Abstract

Purpose: Dual blockade of HER2 with trastuzumab and lapatinib or pertuzumab has been shown to be superior to single-agent trastuzumab. However, a significant fraction of HER2-overexpressing (HER2+) breast cancers escape from these drug combinations. In this study, we sought to discover the mechanisms of acquired resistance to the combination of lapatinib + trastuzumab.

Experimental Design: HER2+ BT474 xenografts were treated with lapatinib + trastuzumab long-term until resistance developed. Potential mechanisms of acquired resistance were evaluated in lapatinib + trastuzumab-resistant (LTR) tumors by targeted capture next-generation sequencing. In vitro experiments were performed to corroborate these findings, and a novel drug combination was tested against LTR xenografts. Gene expression and copy-number analyses were performed to corroborate our findings in clinical samples.

Results: LTR tumors exhibited an increase in FGF3/4/19 copy number, together with an increase in FGFR phosphorylation, marked stromal changes in the tumor microenvironment, and reduced tumor uptake of lapatinib. Stimulation of BT474 cells with FGF4 promoted resistance to lapatinib + trastuzumab in vitro. Treatment with FGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors reversed these changes and overcame resistance to lapatinib + trastuzumab. High expression of FGFR1 correlated with a statistically shorter progression-free survival in patients with HER2+ early breast cancer treated with adjuvant trastuzumab. Finally, FGFR1 and/or FGFR3 gene amplification correlated with a lower pathologic complete response in patients with HER2+ early breast cancer treated with neoadjuvant anti-HER2 therapy.

Conclusions: Amplification of FGFR signaling promotes resistance to HER2 inhibition, which can be diminished by the combination of HER2 and FGFR inhibitors. Clin Cancer Res; 23(15): 4323–34. ©2017 AACR.

Introduction

Approximately 20% of breast cancers harbor gene amplification of the receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) HER2 (ERBB2; ref. 1). HER2 is a member of the ERBB family of trans-membrane RTKs. Upon ligand-induced dimerization, ERBB family receptors undergo conformational changes that trigger kinase activation and subsequent signal transduction through oncogenic pathways, such as PI3K-Akt and RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK. Anti-HER2 therapies include trastuzumab (T), a humanized monoclonal antibody directed against the ectodomain of HER2; pertuzumab, an antibody that blocks dimerization of HER2 with other ERBB receptors; lapatinib (L), a reversible, ATP-competitive tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) of HER2 and EGFR (2); and trastuzumab-DM1, a conjugate of trastuzumab linked to the chemotherapeutic maytansine. Recent clinical studies have shown that dual inhibition of HER2, such as the combination of L + T or T + pertuzumab, is more effective than trastuzumab (3–5). Despite these advances, resistance to dual HER2 blockade still occurs in patients with HER2+ breast cancer. The mechanisms of acquired resistance to dual HER2 inhibition remain to be fully explored.
Translational Relevance

Dual blockade of HER2, with the combinations of trastuzumab and lapatinib or pertuzumab and trastuzumab, has been shown to be superior to trastuzumab alone. However, acquired resistance to anti-HER2 therapies remains a problem. We found an increase in FGF3/4/19 gene copy-number in HER2-overexpressing xenografts with acquired resistance to lapatinib + trastuzumab. This was accompanied by an increase in FGFR phosphorylation, reduced levels of lapatinib in drug-resistant tumors, and changes in the tumor microenvironment. Combining FGFR inhibitors with lapatinib + trastuzumab led to regression of the drug-resistant tumors. Finally, high FGFR1 gene expression and amplification of FGFR1 and FGF3 correlated with an inferior clinical response to anti-HER2 therapies in patients with early HER2+ breast cancer. These findings support profiling genes in the FGFR pathway in tumors with acquired resistance to HER2-directed therapies and the clinical investigation of FGFR and HER2 inhibitors in these treatment-refractory HER2+ breast cancers.

Proposed mechanisms of resistance to HER2 inhibitors include the presence of truncated HER2, bypass signaling by other ERBB receptors or other RTKs, activation of compensatory survival pathways, defects in apoptosis and cell-cycle control, and mutational activation of the PI3K pathway [reviewed in ref. 2]. We and others have previously shown that activating PIK3CA mutations can promote resistance to dual inhibition of HER2 (6–8).

