapselle. Lisäksi selvitimme terveillä vapaahjoissa lapsilla (n=26) ja aiemmin aikuisilla käytössä olevan mittausmenetelmän, akustisen rinometrian käyttökelpoisuutta lasten nenän tilavuuden arvioinnissa.


Jatkotutkimuksen osallistuneille lapsille tehtiin koko yön kestävä unirekisteröinti (n=87). Kolmella kuorsaavalla lapsella unirekisteröinti oli selkeästi poikkeava (OAHI >5/h). Lapsen ikä, sukupuoli, paino tai nenämittaukset tulokset eivät korreloineet unirekisteröinnin tuloksiin. Nielurisojen koko sen sijaan korreloivat obstruktivisten hengitystukosten määrään (OAHI) ja voimistuneeseen hengitystyöhön (P < .01).

Kuorsaus on suhteellisen yleinen oire lapsella. Se aiheuttaa jo alle kouluikäisellä ahdistus- ja masennusoireita sekä vaikeuksia kielellisissä taidoissa. Tarkka mekanismi, miksi kuorsaus aiheuttaa lapselle päiväoireita, on epäselvä. Terveydenhuollossa on tärkeää tunnistaa lasten kuorsaus ja ohjata lapsi jatkotutkimuksiin ja -hoitoon. Lapsen altistuminen vanhempien tupakoinnille lisää lapsen riskiä kuorsaukselle, jonka vuoksi kuorsaavien lasten hoidossa tulisi kiinnittää huomiota myös vanhempien mahdolliseen tupakointiin.

Tiivistelmä
**ABBREVIATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AASM</td>
<td>American Academy of Sleep Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHI</td>
<td>Apnea/hypopnea index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARM</td>
<td>Acoustic rhinometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBCL</td>
<td>Child Behavior Checklist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAP</td>
<td>Continuous positive airway pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>Electrocardiogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDS</td>
<td>Excessive daytime sleepiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>Electroencephalogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>Electromyogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOG</td>
<td>Electrooculogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSLT</td>
<td>Multiple sleep latency test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPSY</td>
<td>NEPSY-A Developmental Neuropsychological Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAHI</td>
<td>Obstructive apnea/hypopnea index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSA</td>
<td>Obstructive sleep apnea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Primary snoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSG</td>
<td>Polysomnography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTT</td>
<td>Pulse transit time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoL</td>
<td>Quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIP</td>
<td>Respiratory inductance/inductive plethysmography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RME</td>
<td>Rapid maxillary expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMM</td>
<td>Rhinomanometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDB</td>
<td>Sleep-disordered breathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDSC</td>
<td>Sleep Disturbance Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2</td>
<td>Arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>Skin prick test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEA</td>
<td>Adenotonsillectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>Tonsillectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URI</td>
<td>Upper respiratory tract infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPPSI-R</td>
<td>Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence, Revised</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

Sleep-disordered breathing (SDB) ranges from primary snoring to significant partial upper airway obstruction and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) (American Thoracic Society 1999). Snoring is a primary and major clinical symptom of SDB. Adult snoring and sleep apnea have been extensively studied, whereas less is known about these disorders in children with similar night-time symptoms. Snoring and more severe OSA have been shown to have a harmful effect on the neurobehavioral development of children, but the correlations with objective sleep study parameters are poor. Considering the importance of sleep in the growing and developing child, information on sleep and sleep disorders needs to be updated.

Snoring is common in children, with the prevalence reaching 16% in children aged 5-12 years (Ali et al. 1993, Liukkonen et al. 2008, Bixler et al. 2009). Clinical history cannot distinguish primary snoring from OSA in children, and polysomnography (PSG) has been acclaimed as the "gold standard" for diagnosis (Carroll et al. 1995). The estimated prevalence of OSA in otherwise normal, healthy children is 0.7-3% in the pediatric population (Ali et al. 1993, Gislason and Benediktsdottir 1995, Bixler et al. 2009). Upper airway obstruction is supposedly mostly caused by upper airway narrowing due to the lymphoid tissue, i.e. adenoids and tonsils, in otherwise normal children.

One of the unique features in pediatric OSA is the high prevalence of different syndromes affecting facial appearance, facial growth, and muscle tone. These include the Pierre Robin, Crouzon, Apert, Pfeiffer, Robin sequence and Treacher-Collins syndromes. (Arens and Marcus 2004.) In addition, unlike in adults, OSA is not clearly linked to obesity in early childhood, but obesity may contribute to severity of SDB in older children (Kaditis et al. 2008). No clear associations of overweight or obesity with increased prevalence of SDB in children have been found (Kohler and van den Heuvel 2008).

Associations between sleep problems, psychiatric symptoms and disorders, and cognitive functions have been increasingly recognized in child populations (Beebe et al. 2004, O’Brien et al. 2004a). Associations between childhood disordered breathing and behavioral problems, including hyperactivity, inattention, moodiness, aggression, and delinquent behavior, as well as associations between SDB and decreased performance in neurocognitive tasks measuring attention, intellectual development, and memory have been found. (Gottlieb et al. 2004, O’Brien et al. 2004a, Rosen et al. 2004, Halbower et al. 2006.)
The purpose of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of snoring in preschool-aged children in Finland, to determine host and environmental risk factors, as well neurobehavioral and neurocognitive symptoms of children suffering from snoring or OSA, and to test the feasibility of acoustic rhinometry in young children.
REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Pediatric sleep-related breathing disorders

Terminology and classification

SDB = Sleep-disordered breathing. Snoring is a common symptom in children with SDB, which comprises a wide spectrum of abnormalities ranging from primary snoring to significant partial upper airway obstruction and further to obstructive sleep apnea (American Thoracic Society 1999). The spectrum of SDB is suggested to represent a continuum of symptoms of differing severity, with primary snoring at one end and obstructive sleep apnea at the other. Thus, the symptoms overlap between these entities, and one patient could have all of the symptoms.

PS = primary snoring. Snoring is a primary and major clinical symptom of SDB. PS refers to snoring every night or almost every night without any objective pathologies or major upper airway limitations, i.e. apnea, hypoventilation, hypoxemia, hypercapnia, or sleep disturbance. PS was previously thought not to be associated with daytime symptoms, but this assumption has been repealed in several studies (Blunden et al. 2000, Urschitz et al. 2003a, O’Brien et al. 2004a).

OSA = obstructive sleep apnea. OSA is a recognized condition associated with upper airway obstruction during sleep. Breathing is marked by periods of significant restriction or cessation in airflow, often interrupted by arousals during which normal respiration is restored. In (PSG), OSA is characterized by intrathoracic pressure wings, increased respiratory effort, sleep fragmentation, and intermittent hypoxemia and hypercapnia. (American Thoracic Society 1996, Gozal 2001.)

An obstructive apneic episode is defined as complete cessation of the oronasal airflow lasting more than two respiratory cycles and the presence of continuous breathing efforts. Hypopnea is defined as a reduction of at least 50% in the airflow signal, flattened airflow shape, and central apnea as cessation of the airflow in the absence of breathing efforts. Commonly, episodes of apnea and hypopnea are counted together and divided by total sleep time, giving an index, i.e. events per hour, obstructive apnea index (OAHI).
Epidemiology

Occurrence of PS and OSA is difficult to interpret because the criteria and definitions of snoring and OSA vary markedly, and accurate identification of habitually snoring children who have OSA is particularly challenging, considering that clinical history and physical examination are poor predictors of the condition (Carroll et al. 1995).

All of the prevalence estimates are based on questionnaire studies, i.e. parent-reported snoring prevalence, and no recording-based data exist. Snoring is common in children, with the prevalence reaching up to 16% (Teculescu et al. 1992, Ali et al. 1993, Gislason and Benediktsdottir 1995, Hulcrantz et al. 1995, Lu et al. 2003, O’Brien et al. 2003, Kaditis et al. 2004, Liu et al. 2005, Kuehni et al. 2008, Liukkonen et al. 2008, Bixler et al. 2009). (Table 1). All of the OSA prevalence studies are performed as two phase studies, where a selected population has been chosen for PSG based on history of snoring. The prevalence of OSA in otherwise normal, healthy children is 0.7-3% in the pediatric population (Ali et al. 1993, Gislason and Benediktsdottir 1995, Bixler et al. 2009).

Table 1. Representative epidemiological studies of the prevalence of snoring in under school-aged children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>No. of children</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Habitual snoring</th>
<th>Obstructive sleep apnea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teculescu (Teculescu et al. 1992)</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali (Ali et al. 1993)</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hulcrantz (Hulcrantz et al. 1995)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gislason (Gislason and Benediktsdottir 1995)</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>0.5-6</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Brien (O’Brien et al. 2003)</td>
<td>5728</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu (Lu et al. 2003)</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaditis (Kaditis et al. 2004)</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu (Liu et al. 2005)</td>
<td>2636</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuehni (Kuehni et al. 2008)</td>
<td>6811</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liukkonen (Liukkonen et al. 2008)</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bixler (Bixler et al. 2009)</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>5-12</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND= no data provided</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pathophysiology and risk factors

The pathophysiology of SDB is a complex interaction between an airway predisposed toward collapse and neuromuscular support (Katz and D’Ambrosio 2008). Factors that decrease pharyngeal size or increase pharyngeal compliance predispose to SDB. (Figure 1).
Figure 1. Obstructive sleep apnea including children may result from a combination of factors. Modified by Brooks LJ. Principles and practice of pediatric sleep medicine (Sheldon et al. 2005).

SDB occurs in children of all ages, from neonates to adolescents. Snoring frequency is slightly more common among preschool-aged children than among older children (Kaditis et al. 2004). This could result from adenoidal hypertrophy being higher in young children, thus causing obstruction more easily in these individuals than in older children. In prepubertal children, the prevalence of snoring seems to be similar between genders (Teculescu et al. 1992, Ali et al. 1993), but a slight overrepresentation of snoring or apnea episodes among young boys has also been described. This discrepancy has been proposed to be due to boys having more upper respiratory infections. (Gislason and Benediktsdottir 1995.)

Children with SDB have larger adenoids, tonsils, or soft palates than healthy non-snoring children. Most otherwise healthy children respond well to adenotonsillectomy (TEA) (Brietzke and Gallagher 2006). However, obstruction is not caused by adenotonsillar hypertrophy alone. In several studies, no correlations between adenotonsillar size and SBD were found (Brooks et al. 1998, Joshua et al. 2006). In addition, 10-20% of children suffering from snoring are not cured by TEA (Wolffensberger et al. 2000, Ameli et al. 2007).
Although obstruction is supposedly mostly caused by upper airway narrowing due to lymphoid tissue in otherwise normal children, one of the unique features in pediatric SDB is the high prevalence in different syndromes affecting facial appearance, facial growth, and muscle tone. Children with abnormal bony facial structure, hypoplasia, repositioning of the mandible or maxilla, or cleft deformities frequently have symptoms of SDB. (Zettergren-Wijk et al. 2006, Katz and D’Ambrosio 2008, Maclean et al. 2009.)

An association between race or ethnicity and prevalence of SDB among children indicates that the prevalence may be two- to fourfold higher in blacks. Symptoms of OSA also appear to be increased in Hispanic children and those of African-American origin. (Redline et al. 1999, Goodwin et al. 2003, Rosen et al. 2003.) Prematurity with very low birth weight (Paavonen et al. 2007) and low birth weight siblings are known risk factors for SDB (Friberg et al. 2009).

The relationship between upper airway obstruction and obesity in children is ambiguous. Obesity may narrow the pharynx due to the deposition of adipose tissue within muscles and soft tissue around the airway. Obesity seems to be a risk factor for snoring in some older children (Redline et al. 1999, Chng et al. 2004), and moderate or severe obesity appears to be a predictor of upper airway obstruction (Kohler et al. 2008). In the prospective study of Bixler (2009), 700 randomly chosen 5- to 12-year-olds were investigated. Measurements of height, weight, waist circumference, and neck circumference were recorded. All children underwent overnight PSG. The authors found a relationship between waist circumference and BMI across all degrees of severity of SDB, suggesting metabolic factors may be important risk factors for SDB in children. (Bixler et al. 2009.) An Australian retrospective study included 190 children aged 4-12 years who had been referred for evaluation of upper airway obstruction. These children underwent overnight PSG. Those with confirmed OSA had a greater BMI than children with either intermittent or habitual snoring. (Kohler et al. 2008.) Conflicting findings have been reported among younger children (Kuehni et al. 2008). The association between obesity and snoring may change with age, and BMI may play only a minor role in the etiology of snoring in preschool children, in contrast to adolescent (Ievers-Landis and Redline 2007). In a large review, Kohler et al (2008) evaluated the evidence linking increased adiposity to risk or severity of SDB in children and adolescents. Their conclusions were that SDB is not associated in a straightforward manner with overweight or obesity, unlike in adults (Kohler and van den Heuvel 2008).

Snoring is associated with symptoms caused by viral infections, the common cold, and otitis media infections (Kuehni et al. 2008). Asthma or atopy has also
been shown to be associated with snoring (Lu et al. 2003, Chng et al. 2004). The mechanism of how recurrent upper respiratory infections (URI) increase the risk of upper airway obstruction has been a target of research in recent years. Children with respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) bronchiolitis as infants had a higher OAHI than their age- and sex-matched controls. These children also had larger tonsillar size, indicating that adenotonsillar hypertrophy plays a significant role in the occurrence of pediatric OSA. (Snow et al. 2009.)

The specific factors mediating the proliferation of adenotonsillar tissue remain unclear, but increasing evidence of the presence of inflammation in the upper airways of children with OSA has recently been published, revealing increased levels of leukotrienes in exhaled breath (Goldbart et al. 2006), adenotonsillar tissues (Goldbart et al. 2005a), and tonsillar proinflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and interleukin-1 alpha (IL-1α) (Kim et al. 2009), as well as increased levels of C-reactive protein (Tauman et al. 2004, Gozal et al. 2007) among children with SDB. These findings support the contention of recruitment of inflammatory pathways within upper airway tissues in the context of OSA.

