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Abstract

This review aims to describe changes in production environment, management tools and technology to alleviate problems seen with the present hyperprolific sow model. Successful parturition in the pig includes the possibility to express adequate maternal behaviour, rapid expulsion of piglets, complete expulsion of placenta, elimination of uterine contamination and debris, neonatal activity and colostrum intake. We focus on management of large litters, including maternal behaviour, ease of parturition, colostrum production, piglet quality parameters and intermittent suckling. There are also some interesting developments in technology to assess colostrum and immune state of the piglet. These developments may be utilized to improve the success rate of reproductive management around farrowing, lactation and after weaning. We also discuss new insights in how to examine the health of the mammary gland, uterus and ovaries of hyperprolific sows. Finally, we assess the latest developments on breeding and technology of hyperprolific sows, including artificial insemination (AI), real-time ultrasound of the genital tract and embryo transfer (ET). We conclude that 1) for the sow to produce sufficient colostrum, both the behavioural and physiological needs of the sow need to be met before and after parturition. Furthermore, 2) new ultrasound and biopsy technology can be effectively applied for accurate diagnosis of inflammatory processes of the udder and uterus and timing of AI regarding ovulation to improve insemination efficiency. Finally, 3) developments in cryopreservation of germ cells and embryos appear promising but lack of valid oocyte collection techniques and nonsurgical ET techniques are a bottleneck to commercial ET. These latest developments in management of parturition and reproductive technology are necessary to cope with the increasing challenges associated with very large litter sizes.
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Introduction

The pig appears to be superior in its reproductive ability at least when compared to other domestic animal species. This ability is based on the extremely high rate of fertility. Over the past three decades, efficient breeding and management has almost doubled the litter size of the domestic European sow breeds (Oliviero, 2019). During the same period, the duration of farrowing (second stage, from the first to the last fetus expelled) has extended remarkably and is now four to five times longer than in the early 1990s (Oliviero et al., 2019). This may have resulted in an increase in farrowing complications such as postpartum dysgalactia syndrome (PDS, Kaiser et al., 2018a, b) and retention of placenta and a decrease in subsequent fertility (Björkman et al., 2017c; 2018c). Along with this development, we have seen a constant downward trend in the birth weight of the piglets and a similar trend in colostrum intake, which are connected and are the most important risk factors for piglet mortality (Oliviero et al., 2019). In the other hand, we have seen a tremendous increase in efficiency of production, which has considerably improved farming economy and related industry in a highly positive way. However, this may have come, at least to some extent, at the expense of animal health and welfare.

A large litter may be challenging for the metabolism of the sow such that there may be difficulties in resumption of ovarian cyclicity after weaning, especially in young sows in certain European breeds (Oliviero et al., 2013; Peltoniemi et al., 2016; Björkman et al., 2018c; Oliviero et al., 2019). Therefore, there appear to be major challenges associated with increasing litter sizes that are evident at farrowing, lactation and after weaning, which are periods when the foundations of the subsequent pregnancy are laid (Algers and Uvnäs-Moberg, 2007; Martineau et al., 2012). This paper discusses some of the key applications of reproductive biotechnology for the modern hyperprolific sow and her numerous offspring (beyond 17 piglets in a “large litter”). The first focus is on management and technology-related innovations used to address the challenges that sows and piglets face in terms of the ambient parturition environment and development of immunity around parturition and lactation. These innovations include optimizing colostrum intake and evaluation of colostrum yield and quality produced by the sow. Among the newer management interventions, intermittent suckling is aimed at not only hastening the production cycle, but also more importantly to improve the resilience of piglets after weaning. Secondly, we review some novel approaches to examine ovarian, uterine and mammary gland function in vivo. These involve both sampling and diagnostic imaging...
techniques that have been recently either discovered or considerably developed. Finally, we provide an update on the use of artificial insemination (AI), which has been successful regarding use of fresh semen since inception of this technique, and future prospects of embryo transfer (ET) in the pig.