In order to discover novel mechanisms of resistance to dual HER2 blockade, we generated HER2-amplified BT474 xenografts resistant to the combination of L + T. We found increased copy-number of the FGFR3, FGFR4, and FGFR19 genes in L + T-resistant (LTR) tumors. The FGFR3/4/19 genes reside on chromosome 11q13, a region which also harbors CCND1 and is amplified in approximately 15% of breast cancers [The Cancer Genome Atlas (9); www.cbioportal.org (10)]. The FGFR3/4/19 genes encode ligands for the FGFR family of RTKs (FGFR1-4). Ablant FGFR signaling drives tumor cell proliferation, survival, angiogenesis, and activation of stromal fibroblasts, and has been associated with resistance to a number of targeted therapies (11). In addition, FGFR1 is amplified in approximately 10% of breast cancers and is associated with poor patient prognosis (12, 13). We show herein that (1) exogenous FGF promotes resistance to HER2 inhibition, (2) inhibition of treatment with FGFR TKIs reversed or reduced resistance to L + T, and (3) somatic alterations in the FGFR pathway correlated with lack of benefit from anti-HER2 therapies in patients with early HER2+ breast cancer. These data suggest that FGFR pathway activation promotes resistance to L + T and support the combination of FGFR inhibitors with HER2-directed therapies in patients with HER2-overexpressing breast cancer.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and inhibitors

BT474, HCC1954, and MDA-MB 361 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) between 2006 and 2011 and maintained in ATCC-recommended media supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco) and 1x antibiotic/antimycotic (Gibco). All cell lines were authenticated by the ATCC using the short tandem repeat method in January 2017. Mycoplasma testing was conducted for each cell line before use. All experiments were performed less than 2 months after thawing early passage cells.

The following drugs were used: lapatinib (GW-572016, LC Laboratories), trastuzumab (Vanderbilt University Hospital Pharmacy), lucitainib (ref. 14; provided by Clovis Oncology), and AZD4547 (ref. 15; provided by AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals).

Drug-resistant xenografts and mouse studies

A 21-day 17β estradiol pellet (Innovative Research of America) was inserted s.c. in the dorsum of 4- to 6-week-old female athymic mice (Harlan Sprague Dawley Inc.) 1 day before tumor cell injection. Approximately 5 × 10^6 BT474 cells were injected s.c. into the right flank of mice. Once tumors reached a size of ≥200 mm^3, mice were treated with 20 mg/kg trastuzumab diluted in sterile PBS by i.p. injection twice a week and 100 mg/kg lapatinib by orogastric gavage daily. Tumor diameters were serially measured with calipers, and tumor volumes were calculated as: volume = width^2 × length/2. Upon complete tumor regression with L + T, treatment was halted. Once tumors recurred (≥200 mm^3), treatment with lapatinib and trastuzumab was resumed. Recurrent tumors that progressed in the presence of L + T were serially transplanted into tumor-naïve nude mice. Once the transplanted tumors reached a volume ≥200 mm^3, mice were treated again with L + T. Tumors growing in continuously treated mice were considered to be LTR.

For therapeutic studies, LTR tumors were transplanted into treatment-naïve mice following the same protocol. Once tumors reached a volume ≥200 mm^3, mice were randomized to any of these treatment arms: vehicle (0.5% hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and 0.1% Tween-80); trastuzumab (20 mg/kg i.p. twice a week) and lapatinib (100 mg/kg by oral gavage daily); lapatinib (20 mg/kg by oral gavage daily); AZD4547 (12.5 mg/kg by oral gavage daily); or L + T, plus each of the FGFR inhibitors. Tumors were harvested 24 hours after the last dose of trastuzumab and 1 hour after the last dose of lapatinib, lucitainib, or AZD4547. All animal experiments were approved by the Vanderbilt Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IAUC protocol M/10/111).

FISH

ERBB2 gene copy-number was determined by FISH using HER2 Spectrum Orange and CEP17 Spectrum Green probes (Pathvision). Enumeration of HER2 and CEP17 signals was done on 200 cells from different fields. FGFR3 gene copy-number was determined by FISH using FGF3 Orange and Chromosome 11 Control Green probes (Empire Genomics). Enumeration of FGF3 and Chromosome 11 signals was done on at least 20 cells from different fields. Slides were analyzed with a reflected light fluorescent microscope (Olympus BX60) at 100X. Images of representative cells were captured using Cytovision software package (Applied Imaging).

