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Surface coverage dependent mechanisms for the absorption and desorption of hydrogen from the W(110) and W(100) surfaces: a DFT investigation.
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Abstract: Herein we investigate absorption and desorption of hydrogen in the sub-surface of tungsten via Density Functional Theory. Both the near-surface diffusion and recombination of a bulk hydrogen atom with a hydrogen atom adsorbed upon the W(110) and W(100) surfaces are investigated at various surface adsorption coverage ratios. This study intends to model the desorption processes occurring during Thermal-Desorption Spectroscopy experiments and the absorption of hydrogen during gaseous or low energy atomic exposure. Since the diffusion and recombination processes are expected to change as the hydrogen coverage of the surface varies, different coverage ratios were investigated on both surfaces. We found that at saturation coverage of hydrogen on both surfaces, the activation barriers for the recombination of molecular hydrogen are below 0.8 eV. On the contrary, below saturation, the activation barriers for recombination rise to 1.35 eV and 1.51 eV depending on the coverage and on the orientation of the surface. Regarding the absorption of atomic hydrogen from the surface into the bulk, the activation barrier raises from less than 1.0 eV at saturation to around 1.7 eV below saturation on both surfaces. These results indicate that surface mechanisms certainly play a significant role in the kinetics of desorption of hydrogen from tungsten; it is also expected that surface mechanisms affect the total amount on hydrogen absorbed in tungsten during implantation.
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1. Introduction

One of the main challenges of modern society is to find a reliable source of energy other than fossil fuel. Nuclear fusion emerges as a possible, clean and efficient way to produce energy. However, one key aspect of feasible fusion power reactors is the choice of suitable plasma facing materials (PFM). Tungsten (W) has a high melting point, low tritium solubility, high thermal conductivity, and a high sputtering threshold [1–4]; it constitutes the divertor plates of JET [5,6], WEST [7] and future ITER tokamaks [8,9]. However, during interactions between the plasma and the wall, W materials will be irradiated by a high flux of hydrogen isotopes (~10^{-24} \text{ m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}). These hydrogen isotopes can enter the material and be trapped in it, which is one of the main concerns from the safety point of view; the amount of tritium is regulation-limited inside the vacuum vessel. The retention of hydrogen isotopes is also a source of concern for operating the machine, since the recycling flux of molecules/atoms/ions from the wall to the plasma can affect the plasma operations [10,11]. In order to better understand both these safety and operating issues, many theoretical and experimental modeling activities are led in laboratories; the main objective is to achieve a full understanding of hydrogen-tungsten interactions and to establish reliable models with predictable capabilities describing the behavior of hydrogen in tungsten depending on the experimental conditions.

From the experimental point of view, much effort has been put on Thermal Desorption Spectroscopy (TDS), also called Temperature Programmed Desorption (TPD) [12–15], and on ion beam analysis, such as Nuclear Reaction Analysis (NRA) [16–19] or Second Ions Mass Spectrometry (SIMS) [20]. Elastic Recoil Detection Analysis (ERDA) [21], Low Energy Ion Scattering (LEIS) and Direct Recoil Spectroscopy (DRS) [22–24] are also used, mostly to gain information on the surface properties. TDS can access global information related to the binding state of hydrogen in the bulk and on the surface, while ion beam analysis accesses local
information on the concentration of hydrogen isotopes at depth up to a few micrometers (NRA, SIMS).

From the theoretical point of view, calculations and simulations have been carried out from the atomistic scales using Density Functional Theory (DFT) [24–38] and Molecular Dynamics (MD) [39–43], to the macroscopic scale using Kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) [44–47] and Macroscopic Rate Equations (MRE) [15,48–60]. Combining both these approaches results in the commonly called multi-scale approach, in which KMC [44,45] and MRE models are parametrized with available DFT data. But in most of the published KMC or MRE models, due to the lack of available DFT data, the surface processes for hydrogen are either neglected [49,51–56,59] or considered in a simplified way with models making use of the recombination coefficient for hydrogen [15,48,58,60]. An accurate experimental value of the recombination coefficient is nevertheless unestablished due to large uncertainty from available measurements [61].

There is thus a real need to consider surface effects in great detail, including the recombination/adsorption of hydrogen from the various tungsten surfaces; an experimental study by Markelj et al. [62] show that the penetration of low energy atom in tungsten is mostly limited by surface processes. Furthermore, a study by 't Hoen et al [63] seems to suggest that surface processes are also limiting the absorption of D even for 5eV/D ions. Such low energies can be reached in the detached regime of the divertor plasma in tokamaks [64].

Consequently, surface processes have been recently included in MRE models [50,57,65]. These approaches are based on a kinetic model from Pick et al. [66,67] who considers three distinct regions in the system; they are the vacuum (v), the (sub-)surface (s) and the bulk (b). The time evolution of the concentration of hydrogen is solved, considering the flux of hydrogen exchanged between each of these regions, which corresponds to the processes of desorption (s→v), absorption (s→b), and surfacing (b→s). As a consequence, the input data for the surface
model in MRE codes are the activation energies of each of these processes. The activation energies for desorption at the surface are expected to be highly dependent on the surface coverage, as is suggested by experimental studies [62,68–71]. Some previous theoretical studies attempted to determine part of the absorption and surfacing energies on the W(110) and W(100) bare surfaces [27,28,30,38]; however, to our knowledge, the dependence of these mechanisms with the hydrogen coverage has never been computationally investigated for hydrogen in tungsten.