The upper airway of a child is smaller than that of an adult. In children, the maximal airway narrowing corresponds to areas where the tonsils and adenoids overlap (Arens et al. 2003). Acoustic studies show that women have a relatively stiff pharynx compared with men (Brooks and Strohl 1992). Children have different pharyngeal pressure characteristics, suggesting a more rigid upper airway than in adults (Marcus et al. 1999). Thus, in some cases, the anatomical size of the pharynx may not be the only cause of OSA, leaving a role for other determinants of airway patency such as neuromuscular tone, ventilatory control, and arousal threshold (Katz and D'AMBrosio 2008).

During wakefulness neural input maintains the pharyngeal muscle tone and airway patency. During sleep, an increased resistance to airflow normally accompanies relaxation of these pharyngeal muscles. The mechanism for increases in pharyngeal collapsibility is that such increases are related to disturbances in pharyngeal neuromuscular control. This increase in pharyngeal collapsibility is recognized to lead to airflow obstruction during sleep. Similar to adult OSA, the collapsibility of upper airway in children with OSA is greater than in healthy children (Marcus et al. 2005), as evidenced by reduced upper airway dimensions detectable by acoustic reflectometry and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Monahan et al. 2002, Arens et al. 2003).
Parental smoking and exposure to cigarette smoke are related to SDB in childhood. Corbo et al. showed a dose-response effect between snoring in children and the number of cigarettes smoked by their parents (Corbo et al. 1989). Ali et al. (Ali et al. 1993) demonstrated a dose-response relationship between passive smoking and habitual snoring, especially for maternal smoking. Similar findings have been reported in other studies (Marshall et al. 2007, Kuehni et al. 2008). Children with exposure to tobacco smoke suffered from more rhinitis and poorer sleep than children without exposure (Johansson et al. 2008). Chronic exposure to tobacco smoke may augment upper airway inflammation in children due to reduced cilia regeneration (Atef et al. 2009), increasing airway resistance (Kooi et al. 2004) and decreasing nasal volume (Zavras et al. 1997). Road traffic, having a single parent, and socioeconomic deprivation have also been demonstrated to be risk factors for SDB in children (Spilsbury et al. 2006, Kuehni et al. 2008).

Cotinine is the major metabolite of nicotine found in biological fluids. It is considered to be the best marker of environmental tobacco smoke exposure because its biologic half-life in body fluids is much longer than that of nicotine (approximately 20 h vs. 2 h) (Benowitz et al. 1982, Benowitz et al. 1983, Florescu et al. 2009). Numerous studies have measured cotinine in blood, urine, saliva, and hair to estimate exposure to passive smoke. In children, collection of hair is an easy and preferred technique of data collection compared with such invasive methods as collection of blood, urine, and saliva (Florescu et al. 2009). The levels of cotinine in the body are dependent on nicotine metabolism, which in turn is affected by factors such as age and race, making comparison between children’s exposure to tobacco smoke difficult (Wilson et al. 2007). Due to subjects’ different metabolism, objective measurement of cotinine concentrations from room air may be advisable (Wilson et al. 2007, Yolton et al. 2010).
Snoring and obstructive sleep apnea

Diagnosis

History and physical examination
A sleep history of nocturnal snoring every night or almost every night is a hallmark of primary snoring. However, a history of snoring cannot distinguish between children with OSA and those with primary snoring (Carroll et al. 1995). Bedtime struggles, sleep-onset delays, increased need for comforting activities, requiring a night-light to fall asleep, being afraid of sleeping alone, teeth grinding, frequently changing sleep positions, hyperextension of the neck, episodes of observed apnea, night awakenings, sleep-talking, and nightmares are more frequently noted in children with SDB (Stradling et al. 1990, Nieminen et al. 1997, Ferreira et al. 2000, Eitner et al. 2007). Snoring and OSA have also been associated with enuresis and bed-wetting in children. This may be related to the increased release of natriuretic peptides. (Sans Capdevila et al. 2008.)

The physical findings on examination of a child with SDB are variable. These children appear to have mildly to moderately enlarged tonsils or adenoids and do not necessarily demonstrate breathing difficulties. Therefore, a normal physical examination does not exclude SDB. Mouth breathing and adenoidal face are noteworthy as they may indicate impaired nasal breathing. Hyponasal voice is also a clue of nasal obstruction and suggestive of adenotonsillar enlargement. The Mallampati classification or the Brodsky scale are useful in evaluating pharyngeal or tonsillar size (Mallampati et al. 1985, Brodsky 1989). In addition, the presence of allergic rhinitis, chronic rhinosinusitis, turbinate hypertrophy, rhinorrhea, nasal polyps, nasal septum deviation, or any other factor likely to increase nasal airflow resistance are more predominant in children with SDB sleep symptoms (Ng et al. 2006, Bixler et al. 2009).

Questionnaires
In epidemiologic studies, a number of questionnaires are used. These questionnaires are usually self-made, including questions about a child's behavioral patterns while asleep or while wake. Furthermore, information about the medical history of a child is sought. Several studies have tried to develop questionnaires that can detect children with clinically significant SDB (Chervin et al. 2000, Chervin et al. 2007).

Brouillette and coworkers used a specific OSA score to investigate a total of 92 children, including those with OSA or suspected OSA and healthy children (Brou-
uilette et al. 1984). They argued that the use of the OSA score should decrease the need for PSG monitoring. A standardized questionnaire covers family history for atopy, child medical history, snoring and episodes of apnea (frequency and duration), presence of symptoms that could be related to breathing disorders (troubled sleep, enuresis, thirst, sweating, oral breathing, need for afternoon rest, daily sleepiness, poor school achievements), and smoking habits in the family and during pregnancy.

In 1996 Bruni et al. published the Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children (SDSC), which is an instrument for assessing the frequency of sleep problems and snoring in school-aged children on a 5-point scale. (Bruni et al. 1996). The SDSC appears to be a useful tool in evaluating the sleep disturbances of school-aged, children in clinical and nonclinical populations. It can be used in population-based studies to screen for upper airway obstruction in children. This questionnaire has been used extensively around the world and is translated into numerous different languages (Eitner et al. 2007, Ferreira et al. 2009, Paavonen et al. 2009). The SDSC consists of 26 items grouped into six subscales: 1) disorders of initiating and maintaining sleep (sleep latency, going to bed reluctantly, difficulty in falling asleep, anxiety in falling asleep, night awakenings, difficulty in falling asleep after awakenings), 2) sleep breathing disorders (breathing problems, sleep apnea), 3) disorders of arousal/nightmares (sleep-walking, sleep terrors, nightmares), 4) sleep-wake transition disorders (hypnic jerks, rhythmic movement disorders, hypnagogic hallucinations, nocturnal hyperkinesia, sleep-talking, bruxism), 5) disorders of excessive somnolence (difficulty in waking up, tiredness upon waking up, daytime somnolence, sleep paralysis, bed-wetting), and 6) sleep hyperhydrosis (night sweating, falling asleep sweating).

A systematic review conducted by Briezke in 2004 concluded that clinical findings are generally not reliable for diagnosing OSA in children (Brietzke et al. 2004).

Imaging and laboratory evaluation
To evaluate the upper airway in children with SDB, several radiologic techniques are available, including lateral neck radiographs (Fujioka et al. 1979), cephalometrics (Kawashima et al. 2000), and MRI (Arens et al. 2001, Arens et al. 2003).

Lateral neck radiography was first published by Fujioka in 1979 (Fujioka et al. 1979). The ratio of the absolute size of the adenoid and the size and shape of the nasopharynx was termed the adenoidal nasopharyngeal ratio (AN ratio). In this method, the adenoidal measurement (A) represents the distance from the point of maximal convexity of the adenoid shadow to a line along the anterior margin of the basiocciput. The nasopharyngeal measurement (N) is the distance between the
posterior border of the hard plate and the antero-inferior edge of the sphenobasioc-occipital synchondrosis. The AN ratio is obtained by dividing the measurement for A by the value for N. The study group disclosed that an AN ratio greater than 0.80 may be considered indicative of enlarged adenoids (Fujioka et al. 1979). This method is widely used clinically to evaluate nasopharyngeal size. Brooks and coworkers studied 33 children with suspected OSA. Lateral neck radiography as well as overnight polysomnography were performed. No healthy controls were included. They found a relationship between the AN ratio and the duration of obstructive episodes of apnea, although adenoid size did not correlate with the number of respiratory events. (Brooks et al. 1998.) The upper airway of children with SDB sleep appears to be smaller on average than that of healthy children (Orji and Ezeanolue 2008).

Cephalometry is a two-dimensional radiograph that examines soft tissue and craniofacial structures bounding the upper airways. Upper airway craniofacial structures can be accurately measured with a cephalometric radiograph. The angles between these craniofacial structures can also be identified with cephalometry. However, standardized imaging and analysis parameters must be utilized in making a cephalometric radiograph. The head needs to be placed in a rigid structure for stabilization with a cephalostat. (Kawashima et al. 2000.)

Several studies have been published to investigate soft tissue and craniofacial structures of the upper airways in children. In these studies, SDB children were compared with healthy children: they have a narrower upper airway, an inferiorly positioned hyoid bone, a longer vertical airway length from the posterior nasal spine to the base of the epiglottis, a more protruding maxilla, and anterior-posterior discrepancy of the maxilla and mandible (Zucconi et al. 1999, Finkelstein et al. 2000, Kulnis et al. 2000, Zettergren-Wijk et al. 2006, Banabilh et al. 2008).

Rhinometric measurements
In 1989, a new method, acoustic rhinometry (ARM), was introduced for assessment of nasal geometry. ARM measures cross-sectional areas and volume of the nasal cavity. It helps to define objectively the dimensions and pathology of the nasal passage (Hilberg et al. 1989, Hilberg and Pedersen 2000). The method involves measurements of acoustic reflections from the nasal cavity. A sound pulse produced by a spark in a sound tube enters the nasal cavity via a nosepiece. The method is noninvasive, requires little cooperation of the subject, and has been recommended for use even in small children (Hilberg et al. 1989, Djupesland and Pedersen 2000, Hilberg and Pedersen 2000, Liukkonen et al. 2006, Haavisto and Sipila 2008).
The experience of ARM among small children is scant, although the method has been used to demonstrate changes in the volume the nasopharynx and nasal cavities of children (Riechelmann et al. 1993, Zavras et al. 1994, Riechelmann et al. 1999, Marques and Anselmo-Lima 2004). ARM has been used to evaluate nasal airway resistance during rapid maxillary expansion (RME) (Enoki et al. 2006), allergic rhinitis and asthma in children (Kobayashi et al. 2001, Steinsvag et al. 2007). The method is also suitable for the evaluation of septal deviations and surgical success in school-aged children (Can et al. 2005) and for the diagnosis of congenital choanal malformations in neonates (Djupesland et al. 1997). In these studies, ARM has been valuable for interindividual comparisons, but not for assessment of different groups or adenoidal size in preschool-aged children (Marques and Anselmo-Lima 2004).

Rhinomanometry (RMM) is a physiologic noninvasive method for the evaluation of nasal airway patency. In active anterior RMM, nasal airway resistance is measured in one nasal passage at a time during normal breathing, while the contralateral nostril is taped. Total nasal resistance is then calculated from the unilateral measurements. Successful recordings require some cooperation with breathing rhythm and acceptance of increased work in breathing, especially if the nose is blocked. RMM among children was first described by Sorensen et al in 1980 (Sorensen et al. 1980). They suggested that RMM could be performed on all snoring children aged over five years referred to the hospital for adenoidectomy. Both radiography and rhinomanometry give valuable parameters for the indication of adenoidectomy (Sorensen et al. 1980).

Nasal resistance has been found to decline with increasing age and is elevated in the presence of mucosal swelling and adenoidal enlargement (Parker et al. 1989, Zapletal and Chalupova 2002). In addition, RMM has been deemed suitable for evaluating allergic inflammation (Ciprandi et al. 2005) and the effect of RME treatment in children (Monini et al. 2009). Rizzi et al (2002) studied a total of 73 children (mean age 5.4 years) with RMM. OSA was confirmed for 60% of these children with polysomnography (apnea/hypopnea index, AHI ≥ 1). In this Italian study, total nasal resistance correlated with AHI (P = .0001), and snoring (P = .005). Thus, RMM was concluded to be a useful tool in evaluating SDB in children. (Rizzi et al. 2002.) A similar finding has been published in another Italian study among OSA children treated with inferior turbinotomy and adentonsillectomy (Mora et al. 2003), showing decreasing total resistance in RMM after surgical treatment.

Overnight polysomnography
Carroll et al (Carroll et al. 1995) stated that clinical history cannot distinguish primary snoring from OSA in children, and overnight PSG has since been acclaimed as the "gold standard" for OSA diagnosis. The combination of PSG signals
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varies, but most often PSG includes recordings of sleep using continuous recordings of the electroencephalogram (EEG), submental electromyogram (EMG), and electrooculogram (EOG). Respiration is monitored for effort (thoracoabdominal belts, diaphragmatic EMG), airflow (thermistor or pressure transducer), and gas exchange (arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation (SpO2), end-tidal or transcutaneous carbon dioxide). Often PSG also includes measurements of electrocardiogram (ECG), body position, limb movements and video recordings, but may also include more uncommonly used extra sensors such as esophageal pressure measurement or body movement sensor (static-charge-sensitive bed, SCSB).

Respiratory parameters are used to diagnose of sleep apnea. An obstructive apnea episode is defined as complete cessation of the oronasal airflow lasting for more than two respiratory cycles and the presence of continuous breathing efforts. Hyponnea is defined as a reduction of at least 50% of the airflow signal and a flattened airflow shape, accompanied by a 3% (or 4%) decrease in SpO2 or termination by an arousal. Central apnea is defined as cessation of airflow in the absence of breathing efforts and is separate from obstructive events. Obstructive apnea/hyponnea indices (OAHI/AHI) are calculated as the number of obstructive apnea/hyponnea events per hour of sleep time.