Immunoblot analysis

BT474 cells were serum-starved overnight and treated the following day for 2 hours with vehicle, 1 μmol/L lapatinib, or 1 μmol/L AZD4547 followed by stimulation for 15 minutes with 50 ng/mL FGF3, FGF4, or FGF19 (R&D Systems). Cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and lysed on ice in buffer containing 20 mmol/L Tris pH 7.4, 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 1 mmol/L EDTA plus protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Snap-frozen tumor fragments were homogenized using the TissueLyser...
FGF4 ELISA

BT474 parental and LTR tumor lysates were analyzed using the Human FGF4 ELISA Kit (RayBiotech) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence

Tumor fragments were harvested and immediately fixed in 10% buffered neutral formalin for 24 hours at room temperature, then dehydrated and paraffin-embedded. Five-micrometer sections were subjected to hematoxylin and eosin staining and immunohistochemistry (IHC) using antibodies against HER2, P-HER2 Y1221/2 (Cell Signaling Technology), Ki67 (Zymed Invitrogen), and P-FGFR Y1063/1065 (Abcam). Alternative, tumor fragments were placed immediately in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound (VWR) and frozen on dry ice. Eight-micrometer frozen sections were used for IHC using an antibody against CD31 (Abcam). Trichrome staining (Sigma-Aldrich) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The Histoscore for HER2 staining was graded by an expert breast pathologist (M/C21) and re-probed with antibodies to the total protein.
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Indirect immunofluorescence was performed using dewaxed formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) sections, and epipotes were retrieved with citrate boiling (20). Primary antibodies against α-smooth muscle antigen (SMA), fibronectin (Sigma), and cytokerin 8 (RD1-Fitzgerald) were incubated overnight and stained with highly cross-absorbed goat-derived secondary antibodies conjugated to AlexFluor (Life Technologies). Image acquisition was performed using a Zeiss Axioim A1 microscope with Metamorph (Molecular Devices) for image capture.

Lapatinib levels in xenografts

Parental BT474 and LTR xenografts treated with lapatinib and trastuzumab were harvested, weighed, homogenized, extracted, and analyzed by accurate LC-MS as previously described (21). A calibration curve covering the range of lapatinib concentrations observed in the tissue homogenates was prepared using a lapatinib standard for each sample set. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate.

Cell viability assay

BT474 cells were seeded in black clear-bottom 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One) at a density of 2,000 cells per well. The next day, media were replaced with 100 µl media containing inhibitors in media containing 1% charcoal-stripped serum (CSS). After 6 days, nuclei were stained with 10 µg/ml Hoechst 33342 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 37°C for 20 minutes. Fluorescent nuclei were counted using the ImageXpress Micro XL automated microscope imager (Molecular Devices).

Statistical analysis

The number of animals in each group was calculated to measure a 25% difference between the means of groups treated with L + T versus L + T + FGFR inhibitor with a power of 80%, a P value of 0.01, and an SD of 12%, using PS Power and Sample Size Calculator software (http://biostat.mc.vanderbilt.edu/wiki/Main/PowerSampleSize). Animal experiments were conducted in a controlled and nonblinded manner. In vitro experiments were performed at least twice and at least in triplicate each time. Unless otherwise indicated, the Student t test was used. ANOVA and multiple comparisons tests were conducted using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software).

See Supplementary Methods for details on next-generation sequencing (NGS), qRT-PCR, 3D Matrigel and apoptosis assays, gene expression analysis of the FinHER dataset, and copy-number analysis of the NeoALTT dataset.

Results

BT474 xenografts develop acquired resistance to L + T

We treated mice bearing BT474 xenografts with the combination of L + T. This treatment led to complete regression of all tumors (Fig. 1A), as reported previously (22, 23). After 24 days, treatment was stopped, and mice were monitored twice weekly for tumor recurrence. Some tumors recurred as early as 9 weeks after treatment interruption. Three recurrent tumors (#32, #33, and #44) failed to respond to retreatment with L + T (Fig. 1B). These tumors were serially transplanted into treatment-naive nude mice; treatment with L + T was resumed when tumors reached a volume ≥200 mm³. More than 90% of tumors derived from these xenografts did not respond to L + T (Supplementary Fig. S1).
LTR tumors maintain HER2 amplification, phosphorylation, and HER2 downstream signaling

One possible mechanism for acquired resistance is loss of HER2 overexpression, thus abrogating HER2 dependence and the immune mechanisms of action of trastuzumab (24). Therefore, we performed HER2 FISH on FFPE sections from parental BT474 and LTR tumors. HER2 gene amplification, measured by the ratio of HER2 to chromosome 17 centromere (CEP17) probe fluorescent signals, did not differ between drug-sensitive BT474 and LTR tumors (P = 0.61; Fig. 1C). Accordingly, HER2 protein expression, detected by IHC, was unaltered in LTR tumors (Fig. 1D).