This missing knowledge motivates the objectives of the present paper to establish surface-coverage dependent mechanisms and energetics for 1) the diffusion of hydrogen in the sub-surface region, 2) the recombination into molecular hydrogen on top of the tungsten surface, and 3) the absorption of hydrogen into the bulk. This work consequently aims at providing relevant activation energies and at determining their dependence with respect to the coverage in hydrogen of the surface.

This paper is organized as follows: after giving the details of the calculations in section 2, we first provide the results obtained at saturation of hydrogen on the W(110) and W(100) surfaces. The activation barriers and diffusion paths are provided for a hydrogen atom diffusing from the bulk to the surface and reversely, and the recombination processes occurring at the surface are examined and characterized by their activation energies in section 3. In section 4, the W(110) and W(100) surfaces are considered below saturation, at coverage 0.75 and 0.5, respectively, in order to mimic the surface depletion under heating up of the sample during TPD experiments. In section 5, results from simulations are discussed and compared to the ones available in the literature before a conclusion is given in section 6.
2. Computational Methods

2.1 - Electronic structure calculations

All the DFT calculations were performed with Quantum Espresso code [72] using the PBE [73] exchange-correlation functional and corresponding Vanderbilt ultra-soft scalar-relativistic pseudo-potentials (USPP) [74] for hydrogen and tungsten. We used energy cutoffs of 40 Ry and 320 Ry for the wave function and electron density, respectively. A Marzari-Vanderbilt (MV) smearing [75] scheme with a Gaussian broadening of $5 \times 10^{-2} \text{Ry}$ and a k-point sampling of $9 \times 9 \times 1$ for W (110) and $7 \times 7 \times 1$ for W(100) were shown to be converged. In order to have an accurate description of the interaction between hydrogen atoms and the tungsten surfaces, we considered 14 valence and semi-core electrons for tungsten atoms as in our recent publication [24,25]. The convergence threshold for the self-consistency for the electronic calculations was chosen at $10^{-7} \text{Ry}$ and was extended to $10^{-9} \text{Ry}$ for phonon calculations. The geometry of the atoms in the unit-cell were geometrically relaxed to a force convergence threshold of $10^{-5} \text{Ry.Å^{-1}}$. This threshold was extended to $10^{-7} \text{Ry.Å^{-1}}$ when phonon calculations were performed. Phonons were calculated via Density Functional Perturbation Theory DFPT [76] to provide zero-point energy (ZPE) corrections. The ZPE correct energies are indicated with ZPE in superscript as $E^{ZPE}$ in the reminder of the text. It should be noted that the ZPE corrections were only applied for H atoms, not for W atoms. The validity of this approximation was already discussed in a previous paper [25].

2.2 - Model of the W(100) and W(110) surfaces

In this work, we used six-layer slabs to model the W(100) and W(110) surfaces with adsorbed hydrogen. Each configuration discussed in the paper was geometrically optimized to its local minimum. All of the atoms were relaxed during the geometry optimization procedure except the fifth and sixth layers (the bottom two layers) which were held fixed to the bulk geometry.
This model was already shown to provide the depth necessary for well converged surface properties [24]. The surfaces we used are a rhombus working-cell for the W(110) surface and a 2×2 working cell for the W(100) surface; both surface models are displayed in Figure 1. The primitive vector of the bulk unit cell are consistent with our previous DFT studies at \( a_{\text{bulk}} = 3.187 \text{ Å} \) [25]. The rhombohedral slab model of the W(110) surface was built using a monoclinic Bravais lattice with unit-vectors of \( a = b = \sqrt{3} a_{\text{bulk}} \) and \( c = 31.268 \text{ Å} \). The 2×2 surface model of the W(100) surface was built with \( a = b = 2a_{\text{bulk}} \) and \( c = 28.068 \text{ Å} \). The surface area of each working-cell is 30.471Å² and 40.628Å² for the W(110) and W(100) models, respectively. Both models include a 20.0 Å vacuum in the c-direction.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1:** Definition of the working cell used to model the (a) W(110) and (b) W(100) surfaces. Both surfaces are pictured here with one monolayer of hydrogen (blue atoms represent the surface hydrogens), corresponding to coverage \( \Theta = 1.0 \) on W(110) and coverage \( \Theta = 1.0 \) on W(100).

### 2.3 - Calculation of hydrogen-tungsten interactions

In the following, we consider the tungsten surfaces at different macroscopic coverages \( \Theta \) in hydrogen, with \( \Theta = \frac{n_{H_{\text{adsorbed}}}}{n_{W_{\text{surface}}}} \). An extra hydrogen atom is added below the surface, whose solution energy \( \Delta E_{\text{sol}} \) according to equation (1) is:
The extra-hydrogen atom diffuses and reaches the surface. Configurations where the extra hydrogen atom resides on the surface correspond to a local coverage of \( \Theta'' = \Theta + 1/n_{\text{surf}} \) in the unit-cell, which is \( \Theta'' = \Theta + 0.25 \) in the present study (since both the W(100) and W(110) are comprised of 4 surface tungsten atoms in the unit-cell). Two hydrogen atoms on the surface finally recombine and a \( \text{H}_2 \) molecule is formed leaving the surface with a local coverage \( \Theta' = \Theta - 1/n_{\text{surf}} \) (\( \Theta' = \Theta - 0.25 \)). This led us to define an embedding energy \( \Delta E_{\text{emb}} \) for the \( \text{H}_2 \) molecule, the zero energy or reference is defined when the \( \text{H}_2 \) molecule is away from the surface and the surface of the working-cell is at coverage \( \Theta' \):

\[
\Delta E_{\text{emb}} = E_{\Theta' + \text{H}_2\text{bulk}} - (E_{\text{W}_{\Theta'}} + E_{\text{H}_2})
\]

