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Short primiparous women are at an increased risk for gestational diabetes mellitus
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A B S T R A C T

Objectives: Both short stature and adiposity are risk factors for gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). The aim of this study was to simultaneously evaluate the importance of stature and degree of adiposity on development of GDM in primiparous women.

Study design: Longitudinal cohort study.

Methods: In the city of Vantaa, Finland, between 2009 and 2015, all together 7750 primiparous women without previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus gave birth. Of these, 5223 women were ≥18 years of age with information on height, weight, and complete data from a 75 g 2-h oral glucose tolerance test composing the study participants of this study.

Results: A 155-cm tall woman with a body mass index (BMI) of 25.5 kg/m² had a similar risk for GDM as a 175-cm tall woman with a BMI of 27.1 kg/m². Women shorter than 159 cm had the highest prevalence of GDM, 28.7%, whereas women with height between 164 and 167 cm had the lowest prevalence of GDM, 19.9% (P < 0.001). Height was inversely and significantly associated with both 1- and 2-h glucose values (both P < 0.001).

Conclusions: To avoid over diagnosis of GDM, an unbiased strategy is needed to determine and diagnose GDM in women with different stature and degree of adiposity.
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Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is a common pregnancy complication defined as glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy.\textsuperscript{1,2} Today, the prevalence of GDM is estimated to be about 6% in Europe and 9% in North America.\textsuperscript{3} GDM is associated with adverse short- and long-term health outcomes for both women and their offspring.\textsuperscript{4}

Usually, the diagnosis of GDM is based upon an oral 2- or 3-h glucose tolerance test with 75- or 100-g glucose load.\textsuperscript{1,7,8}

It has previously been reported that women with GDM are at higher childbearing age, more obese, and have a shorter stature than women without GDM.\textsuperscript{1,4,9-16} Studies focusing on the influence of maternal height on fasting and postprandial glucose levels in pregnant women in relation to the risk of GDM are limited. Among Brazilian and Korean women, short stature has been shown to be associated with elevated postprandial glucose levels but not with fasting glucose concentrations.\textsuperscript{10,12} With regard to obesity, it is important to keep in mind that within different ethnic populations, a body mass index (BMI) may not correspond to the same degree of adiposity due, in part, to different body composition and thus different definition criteria for obesity have been suggested; e.g., for European populations BMI $\geq$ 30 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} and for Asian populations BMI $\geq$ 27.5 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}.\textsuperscript{17,18}

In the year 2016, we initiated a long-term follow-up study in the city of Vantaa, Finland, to evaluate the long-term consequences of gestational glucose intolerance on women’s and their offspring’s health. The aim of this study was to evaluate in primiparous women the association between height, degree of adiposity, and the development of GDM based on a standard 75 g 2-h oral glucose tolerance test (2-h OGTT).

Methods

This study is a longitudinal cohort study in the city of Vantaa, the fourth largest city in Finland. Between January 1, 2009, and December 31, 2015, in total, 7750 women without previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus delivered their first child. Of these primiparous women, 5223 women aged 18 years or more had height and weight data and complete data from a 75 g 2-h OGTT and formed the study cohort. According to the Finnish Current Care Guidelines for GDM, GDM should be screened using 2-h OGTT in all pregnant women, except those who are at low risk, e.g. primiparous women with BMI 18.5–24.9 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} without first-degree family history of diabetes.\textsuperscript{19}

Data on deliveries were obtained from the Finnish Medical Birth Register, kept by the National Institute for Health and Welfare, Finland, which collects the information from the delivery hospitals. The quality of the Finnish Medical Birth Register has been found to be good.\textsuperscript{20} The following information was obtained from this register: participants’ pregestational height, weight, previous pregnancies (miscarriages, induced abortions, or ectopic pregnancies) and deliveries, infertility treatment, GDM, and smoking during pregnancy.\textsuperscript{21} BMI was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by height\textsuperscript{2} (m\textsuperscript{2}) (kg/m\textsuperscript{2}).