Next, we asked if HER2 remained activated in LTR tumors growing on continuous therapy. Treatment of parental tumors with L + T for 96 hours strongly reduced levels of P-HER2 Y1221/1222 as measured by IHC (Fig. 2A). However, P-HER2 was no longer reduced in LTR tumors treated with L + T. Maintenance of P-HER2 in the majority of LTR tumors was seen by Western blot analysis using antibodies recognizing the Y1221 autophosphorylation site and the Y877 Src site in HER2 (Fig. 2B), whereas P-EGFR Y1068 levels did not differ between both tumor types. Although variable, total HER2 protein levels appeared higher, on average, in LTR tumors by Western blot analysis. In addition, treatment with L + T suppressed P-Erk, P-Akt, and phosphorylation of Akt substrates [PRAS40, BAD, and ATP Citrate Lyase (ACL)] in parental tumors, but the signal was maintained in the majority of L + T-treated resistant tumors (Fig. 2B), further suggesting that treatment no longer inhibited HER2 signal transduction in drug-resistant xenografts. Finally, we performed deep sequencing of the ERBB2 gene in LTR tumors, but did not find any mutations, arguing against acquired drug-resistant somatic alterations in HER2 (Supplementary Table S1).

Lapatinib levels are reduced in LTR tumors

To determine if a decrease in drug uptake may account for the maintenance of HER2 signaling in LTR xenografts, we used accurate mass LC-MS to measure tumor levels of lapatinib in mice treated with L + T for at least 3 days. LTR tumors contained significantly lower levels of lapatinib than drug-sensitive parental BT474 xenografts (Fig. 2C). Lapatinib has been reported to be a substrate of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) efflux transporters, including ABCB2 (P-gp/MDR1) and ABCG2 (BCRP/MXR/ABCP; refs. 21, 25), raising the possibility that increased expression of drug efflux transporters may account for the reduced levels of lapatinib. However, expression levels of ABCB1, ABCC1, and...
ABCG2 mRNAs measured by qRT-PCR were not altered in LTR compared with drug-sensitive tumors (Supplementary Fig. S2), suggesting that the decrease in lapatinib levels in LTR tumors was not due to changes in drug efflux.

Because HER2 activation remains high in LTR tumors, we hypothesized that more complete blockade of HER2 can reverse LTR resistance. We treated cells derived from a BT474 parental xenograft and two LTR xenografts, grown in 3D Matrigel culture, with 20 μg/mL trastuzumab + increasing concentrations of lapatinib. Although LTR cells maintained resistance to trastuzumab + lower concentrations of lapatinib (≤25 nmol/L), 125 nmol/L lapatinib + trastuzumab was able to block phospho-HER2 and the growth of LTR tumor-derived cells, suggesting that more complete suppression of HER2 can overcome drug resistance in vitro (Supplementary Fig. S3).

FGFR signaling is amplified in LTR tumors

We next performed targeted capture NGS to identify somatic alterations associated with acquired resistance. Specifically, we characterized base substitutions, short insertions and deletions (indels), copy-number alterations, and selected fusions across 287 cancer-related genes. Of note, no mutations in ERBB2 were found. We identified an increase in copy-number of FGF3, FGF4, and FGF19 in one of five LTR tumors. The FGF3/4/19 genes reside on chromosome 11q13, along with CCND1. CCND1 copy-number was elevated in both LTR and BT474 parental tumors (Supplementary Fig. S4). FISH, using the ratio of fluorescent signals from probes against FGF3 and CEN11, confirmed that FGF3 copy number was increased >1.5-fold in the amplified LTR tumor relative to parental BT474 tumors (Fig. 3A). Further FISH analysis revealed that six additional LTR tumors (total 7/16; 43.8%) harbored FGF3 amplification (defined by FGF3 copy-number >4 and FGF3/CEN11 ratio ≥2). Likewise, FGF4 protein levels, measured by ELISA, were elevated in LTR tumors (Fig. 3B; ELISA kits for FGF3 or FGF19 from lysates were not available). Consistent with higher levels of FGF3 in situ, FGF-amplified LTR tumors exhibited high p-FGFR IHC staining (Fig. 3C).

In addition to exerting a tumor cell–autonomous effect in cancer cells, secreted FGF ligands can also alter the tumor microenvironment by remodeling the extracellular matrix, by paracrine
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Figure 2.