Minimum energy paths for the relevant processes are calculated when necessary using the Nudge Elastic Band (NEB) technique [77,78], which yields the activation energy (\( E^\pm \)) for diffusion and recombination at the surface. The NEB calculations were considered converged once the norm of the forces orthogonal to the path are less than \( 5 \times 10^{-5} \) eV.Å\(^{-1}\). Pathways of the overall processes are constructed via a series of NEB paths. Each corresponds to the connection of two minima passing through a single transition state. All the paths were further concatenated yielding the total minimum energy path leading up from the bulk to the top of the surface where hydrogen recombination occurs.

3. Hydrogen desorption from the saturated W(110) and W(100) surfaces

3.1 - Stable interstitial positions

Previous DFT [24] and experimental [68,69,22–24] studies determined the saturation limit of hydrogen on tungsten; it is reached at coverage \( \Theta = 1.0 \) on the W(110) surface, and at coverage \( \Theta = 2.0 \) on the W(100) surface. As a consequence, we first investigated coverages \( \Theta = 1.0 \) and \( \Theta = 2.0 \) in their most stable configurations on the W(110) and W(100) surfaces, respectively;
top view representations are given in Figure 1.

The solution energy and recombination properties of hydrogen were examined by placing an extra hydrogen atom below the surface at an interstitial site of tetrahedral (T\textsubscript{d}) symmetry. A diffusion path was further constructed; it connects neighboring T\textsubscript{d} site from the surface to the bottom of the model. T\textsubscript{d} sites are labeled staring from Z\textsubscript{1} at the surface to Z\textsubscript{6} or Z\textsubscript{7} deeper in the sub-surface. As each configuration was geometrically relaxed, the distance between two neighboring T\textsubscript{d} sites varies within ±0.02Å but remains close to its value in the bulk at 1.19Å. More details on the calculated path are given in the supplementary information.

3.2 - Hydrogen desorption/absorption from the W(110) saturated surface (coverage \(\theta = 1.0\))

Table 1 shows the absorption \(\Delta E_{emb}\) and solution \(\Delta E_{sol}\) energies of a hydrogen atom occupying the Z\textsubscript{1}-Z\textsubscript{7} T\textsubscript{d} sites below the hydrogen-saturated unit-cell at coverage \(\theta = 1.0\). ZPE corrected energies are also displayed. The ZPE correction being different for hydrogen in the gas phase and in tungsten, its main effect is to offset embedding and solution energy values by around 0.1 to 0.2 eV. The depth at which the hydrogen atom resides is \(d_{H-surf}\). The reference \(d_{H-surf} = 0\) is defined by the tungsten atom with maximum height; it is negative for hydrogen below the surface, positive for hydrogen above.

On top of the W(110) surface, two distinct configurations are observed; one of them corresponds to the most stable configuration for coverage \(\theta'' = 1.25\) and is referred to as S\textsubscript{0}, the other corresponds to an intermediate state toward recombination and is referred as S\textsubscript{1}. Above the surface in S\textsubscript{ref}, a H\textsubscript{2} molecule lies about 6 Å above the tungsten surface.
Table 1: Embedding and solution energies as defined in equation 1 and 2. The Z_j refer to
the location of the interstitial sites below the W(110) surface. The distance from Z_j to the
surface is given by d_{H-surf}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z_j</th>
<th>ΔE_{emb}</th>
<th>ΔE_{sol}</th>
<th>ΔE^{ZPE}_{emb}</th>
<th>ΔE^{ZPE}_{sol}</th>
<th>d_{H-surf} (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S_{ref}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>+6.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_1</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>+1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_0</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>+1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_1</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_2</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_4</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>-2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_6</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_7</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-5.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The diffusion path of a hydrogen atom moving from the bulk to the surface is the minimum
energy path (MEP) joining the nearest neighbors Z_j displayed in Figure 2 and Table 1. The Z_j
and Z_{j-1} configurations were used as the initial and final positions of individual NEB
calculations. The full diffusion path is shown in Figure 2. Of course, some other paths could
have been selected. A hydrogen atom is likely to take lateral steps on its way towards the
surface. Nevertheless, due to the absence of significant surface reconstruction on the W(110)
surface, the energy profile would not be significantly affected by varied lateral moves in the
sub-surface or bulk regions. We consequently choose the shortest path possible leading from
the bulk to the surface, under the constraint that the system passes through the minimal energy
surface states.

Figure 2a presents the complete energy profile of a hydrogen atom diffusing across the W(110)
surface at coverage θ = 1.0. The abscissa corresponds to the reaction co-ordinate (Z_j) and the
ordinate to the embedding energy $\Delta E_{emb}$ defined in eq. (2). All the activation energies $E^\ddagger$ are reported in Table 2 in both directions: toward the surface $E^\ddagger_{\rightarrow surf}$ and toward the bulk $E^\ddagger_{\rightarrow bulk}$. These energies were taken from the NEB calculations and are consequently not ZPE corrected.