Childhood SDB has traditionally been defined according to adult criteria, summing up the total number of upper airway obstructions per hour as the apnea index, or including partial obstructions as the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI). It is noteworthy that different studies use different values of OAHI/AHI, and the criteria for child OSA varies between clinical practice and research studies. Recently, the new American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) rules and the old Rechtschaffen and Kales (R&K) criteria for sleep scoring have been shown to produce different results also in children (Novelli et al. 2010). Today, an abnormal value for OAHI in children is considered above 1/h (Iber et al. 2007), although it seems clear that one event/hour likely cannot have any significant effect by itself.

Measuring the airflow

The measurement of airflow is part of the diagnostic criteria for episodes of apnea and hypopnea as well as for respiratory-related arousals. Many new devices designed for airflow measurement have become available for use during PSG. Airflow can be evaluated either by measuring it with nasal cannula with pressure transducer, or facial mask connected to a pneumotachograph or by detecting temperature differences between expired air and ambient air.

A pneumotachometer provides a quantitative measurement, and although rarely used, is the “gold standard” for the measurement of flow rate during breathing. The
breath is passed through a short tube and cylinder in which there is a fine mesh that serves as a small resistance laminar airflow. The resulting pressure drop across the mesh is in proportion to the flow rate. The pressure drop is very small (e.g. 2 mmHg) and a differential pressure transducer is normally used. In research setups, the pneumotachograph is usually connected to a facemask.

A temperature difference exists between air coming from the respiratory system and air going into the respiratory system. In the past, thermistors have been commonly used. They detect fluctuations in temperature with respiration and provide a qualitative signal. By definition, thermistors and thermocouples are not airflow sensors, but simply detect the temperature oscillation as a qualitative indicator of airflow. A thermistor does not properly detect the flow rate, and therefore, it poorly displays decreases in airflow. However, total cessation of airflow is detected because of the lack of temperature variation. Despite the limitations of the thermistors and thermocouples, scoring of hypopnea has evolved to events of reduced airflow being first identified from the thermistor signal, then validated as true episodes of hypopnea by desaturation or arousal on EEG. However, if the thermal sensor does not detect a candidate respiratory event and there is no desaturation, arousals remain “unexplained”. Although thermistors are accepted as precise in identifying apnea, detection of hypopnea is controversial. Up to 70% of respiratory events may be missed in adult patients with symptoms consistent with OSA, but without frank apnea and hypopnea. (Kryger et al. 2005.)

During inspiration airway pressure is negative relative to the atmosphere and positive during expiration. The measurement of these changes in the nasal airway may be used to estimate air flow. Nasal cannula pressure systems generate this respiratory waveform signal by detecting these fluctuations in pressure caused by inspiration and expiration. Unlike the inspiratory and expiratory fluctuations recorded as temperature changes with a thermistor, these signals are more proportional to flow. Recommended devices for monitoring airflow are nasal pressure transducers, which have improved the diagnostic sensitivity of the PSG. To record respiratory events, new standards for measuring airflow have been initiated to include the combination of thermistor with recordings from nasal pressure transducers, to better detect and count partial airway obstruction events (Griffiths et al. 2005). Artifacts are caused by dislodged cannula, mouth breathing, nasal obstruction, and obstruction of the cannula from secretions, all of which are particularly common in children.

Measuring respiratory effort

Measures of respiratory effort are important to differentiate between central and obstructive events, to categorize episodes of hypopnea or respiratory effort–relat-
ed arousal. There are three primary methods of noninvasive chest and abdominal plethysmography (measurement of change in volume) in current use: measurement of changes in elastic belt tension, changes in electrical impedance, or changes in electrical inductance.

An elastic belt fastened around the chest or abdomen will exhibit a change in tension as the chest or abdomen expands or contracts. This change in tension can be easily measured and converted to a voltage by a variety of methods. The most common method in current use is Piezo crystal belts that directly generate a voltage when compressed or stretched. This method, while simple and inexpensive, is subject to trapping artifact: it is fairly easy to imagine how a portion of elastic belt may become “trapped” as a person turns from one side to another, resulting in variable tension along the belt circumference. Thus, this method can both under-, and/or overestimate the actual degree of chest or abdominal movement in addition to creating a false signal when belt tension suddenly changes with altered body position. Elastic bands with Piezo crystal show only the motion itself and is not calibrated to the direction of motion, i.e. the signal direction during inward and outward thorax movement may vary even during the same recording, and it is not proportional to the size of the movement. In 1999, the AASM consensus discouraged the use of Piezo crystal belts for scoring respiratory events (American Thoracic Society 1999).

Esophageal manometry is a technique used to detect abnormal sleep-related respiratory events (Guilleminault et al. 2004). However, it is limited in a clinical setting due to its invasiveness and it is not widely used among children. The human body is a fairly poor conductor of electricity. In other words, it presents a fairly high impedance to the electrical current flowing through it. This impedance changes as the cross-section of the body expands and contracts, allowing qualitative measurement of thoracic and abdominal movement during breathing. Respiratory inductance/inductive plethysmography (RIP) is a promising diagnostic tool measuring respiration from body surface movements. It is usually is taken as respiratory effort for clinical diagnostic purposes. Two (or sometimes four or more) electrodes are attached to the skin and a weak alternating electrical current is passed through these electrodes, allowing the impedance to be measured.

Calibrated RIP seems to provide semiquantitative information on ventilation, which is generally comparable with that achieved with nasal pressure monitoring (Redline et al. 2007). However, the number of studies that have addressed the validity of RIP is small, and in the future prospective studies should be encouraged to evaluate the utility of RIP as a measure of respiratory effort.
Measuring gas exchange
Although the most accurate method of diagnosing OSA is nocturnal PSG, such testing is expensive, time-consuming, and thus other methods of investigation are being sought. Previously, SpO2 were widely used in sleep apnea trials in children. Brouillette et al (2000) studied almost 350 children (median age 4.5 years), 60% of these children had OSA, as defined by PSG. Conclusions were that oximetry was thought to have a 97% positive predictive value for OSA if periodic clusters of desaturations of less than 90% were found. (Brouillette et al. 2000.) Oximetry can quickly and inexpensively identify children with a history suggestive of SDB. However, a negative oximetry result cannot be used to rule out OSA (Brouillette et al. 2000, Kirk et al. 2003).

In standard PSG, desaturation is usually assessed by finger or ear pulse oximetry because direct measurement from an indwelling arterial catheter is highly invasive. Pulse oximetry gives an estimate of SpO2, which is a major ventilatory feature defining OSA. Its severity is usually reported as mean SpO2, or lowest SpO2, and the amount of 4% or more SpO2 drops per hour during sleep. A pitfall with oximeters is the use of averaging time, which is, however, usually adjustable. A long time window for averaging may cause episodes of hypoxemia to be missed.

A mild desaturation (90–93%) is relatively common in children during sleep (Urschitz et al. 2003b). Verhulst et al. (2007) reported a mean overnight SpO2 value of 97% (SD 0.6, range 96–98) and a mean minimum SpO2 value of 92% (SD 2.7, range 82–96) in 60 European children aged 6-16 years with no polysomnographic evidence of SDB (Verhulst et al. 2007). Traeger et al. (2005) reported similar values in 66 North American children aged 2-9 years: mean SpO2 97% (SD 1.0, range 95–98) and minimum SpO2 92% (SD 3.0, range 81–95) (Traeger et al. 2005). These results support the view that the basal SpO2 values range from 95% to 100%.

In a prospective British study, 61 children with a history of snoring were investigated. The children were evaluated with PSG. Using these SpO2 criteria, 12 children screened positive with oximetry. However, these SpO2 parameters failed to identify seven children with a clinical diagnosis of OSA confirmed by PSG (using an AHI of ≥5). (Sproson et al. 2009.) Thus, an oximetry measurement does not appear to be a reliable measure for diagnosis of children’s sleep apnea.

Measuring sleep stages and arousals
The AASM completed a new manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events in 2007 (Iber et al. 2007). The rules and specifications in the visual scoring, however, retain much of the framework of the rules of Rechtschaffen and Kales (Rechtschaffen and Kales 1968), but in the new AASM system, the distinction between
wakefulness (W), rapid eye movement (REM) sleep (R) and non-REM sleep (N) is maintained, although the non-REM stages are reduced to three: N1 (previous stage 1), N2 (previous stage 2), N3 (previous stages 3 + 4).

The pediatric task force (Grigg-Damberger et al. 2007) also made several modifications to the scoring criteria for infant sleep. One of the main changes is in terminology: in the AASM manual, sleep stages S1, S2, S3, and S4 are referred to as N1, N2, and N3, with N3 reflecting slow-wave sleep (R&K stages S3 + S4) and Stage REM is referred to as stage R.

The measurement of arousals during sleep is useful for quantifying sleep fragmentation. Arousals are markers of detrimental and harmful sleep disruption. An EEG arousal is defined as an abrupt shift in EEG frequency, lasting at least 3 s, which may include theta, alpha, or other frequencies greater than 16 Hz, but not spindles. Since alpha activity is common in REM in children, these shifts must be accompanied by an increase in submental muscle activity in order to indicate arousal (American Sleep Disorders Association 1992). In normal healthy children with aged 3-9 years, arousals do not differ according to age, and overall arousals occurs every 6 minutes throughout the night (Montgomery-Downs et al. 2006).

Respiratory events during sleep can potentially lead to an increase in the number and duration of arousals that may cause sleep fragmentation and disruption of normal sleep architecture. However, while obstructive sleep apnea episodes regularly end with an arousal that disturbs the sleep structure in adults (Berry and Gleeson 1997), in children this is not as evident and many obstructive respiratory events are resolved without obvious EEG arousal (McNamara et al. 1996). Although children may not manifest cortical arousals, evidence suggests that they do have a subcortical central nervous system or autonomic response to most obstructive episodes of apnea. Many obstructive episodes of apnea in children terminate with movement, as represented by enhanced number of movements and periodic leg movements (Praud et al. 1989, Mograss et al. 1994) in the absence of EEG arousals. The lack of cortical arousal in children with OSA may explain why children can go on to have extended uninterrupted periods of obstructive hypoventilation, and sleep architecture is preserved in these children (American Thoracic Society 1996, Goh et al. 2000). Although SDB-related EEG arousals are less common in children than adults, subcortical arousals, as demonstrated by movement or autonomic changes, seem to occur frequently. Katz et al (2003) studied pulse transit time (PTT), an indicator of autonomic function, in children (Katz et al. 2003). The PTT is a measure of respiratory effort and arousal that has shown promise in the diagnosis of SDB in adults (Pitson and Stradling 1998). The PTT is the interval between the R-wave of the ECG and the arrival of the photoplethysmographic pulse at the finger (Geddes
et al. 1981). The travel time of the pulse wave is inversely proportional to arterial wall stiffness, which is determined by blood pressure (BP). Therefore, the PTT is a noninvasive index that is inversely related to BP. Katz et al (2003) demonstrated that EEG arousals occurred with 55% of obstructive episodes of apnea, similar to the results shown by McNamara (McNamara et al. 1996), but that pulse transit time arousals were present in 91% of obstructions. Thus, subcortical activation of the brain in children appears to take place in response to upper airway obstruction (Katz et al. 2003).

Heart rate variability is commonly used to investigate autonomic control. Changes in autonomic function, such as changes in heart rate, PTT or BP, have been noted. These seem to be more frequent than EEG arousals and may be more sensitive measures for detecting subcortical arousals, predicting neurocognitive and/or cardiovascular outcomes in children with OSA (Katz et al. 2003, Chaicharn et al. 2009). Chaicharn et al (2009) found that parasympathetic activity remains relatively normal, but baseline cardiovascular sympathetic activity and reactivity to autonomic challenges are impaired in pediatric OSA (Chaicharn et al. 2009). In addition, high urine levels of norepinephrine due to increased sympathetic tone have been published (Kaditis et al. 2009).

Consequences

Morbidity of snoring and obstructive sleep apnea
Although many sleep and neuropsychological studies among children have already been conducted, the mechanism by which OSA causes neuropsychological and cardiovascular morbidity remains unclear. The cognitive deficits seen in children with OSA may be secondary to prefrontal cortex dysfunction caused by intermittent hypoxia and disruption of sleep architecture. While intermittent hypoxemia appears to be the major contributor to consequences of SDB, with lesser roles played by sleep fragmentation, increased breathing effort, and hypercapnia (Gottlieb et al. 2000, Beebe and Gozal 2002, Gozal and Kheirandish 2006). These physiological disturbances are thought to cause neuronal injury to the hippocampus and frontal cortex, leading to impaired cognitive execution (O’Brien and Gozal 2002, Halbower et al. 2006). It is unclear which of the multiple physiologic perturbations account for neuropsychological dysfunction, and in fact, it is difficult to separate them since one or all of these perturbations are demonstrated in patients with SDB. Evidence suggests, that even habitual snoring alone, in the absence of any other gas exchange or sleep architecture abnormality, may be associated with an increased risk for neurobehavioral disturbances (O’Brien et al. 2004a).
Interruption hypoxemia and alveolar hypoventilation
In rats, intermittent hypoxia during sleep is associated with increases in neuronal cell loss and adverse affects on spatial memory tasks in the absence of sleep fragmentation or deprivation (Gozal et al. 2001, Row et al. 2002).

In previous studies, frequent oxyhemoglobin desaturations during sleep have been common in children with OSA (Stradling et al. 1990, Gislason and Benediktsdottir 1995). Even a mild desaturation (90–93%) is relatively common (Urschitz et al. 2003b). However, in recent years, intermittent hypoxemia with or without OSA, even brief episodes of mild decreases in saturation from baseline, has been speculated to cause of neuropsychological dysfunction in children (O’Brien et al. 2004a).