LTR xenografts maintain phosphorylated HER2 and postreceptor signaling. A, IHC analysis of P-Y1221/2 P-HER2 in tumor sections. Groups of mice bearing parental BT474 xenografts received vehicle or L + T for 96 hours only (96 hours L + T), whereas those bearing LTR tumors were treated continuously; both groups of mice were sacrificed 24 hours after the last treatment with trastuzumab and 1 hour after the last dose of lapatinib. Shown at top are representative images. Bottom, Quantitative comparison of membrane P-HER2 H-score. *P < 0.05 compared with parental BT474-untreated tumors. B, Tumors were treated as described in A. Tumor lysates were subjected to immunoblot analysis with the indicated antibodies. The same lysates were run on two separate gels. C, Mice bearing drug-sensitive and -resistant (LTR) tumors were treated for 72 hours or longer with lapatinib and sacrificed 1 hour after the last lapatinib dose. Tumors were harvested and tissue sections were weighed, homogenized, extracted, and analyzed by accurate mass LC-MS. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate. Concentrations are reported in ng lapatinib/gram of tissue.
activation of stromal cells such as fibroblasts, and/or by promoting angiogenesis (11). Trichrome staining of LTR tumor sections \((n = 8)\) compared with parental tumor sections \((n = 10)\) revealed marked upregulation of collagen deposition in tumor stroma (Fig. 3D and E; \(P = 0.0008\)). IHC analysis of LTR tumors also revealed increased staining of SMA and fibronectin, markers of stromal fibroblasts. Further, a trend toward an increase in CD31 \(^+\) blood vessels was observed in LTR tumors compared with parental xenografts (Fig. 3D and F; \(P = 0.08\)). These microenvironmental changes are consistent with an increase in FGF pathway activation \(\textit{in situ}\) (26).

Exogenous FGF4 induces P-ERK and promotes cell viability in the presence of HER2 inhibitors

Maintenance of P-Akt and P-Erk in LTR tumors treated with L + T led us to ask whether ligand-stimulated FGFR can activate these signaling pathways, thus bypassing targeted inhibition of HER2. Therefore, we first examined whether stimulation of BT474 cells with exogenous FGF3, FGF4, or FGF19 could activate PI3K/Akt and ERK when HER2 was blocked. BT474 cells were serum-starved overnight and then treated \(\pm\) lapatinib for 2 hours, followed by 15-minute stimulation with FGF3, FGF4, or FGF19. Treatment with lapatinib strongly suppressed P-HER2, P-AKT, and P-ERK. T308 P-AKT was modestly stimulated by FGF3 and FGF4. Of the three FGF ligands tested, only FGF4 strongly increased P-ERK and phosphorylation of the FGFR substrate, FRS2a, in the absence or presence of lapatinib (Fig. 4A), suggesting that FGF4/FGFR signaling can bypass HER2 inhibition and activate the ERK pathway. The FGFR TKI AZD4547 (15) blocked FGF4-induced P-ERK and P-FRS2a (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, exogenous FGF4 significantly attenuated the growth-inhibitory effects of trastuzumab alone or L + T treatment in BT474 cells; the effects of FGF4 were reversed by the FGFR inhibitor lucitanib, a small molecule TKI with nmol/L activity against FGFR1-3 (ref. 14; Fig. 4C and D). Together, these data suggest that FGF4/FGFR signaling can activate the ERK pathway and partially rescue cell viability in the presence of L + T.

Figure 3. LTR xenografts amplify FGF ligands and downstream signaling. A, FGF3 gene copy-number in parental and LTR tumors was determined by FISH. B, Lysates from tumors treated with vehicle (BT) or L + T (LTR) were subjected to ELISA for FGF4. \(\dagger, P < 0.05; \ddagger, P < 0.01, \text{relative to BT-T parental tumors, one-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple comparison test). Data represent the average \(\pm\) SD of duplicate reactions.\) C, IHC analysis of P-FGFRY653/654 in tumor sections. D, FFPE sections from parental BT474 \((n = 10)\) and LTR \((n = 8)\) xenografts were stained or subjected to IHC with the indicated antibodies. Scale bar, 200 \(\mu\)m. E, Trichrome staining was quantified as described in Materials and Methods. Each bar represents the average \(\pm\) SEM \(\ast\ast\ast, P < 0.001, \text{Student } t\) test). F, CD31 staining was quantified as described in Materials and Methods. Each bar represents the average \(\pm\) SEM \(P = 0.08, \text{Student } t\) test).
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FGF3/4/19-amplified HER2+ cell lines are sensitive to the combination of HER2 and FGFR inhibitors

Next, we examined whether FGFR inhibition enhanced the sensitivity of HER2+/11q13-amplified HCC1954 and MDA-MB 361 human breast cancer cell lines to L + T. Treatment with L + T for 24 hours led to a twofold increase in caspase 3/7 activity in both cell lines (Supplementary Fig. S5). Lucitanib did not induce apoptosis by itself, but significantly enhanced L + T-induced apoptosis in both cell lines, suggesting that FGFR inhibitors would synergize with HER2 inhibitors in HER2+/11q13-amplified breast cancers.