Figure 2b displays each of the $Z_j$ positions in correspondence with the minima shown in Figure 2a.

Table 2: Activation energies toward the surface $E^\ddagger_{\rightarrow surf}$ and toward the bulk $E^\ddagger_{\rightarrow bulk}$ between $Z_j$ and $Z_{j+1}$ for the W(110) surface at coverage $\Theta = 1.0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Z_j - Z_{j+1}$</th>
<th>$E^\ddagger_{\rightarrow surf}$</th>
<th>$E^\ddagger_{\rightarrow bulk}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_{ref} - S_1$</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_1 - S_0$</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_0 - Z_1$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_1 - Z_2$</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_2 - Z_3$</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_3 - Z_4$</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_4 - Z_5$</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_5 - Z_6$</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_6 - Z_7$</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2: (a) embedding energy $\Delta E_{emb}$ plotted versus the location of the hydrogen atom along the path leading from the bulk to vacuum at coverage $\theta = 1.0$ of the W(110) surface. (b) schematic cartoons of the stable $S_1$ and $Z_j$ positions along this path.

The energy profile exhibits four distinct regions:

(a) The bulk region extends from the bottom ($Z_6$ and below) up to the $Z_4$ position around 3 Å below the surface. It is characterized by an activation energy for diffusion between $E^\dagger = 0.19$ eV and $E^\ddagger = 0.21$ eV (Table 2), in excellent agreement with the one determined into the bulk with no ZPE correction at $E^\ddagger = 0.20$ eV [25,34] and 0.21 eV [29]. The solution energy $\Delta E_{sol}$ is also in excellent agreement with the bulk properties: it is around $\Delta E_{sol} = 0.85$ eV ($\Delta E_{sol}^{ZPE} = 0.98$ eV) (Table 1) and was previously...
determined at $\Delta E_{sol} = 0.93 \text{eV}$ ($\Delta E_{sol}^{ZPE} = 1.04 \text{eV}$) in the bulk [25] using the same methodology.

(b) The sub-surface region extends over the $Z_4$ to $Z_1$ positions up to 0.5 Å below the surface. It is characterized by barriers of diffusion in the range of $E^\dagger = 0.11 \text{eV}$ to $E^\ddagger = 0.33 \text{eV}$ (Table 2) scattered around the bulk value at $E^\dagger = 0.20 \text{eV}$, while the solution energy remains in the same range as the one of the bulk at $\Delta E_{sol} = 0.93 \text{eV}$ (Table 1); a slight increase in $\Delta E_{sol}$ is however observed up to 1.07 eV, in good agreement with a previous study led on the bare W(110) surface by Sun et al. [79] and Yang et al [80].

(c) The surface region characterizes itself by a solution energy that drops almost to zero (Table 1), which is consistent with the well-known tendency of $\text{H}_2$ to dissociate on tungsten. In this region the unit-cell has a local coverage of $\Theta'' = 1.25$. The energy profile displays a diffusion step on the surface from $S_1$ to $S_0$. Then from $S_0$ a bond is formed with a hydrogen atom adsorbed on the surface (Figure 3b) leading to the formation of a $\text{H}_2$ molecule. The activation energy for surface diffusion is $E^\dagger_{S_0 \rightarrow S_1} = 0.48 \text{eV}$ and for recombination is $E^\ddagger_{S_1 \rightarrow S_{\text{ref}}} = 0.62 \text{eV}$, the difference in energy between $S_0$ and $S_1$ being 0.18 eV. In the end, the overall barrier for desorption is $E^\dagger_{S_0 \rightarrow S_{\text{ref}}} = 0.80 \text{eV}$. In the backward direction, the absorption energy to jump back into the sub-surface region is $E^\ddagger_{S_1 \rightarrow Z_1} = 0.90 \text{eV}$.

(d) In the vacuum region, a hydrogen molecule is formed, and the surface is left with a local coverage of $\Theta' = 0.75$, which corresponds to the reference state with an embedding energy $\Delta E_{emb}$ is zero.

The details of the path from the $S_0$ position up to the recombination in the gas phase are provided in the supplementary information.
3.3 - Hydrogen desorption/absorption from the W(100) saturated surface (coverage \( \theta = 2.0 \))

Table 3 shows the embedding \( \Delta E_{emb} \) and solution \( \Delta E_{sol} \) energies of a hydrogen atom occupying the Z₁-Z₆ Tₐ sites below the hydrogen-saturated unit-cell at coverage \( \theta = 2.0 \). ZPE corrected energies are also displayed. Again, the main effect of the ZPE correction is to offset embedding and solution energy values by around 0.1 to 0.2 eV. As on the W(110) surface, two distinct configurations are observed and are labeled S₀ and S₁. In the S₀ configuration, the geometry of the ad-layer corresponds to the stable configuration for a coverage of \( \theta'' = 2.25 \) on the W(100) surface.