Management of large litters

Developments in parturition management of hyperprolific sows

Prolonged farrowing increases the risks of piglet asphyxia during parturition and less vital piglets at birth (Herpin et al., 2001). Yun et al. (2014) demonstrated that providing space and abundant nest building material before farrowing tended to increase sow plasma oxytocin concentrations (25 vs. 18 pg/ml in sows with abundant nesting material vs. sows with crates, respectively). Abundant nesting material also increased piglet serum IgG and IgM concentrations during early lactation (15 vs. 10 mg/ml (IgG) and 0.9 vs. 0.7 mg/ml (IgM) of sows with abundant nesting material vs. with sows in crates, respectively; Yun et al., 2014). Allowing for the intrinsic nesting behaviour to occur can reduce farrowing duration and therefore allow for more vital piglets (Jensen, 1986; Islas-Fabila et al., 2018) and for greater colostrum intake due to a shorter time interval gap from the start of farrowing to first suckling (Manjarin et al., 2018). Uncomplicated farrowing also reduces pain and inflammation in the sow (Björkman et al., 2017c; Kaiser et al., 2018a). Allowing the sow to farrow free and providing a substrate (straw, sawdust, paper) 1 to 2 days before farrowing can support the physiological nest building behaviour of the sow. This can significantly reduce farrowing duration and stillbirth rate (Oliviero et al., 2008; Gu et al., 2011).

With increasing occurrence of large litters, providing the sow with a good basis to produce enough colostrum is fundamental. Loss of back fat in late gestation and consequently sows arriving at farrowing with inadequate body condition affect colostrum yield (Declerck et al., 2013). Therefore, it appears essential that sows improve their body condition gradually during the whole pregnancy, arriving to farrowing in good body condition (backfat of 17 ± 3 mm) to fulfill protein turnover and sufficient colostrum yield (Oliviero et al., 2010; Declerwe et al., 2013). During late pregnancy, not only adequate energy intake but also feeding composition seems to be of key importance in supporting the physiology of farrowing and colostrum quality. Many studies reported that specific essential fatty acids (conjugated linoleic, pinolenic and oleic acids) supplemented in gestating and lactating diets can improve sow colostrum immunoglobulins, piglet performance, average daily gain and weaning weight (Bontempo et al., 2004; Corino et al., 2009; Yao et al., 2012; Hasan et al., 2018). The feeding timing during pregnancy and especially in relation to parturition also seems to be of relevance regarding the success of farrowing. Feyera et al. (2018) observed that if the time lapse between the last feeding occasion prior to onset of farrowing lapsed beyond 3 hours, there was a positive linear correlation for time lapse and farrowing duration (Feyera et al., 2018). Glucose metabolism was considered to be of highest relevance behind this finding. However, other factors such as feeding fibre (involving bacterial metabolism of the GI tract) were also suggested to support more successful, quicker process of farrowing (Feyera et al., 2018). In conclusion, a proper ambient environment regarding food, metabolism, enrichment and space around farrowing are of key importance for successful processes of farrowing and colostrum yield, intake and quality.

Improving colostrum intake

Increased competition for colostrum intake is a critical factor for neonate piglets. These piglets are born without the protection of maternal immunoglobulins, as the epitheliochorial nature of the porcine placenta does not permit transfer of such large molecular weight structures from maternal to foetal blood circulation. Neonate piglets must acquire maternal immunoglobulins from ingested colostrum for passive immune protection before they produce sufficient endogenous immunoglobulins at approximately 3 to 4 weeks of age (Rooke and Bland 2002; Oliviero, 2013). The concentration of IgG piglet plasma shortly after birth is positively correlated with survival. Dead piglets have lower serum IgG concentrations than their surviving fellow piglets, which indicates low colostrum intake (Vallet et al., 2013). At farrowing, the IgG levels in colostrum are approximately 60 to 80 mg/ml. Within 10 to 12 h later IgG levels are reduced by half (35 to 40 mg/ml) and after 24 h a 70% reduction occurs (10 to 16 mg/ml), which is no longer an adequate level (Devilliers et al., 2011; Quesnel et al., 2011; Hasan et al., 2016). Therefore, in large litters with prolonged farrowing of more than 6 hours, the immunity and viability of piglets are compromised. Furthermore, hyperprolific sows give birth to more piglets with low birth weight and with signs of intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR). There is an inverse relationship between number of piglets born in a litter and piglet birth weight; large litters are also associated with high variation in piglet birth weight within the litter (Quesnel et al., 2008; Akdag et al., 2009; Beaulieu et al., 2010; Smit et al., 2013; Matheson et al., 2018). A greater number of piglets born than the available teats at the sow’s udder, lower birth weight and greater birth weight variation increase piglet competition for colostrum intake (Declerck et al., 2017). Similarly, lower birth weight and long farrowing duration are associated with lower piglet viability at birth, which can delay the access to the udder (Hoy et al., 1994; Islas-Fabila et al., 2018). Therefore, all underprivileged piglets should be provided with additional support to acquire a sufficient amount of good quality colostrum (e.g., should be assisted in suckling). To provide the best passive immunity, the procedure of split and assisted suckling should be effectively operated within the first 6 hours from the
beginning of parturition, when the colostrum immunoglobulin content is at the maximum (Devillers et al., 2011; Quesnel et al., 2011; Hasan et al., 2016). As small piglets or those with IUGR have difficulties to suckle from large nipples, the smallest functioning nipples should be used when assisting suckling. In conclusion, due to decreasing birth weight and colostrum intake per piglet, colostrum management around farrowing is of key importance for survival of piglets.