In children aged up to 14 years with SDB, intermittent or chronic hypoxemia was conducted to produce adverse cognitive effects (Bass et al. 2004). Urschitz et al studied behavioral problems in 1144 snoring children and clarified the role of intermittent hypoxia with pulse oximetry. Habitual snoring was associated with hyperactive and inattentive behavior, daytime tiredness, and sleepiness, but was not dependent on intermittent hypoxia (Urschitz et al. 2003a, Urschitz et al. 2004a). Nighttime SpO2 decreases were considered not to explain the daytime symptoms in snoring children.

Intermittent elevations in partial pressure of carbon dioxide manifesting as alveolar hypoventilation, is frequent in pediatric OSA during sleep. It can exacerbate the effects of intermittent hypoxia on neural tissue, but can also affect cerebral circulation, vasomotor or sympathetic activities (Sheldon et al. 2005).

In children, episodic hypoxia and sleep fragmentation may lead to alterations in the neurochemical substrate of the prefrontal cortex, with resultant executive dysfunction (Beebe and Gozal 2002, Bass et al. 2004). Intermittent hypoxia during the night may produce increased sympathetic neural activity in children with OSA and contribute to the overall elevation of BP (Marcus et al. 1998, Baharav et al. 1999, Amin et al. 2004). Increased sympathetic activity can cause neurocognitive deficits in children as a result of a variety of responses, including increased oxidative stress, systemic inflammatory responses, and endothelial dysfunction (Bhattacharjee et al. 2009, Gozal 2009).

Sleep fragmentation
Sleep disruption and fragmentation without hypoxemia is another major mechanism that may cause impairment in neurobehavioral functioning. The physiologic and behavioral effects of sleep loss have been investigated in adults, but the effects of OSA on sleep in children remain poorly understood. In adults, OSA is known to
cause sleep fragmentation due to multiple arousals. Children with OSA do not ex-
perience sleep-fragmented arousals like adults (Goh et al. 2000). The arousal in-
dex increases linearly from childhood to old age, but remains unchanged during 
adolescence (Boselli et al. 1998, Tapia et al. 2008).

years were studied. They showed that the total arousal index correlated negatively 
with neurocognitive abilities, suggesting a role for sleep fragmentation in cognitive 
dysfunction in OSA children compared with healthy children (O’Brien et al. 2004b). 
Neurobehavioral deficits in SDB children may be related to increased susceptibility 
to sleep fragmentation (O’Brien et al. 2004a) or a combination of hypoxemia and 
sleep fragmentation (Kennedy et al. 2004). Both sleep fragmentation and inter-
mittent hypoxia are believed to lead to a variety of responses, including increased 
oxidative stress and systemic inflammatory responses, and are important in the 
context of OSA to elicit neurobehavioral morbidity (Kheirandish and Gozal 2006). 

Many factors appear to affect child's daytime behavior and neurocognition, but 
independently of the currently available polysomnography markers for pediatric 
OSA. Therefore, it is necessary to provide better markers, such as those of heart 
rate variability or inflammation.

In 2007, Gozal and colleagues investigated children with OSA and compared them 
with snoring children aged 5-7 years. Polysomnography and neurocognitive studies 
were performed, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein was measured. Higher C- 
reactive protein correlated with poor cognitive performance. Also high-sensitivity 
C-reactive protein levels were higher in the OSA group than in the snoring group. 
The body of research inferred that the inflammatory responses induced by OSA 
are a major determinant of increased risk for neurocognitive dysfunction. (Gozal 
et al. 2007.)

During apnea there may be slowing of heart rate, and during arousal an acceleration 
of heart rate. Such arousals are characterized by a stimulation of the sympathetic 
nervous system. In adults, the autonomic nervous system plays a key role in medi-
ating cardiovascular changes during sleep apnea. Hemodynamic changes, including 
hypertension and cardiovascular morbidity, are the most important complications 
(Smith et al. 1998, Phillips and Somers 2003, Bassetti et al. 2006). In children with 
OSA, increased heart rate is also present (Aljadeff et al. 1997, Baharav et al. 1999), 
and after TEA both pulse rate and pulse rate variability decrease (Constantin et al. 
2008). Possibly abnormal sympaticotony during sleep in OSA children could lead 
to abnormal daily symptoms, including impaired behavior or neurocognition, but 
thus far there is no research to support this.
Quality of life
Several outcome measures have recently been published to assess quality of life (QoL) in pediatric sleep disturbance populations. Information is most commonly collected in the form of a questionnaire completed by the parent of the child. SDB appears to have a impact on health-related quality of life, as measured by both global and health-related quality of life instruments. The Child Health Questionnaire is a validated and considered instrument for measuring global QoL in children. Children with SDB have scores that are worse than normal healthy children (Stewart et al. 2000, Georgalas et al. 2004). The Child Health Questionnaire has 12 subsets that evaluate family cohesion, family activities, parental impact, general health, mental health, self-esteem, behavior, bodily pain, and social limitations caused by physical and emotional problems.

The most common QoL instruments are specific disease-specific QoL questionnaires. The disease-specific questionnaire is practical, validated, and reliable. Disease-specific QoL surveys allow for evaluation of changes in a child’s sleep disturbance and daytime symptoms after TEA. However, these forms do not seem appropriate to distinguish sleep apnea in pediatric populations (Constantin et al. 2010). Several disease-specific QoL instruments, including the Obstructive Sleep Disorders-6 (de Serres et al. 2000), Child Health Questionnaire Version PF28 (Stewart et al. 2000), and the OSA-18 (Franco et al. 2000), have been developed for children with OSA.

Improvements in QoL scores after TEA for SDB have been shown in a number of studies. These improvements were comprehensive and included all domains of QoL. (Goldstein et al. 2002, Sohn and Rosenfeld 2003, Mitchell et al. 2004a, Mitchell et al. 2004b, Mitchell and Kelly 2005, Tran et al. 2005.)

Excessive daytime sleepiness
Excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS) is the major and most frequent presenting complaint in adults with OSA. The exact prevalence of EDS in the pediatric population with OSA is unclear. Several studies have thus far examined this particular issue. Carroll et al suggested that only a small minority of these children (7%) presented with symptoms consistent with EDS (Carroll et al. 1995). However, in recent years, questionnaires that include more specific questions on behaviors associated with EDS indicate that the frequency of EDS among OSA children may be much higher, up to 43% (Melendres et al. 2004, Chervin et al. 2006). Also, daytime napping is more prevalent in snoring children than in non-snorers (Wasilewska et al. 2009).
Night-time symptoms
SDB is associated with several sleep problems, including sleep-onset delay, increased need for comforting activities to fall asleep, bedtime problems, night-awakenings, sleep-talking, teeth-grinding, nightmares, sleep-wake transition, and sleep hyperhidrosis (Ferreira et al. 2000, Eitner et al. 2007, Sans Capdevila et al. 2008).

The Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children (SDSC) was developed by Bruni et al (Bruni et al. 1996). The scale contains 26 items, e.g. ‘The child goes to bed reluctantly’ or ‘The child has difficulty in breathing during the night’. These are rated on a Likert-type scale (1, never; 2, occasionally [once or twice or less/month]; 3, sometimes [once to twice/week]; 4, often [three to five times/week]; 5, always [daily]). Based on their research, Bruni et al. (Bruni et al. 1996) presented a total disturbance scale and six subscales reflecting different types of common sleep problems.

Neurocognition, behavior, and school performance
Associations between sleep problems, psychiatric symptoms, disorders and cognitive functions have been increasingly recognized in child populations (Blunden et al. 2000, Beebe et al. 2004, Kennedy et al. 2004, O’Brien et al. 2004a). In children, the associations between childhood SDB and behavioral problems, including hyperactivity, inattention, mood, aggression, and delinquent behavior (O’Brien et al. 2004a, Rosen et al. 2004, Aronen et al. 2009), as well as the associations between SDB and decreased performance in neurocognitive tasks measuring attention, intellectual development, and memory (Gottlieb et al. 2004, Halbower et al. 2006) have been the main findings.

The Child Behavioural Checklist (CBCL) (Achenbach and Rescorla 2000) is a widely used parental questionnaire for the evaluation of childhood psychiatric symptoms. The checklist includes 99 problem items rated on a three-point scale with response alternatives 0 for not true, 1 for somewhat true or sometimes true, and 2 for very true or often true. In item 100, the respondent can add any problems not previously listed. The questionnaires are scored using the Achenbach scoring program, which gives an empiric-based broad-band symptom scale for total problems, and separately for internalizing and externalizing problems. The narrow-band symptoms scales for Emotionally Reactive, Anxious/Depressed, Somatic Complaints, and Withdrawal are included in the internalizing broad-band scale; Attention and Problems and Aggressive Behavior are included in the externalizing broad-band scale. Items reflecting sleep problems are usually not included in either internalizing or externalizing scales.
The Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence, revised (WPPSI-R) (Wechsler 1989) is used to evaluate children’s cognitive capacity. Verbal Intelligence Quotient (VIQ) and Performance Intelligence Quotient (PIQ) are each estimated on the basis of four subscales from the verbal scale, these being the information, arithmetic, similarities, and sentences subscales, and the object assembly, block design, picture completion, and animal pegs subscales, respectively. VIQ, PIQ, and Full-Scale Intelligence Quotient (FSIQ) were compiled. These measures have a mean of 100 (SD 15) in the normal population.

NEPSY-A Developmental Neuropsychological Assessment (NEPSY) was designed to be a neuropsychological assessment tool for 3- to 12-year-old children and is used to evaluate more specific neuropsychological functions (Korkman et al.) (Table 2). The Finnish version of the NEPSY was standardized in Finland simultaneously with the U.S. standardization. It is equivalent to the American version and its validity has been demonstrated in several studies, as reported in the NEPSY manual. It consists of 27 subtests that assess neuropsychological functions in five domains: attention and executive functions, language functions, sensorimotor functions, visuospatial processing, and memory and learning. Subtests are usually chosen to provide age-appropriate measures for attention, language skills, sensorimotor functions, and memory and learning. The subtests have a mean score of 10 (SD 3) in the normal population.

O’Brien et al compared snoring children with non-snoring children; 118 children aged 5-7 years underwent overnight PSG at hospital. Neurobehavioral tests were performed. Children with suspected OSA were excluded. Snoring children performed worse on measures related to attention, social problems, and anxious/depressive symptoms. In addition, overall cognitive abilities, certain language and visuospatial functions were lower for the snoring group than for the controls (O’Brien et al. 2004a).

In a recent study, 78 children with SDB and 26 control children without SDB were evaluated with overnight PSG, pediatric sleep questionnaire, and neuropsychological test. The results revealed greater difficulties in cognitive measurements for SDB children compared with controls. Somewhat surprisingly, the divergences were larger in children without OSA than in children with OSA relative to controls. (Gior-dani et al. 2008.) In fact, according to reports, daytime sleepiness, hyperactivity, and aggressive behaviors can also develop, albeit to a lesser extent, in children who habitually snore but in the absence of OSA (Blunden et al. 2000).
Table 2. NEPSY-A Developmental Neuropsychological Assessment (NEPSY) - subtests descriptions by domains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attention and Executive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functions</td>
<td><strong>Auditory attention</strong> The subtest was constructed to assess the child's ability to maintain vigilance and selective auditory attention. The child is to respond to the word “red” out of a set of words presented on an audiotape that lasts three minutes. The child is instructed as follows: When you hear the word <em>Red</em>, put a red square in the box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Visual attention</strong> The subtest was constructed to assess the child's ability to scan and locate targets presented on a sheet with figures as quickly and as accurately as possible, by marking them with a red pencil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Statue</strong> The subtest is designed to assess motor persistence and inhibition. The child is asked to maintain a body position with eyes closed during a 75-second period and to inhibit the impulse to respond to sound distracters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Functions</td>
<td><strong>Speeded naming</strong> The child is to name visual stimuli presented on a sheet as quickly as possible. Items are named by size, color, and shape.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Comprehension of instructions</strong> The subtest assesses the ability to process and respond to verbal instructions of increasing complexity. The child points to figures on a sheet according to instructions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Body Part Naming</strong> This subtest is designed to assess confrontation naming and name recognition, basic components of expressive and receptive language. For naming items, the child names the parts of the body on a figure of a child or on his or her own body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensorimotor Functions</td>
<td><strong>Visuomotor Precision</strong> The subtest assesses fine motor skills and hand-eye coordination by having the child draw a line inside a curvilinear track as quickly and as precisely as possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory and Learning</td>
<td><strong>Memory for Faces</strong> The child is shown a series of faces and is asked to identify gender. The child is then shown a series of three-face arrays, from which to select the faces shown previously. The recognition procedure is repeated after a delay of 30 minutes, with new distracters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Memory for Names</strong> The names of six children, shown in line drawings, are learned and reproduced by the child over three trials. The child is to name the children again after 30 minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Narrative Memory</strong> The child listens to a story and is then asked to repeat the story. The child is then asked questions to elicit missing details from his or her recall of the story.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2001 Gozal and Pope studied children aged 13-14 years. The questionnaire was sent to students who ranked either in the top 25% or in the bottom 25% of their class. The survey included items about the frequency and loudness of previous snoring in childhood. The results revealed that those with lower academic performance are more likely to have snored during childhood. (Gozal and Pope 2001.) Urschitz et al reported similar results for 9-year-old schoolchildren (Urschitz et al. 2003a).

In the large population-based study of Calhoun et al (2009), 571 school-aged children were investigated with full-night PSG and comprehensive neuropsycho-
logical tests. Children with mild SDB showed no neuropsychological impairment relative to children without SDB. The findings suggest that children with mild SDB are not at risk for neuropsychological impairment. The coexistence of mild SDB with any neuropsychological impairment should be considered co morbid and not causal (Calhoun et al. 2009). Noteworthy in this study that children who had sleep apnea were few (AHI>5, six children); however, the diagnosis of sleep apnea was based on AHI. It has previously been shown that even a simple snoring can cause attenuation in neuropsychological tests. This raises the possibility that the AHI is a poor indicator. In the future, a measurement other than AHI or OAHI may be considered to be more useful.