Table 3: Embedding and solution energies as defined in equation 1 and 2. The Zₗ refer to the location of the interstitial sites below the W(100). The distance from Zₗ to the surface is given by \( d_{H-surf} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( Z_j )</th>
<th>( \Delta E_{emb} )</th>
<th>( \Delta E_{sol} )</th>
<th>( \Delta E^{ZPE}_{emb} )</th>
<th>( \Delta E^{ZPE}_{sol} )</th>
<th>( d_{H-surf} ) (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( S_{\text{ref}} )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>+3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_1 )</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>+1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_0 )</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>+0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_1 )</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_2 )</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>-1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_3 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_4 )</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_5 )</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_6 )</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-4.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4 shows the full energy profile corresponding to the desorption mechanism of hydrogen atom from the saturated W(100) surface at coverage \( \theta = 2.0 \). The activation energies between \( Z_j \) and \( Z_{j-1} \) in W(100) are reported in Table 4 toward the surface \( E^{k}_{\text{surf}} \) and toward the bulk.
\( E^{\dagger}_{\text{bulk}} \). They were taken from the NEB calculations and are not ZPE corrected. Here again, different paths could have been selected and for computational efficiency, we chose the shortest path connecting the minimal energy stationary states leading from the bulk to the surface.

**Table 4:** Activation energies toward the surface \( E^{\dagger}_{\text{surf}} \) and toward the bulk \( E^{\dagger}_{\text{bulk}} \) between \( Z_j \) and \( Z_{j+1} \) for the W(100) surface at coverage \( \theta = 2.0 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( Z_j - Z_{j+1} )</th>
<th>( E^{\dagger}_{\text{surf}} )</th>
<th>( E^{\dagger}_{\text{bulk}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( S_{\text{ref}} - S_1 )</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_1 - S_0 )</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_0 - Z_1 )</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_1 - Z_2 )</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_2 - Z_3 )</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_3 - Z_4 )</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_4 - Z_5 )</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z_5 - Z_6 )</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3: (a) Embedding energy $\Delta E_{emb}$ plotted versus the location of the hydrogen atom along the path leading from the bulk to vacuum at coverage $\Theta = 2.0$ of the W(100) surface. (b) schematic cartoons of the stable $S_i$ and $Z_j$ positions along this path.

Similar to the case of the saturated W(110) profile, the energy profile for the W(100) saturated surface also displays four distinct regions:

(a) The bulk region extends from the bottom ($Z_6$ and below) up to the $Z_4$ position, around 3.2 Å below the surface. The activation energy for diffusion is $E^\dagger = 0.20$ eV (Table 4) as in the bulk. The solution energy is at $\Delta E_{sol} = 0.83$ eV ($\Delta E_{sol}^{ZPE} = 0.93$ eV) (Table 3) close to its bulk value at $\Delta E_{sol} = 0.93$ eV ($\Delta E_{sol}^{ZPE} = 1.04$) eV

(b) The sub-surface region extends over the $Z_4$ to $Z_1$ positions up to 0.8 Å below the
surface. The diffusion profile is again distorted, but the solution energy monotonously decreases to $\Delta E_{sol} = 0.44$ eV (Table 3) when approaching the surface. This trend is comparable to the one below the bare W(100) surface [79].

(c) On the saturated surface, the solution energy drops to almost zero (Table 3), where the total local coverage of the unit-cell reaches $\theta'' = 2.25$. The diffusion and recombination steps display activation energies of $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_1}^\dagger = 0.37$ eV and $E_{S_1 \rightarrow S_{ref}}^\dagger = 0.64$ eV toward the surface, respectively. Combining both the diffusion and recombination step leads to an overall barrier for desorption of $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_{ref}}^\dagger = 0.78$ eV. In the opposite direction, the absorption mechanism to diffuse back into the sub-surface region is made of multiple steps involving energy barriers below 0.5 eV given in Table 4. As discussed in the supplementary information, due to the strong asymmetry of these barriers, this multi-step process from $S_0$ to $Z_3$ can be approximated by a single step with an activation energy $E_{S_0 \rightarrow Z_3}^\dagger = 0.99$ eV as shown in Figure 3a. The same approximation is used above from the $S_0$ to $S_{ref}$ positions.

(d) In vacuum, a hydrogen molecule is formed, leaving the surface of the unit-cell with a local coverage of $\theta' = 1.75$ and the embedding energy $\Delta E_{emb}$ at zero (reference state again).

The details of the path from the $S_0$ position up to the recombination in the gas phase are provided in the supplementary information.

4. Recombination and absorption mechanisms below saturation

The coverage ratio of hydrogen on tungsten is known to decrease with increasing temperature up to the point where the bare surface of tungsten is recovered. The obvious consequence is that the desorption and reversely the absorption mechanisms are modified accordingly. Of
course, we do not have here the computational capability to run NEB calculations at each coverage. Nevertheless, in order to determine the general trend that governs the evolution of the absorption/desorption mechanism with evolving coverages, we ran additional NEB calculations on selected coverages at $\theta = 0.75$ and $\theta = 0.50$ on the W(110) surface, and $\theta = 0.50$ on the W(100).

4.1 Hydrogen desorption/absorption mechanisms on W(110) below saturation ($\theta = 0.75$)

Figure 4 shows the desorption and recombination mechanism of hydrogen on W(110) with $\theta = 0.75$ for the path we selected. The energy at $S_0$ corresponds to the most stable $\theta = 0.75$ adsorption pattern. While other adsorption patterns are possible at coverage $\theta = 0.75$, such configurations have higher energy and their probability to exist would be negligible, as will be shown in a forthcoming publication. Again, we choose the shortest path connecting the minimal energy stationary states leading from the bulk to the surface.