Inhibition of FGFR in vivo reverses resistance to lapatinib + trastuzumab

We next examined whether blockade of FGFR signaling with an FGFR inhibitor would restore the antitumor action of L + T against LTR tumors. For this purpose, we used lucitanib, currently in early phase clinical trials for cancers with aberrant FGFR pathway activation (11, 27). We treated mice with established LTR tumors with vehicle, L + T, lucitanib alone, or the combination of L + T + lucitanib for 6 weeks. Lucitanib alone significantly inhibited tumor growth, but did not induce tumor regressions. In contrast, the 6-week treatment with L + T + lucitanib reduced tumor volume by 44% compared with that at baseline (Fig. 5A).

Treatment with lucitanib reduced P-FGFR, P-AKT, and P-STAT3, but did not inhibit P-ERK as measured by immunoblot analysis of LTR tumor lysates (Fig. 5B). Likewise, IHC of LTR tumors also showed inhibition of P-FGFR by lucitanib (Supplementary Fig. S6A). The FGFR inhibitor also modestly reduced levels of P-HER2, partially reduced P-AKT and P-ERK levels, and increased P-HER2Y1221/2, suggesting FGFR-induced transactivation of HER2 at the Src site in LTR tumors. Treatment with L + T did not reduce L + T, partially reduced P-AKT and P-ERK levels, and increased levels of P-STAT3 (Fig. 5B), a signal transducer previously shown to be involved in resistance to targeted therapies, including lapatinib (28). Treatment with lucitanib further inhibited P-AKT and prevented the induction of P-STAT3 in LTR tumors treated with L + T (Fig. 5B).

Trichrome staining of FFPE sections from LTR tumors showed that the addition of lucitanib to L + T reversed the enhanced collagen deposition seen in LTR tumors (Supplementary Fig. S6B). Similarly, the addition of lucitanib strongly reduced CD31 staining and MVD (Supplementary Fig. S6B and S6C). These data suggest that the microenvironmental changes observed in the LTR tumors (Fig. 3D) are at least in part dependent on FGFR activity.
amplification of FGFR1 (Fig. 5A). Next, we asked whether amplification of FGFR pathway components correlates with resistance to HER2 inhibitors. We analyzed gene expression of FGFR1 in HER2+ breast cancers from patients enrolled in the FinHer clinical trial, where patients were randomized after surgery to receive adjuvant chemotherapy ± trastuzumab (Supplementary Fig. S9; ref. 29). Patients with FGFR1 expression in the bottom 80% percentile had a significantly reduced risk of disease recurrence when treated with trastuzumab ($P = 0.018$). However, trastuzumab failed to reduce the risk of recurrence in patients with cancers in the top 20% of FGFR1 expression (Fig. 6A).

**FGFR1 and FGFR3 gene amplifications associate with lower pathologic complete response to neoadjuvant anti-HER2 therapies**

Finally, we interrogated whether 11q (FGFR3/4/19) and/or FGFR1 amplification in pretreatment biopsies of patients enrolled in the NeoALTTO trial (3) correlated with the rate of pathological complete response (pCR) after chemotherapy plus trastuzumab, lapatinib, or L + T. Importantly, pCR rates correlate with breast cancer survival (30). A total of 134 tumors were available for copy-number analysis (Supplementary Fig. S10). FGFR1 and FGFR3 amplification, particularly in ER+ tumors, correlated with a statistically lower rate of pCR (Fig. 6B). Taken together, these results suggest a causal association of alterations in the FGFR pathway with clinical resistance to anti-HER2 therapies.

**Discussion**

De novo and acquired resistance to HER2 inhibitors represents a major hurdle in the eradication of HER2+ breast cancer. Herein, we used HER2-dependent BT474 breast cancer xenografts to interrogate mechanisms of acquired resistance to dual HER2 blockade. Drug-resistant tumors retained HER2 gene amplification without acquired mutations in HER2 and no longer exhibited a reduction in HER2 phosphorylation upon treatment with dual anti-HER2 therapy. They also showed markedly reduced *in situ* levels of lapatinib compared with parental BT474 xenografts. We found copy-number gains in FGFR3/4/19 and evidence of increased FGFR signaling in LTR tumors. Treatment with FG4 attenuated the growth-inhibitory effect of L + T in *in vitro*, and use of two FGFR TKIs overcame or reduced resistance to L + T in *in vivo*. Finally, alterations in the FGFR pathway correlated with a worse outcome in patients with early HER2+ breast cancer treated with adjuvant and neoadjuvant anti-HER2 therapies.