Somatic growth
In children with OSA may have a failure to thrive (Brouillette et al. 1982, Everett et al. 1987), whereas some studies show no growth retardation in children with OSA (Niemin nen et al. 2002). Potential mechanisms implicated in growth deceleration include dysphagia resulting from adenotonsillar hypertrophy (Stradling et al. 1990), reduced systemic levels of insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) with disruption of growth hormone cumulative pulsatile circadian release (Waters et al. 1996, Bar et al. 1999, Nieminen et al. 2002) or increased energy expenditure during sleep as a consequence of increased breathing effort during sleep (Marcus et al. 1994). Also children undergoing TEA for SDB seems to have lower iron stores than children in the normal pediatric population (Kerstein et al. 2009), which may cause growth retardation.

However, there have been reports of catch-up growth after TEA among normal and underweight children (Stradling et al. 1990, Ersoy et al. 2005), unlike obese children with OSA, who demonstrate accelerations in weight gain after treatment of OSA (Soultan et al. 1999).

Cardiovascular consequences
Previous studies in children have suggested a positive association between OSA and elevated systemic BP (Marcus et al. 1998, Li et al. 2008, Li et al. 2009). Studies comparing BP in children with and without habitual snoring have reported conflicting results. In a study using a community-based sample, Kaditis et al (2005) found no relationship between BP and snoring (Kaditis et al. 2005). By contrast, the study of Kwok et all (2003) among snorers and age-, sex-, and body size-matched controls found increased systolic, diastolic, and mean BP in habitually snoring children (Kwok et al. 2003). In addition, a study with PSG and ambulatory BP measurement among non-obese children showed increasing daytime and nighttime BP across the severity spectrum of SDB in snorers and OSA children.
relative to healthy controls (Li et al. 2009). Disruption of sleep and gas exchange may thus induce alterations in vasomotor tone, leading to vascular remodeling and inducing cardiovascular morbidity.

Hypertension is a well-recognized mechanism underlying remodeling of the myocardium and generation of left ventricular hypertrophy, dysfunction, and cor pulmonale (Amin et al. 2005). OSA remolds the right ventricle, resulting in right ventricle systolic and diastolic dysfunction (Tavil et al. 2007). If left untreated, OSA may lead to cor pulmonale (Brouillette et al. 1982).

Management

In the early stages of a child’s life, sleep is the predominant activity. The smaller the child, the more important undisturbed sleep. Disturbed night sleep causes problems in child growth and development. Children’s sleep disturbances occur differently than those in adults. Physicians are not always aware of a child’s sleep disorders or may have insufficient knowledge about adequate investigations and treatments.

Habitual snoring tends to persist during adolescence if no intervention is undertaken to modify its natural history (Sanchez-Armengol et al. 2008). By contrast, in children aged 9–13 years a decrease up to 50-65% occurred in the prevalence of habitual snorers by the end of the follow-up without any treatment (Urschitz et al. 2004b, Anuntaseree et al. 2005). In these studies, no PSG measurements were taken.

In a longitudinal study, children with an average age of nine years (n=14), with PSG-confirmed mild OSA without any therapeutic intervention were re-examined after six years. The mean OAHI dropped from 7.5 to 2.5 (P < .0001). OAHI dropped in all but three children (79%). On the contrary, the body mass index (BMI) percentile was higher in almost 80% of children after six years. The main finding was that in most of the children apnea symptoms disappear as the child grows, despite a substantial increase in BMI. The authors speculated that the decrease in OAHI is related to somatic growth of the pharynx, coupled with regression of tonsillar tissue with age. (Rice et al. 2010.)

Nonsurgical management

It is generally accepted that corticosteroids may have some limited useful in the management of pediatric OSA (Marcus 1997). Demain and Goetz showed that topi-
cal nasal corticosteroids over a 24-week treatment reduced adenoidal size and improved symptoms of nasal airway obstruction (Demain and Goetz 1995). However, these investigators did not evaluate the efficacy for OSA. Topical nasal steroids most likely affect the anatomic component by decreasing inspiratory upper airway resistance at the nasal level, in allergic and non-allergic children or at the adenoidal (Demain and Goetz 1995) or tonsillar (Brouillette et al. 2001) level in hypertrophied patients.

The recently reported increase in the expression of glucocorticoid receptors in upper airway lymphoid tissues would globally predict favorable outcomes when using intranasal corticosteroids in pediatric OSA (Goldbart et al. 2005b). In children with mild obstruction, topical corticosteroid treatment may prove to be the treatment of choice (Kheirandish-Gozal and Gozal 2008).

In a randomized, triple-blind, placebo-controlled study showed that topical corticosteroids may be helpful in ameliorating pediatric obstructive sleep apnea (Brouillette et al. 2001). PSG, clinical, and radiographic assessments were performed at baseline and after 6 weeks on fluticasone or placebo treatment. All children had baseline OAHI >1 and adenoidal hypertrophy assessed on radiography. The authors found that a 6-week treatment of nasal fluticasone decreased the severity of pediatric OSA, as demonstrated by reduced frequencies of obstructive airway events (mean OAHI from 10 to 5.0/h). (Brouillette et al. 2001.)

Oral therapy with a leukotriene modifier appears to be associated with improved breathing during sleep. Goldbart et al studied 24 snoring children with mild OSA (OAHI 1-5/h). Treatment included daily montelukast 4-5 mg for 16 weeks. Sixteen children with mild OSA but receiving no treatment served as controls. After treatment, the adenoidal/nasopharyngeal ratio decreased from 0.76 to 0.56 (P < .001). OAHI decreased with treatment from 3.0/h to 2.0/h, P = .02). No adverse drug reactions were reported throughout the study. By contrast, children who received no therapy displayed no changes in any of the anatomic polysomnographic measures during the 16-week period. (Goldbart et al. 2005a.) In addition, children with residual SDB who have already undergone TEA for treatment of OSA would seem to benefit from montelukast and intranasal budesonide compared with children without any further medical treatment (Kheirandish et al. 2006). The downside to these therapies are the unknown long-term duration of response or the length of medical therapy required, and randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials are needed to confirm findings.
Among overweight children, aerobic exercise may also be effective in improving snoring, and aerobic exercise programs may be valuable for prevention and treatment of SDB in overweight children (Davis et al. 2006). In addition, weight loss seems to be effective in obese teenagers suffering from SDB (Verhulst et al. 2009).

Several reports have highlighted the ability to use continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) in children and infants with SDB (Waters et al. 1995, Downey et al. 2000, Harrington et al. 2003), especially in children with gross craniofacial anomalies (e.g. Pierre Robin sequence, Treacher-Collins syndrome), Down syndrome, morbid obesity, or upper airway muscle weakness (e.g., cerebral palsy). Hence, CPAP increasingly replaces surgery and tracheotomy in children with severe SDB. The remaining problems are related to the lack of optimal equipment for young children and frequent difficulties with regard to initial adaptation to the nasal mask and compliance with treatment. Furthermore, CPAP can be used in children with severe SDB or persisting SDB after TEA.

A palatal expander, also known as a rapid palatal expander, RME appliance or palate expander is an orthodontic procedure that uses a fixed appliance with an expansion screw anchored on selected teeth, usually to first molars or permanent molars in the upper jaw. The developmental impact of abnormal nasal resistance related to septal deviation early in life, is abnormal maxillary development. The goal of RME is to increase the transversal diameter of the hard palate and, thus, improve nasal airflow. Radiographs indicate that RME moves nasal and palatal bones. The total expansion effect consists of a downward and forward movement of the maxillary complex with a resulting increase in the nasal canal and an improvement in nasal airflow. The device is usually kept in place for 6-12 months. RME improves nasal resistances and cross-sectional areas in children (Bicakci et al. 2005, Compadretti et al. 2006, Enoki et al. 2006, Doruk et al. 2007).

Pirelli et al (2004) studied 31 children with RME with a mean age of 8.7 years. Inclusion criteria were the absence of adenotonsillar hypertrophy, presence of malocclusion, oral breathing, and OSA based on PSG monitoring. After 6-12 months of RME therapy, nasal resistances had decreased and PSG indicated an AHI <1 in all cases, with mean OAHI from 12.2/h to 0.4/h. None of the children presented with any problems with RME. (Pirelli et al. 2004.) The study of Villa et al (2007) in 14 OSA children with a mean age of 6.6 years also showed after 12 months of REM therapy resolution in sleep parameters and obstructive episodes of apnea indices, from 3.1 to 0.9/h. In these children, orthodontic assessment detected the presence of jaw deviation from normal occlusion; i.e. deep bite, retrusive bite, and cross-bite. 40% of children had a tonsillar size of 3-4 on the Brodsky scale. (Villa
et al. 2007.) RME seems to be effective in SDB children with malocclusion and/or the presence of nasal disturbances, i.e. septal deformities.

Surgical management
TEA is an effective treatment for snoring and obstructive sleep apnea; snoring resolves after adenoidectomy or TEA in 60-90% of children (Bahadir et al. 2006, Joshua et al. 2006, Arrarte et al. 2007). The prospective study of Mitchell et al (2007) in children aged 3-14 aged showed, that TEA was effective for 90% of children. All children with mild OSA, were cured after TEA, while 12-36% of children with moderate or severe OSA had persistent OSA in PSG after TEA (Mitchell 2007). In the study of Tauman (2006), complete normalization after TEA occurred in 25% of children with OSA and 29% of children had persistent OSA (OAHI >5/h) after treatment (Tauman et al. 2006). Guilleminault et al (2007) reported that almost 48% of children still had persistent abnormal sleep recording after surgery. They concluded that TEA may not resolve obstructive sleep apnea in children. In multivariate analysis, they showed that Mallampati scale scores of 3 and 4, retropositioning of the mandible, enlargement of nasal turbinates, and deviated septum were associated with a greater risk for persistent OSA in sleep studies. (Guilleminault et al. 2007.)

In obese children, the TEA is effective in less than 40% of children, and most obese patients still have residual OSA requiring further treatment after TEA (Shine et al. 2006, Tauman et al. 2006).

Tonsillotomy (TT) has been advocated in the last few years as an effective and safer method than tonsillectomy for treating airway obstruction. In TT, the tonsillar capsule and some tonsillar tissue are left during surgery into the tonsillar pit, preventing injury and inflammation. This results in less post-operative pain and a more rapid recovery (Hultcrantz et al. 1999). Since the post-operative recovery is often painful and tonsillar surgery is associated with a reasonably high risk of postoperative bleeding, TT has been studied as an alternative surgical treatment to the traditional tonsil surgery in snoring and OSA children (de la Chaux et al. 2008). The long-term success of TT is unclear, but some evidence shows that snoring may recur more frequently in children who have undergone TT compared with those receiving tonsillectomy (Vlastos et al. 2008). At least in children who do not have recurrent tonsillitis, snoring can be treated with TT.
A small jaw pushes the tongue into the back of the throat, causing blockage of breathing. Mandibular distraction is a method used to increase the length of the jaw bone in congenital micrognathia or midface hypoplasia in children (Bouchard et al. 2009). Most children treated with mandibular distraction have either unilateral or bilateral mandibular hypoplasia due to hemifacial microsomia, other syndromic disorders, or the Pierre Robin syndrome. Children with upper airway obstruction from craniofacial anomalies and mandibular hypoplasia treated with mandibular distraction, demonstrated clinical improvement of obstructive sleep apnea (Lin et al. 2006).

Tracheostomy is indicated for severe and chronic airway obstruction. However, tracheostomy is not without risks. Long-standing tracheostomy produces an increased risk for tracheal granuloma, stomal stenosis, and chronic bronchitis (Conway et al. 1981, Zeitouni and Manoukian 1993). Decreased QoL, intellectual and physical impairments, compromised social interactions, and requirements for complex nursing care and parental education have also been demonstrated (Puhakka et al. 1992, Cohen et al. 1998). Historically, tracheostomy was the only safe, long-term treatment option for infants.
AIMS OF THE STUDY

The purpose of this study was to assess snoring and sleep apnea in children in Finland.

Specific aims were:

1) To evaluate the prevalence of snoring in Finnish preschool-aged children

2) To investigate host and environmental risk factors for snoring and sleep apnea in children

3) To determine divergences in rhinometric and cephalometric measurements between healthy children and children with snoring and SDB

4) To evaluate neurobehavioral and neurocognitive symptoms in children with snoring and SDB

5) To test the feasibility of acoustic rhinometry in young children
SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects

All studies were prospectively conducted in 2005-2008. A flow chart of the children's examinations is presented in Figure 2.

In the epidemiology part (I) of the study, the prevalence and risk factors of snoring were evaluated by questionnaire. A sample of 2100 children aged 1-6 years and born between September 1998 and August 2005 was randomly picked from the Population Register Center, Helsinki. The sampling represented 7% of all children living in Helsinki. All children aged 3-6 years who were reported by their parents to snore always, often, or sometimes were invited to participate in the clinical study (II-IV) and were categorized as snorers. Non-snoring children whose parents expressed their willingness to participate in the clinical part of the study were also invited to serve as controls. Based on the questionnaire in Study I, a total of 97 children participated in the clinical part of the study.