The general trend of the energy profile shown in Figure 4 is the same as the one observed on the saturated surface at coverage $\theta = 1.0$: the energy profile in the bulk and sub-surface regions behaves the same as before and the solution energy remains roughly around the bulk value. On the contrary, a drastic change appears on the surface: the activation energy for recombination leading to desorption rises dramatically from $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_{\text{ref}}}^{\dagger} = 0.78$ eV at saturation to $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_{\text{ref}}}^{\dagger} = 1.35$ eV at $\theta = 0.75$. The process for absorption into the bulk nearly doubles from $E_{S_0 \rightarrow z_1}^{\dagger} = 0.90$ eV at saturation to $E_{S_0 \rightarrow z_1}^{\dagger} = 1.75$ eV at $\theta = 0.75$. The same was calculated at coverage $\theta = 0.5$ (not shown) for which the activation energies for recombination and absorption are $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_{\text{ref}}}^{\dagger} = 1.42$ eV and $E_{S_1 \rightarrow z_1}^{\dagger} = 1.72$ eV, respectively.
Figure 4: Embedding energy $\Delta E_{\text{emb}}$ plotted versus the location of the hydrogen atom along the path leading from the bulk to vacuum at coverage $\theta = 0.75$ of the W(110) surface.

4.2 Hydrogen desorption/absorption mechanisms on W(100) below saturation ($\theta = 0.5$)

Figure 5 shows the desorption and recombination mechanism of a hydrogen atom from the bulk to the W(100) surface at coverage $\theta = 0.5$. Here the question of choosing another path for the diffusion in the sub-surface, surfacing and recombination of hydrogen is more relevant. As shown by Yang et al.[80], and because the surface reconstructs at coverage $\theta = 0.5$, the tetrahedral sites below the surface are not equivalent and depend on their location relative to a short-bridge (SB) or a long-bridge (LB) site above. Nevertheless, the LB site is 0.45 eV higher in energy than the SB sites, resulting in a higher activation barrier for recombination, making this process less likely to occur. Again, the shortest path connecting the minimal energy stationary states was selected.
The overall nature of the diffusion process shown in Figure 5 is the same as the one calculated on the saturated surface in the bulk and sub-surface regions. On the contrary, in the surface region, the recombination step displays a significant increase in its activation energy which surges to $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_{\text{ref}}}^\dagger = 1.51$ eV from $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_{\text{ref}}}^\dagger = 0.78$ eV at saturation. The mechanism for absorption into the bulk region is also significantly altered: while it is still made of multiple steps which we approximate by a single one from $S_0$ to $Z_3$, the global activation barrier surges to $E_{S_0 \rightarrow Z_3}^\dagger = 1.68$ eV from $E_{S_0 \rightarrow Z_3}^\dagger = 0.99$ eV at saturation. The validity of the “single-step” approximation is here even more legitimate than at saturation, since we see the strongest asymmetry of the involved activation barriers. Some details of the path from $S_0$ to $Z_3$ are given in the supplementary information along with the related activation energies.

**Figure 5:** embedding energy $\Delta E_{\text{emb}}$ plotted versus the location of the hydrogen atom along the path leading from the bulk to vacuum at coverage $\theta = 0.50$ of the W(100) surface.
5. Discussion

5.1 - Surface effects: absorption and desorption of hydrogen in tungsten

A common feature to all of the energy profiles presented in this work is the very small energy barrier $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_0}^+$ for the dissociation of a hydrogen molecule onto both the W(110) and W(100) surfaces. It never exceeds 0.11 eV on the saturated W(110) surface and commonly lies around 0.01 to 0.04 eV. This means that in the reverse direction, the activation energy to recombine two hydrogen atoms on a surface with macroscopic coverage $\Theta$ is well approximated as $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_0}^+ \approx -\Delta E_{emb}$, with $\Delta E_{emb}$ (eq. 2) taken at the $S_0$ surface site with no ZPE consistently with the NEB profile. The same approximation $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_0}^+ \approx -\Delta E_{emb}$ was made by Markelj and al. [62]. Nevertheless, in order to confirm the validity of this approximation, we reported in Tables 5 and 6 the numerical values of $-\Delta E_{emb}$ and the activation energies $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_0}^+$ extracted directly from the minimum energy paths calculated via NEB for different coverages $\Theta$ of the W(110) and W(100) surfaces. The comparison shows that the total activation barrier from $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_0}^+$ can be estimated with a very good approximation from $-\Delta E_{emb}$ taken at $S_0$; this approximation allows us to extend the set of coverages for which $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_0}^+$ can be estimated.