Several studies have implicated FGF/FGFR signaling in attenuating the response to EGFR and HER2 inhibition. Exogenous FGF was previously shown to rescue a subset of HER2+ cell lines
from lapatinib (31). The combination of lapatinib and the FGFR inhibitor dovitinib was found to reverse lapatinib resistance in SKBR3 cells in a large-scale drug combination screen (32). Dovitinib also reversed resistance to EGFR or ALK inhibitors in a subset of patient-derived non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell lines (32). FGFR2 amplification was found in a lapatinib-resistant HER2þ breast cancer cell line. In this same study, high FGFR2 protein levels were associated with decreased progression-free survival in a small cohort of breast cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy + trastuzumab, lapatinib, or the combination in the NeoALTTO trial. Logistic regression of the pCR in FGFR1- or FGFR3-amplified patients is shown. Both, FGFR3-FGFR1 amplified; CI, confidence interval; FDR, false discovery rate.

We found that stimulation of BT474 cells with FGF4 was able to induce P-FRS2 and P-ERK, whereas FGF3 and FGF19 had no effect (Fig. 4). One possibility for this difference is that FGF19 requires the β-Klotho coreceptor for signaling (39). Whether FGF4 is the primary FGF ligand that activates the FGFR pathway in FGF3/4/19-amplified tumors requires additional investigation. These data suggest that FGF4 may promote resistance to HER2 inhibition through bypass activation of the ERK pathway. However, we noted a discordance in that exogenous FGF4 treatment only induced partial resistance to L+T in vitro (Fig. 4C and D), whereas drug resistance was much more pronounced in LTR tumors in vivo.

One possibility is that the combination of FGF3, FGF4, and FGF19 together are more effective at promoting resistance than FGF4 alone. However, neither FGF3 nor FGF19 alone at the tested concentrations were capable of inducing P-ERK. Another possibility is that increased FGF ligands may further promote resistance in vivo by altering the tumor microenvironment via paracrine activation of fibroblasts and endothelial cells, consistent with the altered tumor stroma we observed in LTR tumors (Fig. 3D). The combination of the FGFR TKI lucitanib with L+T was able to reverse these changes and reduce resistance (Supplementary Fig. S6B and S6C and Fig. 5). Of note, lucitanib is currently in phase II trials in patients with breast cancer and has shown clear clinical activity against tumors harboring FGFR1 and/or 11q13 amplifications (27).

We note that lucitanib showed single-agent activity against LTR tumors, whereas AZD4547 did not. The difference in antitumor...
activity may be due to other targets of lapatinib, such as VEGFR1-3 (14), and/or to the more potent inhibition of F-GFR by lapatinib in vivo (Fig. 5B and Supplementary Fig. S7B). Whether lapatinib alone or in combination with dual HER2 blockade also inhibits growth of BT474 parental tumors is not known. Regardless, we show that combining L + T with two different FGER inhibitors diminishes resistance in LTR tumors.

In addition to discovering FGF3/4/19 copy-number gain in a subset of LTR tumors, we also observed an increase in FGF4 protein levels and increased FGF4 phosphorylation in LTR tumors (Fig. 5B and C). Furthermore, we found that high expression and/or copy-number amplification of FGF1 correlated with a worse outcome in patients treated with anti-HER2 therapies, suggesting that multiple ways to hyperactivate the FGF4 pathway (i.e., FGF4 protein overexpression or FGF4 amplification/overexpression) may contribute to resistance to anti-HER2 therapy. Additional FGER-dependent or -independent mechanisms of resistance to dual HER2 inhibition remain to be identified.

HER2 phosphorylation levels remained high in LTR tumors, suggesting that these tumors are still dependent on HER2 signaling, raising the possibility that improved inhibition of HER2 kinase activity could overcome resistance. Indeed, we found that higher doses of lapatinib + trastuzumab effectively blocked the growth of LTR tumor-derived cells in vitro, suggesting that more complete suppression of HER2 can overcome resistance (Supplementary Fig. S3). Although higher doses of lapatinib are not feasible in the clinic, more potent HER2 inhibitors, such as neratinib, may be effective in LTR tumors. Alternatively, enhancing the tumor uptake of lapatinib may also restore drug sensitivity.