Technology to assess colostrum quality and immune state of neonatal piglets

Both colostrum yield and IgG content vary greatly among sows (Foisenet et al., 2010). Factors that affect the total colostrum yield are attributed to environment-related factors as well as to sow and piglet characteristics (Devillers et al., 2007; Farmer and Quesnel, 2009; Quesnel, 2011). IgG concentration in maternal colostrum significantly affects the acquisition of passive immunity (Kielland et al., 2015) and therefore knowledge on IgG content of colostrum may be essential to determine the correct action to reduce piglet pre-weaning mortality. The major practical point in assessing colostrum IgG content at the farm level may be identifying the sows with low colostrum IgG levels. Those sows are a risk for a successful acquisition of passive immunity in the piglets. This is of great importance particularly when large litters are present and cross-fostering and split sucking are common management practices employed to maximize colostrum intake. Therefore, if the estimated colostrum IgG content appears to be insufficient, a farmer with this advance knowledge can pay additional attention to the relevant management practices. Hasan et al. (2016) have proposed the use of a Brix refractometer to estimate IgG content in sow colostrum. When used in non-sucrose-containing liquids, the Brix percentage approximates the total solids (TS) percentage (Quigley et al., 2013; Hasan et al., 2016). At the start of farrowing, immunoglobulins represent a significant portion of the TS (Klobasa et al., 1987) and IgG represents 80% of the immunoglobulins in sow colostrum (Porter, 1969; Curtis, 1970). Colostrum samples evaluated with a Brix refractometer are positively correlated with the IgG level measured with ELISA (Hasan et al., 2016). Therefore, the Brix refractometer can be an inexpensive, rapid and satisfactorily accurate method for estimating IgG concentration. Differentiation between good and poor IgG content of colostrum is possible by interpreting the results with the categories proposed in Table 1. Hasan et al. (2016) proposed this classification following the nature of the IgG physiological curve during the first 24 h post-partum, when IgG levels peak in the first 3 h and decrease rapidly until values of 10 mg/ml are reached 24 h post-partum (Quesnel et al., 2015; Hurley, 2015). Brix values of <20% were correlated with very low IgG levels (14.5 mg/ml), which are not expected during early colostrogenesis. In conclusion, the Brix refractometer is an acceptable method to assess colostrum IgG content at the herd level during the initial hours of parturition, when IgG levels are expected to peak.

Table 1. Brix value categories to estimate sow colostrum IgG content according to Hasan et al. (2016). This interpretation scale is valid if the sample is obtained within 0-3 hours from the start of farrowing using a Brix refractometer with a scale range 0-53% (adapted from Hasan et al., 2016).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brix %</th>
<th>IgG estimation categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>Borderline&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 30</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>The category “Borderline” should not always be considered to estimate a not adequate IgG content, especially if the found Brix values are on the highest range of this category (23-24%), on the contrary levels falling at the lowest range of this category (20-21%) can be considered not optimal. Taking another sample, after 1-2 h, can allow better interpretation of the results, to see if the development of the estimated IgG content is stable, increasing or decreasing from the initial value (Hasan et al., 2016). In conclusion, IgG can be considered as a reliable indicator of colostrum quality. Use of Brix refractometers provide an effective tool to assess colostrum quality, which is essential in the management of a large litter.