For Study V, 26 children aged 1-6 years were recruited via voluntary parents of employees of the Department of Otorhinolaryngology. All of these healthy children were investigated with acoustic rhinometry.
Subjects and methods

Sample of 2100 1-6 years old children
Questionnaire
1471 (71%) replied

Epidemiology study (I)

92 (6.3%) always or frequently snoring children
183 (12.4%) sometimes snoring children
1196 (81.3%) never or occasionally snoring children

Clinical Studies (II-IV)

194 3-6 years old snoring children were invited to participate in the clinical studies
236 3-6 years old non-snoring children whose parents expressed their willingness to participate were invited

149 (76%) not willing to participate testing
184 (78%) not willing to participate as controls (non-snorers)

45 snoring children participated
52 non-snoring children participated

one child (Down syndrome) excluded
one child discontinued

44 snoring children for further testing:
41 polysomnographies
- 4 failures
43 neurobehavioral tests
- 1 unable to perform verbal testing
44 rhinomanometries
- 3 refused, 1 lost data
44 acoustic rhinometries
- 4 refused, 4 poor qualities
27 cephalometries
- 5 poor qualities (lateral cephalometry)
- 8 poor qualities (posteroanterior)

51 non-snoring children for further testing:
50 polysomnographies
- 2 failures
46 neurobehavioral tests
- 1 failure
51 rhinomanometries
- 7 refused, 1 poor quality
51 acoustic rhinometries
- 2 refused, 5 poor qualities
29 cephalometries
- 4 poor qualities (lateral cephalometry)
- 3 poor qualities (posteroanterior)

Figure 2. Flow chart of the children who participated in Studies I-IV.
Methods

Study I
The epidemiology and risk factors of snoring and sleep problems were evaluated by a parental questionnaire describing the sleep of their children (Appendix A). The frequency of snoring was identified based on parents’ response to the item “How often does your child snore?”. Symptoms during the past 6 months were described. Children were classified as snorers if they were reported to snore always, often, or sometimes (scores 3-5) or as non-snorers if the answer was occasionally or never (scores 1 and 2). History of allergic rhinitis was assessed with a question "Does your child have allergic rhinitis?". Respiratory infections were assessed with the question "Does your child have upper respiratory infection (URI) episodes?" (common colds, cough, or sore throat during the last 6 months). A response of often or always (scores 4 and 5) was defined as having recurrent URI. The number of acute otitis media episodes was determined with the options none, one, two, three, or over four during the last 6 months. Children having four or more episodes of acute otitis media during the past 6 months were classified as having recurrent otitis media. Child’s age, height, weight, and previous surgeries were recorded.

All children reported by their parents to snore always, often, or sometimes were invited to participate in the clinical study. Non-snoring children whose parents expressed their willingness to participate in the clinical part of the study were also invited to serve as controls (Studies II-IV).

Study II
The Finnish version of the WPPSI-R was used to evaluate children’s cognitive capacity, and NEPSY was used to evaluate more specific neuropsychological functions. The assessment took 2-2.5 h, and the examiner was blind to the subject’s group status. During the assessment the parent completed the CBCL questionnaire in a separate room.

Helsinki city socioeconomic status classification was used. Socioeconomic class I includes persons with an academic degree, business managers, and professionals (engineers, teachers, doctors, lawyers); class II includes administrative personnel, owners of small businesses, and minor professionals (graduate nurses, photographers, merchants); class III includes skilled manual employees (laboratory assistants, children’s nurses, shop assistants); class IV includes unskilled employees (trainees, domestic maids, office messengers). The highest socioeconomic class of the parents was used to describe the family’s socioeconomic status.
Studies III and IV
All snoring and non-snoring children underwent a clinical ear-nose-throat examination. The clinical status included the examination of the ears and mouth as well as anterior and posterior rhinoscopy. The tonsils were graded according to Brodsky et al (Brodsky 1989). Child’s age, height, and weight were recorded, and BMI z-scores were calculated using an online BMI z-score calculator provided by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Measurement of nasal resistance was performed with active anterior rhinomanometry (Figure 3). Inspiratory nasal resistance at radius 200 was calculated according to the method of Broms (1982), and total nasal resistance was calculated from the left- and right-side recordings (Broms et al. 1982). The measurements were performed according to recommendations of the Standardization Committee on Objective Assessment of the Nasal Airway (Clement 1984, Clement et al. 2005). Logarithmic transformation of rhinomanometric data in the statistical analysis was used.

![Figure 3. Rhinometry in a 5-year-old boy.](image)

Skin prick tests (SPT) included the following 10 common aeroallergens related to allergic symptoms in the Helsinki area: birch, timothy grass, common alder, meadow fescue, mugwort, dander of cat, dog, and domestic guinea pig, and Dermatophagoides farinae and pteronyssinus. A positive SPT was defined as a wheal of at least 3 mm, when control solutions gave the expected results (European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology 1989). Atopy was defined as the presence of at least one positive SPT.
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A standard overnight multichannel ambulatory PSG (Siesta Compumedics®, Australia) was performed at home. The child had to be free of infection, i.e. no URIs over the last 2 weeks.

PSG included EEG, EOG, submental and diaphragmatic EMG, ECG, airflow (pressure transducer), respiratory bands, SpO2, and body motion. The transducers and lead wires allowed normal positional changes during sleep (Figure 4).

Each signal was manually scored in 30-s epochs, and respiratory parameters obstructive apnea/hypopnea indices (OAHI/AHI), sleep architecture, and arousals from sleep were analyzed using standard pediatric criteria (Iber et al. 2007, Montgomery-Downs et al 2006, Verhulst et al 2007). An obstructive apnea episode was defined as complete cessation of the oronasal airflow lasting >2 respiratory cycles as detected by the nasal pressure transducer and the presence of continuous breathing efforts in the submental and diaphragmatic EMG. Hypopnea was defined as a reduction of at least 50% in the airflow signal, flattened airflow shape followed by either respiratory arousal, EEG arousal, or SpO2 desaturation of more than 2%. Central apnea was defined as cessation of the airflow in the absence of breathing efforts. Mean SpO2, as measured by pulse oximetry (SpO2), together with SpO2 nadir were determined.

Figure 4. Overnight polysomnography measurement in a 3-year-old boy at home.
ODI was defined as the average number of oxyhemoglobin desaturations of 2% (ODI2) or 4% (ODI4), below the baseline level, per hour. Respiratory effort was measured from submental and diaphragmatic EMG with a 3-point scale: 1; normal, 2; mildly increased, 3; clearly increased. Oronasal airflow flattening was also evaluated on a 3-point scale: 1; normal, 2; mild flattening, 3; severe flattening.

Cephalometry
Standardized lateral and posteroanterior cephalometric radiographs were taken in a cephalostat (Cranex C, Soredex Co., Tuusula, Finland), with children in an upright position and using a Frankfort horizontal line parallel to the floor (Kawashima et al. 2003). The landmarks identified from lateral and posteroanterior cephalograms were digitized and recorded in the computer software program, after which variables describing nasopharyngeal dimension were calculated (Viewbox 3.1, Cephalometric Software; dHal Software, Kifissia, Greece).

Table 3. Angular (degrees) and linear (millimeters) variables related to both craniofacial skeletal and soft tissue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cranial base dimensions</th>
<th>S-N</th>
<th>Anterior cranial base length (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S-Ba</td>
<td>Posterior cranial base length (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSBa</td>
<td>Cranial base angle between S-N and and S-Ba (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary dimensions and relationships</td>
<td>PNS-ANS</td>
<td>Palatal length, distance from anterior nasal spine to posterior nasal spine (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANS-Me</td>
<td>Lower anterior facial length, distance from ANS to mandibular menton (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>Angle from sella to the nasion to the suprarnental point (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular dimensions and relationships</td>
<td>Cd-Gn</td>
<td>Mandibular length of base, distance between condylion and gnathion points (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNB</td>
<td>Angle from sella to the nasion to the suprarnental point (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SN/MP</td>
<td>Facial divergence, angle determined by S-N and mandibular plane (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharyngeal airway</td>
<td>S-PNS</td>
<td>Posterior midfacial length, distance between sella and posterior nasal spine (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA-PNS</td>
<td>Bony oropharynx, distance between anterior atlas and PNS (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PNS-ad1</td>
<td>Distance between posterior nasal spine and posterior pharyngeal wall measured along the line from PNS to basion (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PNS-ad2</td>
<td>Distance between PNS to posterior pharyngeal wall measured along the line from PNS perpendicular to sella basion line (mm)(S-BA) line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-p2</td>
<td>Minimal distance from the tip of the uvula to the posterior pharyngeal wall (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PNS-p</td>
<td>Distance between PNS and P; the most inferior tip of the soft palate (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAS-PAS</td>
<td>Thickness of posterior airway space measured on a line from supramentale (B) to the most inferior point at the angle of mandible (GOinf) (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vel-VE2</td>
<td>Minimal distance from velum to posterior pharyngeal wall (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ph1-Ph2</td>
<td>Minimal distance between base of the tongue and posterior pharyngeal wall (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior-anterior cephalometry</td>
<td>lo-lo</td>
<td>Latero-orbitale distance (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>um-um</td>
<td>Upper first molar width (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lap-lap</td>
<td>Internasal distance (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>im-im</td>
<td>Lower first molar width (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ag-ag</td>
<td>Mandibular width (mm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The radiographic magnification of 10% was corrected. The effect of growth was taken into account in the statistical comparisons by dividing nasopharyngeal measurements with distance from the sella to the nasion (S-N) in lateral cephalogram measurements and lo-lo (latero-orbitale) distance dimension in anteroposterior measurements (Kirjavainen and Kirjavainen 2003).

Study V
Measurement of nasal volume with acoustic rhinometry was performed on 26 healthy children. The measurement was performed at a minimum of 15 min after arrival, following a period of acclimatization to the test room temperature and humidity according to the current recommendations (Hilberg and Pedersen 2000) before and 20 min after decongestion (xylometazolin 0.5mg/ml, one spray, 0.07 ml per nostril). Measurements were performed in a seated position and after at least a 2-week period without symptoms of upper airway infection. ARM volumes were measured at distances of 0-5 cm (VOL 0-5) and 1-4 cm (VOL 1-4) from the nostrils, and the minimal nasal cross-sectional area (MCA, cm²) and its distance (DCA, cm) from the nostrils were recorded. The success of obtaining this measurement among young children and the normal values for healthy children of this age group were studied (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Measurement with acoustic rhinometry in a 5-year-old boy.
Statistical methods

The analyses were conducted with statistical software (NCSS and PASS 2004; Number Cruncher Statistical Systems, Kaysville, UT). Group contrasts for continuous variables were subjected to Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test. For contrasts of dichotomous variables, such as nonclinical range of internalizing symptoms/borderline and clinical range of internalizing symptoms in snoring and non-snoring groups, Chi-Square or Fisher’s exact test values were computed. All probabilities are reported as two-tailed. Spearman rank correlation coefficients served to evaluate behavioral symptoms and neurocognitive impairment.

In Study II logistic regression analysis was used to control for the effects of socioeconomic status, age, gender, and verbal IQ while investigating the association between snoring and the number of internalizing symptoms. For internalizing scale symptoms, a cut-off of 60 T-points was used to form the nonclinical group and the borderline/clinical group of children.

P-values of less than .05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

Ethics

The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee of Helsinki University Central Hospital. Parents signed a written informed consent and oral consent was obtained from the children. All studies were performed under the Declaration of Helsinki and good clinical practices.
RESULTS

Epidemiology of snoring (I)

In the epidemiology study, the age range of the study subjects was 12-84 (median 46) months. Boys comprised 719 subjects (48.1%). Children who always or often snored accounted for 6.3%, children who sometimes snored for 12.4%, and children who never or occasionally snored for 81.3% of all subjects. No differences were apparent between snorers and non-snorers regarding age or gender. Snoring children were heavier (P = .02) and longer (P = .01) than did non-snorers. The number of adenoidectomies (P < .001) and tympanostomies (P < .001) were higher in the snoring group than in the non-snoring group. Allergic rhinitis (P < .001), recurrent URIs (P < .001), and otitis media (P < .001) were more common among snorers. Smoking of either or both parents was reported in 32.5% of families. Among snoring children, parental smoking, especially maternal smoking (P < .01), was more common than among non-snorers. Parental snoring was higher in the snoring group than in the non-snoring group (Table 4).

Table 4. Demographic and epidemiologic data for 275 snoring (at least once a week) and 1196 non-snoring (never or less than once a week) children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Snorers (n = 275)</th>
<th>Non-snorers (n = 1196)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>141 (51)</td>
<td>578 (48)</td>
<td>.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (months, median)</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median height, (m, SD)</td>
<td>1.05 (±0.14)</td>
<td>1.02 (±0.14)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median weight, (kg, SD)</td>
<td>17.0 (±4.9)</td>
<td>16.0 (±4.7)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoidectomy</td>
<td>63 (24)</td>
<td>151 (13)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tympanostomy</td>
<td>61 (22)</td>
<td>154 (13)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonsillectomy</td>
<td>16 (6)</td>
<td>35 (3)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic rhinitis</td>
<td>65 (24)</td>
<td>171 (14)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent respiratory infections(^a)</td>
<td>58 (21)</td>
<td>109 (9)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute otitis media(^b)</td>
<td>105 (38)</td>
<td>307(26)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snoring(^c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mother</td>
<td>103 (37)</td>
<td>235 (20)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>father</td>
<td>201 (73)</td>
<td>701 (59)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mother</td>
<td>27 (14)</td>
<td>71 (8)</td>
<td>&lt; .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>father</td>
<td>42 (20)</td>
<td>202 (20)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>both</td>
<td>40 (20)</td>
<td>96 (10)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as number of children/parents (%), unless otherwise noted

\(^a\)Upper airway infections > 5 episodes in the previous 6 months

\(^b\)Four or more episodes of acute otitis media in the previous 6 months

\(^c\)Parents snoring sometimes, often, or always
Parental smoking, rhinitis and nasal resistance in children (III)

The smoking group included 35 children, with 19 girls (54%), and the non-smoking group included 60 children, with 28 girls (47%). Children in non-smoking families were younger than those in smoking families (71 vs. 63 months, \( P = .01 \)). Age was a confounding factor in comparison of these groups only in the number of adenoidectomies \( (P = .04) \). No differences between groups were found for gender.