Tables 5 and 6 also provide the activation barrier for absorption into the bulk $E_{S_0 \rightarrow \text{bulk}}^+$ at each investigated coverage. For the W(110) surface, absorption is a one step process: it can be considered to take place between $S_0$ and $Z_1$, and the corresponding activation barrier is $E_{S_0 \rightarrow Z_1}^+$. For the W(100) surface, absorption is the result of a multistep process: it can be considered to take place between $S_0$ and $Z_3$, with the corresponding activation barrier $E_{S_0 \rightarrow Z_3}^+$. In order to adopt a unique notation, activation energies for hydrogen to penetrate below both surfaces are referred to as $E_{S_0 \rightarrow \text{bulk}}^+$ in Table 5 and 6 and throughout the rest of the paper.
Table 5: Approximated ($-\Delta E_{emb}$) and directly calculated values from NEBs ($E_{S_0 \rightarrow s_{ref}}^\dagger$) of the activation barriers for recombination of two hydrogen atoms on the W(110) surface at different coverages $\theta$. The activation energy for absorption into the bulk as defined into the text $E_{S_0 \rightarrow \text{bulk}}^\dagger$ are also given for coverages at which NEB calculations were ran.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta$</th>
<th>$-\Delta E_{emb} / E_{S_0 \rightarrow s_{ref}}^\dagger$</th>
<th>$E_{S_0 \rightarrow \text{bulk}}^\dagger$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.44 / 1.41</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.33 / 1.35</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.69 / 0.80</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Approximated ($-\Delta E_{emb}$) and directly calculated values from NEBs ($E_{S_0 \rightarrow s_{ref}}^\dagger$) of the activation barriers for recombination of two hydrogen atoms on the W(100) surface at different coverages $\theta$. The activation energy for absorption into the bulk as defined into the text $E_{S_0 \rightarrow \text{bulk}}^\dagger$ are also given for coverages at which NEB calculations were ran.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta$</th>
<th>$-\Delta E_{emb} / E_{S_0 \rightarrow s_{ref}}^\dagger$</th>
<th>$E_{S_0 \rightarrow \text{bulk}}^\dagger$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.50 / 1.51</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.77 / 0.78</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2 - Discussion with regard to experimental data and kinetic models

In the following, we discuss to which extent surface phenomena can impact the shape of TPD spectra, considering the mechanisms of hydrogen recombination and desorption from tungsten. As no flux of atom impinges the surface during such experiment, we neglect desorption as the results of the recombination of a hydrogen atom in vacuum with a hydrogen atom of the surface following an Eley-Rideal or hot-atom mechanism. In tokamak, such recombination mechanisms have to be considered for detached regime of the plasma during which a high flux of neutrals impinges the surface.

5.2.1 - Coverage dependent activation energies

TPD spectra are recorded on single- and poly-crystalline samples and their shape are well fitted by rate-equation models incorporating different sets of activation energies. Guterl et al. [60] and Hodille et al. [52] used a set of six energies ranging from 0.85 eV to 1.35 eV; these energies intend to mimic the multiple trapping that occurs in vacancies. Ogorodnikova et al. [15,48], Schmid et al.[54,55] and Poon et al. [58] instead used two activation energies, modeling a low energy trap at around 0.9 eV and a high energy trap around 1.4 eV.

Using the lower and upper limits of the energies as references (0.9 eV – 1.4 eV), we compare the coverage dependent activation energies $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_{ref}}^{\dagger}$ that we computed for the recombination step to frame their significance in terms of the energetics of processes relevant to modeling TPD experiments. At saturation we find the lowest activation energies for hydrogen recombination, they are $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_{ref}}^{\dagger} = 0.80$ eV and $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_{ref}}^{\dagger} = 0.78$ eV for the W(110) and W(100), respectively; these values are lower than the activation energy for the low energy trap at 0.9 eV. In contrast, below saturation, the activation energies for recombination are in the range of $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_{ref}}^{\dagger} = 1.35$ eV to $E_{S_0 \rightarrow S_{ref}}^{\dagger} = 1.51$ eV depending on the coverage and orientation of the
surface; this is close to the activation energy of the high energy traps at 1.4 eV.

A simple estimate of the temperature at peak maximum in a TPD experiment can be proposed based on the activation barriers we computed. Considering both first and second order kinetic laws for desorption, we solved the equations

\[ \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \theta v_0 \exp \left( - \frac{E_{s_0 \rightarrow s_{ref}}^\dagger}{k_B T} \right) \]

\[ \frac{d\theta^2}{dt} = \theta^2 v_0 \exp \left( - \frac{E_{s_0 \rightarrow s_{ref}}^\dagger}{k_B T} \right) \]

using a pre-exponential factor \( v_0 = 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1} \) and a ramp in temperature \( \beta = 1 \text{ K.s}^{-1} \). At saturation coverage, considering \( \theta(t = 0) = 1 \) and for \( E_{s_0 \rightarrow s_{ref}}^\dagger = 0.80 \text{ eV} \), the temperature at peak maximum is 285 K, independent of the order of the kinetics. Below saturation, considering a second order kinetic law, \( \theta(t = 0) = 0.5 - 0.1 \) and with activation barriers for desorption of \( E_{s_0 \rightarrow s_{ref}}^\dagger = 1.35 \text{ eV} \) and \( E_{s_0 \rightarrow s_{ref}}^\dagger = 1.51 \text{ eV} \), the temperatures at peak maximum are 475 - 495 K and 545 – 575 K, respectively. Following a first order kinetic law, the temperature are 465 K and 535 K independently of the initial coverage \( \theta(t = 0) \). These raw estimates neglect many experimental parameters, but nevertheless provide an idea of the significance of surface mechanism on TPD spectra.