Intermittent suckling

Management strategies to support large litters are numerous. They include at least use of nurse sows (Schmitt et al., 2019a, b), split suckling (Donovan and Dritz, 2000), use of substitute milk and automated milk replacers (Difilippo et al., 2015) and general neonatal management (Kirkden et al., 2013). Among the strategies, intermittent suckling (Kemp and Soede, 2012) is especially interesting, since it may provide a useful tool to postpone weaning of piglets, which becomes relevant for the industry based on the decreasing trend in colostrum intake and birth weights of piglets (Oliviero et al., 2019). Therefore, applying an intermittent suckling (IS) protocol, which encourages sows to become pregnant in the middle of lactation, seems like an appealing alternative.

However, IS also involves resumption of reproductive function in the middle of lactation, which may become a further metabolic challenge for the sow.
Alternative reproductive management strategies as IS have a considerable impact on grouping dynamics and reproductive functions in the pig (Peltoniemi et al., 2016). Sows are in anoestrous during lactation and maturation of follicles is bound to the process of weaning. It is only after weaning that follicles are provided with circumstances for growth and ovulation. This process heralding ovulation stems mainly from the continuous lack of suckling stimulus on the udder, high intake of feed rich in energy and daily application of boar stimulus.

Ovulation in the middle of lactation can be induced by essentially the same means as used after weaning, specifically temporary, transient interruption of suckling stimulus, high feed intake and proper application of boar stimulus. Recent studies (see Kemp and Soede 2012 for a review) have demonstrated that intermittent suckling can induce lactation oestrus especially when IS starts around the normal weaning and is combined with adequate boar stimulation. Oestrus may be induced in up to 90% of the older sows (Gerritsen et al., 2008; Soede et al., 2012) and over 70% in first parity sows (Chen et al., 2017) within 6 days during lactation; farrowing rates and litter size are comparable to controls. Thus, success is dependent on parity as primiparous sows do not appear to respond as well as older sows and there seems to be differences in the response to the IS protocol and in the breed used. The success rate of IS also seems to depend on the management issues around IS (van Nieuwamerongen et al., 2014). These include a proper audio-visual isolation of sow and the piglets during IS. Furthermore, group management during boar stimulation around separation time is essential for IS success (Table 2; Hasan et al., 2019; van Nieuwamerongen et al., 2014). In conclusion, lactation oestrus has the potential advantage that the lactation period can be extended while sows are pregnant and this allows piglets to be more developed when eventually weaned. Piglets seem to respond well in terms of growth performance and resilience to the opportunity for extended, although interrupted, suckling possibilities (van Nieuwamerongen et al., 2014).