Perennial rhinitis was more common in children with a smoking parent (37%) than in children without a smoker in the household (15%) (adjusted OR 2.76). Smoking in the family was not associated with increased nasal resistance \( (P = .18) \).

Atopy appeared in 35% of the children, but was not associated with smoking in the family (adjusted OR 0.31). Neither tonsil size (adjusted OR 0.88), number of adenoidectomies (adjusted OR 0.76), nor number of tonsillectomies (adjusted OR 1.09) was higher in children with smoking parents. Cephalometric measurements reflecting the width of the nasopharyngeal airway at the level of the adenoidal tissue were not associated with smoking in the family.

Risk factors and consequences of snoring and sleep apnea (II and IV)

Neurobehavioral and cognitive symptoms were investigated among snorers and non-snorers in Studies II and IV. No differences between groups were found for gender or age. The median BMI z-score was 0.7 (SD 1.3) in the snoring group and -0.2 (SD 1.1) in the non-snoring group \( (P = .45) \). Recurrent URIs were more common among the snoring than non-snoring children \( (27\% \text{ vs. } 11\%, P = .01) \). One snoring child had chronic illness (migraine). In the non-snoring group one child had von Willebrand disease, one hypothyroidism (euthyroid after medication), one child had undergone heart operation and one suffered from constipation. Subjects' characteristics and examination distributions of variables in snoring and non-snoring children are seen in Table 5.
Table 5. Children characteristics and examination distributions of variables in snoring and non-snoring children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Snorers</th>
<th>Non-snorers</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median, years</td>
<td>5.3 (1.6)</td>
<td>5.2 (1.2)</td>
<td>.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/male</td>
<td>23/21</td>
<td>24/27</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of snoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>never</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sporadically (1-2 nights a month)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sometimes (1-2 times a week)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>often (3-5 times a week)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>always</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, median, m</td>
<td>1.15 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.1)</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, median, kg</td>
<td>20.7 (4.7)</td>
<td>20.0 (4.1)</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, median, Z score</td>
<td>0.7 (1.3)</td>
<td>-0.2 (1.1)</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brodsky score 3-4a</td>
<td>18 (18.4%)</td>
<td>12 (12.2%)</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent upper airway infectionsb</td>
<td>12 (26.7%)</td>
<td>6 (11.3%)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonsillectomy</td>
<td>2 (4.4%)</td>
<td>2 (3.8%)</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoidectomy</td>
<td>15 (33.3%)</td>
<td>12 (22.6%)</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as number of children (SD), or (%), unless otherwise noted

aTonsillar size 3-4, scored by Brodsky scale (Brodsky 1989)

bUpper airway infections > 5 episodes in the previous 6 months

Psychiatric symptoms were more frequent in the snoring group than in the non-snoring group. Internalizing symptoms, in particular, were more prevalent in the snoring group. Of the narrow-band subscale of the CBCL internalizing symptoms, the Anxious/Depressed (P = .04) subscale scores were higher in snoring children than in non-snoring children. Externalizing symptoms were no more frequent in snorers than in non-snorers.

The two groups did not differ in IQ scores. In the NEPSY subtests, a divergence between the groups was found in language function: Comprehension of instructions (P = .01), Speeded naming (P < .01), and attention test, Statue (P = .03). Sensorimotor functions tests and memory and learning tests revealed no differences between the groups (Table 6).
Table 6. Behavioral and cognitive symptoms in snoring and non-snoring children according to the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL), Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence (WPPSI-R), and NEPSY-A Developmental Neuropsychological Assessment (NEPSY).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All (n = 42)</th>
<th>Snoring (n = 42)</th>
<th>Non-snoring (n = 45)</th>
<th>95% CI of the mean difference</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CBCL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Problems</td>
<td>47.3 (10.3)</td>
<td>50.0 (10.6)</td>
<td>45.1 (9.5)</td>
<td>(-9.2, -0.6)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internalizing Problems</td>
<td>47.9 (10.9)</td>
<td>50.7 (10.8)</td>
<td>45.6 (10.6)</td>
<td>(-9.4, -0.3)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxious or Depressed</td>
<td>52.3 (4.3)</td>
<td>53.5 (5.3)</td>
<td>51.6 (3.3)</td>
<td>(-3.5, 0.1)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic Complaints</td>
<td>56.2 (7.2)</td>
<td>57.4 (7.4)</td>
<td>55.0 (6.8)</td>
<td>(-5.5, 0.6)</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Reactive</td>
<td>53.5 (5.4)</td>
<td>54.7 (6.4)</td>
<td>52.5 (4.3)</td>
<td>(-4.3, 0.3)</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td>53.6 (4.5)</td>
<td>53.8 (5.0)</td>
<td>53.3 (4.0)</td>
<td>(-2.5, 1.4)</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Externalizing Problems</td>
<td>46.0 (9.9)</td>
<td>47.9 (10.4)</td>
<td>44.3 (9.1)</td>
<td>(-7.8, 0.5)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention Problems</td>
<td>51.7 (3.0)</td>
<td>51.9 (3.2)</td>
<td>51.6 (2.7)</td>
<td>(-1.6, 0.9)</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive Behavior</td>
<td>52.9 (5.6)</td>
<td>53.9 (7.2)</td>
<td>51.9 (3.6)</td>
<td>(-4.4, 0.4)</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WPPSI-R</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total IQ</td>
<td>110.7 (12.9)</td>
<td>109.0 (14.2)</td>
<td>112.5 (11.4)</td>
<td>(-2.2, 8.7)</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal IQ</td>
<td>109.0 (12.8)</td>
<td>106.2 (13.5)</td>
<td>111.8 (11.7)</td>
<td>(-0.0, 10.6)</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance IQ</td>
<td>108.8 (12.0)</td>
<td>108.9 (14.2)</td>
<td>108.9 (11.6)</td>
<td>(-5.3, 4.9)</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEPSY - A</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention/Executive Functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Attention</td>
<td>11.2 (3.0)</td>
<td>11.4 (3.2)</td>
<td>11.0 (2.9)</td>
<td>(-1.7, 0.9)</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory Attention#</td>
<td>12.0 (3.4)</td>
<td>11.2 (3.3)</td>
<td>13.2 (3.0)</td>
<td>(-1.6, 1.0)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statue##</td>
<td>11.2 (2.5)</td>
<td>12.1 (0.9)</td>
<td>10.5 (3.1)</td>
<td>(-3.3, 0.3)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehension of Instruction</td>
<td>11.2 (2.6)</td>
<td>10.5 (2.3)</td>
<td>11.9 (2.7)</td>
<td>(0.3, 2.4)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speeded Naming#</td>
<td>10.7 (2.9)</td>
<td>9.7 (2.4)</td>
<td>12.0 (2.9)</td>
<td>(0.6, 3.7)</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Part Naming##</td>
<td>11.2 (2.5)</td>
<td>10.9 (3.2)</td>
<td>11.4 (1.9)</td>
<td>(-1.2, 2.2)</td>
<td>.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensorimotor Functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visualmotor Precision</td>
<td>9.7 (3.2)</td>
<td>9.5 (3.3)</td>
<td>9.8 (3.2)</td>
<td>(-1.0, 1.8)</td>
<td>.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory and Learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory for Faces#</td>
<td>10.8 (2.8)</td>
<td>10.9 (2.8)</td>
<td>10.8 (2.9)</td>
<td>(-1.6, 1.6)</td>
<td>.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory for Names#</td>
<td>11.4 (2.7)</td>
<td>11.0 (2.8)</td>
<td>11.7 (2.6)</td>
<td>(-0.9, 2.2)</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrative Memory##</td>
<td>10.8 (3.1)</td>
<td>11.4 (3.8)</td>
<td>10.2 (2.5)</td>
<td>(-3.4, 0.9)</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as means (SD)
CI; Confidence Interval
IQ; Intelligence Quotient
# only 5- to 6-year-olds included (n=50), ## only 3- to 4-year-olds included (n=37)
Overnight PSG were performed on 90 children. SpO2 recording was successful in all children; however, three recordings ultimately failed because of wire or sensor disconnection. Eighty-seven children attended the clinical study.

Sixty-six children (74%) had OAHI of less than 0.9/h, 18 children had OAHI of 1.0-4.9/h, and three children had OAHI of more than 5/h. One child had OAHI of 19.2/h, which was considered to be clinical. Children's age, gender, and previous adenoidectomy or tonsillectomy did not correlate with OAHI. Relative body weight or obesity did not correlate with any of the PSG parameters. Tonsillar size correlated with OAHI (P < .01) as well as with respiratory effort, measured from submental muscles (P < .01) or diaphragm muscles (P = .01), and respiratory airflow (P < .01). Sleep architecture, respiratory variables, and SpO2 values for snoring and control children are seen in Table 7. The differences in OAHI, AHI, airflow flattening, and respiratory effort reached statistical significance.
Table 7. Sleep architecture, sleep-related respiratory variables, and arterial oxyhemoglobin desaturation parameters for 39 snoring and 48 non-snoring children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Snoring (n = 39)</th>
<th>Non-snoring (n = 48)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total sleep time, min</td>
<td>452 [400-513]</td>
<td>504 [414-552]</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep latency, min</td>
<td>10 [5-20]</td>
<td>16 [9-23]</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arousal index</td>
<td>11.9 [7.9-12.9]</td>
<td>12.3 [10.2-14.8]</td>
<td>.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.9-17.0)</td>
<td>(1.8-20.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructive apnea/hypopnea index</td>
<td>0.7 [0.0-1.0]</td>
<td>0.3 [0.0-0.2]</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0-19.2)</td>
<td>(0-4.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central apnea index</td>
<td>1.6 [1.2-2.9]</td>
<td>1.7 [1.3-1.9]</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.1-5.1)</td>
<td>(0.1-4.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apnea hypopnea index</td>
<td>2.9 [1.7-3.6]</td>
<td>1.8 [1.5-2.3]</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.1-20.5)</td>
<td>(0.1-5.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2% oxygen desaturation index</td>
<td>0.8 [0.5-1.3]</td>
<td>0.6 [0.5-0.9]</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0-9.5)</td>
<td>(0-4.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% oxygen desaturation index</td>
<td>0.1 [0-0.2]</td>
<td>0.0 [0-0.1]</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0-3.1)</td>
<td>(0-1.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse oximetry haemoglobin saturation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean lowest(%)</td>
<td>98.0 [98-98]</td>
<td>98.0 [98-98]</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99-97)</td>
<td>(99-96)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lowest (%)</td>
<td>95.0 [94-95]</td>
<td>95.0 [93-96]</td>
<td>.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98-84)</td>
<td>(98-78)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (/min)</td>
<td>73 [67-81]</td>
<td>76 [70-78]</td>
<td>.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory effort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submentala</td>
<td>0.3 [0-0.7]</td>
<td>0.0 [0-0.3]</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0-1.3)</td>
<td>(0-1.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaphragmb</td>
<td>0.7 [0-1]</td>
<td>0.4 [0.3-0.6]</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0-2.7)</td>
<td>(0-2.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory airflowc</td>
<td>0.6 [0-3.0]</td>
<td>0.0 [0-3.0]</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0-2)</td>
<td>(0-1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data is presented as medians with confidence interval [CI 95%], and (ranges)

a Respiratory effort as measured from electromyography electrodes on submental muscles; scores: 1 = normal, 2 = mildly increased, 3 = clearly increased

b Respiratory effort as measured from electromyography electrodes on diaphragm muscles; scores 1 = normal, 2 = mildly increased, 3 = clearly increased

c Oronasal airflow flattening as measured from nasal cannula; scores 1 = normal, 2 = mild flattening, 3 = severe flattening

In NEPSY and PSG parameters, correlations were presented between OAHI and Auditory Attention (P < .05, r = .29), OAHI and Comprehension of Instructions (P < .05, r = .24), ODI2 and Body Part Naming (P < .05, r = .47), and respiratory effort and Memory (P < .05, r = .34). No correlation was observed between the CBCL, WPPSI-R, and sleep study parameters.

In cephalometry, the minimal distance from the velum to the posterior wall (velum1-velum2) was shorter for snorers relative to non-snorers (5.5 mm vs. 6.6 mm) (P < .05). In PSG data, the velum1-velum2 had a weak correlation with AHI (P = .05). No correlations were found between ARM, RMM, and PSG parameters.
In neurobehavioral tests, children suffering from recurrent URIs have more somatic complaints than children without recurrent URIs (P < .01). The presence of recurrent URIs did not correlate with any PSG parameters or rhinometric values.

Feasibility and normal nasal volume values of acoustic rhinometry in children (V)

Twenty-six children, 13 girls and 13 boys aged 1-6 years participated in this study. Their median age was 48 months. Children were grouped into three subgroups based on age: 1-2 years, 3-4 years, and 5-6 years. Accepted recordings of ARM included measurements before and after decongestion of nasal mucosa. The overall success rate of ARM was 69%, being the highest (78%) among children aged 5-6 years.
DISCUSSION

Prevalence of snoring

Snoring in young children is common, with no changes occurring in the prevalence with age; 19% of young Finnish children snore at least sometimes, and 6.3% always or often. These results are in line with previous surveys, with reported prevalence reaching 16% (Teculescu et al. 1992, Ali et al. 1992, Bixler et al. 2009).

The sample included 2100 children aged 1-6 years in the Helsinki region based on the random sampling of Population Register Center data. The overall response rate (72%) was good. Reliability of the study can also be considered good.

Risk factors for snoring and sleep apnea

In children with small upper airways, mucosal inflammation is an important risk factor for snoring (Gozal and Kheirandish 2006). Many authors have reported an association between snoring, allergic rhinitis (Anuntaseree et al. 2001), and URIs (Hultcrantz et al. 1995, Urschitz et al. 2004b), suggesting the presence of increased inflammation in the upper airway of children in relation to the severity and frequency of obstructive episodes during sleep (Goldbart et al. 2006). Our study supports previous research on the risk factors of snoring.