Educational attainment was defined according to the number of years of schooling and obtained from Statistics Finland.\textsuperscript{22}

![Fig. 1 — Distribution of height and percentage of primiparous women with and without GDM according to height. Cutoffs were: I < 159 cm, II = 159–163 cm, III = 164–167 cm, IV = 168–172 cm, and V > 172 cm. GDM = gestational diabetes mellitus.](image-url)
GDM was defined as one or more pathological glucose values in a 75 g 2-h OGTT with the following diagnostic thresholds: fasting plasma glucose ≥5.3 mmol/L, 1-h glucose ≥10.0 mmol/L, and 2-h glucose ≥8.6 mmol/L.19

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as means with range or standard deviations (SD) or as counts with percentages. Standardized values were divided into five height level categories using z-values: I (0.319 to <1.15), and V (≥1.15) corresponding to grades containing 12.5%, 25%, 25%, 25%, and 12.5% of the total distribution. Statistical significance for the hypothesis was evaluated by using generalized linear models (e.g. analysis of variance or regression models) with appropriate distribution and link function. In the case of violation of the assumptions (e.g. normality), a bootstrap type test was used. A possible non-linear relationship between GDM or 2-h OGTT and the height was assessed by using 5-knot restricted cubic spline regression or quadratic models. The normality of the variables was tested by using the Shapiro–Wilk test. The time-weighted

### Table 1 – Characteristics of the study participants (N = 5223) according to height categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm), mean (range)</td>
<td>155 (135–158)</td>
<td>161 (159–163)</td>
<td>165 (164–167)</td>
<td>170 (168–172)</td>
<td>176 (173–190)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>28.9 (4.9)</td>
<td>29.2 (4.8)</td>
<td>29.4 (4.8)</td>
<td>29.5 (4.8)</td>
<td>29.9 (4.7)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohabiting, n (%)</td>
<td>565 (82)</td>
<td>1049 (82)</td>
<td>1004 (82)</td>
<td>1105 (82)</td>
<td>586 (85)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers, n (%)</td>
<td>97 (14)</td>
<td>189 (15)</td>
<td>179 (15)</td>
<td>202 (15)</td>
<td>94 (14)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg), mean (SD)</td>
<td>59.0 (11.6)</td>
<td>63.4 (11.3)</td>
<td>67.1 (13.0)</td>
<td>71.2 (14.3)</td>
<td>75.5 (15.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), mean (SD)</td>
<td>24.4 (4.7)</td>
<td>24.4 (4.3)</td>
<td>24.5 (4.7)</td>
<td>24.7 (5.0)</td>
<td>24.5 (4.9)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of schooling, mean (SD)</td>
<td>12.9 (2.8)</td>
<td>13.4 (2.7)</td>
<td>13.6 (2.6)</td>
<td>13.7 (2.7)</td>
<td>13.9 (2.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous pregnancies, n (%)</td>
<td>149 (22)</td>
<td>258 (20)</td>
<td>242 (20)</td>
<td>291 (22)</td>
<td>112 (16)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infertility treatments, n (%)</td>
<td>48 (7)</td>
<td>112 (9)</td>
<td>118 (10)</td>
<td>149 (11)</td>
<td>74 (11)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fetuses ≥2</td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>20 (2)</td>
<td>18 (1)</td>
<td>12 (1)</td>
<td>10 (1)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index; SD = standard deviation.

* P-value for linearity.

Fig. 2 – Prevalence of and estimated risk for GDM among primiparous women according to height. The left panel shows the prevalence of GDM in five height categories, adjusted for age, years of education, prepregnancy BMI, and previous pregnancies. The right panel shows the estimated risk for GDM at different height compared with 165 cm tall women (average height in the study cohort), adjusted for age, years of education, prepregnancy BMI, and previous pregnancies. The odds ratios were derived from a 5-knot restricted cubic spline model. Cutoffs for height categories were I < 159 cm, II = 159–163 cm, III = 164–167 cm, IV = 168–172 cm, and V > 172 cm. BMI = body mass index; GDM = gestational diabetes mellitus; OR = odds ratio.
area under the curve (AUC) for plasma glucose during the OGTT was determined by the trapezoidal method. Stata 14.1 (StataCorp LP; College Station, Texas, USA) statistical package was used for the analysis.