Table 2. Descriptive result of individual herd data for a successful intermittent suckling program. Data presented in mean ± SD. Data adapted from Hasan et al., 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of production</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>115.6</td>
<td>116.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>114.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>115.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestation length, days</td>
<td>1275.0 ± 12.4</td>
<td>1275.0 ± 12.4</td>
<td>1413.6 ± 14.5</td>
<td>1220.48 ± 16.5</td>
<td>1279.2 ± 10.4</td>
<td>1446.1 ± 21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farrowing duration, min</td>
<td>211.9 ± 10.7</td>
<td>200.6 ± 12.9</td>
<td>329.2 ± 24.2</td>
<td>261.7 ± 22.1</td>
<td>306.7 ± 27.4</td>
<td>287.8 ± 23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter size</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>16.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>14.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>17.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>16.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live-born piglets</td>
<td>15.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>15.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>16.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>15.4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillborn piglets</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth interval, min</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>26.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>16.6 ± 1.8</td>
<td>18.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth time, min</td>
<td>112.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>100.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>180.8 ± 7.9</td>
<td>142.2 ± 6.7</td>
<td>147.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piglet BW&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt; (live born), g</td>
<td>1445.7 ± 14.1</td>
<td>1275.0 ± 12.4</td>
<td>1413.6 ± 14.5</td>
<td>1220.48 ± 16.5</td>
<td>1279.2 ± 10.4</td>
<td>1446.1 ± 21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piglet weight (weaning: ear tagged), g</td>
<td>6918.8 ± 105.8</td>
<td>6757.4 ± 106.3</td>
<td>7718.4 ± 161.2</td>
<td>5392.0 ± 149.2</td>
<td>7393.5 ± 55.28</td>
<td>6061.0 ± 135.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADG* (ear tagged), g</td>
<td>257.8 ± 4.3</td>
<td>246.1 ± 4.6</td>
<td>212.9 ± 5.0</td>
<td>224.0 ± 7.5</td>
<td>228.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>246.3 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piglet age (weaning) days</td>
<td>210.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>21.6 ± 0.02</td>
<td>29.6 ± 0.09</td>
<td>18.1 ± 0.09</td>
<td>28.9 ± 0.03</td>
<td>19.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY**, g</td>
<td>4658.5 ± 221.5</td>
<td>4009.4 ± 145.9</td>
<td>4312.2 ± 223.1</td>
<td>4336.1 ± 268.4</td>
<td>4710.6 ± 129.4</td>
<td>3846.5 ± 367.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI***, g</td>
<td>332.0 ± 6.6</td>
<td>274.3 ± 5.8</td>
<td>343.5 ± 7.2</td>
<td>270.9 ± 8.1</td>
<td>331.1 ± 4.5</td>
<td>262.5 ± 10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADG* average daily gain, CY** colostrum yield, CI*** colostrum intake.

Management of hyperprolific sows after parturition

Mammary gland function

The most important disease of the mammary gland of the postpartum sow is generally considered to be mastitis as part of PDS (Farmer et al., 2019), although the role of mastitis as part of the complex in modern sow lines has recently been questioned (Kaiser et al., 2018a,b). This disease (PDS) is suggested to be associated with large litters as a connection between farrowing duration and PDS has been established (Tummaruk et al., 2013; Björkman et al., 2018c). Diagnosis of mastitis is based mainly on clinical signs, as has been reviewed by Gerjets and Kemper (2009).

Recently, other methods such as ultrasound examination and biopsy isolation have been tested for feasibility as diagnostic tools for udder diseases (Baer and Bilkei, 2005; Spiegel et al., 2017; Björkman et al., 2017a, 2018a, 2018b). In the study by Baer and Bilkei
(2005), the sows that had PDS had more hyperechoic images in the ultrasonographic examination of their mammary glands than sows without PDS. Björkman et al. (2017a) made the same observation in sows suffering from severe udder oedema prior to parturition, which is considered a risk factor for subsequent mastitis. In this case report, ultrasound of the mammary glands showed thickened dermal and subdermal tissues, hyperechoic lobuloalveolar tissue with enlarged blood vessels and severe shadowing (Fig. 1). Sows with severe udder oedema also had lower colostrum quality (Björkman et al., 2018a). Therefore, PDS must be prevented to ensure the immunity of newborn piglets.

The objective of the study by Spiegel et al. (2017) was to verify by comparative bacteriological examinations of milk samples and mammary gland biopsies whether a better assessment of bacteriological status is possible using biopsies. Diagnostic investigations based on bacteriological examination are complicated, as a similar bacterial content can be detected in milk samples from both healthy and diseased sows. Contamination during sample collection may be a possible reason. Spiegel et al. (2017) obtained biopsies after local anaesthesia using a 7-cm biopsy needle and revealed that biopsy samples of the mammary gland did not provide advantages for bacteriological diagnosis compared to milk sampling. Furthermore, Spiegel et al. (2017) observed complications such as abscess formation following biopsy. The same method was also tested by Björkman et al. (2018b) using an automatic needle with a 14-gauge diameter, 10-cm length and a 22-mm penetration depth. Biopsies were obtained from the lateral-caudal part of three different mammary glands. Before biopsy, glands were disinfected three times with a povidone-iodine solution but no local anaesthesia was used. Sows were monitored until weaning and no complications (such as abscess formation) were observed. There was also no effect of the biopsy before parturition on colostrum production (Han et al., 2018). Biopsies can thus be collected in a rapid and humane way. This method seems to be of minor value for diagnosis of mastitis but can be used to study mammary gland function for research purposes, especially for comparison of sows with low and high colostrum or milk yield. Ultrasound imaging of the mammary glands can provide an effective tool for diagnosis of inflammatory processes of the udder, such as PDS.