As a result, the effect of the surface on the desorption process of hydrogen from tungsten would be significant only below saturation, where the activation energy for recombination shifts from about 0.8 eV at saturation to around 1.4 eV below saturation. These values are partially consistent with experimental observations by Tamm and Schmidt [22,83] who measured two activation barriers for the desorption of H on W. They are 1.1 eV and 1.4 eV on the W(100) and 1.2 eV and 1.5 eV on the W(110) surface. Alnot et al. [71] found \( E_{s_0 \rightarrow s_{ref}}^\dagger = 1.65 \text{ eV} \) below coverage \( \theta = 0.5 \) on the W(100) surface. Above \( \theta = 0.5 \), the same authors found activation barriers between 0.91 eV and 1.35 eV depending on the state of the adsorbate: stating that the
value at 0.91 eV would be for a \textit{delocalized} or disorganized adsorbate while 1.35 eV would be for a \textit{localized} or organized adsorbate. Nahm and Gomer [70] also reported a decrease of the activation energy for H desorption from W(110) as a function of the coverage going from 1.43 eV at low coverages (below $\theta = 0.3$) to 1.26 eV (at about $\theta = 0.8$).

To summarize, it is found in both present and experimental works that desorption energy decreases with the coverage. This agreement is qualitative and quantitative, particularly with the desorption energies derived from experimental work from Alnot et al. [71].

\subsection*{5.2.2 – Desorption and recombination mechanisms}

On the basis of the results of this work, the kinetic of hydrogen desorption from tungsten is briefly discussed based on two hypothetic limiting experimental conditions.

In the first one, the flux of hydrogen to the surface is low enough so that hydrogen does not accumulate below or at the surface. A local equilibrium between adsorbed hydrogen on the surface, the background gas and the bulk region is established. Below saturation of the surface, the activation barriers for desorption are comprised between $E_{s_0 \rightarrow s_{ref}}^{\ddagger} = 1.35 \text{ eV}$ and $E_{s_0 \rightarrow s_{ref}}^{\ddagger} = 1.51 \text{ eV}$, indicating that desorption would be among the rate-limiting steps for hydrogen desorption. Following this hypothesis, a hydrogen atom from below the surface diffuses toward the surface at coverage $\theta$ and recombines with a hydrogen atom of the surface. After recombination, the surface is left with a local coverage $\theta'$, before another hydrogen atom restore the coverage to its equilibrium value at $\theta$ as controlled by thermodynamics.

The second hypothesis assumes a larger flux of hydrogen from the bulk to the surface. Below saturation and because of the height of the barrier for desorption, hydrogen would accumulate below the surface leading to its saturation in an out-of-equilibrium state, which in turn would
lower the barriers for desorption to $E_{s_0 \rightarrow s_{\text{ref}}}^{\dagger} = 0.78 \, eV$ and $E_{s_0 \rightarrow s_{\text{ref}}}^{\dagger} = 0.80 \, eV$. Following this assumption, the impact of the surface on the kinetics of desorption would be diminished.

Investigating the whole complexity of the kinetics of hydrogen desorption from tungsten is not the purpose of the present work, given the fact it depends on many factors like the temperature, the density profile of hydrogen, the bulk concentration, etc. We nevertheless herein provided the necessary DFT data that will allow further Macroscopic Rate-Equation (MRE) and Kinetic Monte-Carlo (KMC) simulations to tackle this issue.

5.2.3 – Absorption into the bulk

Here again more extended MRE and KMC making use of the present data will be necessary; we nevertheless briefly discussed the kinetic of absorption based on the present results. The global trend presented here is that the activation energy for absorption increases as the coverage decreases, with an increase of $E_{s_0 \rightarrow \text{bulk}}^{\dagger}$ from below 1 eV at saturation to $E_{s_0 \rightarrow \text{bulk}}^{\dagger} \approx 1.7 \, eV$ below saturation. In rate-equation modelling, such an increase is particularly important to consider when simulating low energy atomic or H$_2$ gas exposure. Indeed, during such exposure, the concentration of hydrogen in tetrahedral sites, and thus in all defects, is highly dependent on the absorption process from the surface to the bulk [50]. An increase of the activation energy for this process would imply a much lower concentration of hydrogen in tetrahedral sites and thus a much lower overall retention and a much smaller migration depth.

6. Conclusion

In this work, we investigated the mechanisms for recombination/desorption and absorption of hydrogen from the W(100) and W(110) surfaces. The main results regarding the desorption
mechanisms are:

a) At saturation, the desorption of a H$_2$ molecule from the W(110) and W(100) surfaces implies a total activation barrier of $E_{S_0 \to S_{ref}}^\ddagger = 0.78$ eV and 0.80 eV, respectively. This is below the activation energy from many traps in tungsten.

b) Below saturation, these activation barrier rises up to $E_{S_0 \to S_{ref}}^\ddagger = 1.35$ eV to 1.51 eV depending on the coverage and on the orientation of the surface, making of the surface a significant trap.

c) We further assumed two hypothetical experimental conditions in order to briefly discuss the kinetic of desorption of hydrogen from tungsten. At low hydrogen flux from the bulk to the surface and below saturation, the recombination mechanisms would be among the rate limiting steps for hydrogen desorption. At saturation, the impact of the surface would be much smaller. Considering larger fluxes of hydrogen from the bulk, the surface would remain at or close to saturation even in experimental conditions where such a coverage is not expected from a thermodynamic point of view. In this case, the impact on the kinetics will only be resolved by further rate-equation modellings.

Regarding the absorption of hydrogen into the bulk, we found that the activation barrier is only significant below saturation at around 1.7 eV on both surfaces. This would imply a much lower concentration of hydrogen in tetrahedral sites and thus a much lower overall retention and a much smaller migration depth.
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