Although lapatinib uptake was significantly reduced in LTR tumors, we did not observe differences between levels of drug transporters ABCB1, ABCC1, or ABCG2 in BT474 parental and LTR xenografts (Supplementary Fig. S2). Lapatinib is a substrate for these drug transporters. This suggests that the reduction of lapatinib in LTR versus parental BT474 tumors is not due to altered drug transport but may be the result of FGF-induced changes in the overall tumor microenvironment. Consistent with this, LTR xenografts demonstrated marked upregulation of collagen deposition, increased staining of SMA and fibroconnectin, markers of stromal fibroblasts, and larger blood vessels. Changes in stromal cell proliferation and the deposition of collagen can result in changes in overall tissue heterogeneity and elasticity, as well as accompanying interstitial fluid pressure (40). Increased tissue rigidity and associated activation of integrin/focal adhesion signaling have been implicated in resistance to cancer therapies, including lapatinib (41–43). We speculate that these tumor microenvironments changes result in a reduction in lapatinib uptake in the LTR tumors as we observed.

Over 30% of HER2+ breast cancers in the TCGA harbor amplifications of FGF3/4/19, FGFR1, or both (Supplementary Fig. S8). Therefore, our findings that FGF4 pathway activation can promote resistance to HER2 inhibitors are potentially applicable to a significant cohort of patients with HER2+ breast cancer. We showed that high FGFR1 expression correlated with de novo resistance to trastuzumab in the FinHER trial. However, we note that the number of patients in this cohort with high FGFR1 expression is small; therefore, this correlation warrants confirmation in a larger cohort. Further, amplification of FGFR1 and FGF3 correlated with lower pCR rates following neoadjuvant anti-HER2 therapy in the NeoALTTO trial. We note that this correlation was only significant in ER+ patients (Fig. 6B).

Although this could be due to the relatively small size of the clinical cohort, this result is not inconsistent with our findings in ER+/HER2+ BT474 xenografts. In addition, amplification of both FGFR1 and 11q13, where the FGF3/4/19 genes reside, is more prevalent in ER+ tumors and associated with endocrine resistance (13, 44, 45). These studies suggest that aberrant activation of the FGF4 pathway is associated with primary/intrinsic resistance to therapeutic inhibition of HER2. Future studies should examine whether FGF/FGFR signaling is also altered in tumors that have acquired resistance to HER2 inhibitors. On this basis, we propose that the combination of HER2 and FGER inhibitors should be prospectively investigated in patients with HER2+ breast cancer harboring somatic alterations in the FGF4 pathway.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest
C.M. Frampton and E. Sanford have ownership interest (including patents) in Foundation Medicine. H. Joensuu is a consultant/advisory board member for Ariad, BluePrint Medicines, and Orion Pharma. J. Huober is a consultant/advisory board member for Novartis and Roche. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other authors.

Authors’ Contributions
Conception and design: A.B. Hanker, J.T. Garrett, J. Baselga, C.L. Arteaga
Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): A.B. Hanker, J.T. Garrett, P.D. Moore, J.P. Koch, E. Langley, S. Singh, P.S. Kim, G.M. Frampton, P. Owens, J. Becker, M.R. Groseclose, S. Castellino, H. Joensuu, J. Huober, J.C. Brace, C. Sotiriou, C.L. Arteaga
Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: A.B. Hanker, J.T. Garrett, M.V. Estrada, E. Langley, G.M. Frampton, S. Castellino, H. Joensuu, J. Huober, J.C. Brace, D. Venet, M. Piccart, C. Sotiriou, C.L. Arteaga
Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): A.B. Hanker, P.D. Moore, P.G. Ericsson, S. Singh, G.M. Frampton, J. Becker
Study supervision: J. Baselga, C.L. Arteaga
Other (manipulation contribution): S. Majjaj

Acknowledgments
We thank Teresa Dugger and Violeta Sánchez for expert technical assistance and Luigi Formisano and Brent Rexer for helpful discussions.

Grant Support
This work was supported by American Cancer Society 118813-PF-10-070-01-TBG (J.T. Garrett) and Department of Defense BC093376 (J.T. Garrett) Post-doctoral Fellowship Awards; Department of Defense Postdoctoral Fellowship Award BC105785 (A.B. Hanker); NIH/NCI K12 Award CA090625 (A.B. Hanker); NC1 R01 grant CA080195 (C.L. Arteaga); NIH Breast Cancer Specialized Program of Research Excellence (SPORE) grant P50 CA01831; and Vanderbilt Ingram Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA66485.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked ‘advertisement’ in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received September 21, 2016; revised November 11, 2016; accepted March 31, 2017; published OnlineFirst April 5, 2017.

Hanker et al.