**Figure 1.** Ultrasound images of a mammary gland of a healthy sow (A) and from sows with severe udder oedema (B-C). These images show thickened dermal and subdermal tissues (B, C), hyperechoic lobuloalveolar tissue (B, C) with enlarged blood vessels (C) and severe shadowing (D) (Björkman et al., 2017a, 2018a).

**Uterine function**

In recent years progress has been made in the use of ultrasonography to examine the non-gravid uterus. Timely and correct diagnosis of uterine disease, especially post-partum uterine disease, is essential to prevent subsequent subfertility (Kauffold and Wehrend, 2014). Oliviero et al. (2013) have shown that prolonged parturition can reduce subsequent fertility in the sow that may be associated with an increased incidence of post-partum uterine disease (Björkman et al., 2018c). In addition to prolonged parturition, obstetrical intervention, retained placenta and ≥2 stillborn piglets at birth have been shown to affect the incidence of post-partum endometritis (Björkman et al., 2018c). Ultrasound is considered the best tool for diagnosis, not only for endometritis but also for cases in which placenta is retained (Björkman et al., 2017c). Examination of uterine structures currently utilizes the following three criteria: fluid echogenicity, echotexture and size (Kauffold and Althouse, 2007). Changes in echotexture reflect changes in endometrial oedema. Increased echotexture, unless attributed to circulating oestrogens originating from enlarged follicles, must be considered abnormal (Kauffold and Althouse, 2007). Furthermore, any fluid echogenicity, unless attributed to pregnancy, semen or oestrus, must be considered abnormal and indicative of an exudative inflammation of an acute or acute-chronic type (Kauffold and Althouse, 2007). Fluid echogenicity is often associated with uterine oedema and therefore increased echotexture and size of uterine cross-sections (Björkman et al., 2018c). In contrast, chronic
and Kemp, 2015). Ovarian function postpartum can be monitored using ultrasonography. The use of B-mode ultrasound to determine follicular and corpus luteum size and the factors that affect the size of these structures have been reviewed (Soede et al., 2011; Langendijk, 2015; Soede and Kemp, 2015).

Recently, transabdominal colour Doppler sonography was used to assess ovarian blood flow characteristics during the course of the oestrous cycle in gilts (Stark, et al., 2019). These characteristics were perfused area, blood-flow velocity and intensity and resistance and pulsatility index. All parameters showed oestrous cycle-dependent patterns. Perfused area and blood-flow velocity were highest in diestrus, followed by proestrus, whereas the patterns of resistance and pulsatility index were inversely proportional.

The resistance and pulsatility index showed inversely paralleled patterns. Herlt et al. (2018) encourage the use of colour Doppler sonography for studying ovarian tissue with various cell types. Björkman et al. (2017b) developed this method to obtain luteal tissue and to study corpus luteum function (Fig. 2). Biopsies were performed in four multiparous sows on days 9 and 15 of three consecutive oestrous cycles and the size and histological composition of the samples obtained were evaluated and the reproductive tract of the sows was monitored. Furthermore, biopsies were performed on 26 multiparous sows on days 10 and 13 after insemination and pregnancy rate, gestation length and subsequent litter size were evaluated. Altogether, tissue samples were obtained in 50% of the biopsy attempts. Sows from which one or more samples were obtained were older, heavier and had higher back fat compared to sows where no samples were obtained. No effects of the biopsies were observed on the cyclicity or reproductive organs of the sows or on subsequent corpus luteum diameter, pregnancy rate, gestation length and subsequent litter. The samples obtained had a diameter of 1 mm and contained heterogeneous tissue with various cell types. Björkman et al. (2017b) concluded that a transvaginal ultrasound-guided biopsy method for ovarian tissue can be used to study ovarian function. This method is relatively fast, minimally invasive and humane (Yun et al., 2017). Nevertheless, it should be noted that this method may not be used in young and small animals and tissue may be obtained in only half of the attempts. Furthermore, methods to select cells (e.g., laser microdissection) may be used to separate luteal from other ovarian cell types. In conclusion, advanced ultrasound techniques such as colour Doppler may be used to study ovarian dysfunction and seasonal infertility. A transvaginal ultrasound-guided biopsy of ovarian tissue has been developed for the pig and can be used for research purposes.
Figure 2. Illustration of the positioning of the transvaginal ultrasound-guided biopsy device. One hand is placed in the rectum (a) and the suspensory ligament of the ovary is palpated. After pulling on the ligament, the ovary is located and the proper ligament of the ovary held between the index and middle fingers, with the ovary on the palm side of the hand. The ovary (b) is pulled along the uterine cervix (c) into the peritoneal part of the pelvic cavity towards the cranial part of the vagina (d). With the other hand, the probe (e) is inserted into the vagina adjacent to the caudal part of the uterine cervix until the ovary becomes visible on the ultrasound screen (Björkman et al., 2017b).