**Results**

**Characteristics of the study participants according to height categories**

A histogram showing height in the primiparous women with and without GDM is shown in Fig. 1; the mean height of the study participants was 165.5 (6.3) cm. Table 1 presents characteristics of the women according to five height categories; the cutoffs were: I < 159 cm, II = 159–163 cm, III = 164–167 cm, IV = 168–172 cm, and V > 172 cm. Height was positively associated with age, educational attainment, and infertility treatments \( (P < 0.001) \). In relation to the study participants’ prepregnancy BMI, no statistically significant differences were observed between the height categories \( (P = 0.32) \).

**Height and GDM**

Fig. 2 shows the prevalence of GDM in different height categories, adjusted for age, years of education, prepregnancy BMI, and previous pregnancies. The women in the lowest height category (<159 cm) had the highest prevalence of GDM, 28.7%, whereas the women in the height category 164–167 cm had the lowest prevalence of GDM, 19.9% \( (P < 0.001) \), similar to the prevalence in the two highest height categories. Among women who were 155 cm tall, the odds ratio (OR) for GDM was 1.49 (95% CI: 1.21–1.83) compared with 165-cm tall women after adjustment for age, years of education, prepregnancy BMI, and previous pregnancies (Fig. 2).

Height was inversely associated with 1- and 2-h glucose concentrations (both \( P < 0.001 \)) and glucose AUC \( (P < 0.001) \) during the OGTT (Table 2). Glucose AUC was inversely associated with height among women without GDM and with GDM (both \( P < 0.001) \) (Fig. 3).

**Height, prepregnancy BMI, and GDM**

Short primiparous women with prepregnancy BMI <25 kg/m² had a high risk for GDM (Fig. 4); 155-cm tall women had an OR of 1.54 (95% CI: 1.16–2.04) compared with women 165 cm tall (adjusted for age, years of education, and previous pregnancies). Among women with prepregnancy BMI between 25.0 and 29.9 kg/m², 155-cm tall women had an OR 1.57 (95% CI: 1.04–2.38) compared with 165-cm tall women.

**Table 2** – Glucose concentrations during a 2-h 75-g OGTT and AUC in different height categories among primiparous women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-h OGTT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-h mmol/L, mean (SD)</td>
<td>4.81 (0.45)</td>
<td>4.77 (0.40)</td>
<td>4.77 (0.41)</td>
<td>4.78 (0.42)</td>
<td>4.81 (0.38)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-h mmol/L, mean (SD)</td>
<td>7.93 (1.87)</td>
<td>7.59 (1.77)</td>
<td>7.54 (1.74)</td>
<td>7.39 (1.75)</td>
<td>7.24 (1.72)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-h mmol/L, mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.84 (1.58)</td>
<td>6.54 (1.46)</td>
<td>6.46 (1.43)</td>
<td>6.29 (1.43)</td>
<td>5.96 (1.37)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>6.88 (1.30)</td>
<td>6.62 (1.20)</td>
<td>6.58 (1.19)</td>
<td>6.46 (1.20)</td>
<td>6.31 (1.14)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUC = time-weighted area under the curve; 2-h OGTT = 2-h 75-g oral glucose tolerance test; SD = standard deviation.

* P-value for linearity adjusted for age, years of education, prepregnancy body mass index, and previous pregnancies. Cutoffs for height categories were: I < 159 cm, II = 159–163 cm, III = 164–167 cm, IV = 168–172 cm, and V > 172 cm.
The upper panel shows age-adjusted prevalence of GDM among primiparous women in different prepregnancy BMI categories by height. The lower panel shows age-adjusted odds ratios for GDM among primiparous women in different prepregnancy BMI categories according to height compared with primiparous women with 165 cm height (average height in the study cohort). The models were derived from a 5-knot restricted cubic splines model and adjusted for age, years for education, and previous pregnancies. BMI = body mass index; GDM = gestational diabetes mellitus; OR = odds ratio.
corresponding values for 175-cm tall women were OR 1.55 (95% CI: 1.02–2.35) compared with 165-cm tall women (both adjusted for age, years of education, and previous pregnancies). Fig. 4 shows the prevalence (adjusted for age and previous pregnancies) of GDM among primiparous women in different BMI categories according to height.