No significant difference in snoring prevalence between young boys and girls was seen, in agreement with some previous literature (Teculescu et al. 1992). Snoring frequency varies slightly among different age groups, being a bit more common among preschool-aged children than older ones (Kaditis et al. 2004, Liu et al. 2005). In our study, all children were preschool-aged, and the age discrepancy was small. This is likely to explain why no age related differences were noted.

The importance of large adenoids and tonsils, and a narrow upper airway structure are well documented causes of sleep-related breathing obstruction in children. Adenotonsillar hypertrophy is a known as a risk factor for children’s snoring (Ferreira et al. 2000, Anuntaseree et al. 2001, Zhang et al. 2004, Au and Li 2009). In this study, the normal cohort was slightly biased, and snoring children (24%) had undergone adenoidectomy more often than non-snoring children. The overall adenoidectomy rate was 15% among the study population. Most of the adenoidect-
tomies were performed because of recurrent ear infections, not because of snoring. In Finland, the adenoidectomy rate for young children has been high relative to other countries (Van Den Akker et al. 2004, Karevold et al. 2007). These findings suggest that removal of adenoids might be often insufficient in treating snoring, and may reflect the importance of tonsils as a cause of snoring. (Liukkonen et al. 2008).

Although SDB obstruction is supposedly mostly caused by upper airway narrowing due to the lymphoid tissue in otherwise normal children, one of the unique feature in pediatric OSA is the high prevalence of different syndromes affecting facial appearance, facial growth, and muscle tone (Spier et al. 1986, Lo and Chen 1999, Hoeve et al. 2003, Pijpers et al. 2004, de Jong et al. 2010). Such children were not part of the cohort. Only one child had high OAHI of 19.2/h. This girl snored sometimes and had large tonsils. Our study shows that sleep-related breathing disorder with clear PSG changes is rare in otherwise healthy preschool children. Although parents have reported nocturnal breathing cessation, PSG studies are usually normal without significant obstructive sleep apnea.

In our study, 33% of children had a smoking parent. Smoking, even passive smoking, is an independent risk factor for snoring in adults (Franklin et al. 2004). Children suffering from snoring are more likely to live in smoking households (Gozal and Pope 2001, Ersu et al. 2004). Ali et al. (1993) demonstrated a dose-response relationship between passive smoking and habitual snoring in children, especially for maternal smoking (Ali et al. 1993). Although a history of parental snoring indicates the role of heredity in development of snoring in young children (Redline et al. 1999, Kalra et al. 2006), the relationship we found between parental snoring and snoring in children could be explained at least in part by parental smoking.

**Nasal measurements and cephalometry**

Rhinomanometry is rarely used in the pediatric population. Rizzi et al (Rizzi et al. 2002) found a clear correlation between apnea/hypopnea indices and nasal resistances in children with adenotonsillar hypertrophy. Acoustic rhinometry has been deemed suitable for the evaluation of nasal volumes in children (Qian et al. 2007, Haavisto and Sipila 2008). Upright nasal cavity and pharyngeal cavity measurements obtained by acoustic rhinometry were larger in the OSA group than in snorers (Okun et al. 2010). To date, large comparative studies between healthy children and children with SDB have not been published.
Cephalometric analyses are valuable tools in the comprehensive diagnosis of malocclusions and skeletal malformations in orthodontics (Athanasiou and Van der Meij 1995). Cephalometry has been used to some extent in studies of obstructive breathing in children, showing abnormal craniofacial growth in snoring and OSA children compared with healthy controls (Zucconi et al. 1999).

However, in this study, we found no evident correlations between PSG values and nasal rhinometric measurements or cephalometric measurements. In 2004, the European Commission released the guidelines on radiation protection in dental radiology. Individual risks in dental radiography are small, but are greater in the younger age groups (<30 years). Based on these guidelines, cephalometry cannot be recommended for children without adequate justification (European Guidelines on Radiation Protection in Dental Radiology 2004.) Our results do not give grounds for recommending rhinometric or cephalometric measurements when investigating SDB in children.

**Polysomnography**

Ambulatory polysomnography was performed at home. All cables were fixed at the hospital pediatric ENT unit. The child arrived in the evening with his/her parent to the pediatric unit. Attaching the device and cables took 1.5 h. After securing the device for a wireless connection, the family returned to their home. Parents were instructed to turn off the power the next morning, after which the child came back to the pediatric unit for the removal of the cables and the device. Overnight PSG was performed on 90 children; three recordings failed because of wires or sensors disconnection. SpO2 recording was successful for all children, and the overall success for the recordings was estimated as good.

In general, the PSG of these snoring children revealed no major sleep-related breathing disorder, including partial upper airway obstruction. The results question the importance of PSG in the first-line management of suspected sleep-related upper airway obstruction in preschool children. PSG is a relatively expensive and sparsely available. Since adenotonsillectomy is a basic surgery that effectively treats snoring in otherwise healthy children, the study results with previously published literature supports this approach as first-line management; 80-90% of children recover from snoring and upper airway obstruction after adenotonsillectomy. (Brietzke and Gallagher 2006). PSG should be performed primarily if severe or more complicated sleep-related breathing disorder is suspected, or in children who remain symptomatic after TEA.
Neurobehavioral consequences

This study and previous studies indicate that snoring without episodes of obstructive apnea or SpO2 desaturations may cause impairment in behavioral and neurocognitive functions (Blunden et al. 2000, Gottlieb et al. 2004). Similar findings were published by Barnes et al (Barnes et al. 2009), who showed that occasionally snoring children scored higher, indicating more behavioral problems than their healthy age- and sex-matched controls. Beebe (Beebe et al. 2004) enrolled 17 snorers, 13 children with mild to severe OSA, and 17 healthy controls aged 6-12 years for PSG and neuropsychological tests. Their study failed to show clear correlations between PSG values and neuropsychological tests.

Snoring may impair neurocognitive functions and behavior in a several ways even without major sleep disruption, sleep deprivation, or SpO2 desaturations. Partial upper airway obstruction might interfere with sleep homeostasis and increase energy consumption. However, since reliable measurement of partial upper airway obstruction requires measuring of breathing effort, this link remains largely unverified. We attempted to measure respiratory effort with a semi-quantitative diaphragm EMG, which has proved to be of clinical value. Partial upper airway obstruction was also estimated by using airflow shape and chin EMG muscle activity. However, the estimated ventilatory effort or the presence of partial upper airway resistance correlated poorly with the neurocognitive and behavioral test results, and thus, we failed to establish a link between partial airway obstruction and neurobehavioral consequences.

Another potential impairment is the presence of recurrent upper airway infections. PSG studies are normally performed during an infection-free period, as in our study. An upper airway obstruction is likely to become more severe during infection. If infections appear frequently, the breathing situation may actually be much worse than that observed during an infection-free period, where the child's breathing is non-obstructed. As a result, information on obstruction during sleep can be missed. We further tested the hypothesis that recurrent URIs might cause the observed neurocognitive and behavioral impairment. We found only minor differences showing children with recurrent URIs suffering more somatic problems. In the future, this hypothesis should be retested in larger patient populations. The PSG should also be performed during a period of upper respiratory infection.
LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The clinical part of our study was conducted in subgroups of snoring and non-snoring children who had volunteered to participate. This may have biased the samples. Parents of children with more problems likely were more eager to volunteer for this type of study. However, this bias was probably present in both groups. Moreover, both groups had an overrepresentation of higher socioeconomic status families relative to the general population structure of Helsinki, and the snoring group included more families than the non-snoring group from socioeconomic class I. This limits the generalization of our results to populations with lower socioeconomic status families.

Our data on snoring frequency were based on parental reports and were not confirmed with objective measures. Also an important cultural context is that children in Finland usually do not sleep in the same room as their parents, and thus, some parents may be unaware of their child snoring. Discrepancies in parental perception of what snoring constitutes might be a confounding factor, but this factor would be present in both groups.

Obstructive sleep apnea seems to be rare in otherwise healthy children, in contrast to neurobehavioral symptoms, which are more common in snoring children. We believe that one of the reasons for limited correlations in our neurobehavioral studies was that PSG differences between the groups were small, with only 3 of 87 children having OAHI over 5.0/hour. This might limit the results.
1) Snoring is common in preschool children in Finland and may persist after adenoidectomy. Obstructive sleep apnea is very rare among otherwise healthy children.

2) No correlation was observed between a child’s age, gender, or obesity and SDB in normal cohort of children. Snoring children have more upper respiratory infections and acute otitis media infections. Snoring is associated with allergic rhinitis, parental snoring, and exposure to tobacco smoke.

3) Children with SDB do not have abnormal facial growth or abnormal nasal volume or resistance values compared with non-snoring children.

4) Snoring itself without PSG findings is related to impaired neurocognitive and behavioral function. The mechanism of action remains unknown.

5) Acoustic rhinometry is feasible and well tolerated among young children.
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### Child Sleep and Breathing Questionnaire

**Instructions:** This questionnaire concernign your childs sleep and brething, and other possible related factors. We kind ask you to answer all questions. When you try to find an answer to a particular question, please, review the last 6 months period. Please cross the box that you find most appropriate. The number are describing the frequency of a symptom (1 never - 5 always), loudness on snoring (1 just audible – 5 very loud) or the amount of symptom (example the number of ear infections).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>1 2 3 4 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date:_____________</strong></td>
<td><strong>Date of birth:_____________</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td>Girl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Sleep and Breathing

1. How many hours of sleep does your child get on most nights_________ hours
2. How long after going to bed does your child usually fall asleep ____ min
3. The child goes to bed reluctantly 1 2 3 4 5
4. The child has difficulty getting to sleep at evening 1 2 3 4 5
5. The child feels anxious or afraid when falling asleep 1 2 3 4 5
6. The child startless or jerks parts of the body while falling asleep 1 2 3 4 5
7. The child shows repetitive actions such as rocking or head banging while falling asleep 1 2 3 4 5
8. The child experiences vivid dream-like scenes while falling asleep 1 2 3 4 5
9. The child takes off snoring excessively while falling asleep 1 2 3 4 5
10. The child snores
10b. Loudness of snoring (1 very quiet – 5 very loud) 1 2 3 4 5
11. The child snores during the infections (flu) (never – always) 1 2 3 4 5
11b. Loudness of snoring during the infection (1 very quiet – 5 very loud) 1 2 3 4 5
12. Is your child’s breathing difficult or laborious during sleep? 1 2 3 4 5
13. The child gasps for breath or is unable to breathe during sleep 1 2 3 4 5
14. The child sweats excessively during the night 1 2 3 4 5
15. The child has frequent twitching or jerking of legs while asleep 1 2 3 4 5
16. Does your child have nighttime bedwetting? (regards children >3 years of age) 1 2 3 4 5
17. You have observed the child sleepwalking 1 2 3 4 5
18. You have observed the child talking in his/her sleep 1 2 3 4 5
19. The child wakes from sleep screaming or confused so you cannot seem to get through to him/her, but has no memory of these events the next morning 1 2 3 4 5
20. The child grinds teeth during sleep 1 2 3 4 5
21. The child wakes up more than twice per night 1 2 3 4 5
22. After waking up in the night, the child has difficulty to fall asleep again 1 2 3 4 5
23. The child wakes up too early 1 2 3 4 5
24. The child has nightmares which he/she doesn't remember the next day 1 2 3 4 5
25. The child is unusually difficult to wake up in the morning 1 2 3 4 5
26. The child awakes in the morning feeling tired 1 2 3 4 5
27. The child feels unable to move when waking up in the morning 1 2 3 4 5
28. The child falls asleep suddenly in inappropriate situations 1 2 3 4 5
29. The child has nightmares which he/she remembers the next morning 1 2 3 4 5

#### Daytime Symptoms

30. The child is tired during the day 1 2 3 4 5
31. Does your child appear to be absent and not following the environment during the day? 1 2 3 4 5
32. Is your child easily disturbed by outside factors? 1 2 3 4 5
33. Is your child hyperactive? 1 2 3 4 5
34. Does your child have morning headaches? 1 2 3 4 5
PARENTS AND SIBLINGS
35. Fathers snores
10b. Loudness of snoring (1 very quiet – 5 very loud)
36. Mother snores
10b. Loudness of snoring (1 very quiet – 5 very loud)
37. Siblings snore
10b. Loudness of snoring (1 very quiet – 5 very loud)
38. Father, mother or sibling have a diagnose of sleep apnea

39. Father, mother or sibling have nCPAP for treatment of sleep apnea

40. Are the parents smoking on a regular bases?
41. Child exposed to tobacco smoke regularly at home

OTHER DISEASES AND CONDITIONS
42. Child has undergone adenoidectomy
42b. Indication for adenoidectomy
42c. Child's age at the time of the operation
43. Child has undergone tonsillectomy
43b. Indication for tonsillectomy
43c. Child’s age at the time of the operation
44. Your child has recurrent upper airway infections (more than 5 infections/past 6 months)
   (flu, cough, sore throat: never - often)
45. How many otitis media infections your child has had?
45b. How many tympanostomy tubes your child has had?
46. Has your child had any sinusitis?
47. Does your child have allergic rhinitis?
47b. Your child snores during allergic rhinitis
47c. Loudness of snoring during allergic rhinitis (1 very quiet – 5 very loud)
48. Child has a syndrome or facial abnormality
   - Syndromes like Down, Crouzon, Robin, etc.
49. The child has a chronic disease
   - ADHD, diabetes, asthma, atopy, allergy, etc.
49b. Name
50. The child has regular medication
50b. Names:

ARE YOU WILLING TO CONTINUE FURTHER WITH THIS RESEARCH PROJECT?
51. In the case your child is not snoring, are you willing to participated further with this research project and continue with sleep recording at home?

Parents names: ____________________________
Tel: ____________________________ E-mail: ____________________________
Address: ____________________________
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