GDM was diagnosed at a lower degree of adiposity in women of short stature. Fig. 5 illustrates that 155-cm tall women and with a mean BMI of 25.5 kg/m² had a similar risk for GDM as women with BMI of 26.4 kg/m² and who were 165 cm tall and women with BMI of 27.1 kg/m² who were 175 cm (all adjusted for age).

**Discussion**

According to our observations, GDM was diagnosed at a lower degree of adiposity in short primiparous women compared with primiparous women of taller stature. Primiparous women in the lowest height quintile (<159 cm) had a prevalence of GDM of 28.7%. Furthermore, short stature was associated with higher postprandial glucose concentrations but not with fasting glucose concentrations.

Also normal weight primiparous women (BMI < 25.0 kg/m²) with short stature had an elevated risk for GDM, whereas in women at average or tall stature, no such association was observed. In primiparous women with BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m², the association between height and GDM seemed to be U-shaped. Among obese primiparous women again, height showed no association with GDM. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to simultaneously assess the association between height, degree of adiposity, and the development of GDM in primiparous women.

Our study findings show that primiparous women with short stature had elevated risk for GDM. This observation is consistent with the previous findings including both primiparous and multiparous women.\(^9\)–\(^{12,14}\)–\(^{16}\) According to our findings, primiparous women's short stature was associated with higher postprandial glucose concentrations but not with fasting glucose concentrations. These observations endorse the previous findings in primiparous and multiparous Brazilian and Korean women.\(^{10,12}\) On the whole, previous data on the association between height and GDM in primiparous women are very limited; most previous studies have pooled primiparous and multiparous women.\(^9\)–\(^{12,15,16,23}\)

In the present study, primiparous women with tall stature were significantly older than women with short stature. One explanatory factor can be educational attainment; tall primiparous women had higher educational attainment. Women with low educational attainment have high incidence of GDM, which is mainly due to higher rates of adiposity.\(^{24,25}\)

GDM is a multifactorial disease, and several factors affect the development of GDM including insulin resistance, inadequate insulin secretion from pancreatic \(\beta\)-cells, genetic susceptibility, and a sedentary lifestyle.\(^{26,27}\) Usually, insulin

---

**Fig. 5** – Relationship between height, prepregnancy BMI, and GDM in primiparous women. The left panel shows mean prepregnancy BMI in primiparous women at different height with or without GDM, adjusted for age. The right panel shows the differences in mean prepregnancy BMI among primiparous women at different height with or without GDM, adjusted for age. The dashed line refers to women without GDM. BMI = body mass index; GDM = gestational diabetes mellitus.
resistance increases with the insulin-desensitizing effects of the hormonal products of the placenta and with an increase in maternal adiposity.\textsuperscript{28} Height also plays an important role. It is widely known that muscle tissue is the major tissue involved in glucose uptake and people with short stature have less muscle mass—although not necessarily a lower percentage of muscle tissue relative to total body mass—than taller people.\textsuperscript{28–31} Furthermore, a recently published study among non-pregnant people showed that height showed a positive association with β-cell function and insulin sensitivity.\textsuperscript{31} In addition, the ability to absorb and metabolize glucose in a standard OGTT seems to differ according to stature of the individual; individuals with short stature and with low fat-free mass absorb glucose slower, which is seen in a standard OGTT as high postprandial glycemic levels.\textsuperscript{30,32} Our study findings endorse these observations.

The following are the strengths of our study. Our study cohort is comprehensive; all primiparous women from the city of Vantaa, the fourth largest city in Finland, over a seven-year time period are included. The diagnosis of GDM was based on a 75 g standard 2-h oral glucose tolerance test. Health care professionals measured height and it was not based on self-reports. In addition, the quality of Finnish register data is high.\textsuperscript{25}

Our study has limitations. We did not have any information on family history of diabetes, body composition, gestational weight gain, physical activity, diet, or sleeping patterns. Furthermore, because almost 95% of the study population had European background and less than 4% had Asian background, the study findings cannot be generalized.

In conclusion, there seems to be a risk that women with short stature are diagnosed to have GDM because of application of a standard glucose load. Further studies are needed to find out an unbiased way to diagnose GDM in women of different stature and with different BMI.
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