Breeding hyperprolific sows

Artificial insemination

The pig is considered an intrauterine ejaculator (Senger, 2012). Therefore, deposition of semen in the uterus may be considered more of a physiological method than using the caudal portion of the cervix as the primary site of semen deposition. Generally speaking, intrauterine insemination (post-cervical, semen deposited into the uterine base) and deep intra-uterine (semen deposited into uterine horn) have been practiced to allow for a reduction of sperm number per dose, improved fertility or both (Watson and Behan, 2002; Martinez et al., 2002; Peltoniemi et al., 2009). A similar technique was developed to allow transcervical ET (Martinez et al., 2004). Results by Watson and Behan (2002) suggested that 2 or 3 billion spermatozoa/dose using intrauterine AI improve live-born litter size when compared with 1 billion spermatozoa/dose. However, it was subsequently shown that the number of spermatozoa may be reduced to 500 million spermatozoa/dose without detrimental effects on fertility (Martinez et al., 2006; Sumransap et al., 2007; Tummaruk et al., 2007; Roca et al., 2016; García-Vázquez et al., 2019). Post-cervical insemination seems to provide a number of advantages, such as a reduced sperm number requirement, less time required to perform insemination and faster genetic improvement (reviewed by García-Vázquez et al., 2019).

AI is used widely and globally by the industry. Despite these developments, some constraints such as cryopreservation of porcine semen prohibit efficient use of AI in international trade. Current research is focused on issues that affect AI such as freezing rates, cryoprotectants and storage (Yeste et al., 2016). Addition of antioxidants and the role of seminal plasma are being explored. As in other species like the horse, there seems to be large individual variation in semen freezability between boars (Yeste et al., 2016).

Timing of AI is another important factor in ensuring good fertility. Inseminating too early may not be successful, whilst if the sow is bred too late after ovulation, endometritis resulting in decreased litter size may be observed. Currently, two inseminations per oestrus is a commonly used practice to achieve a high pregnancy rate and large litter size. In a typical sow in oestrus, standing oestrus lasts for about 48 hours on average and ovulation occurs when two thirds of the standing oestrus has passed (Peltoniemi and Kemp, 2019). However, variation in the weaning to oestrus interval may affect the timing of AI. The later the sow enters oestrus after weaning, the sooner the optimal window for insemination (Roca et al., 2016).

Ultrasound technology, in addition to a fixed-time AI after hormonal treatment protocol, may be used to pinpoint the optimal timing for AI in a specific herd, allowing for a good outcome after a single AI/oestrus (De Rensis and Kirkwood, 2016; Peltoniemi and Kemp, 2019). In conclusion, despite its wide use, application of AI in terms of dose deposition site within the uterus, cryopreservation of spermatozoa and timing towards a single AI are being further developed to advance the use of AI technology.
Use of ultrasound in the boar

Due to the increase in AI use in the pig breeding industry, there is interest in identifying males with suboptimal fertility to discard them or reduce their use (Pinho et al., 2018). This is especially important if a low number of spermatozoa per insemination dose is used and to meet the genetic potential of hyperprolific sows. In addition to proper mating management and insemination technique, high-quality semen from genetically superior sires is of high importance. Assessment of semen quality is one of the major evaluations for the selection of boars for breeding. For this reason, methods to assess the quality of semen before a boar starts reproductive life, or before using his semen for AI, are required to predict their “fertility potential” (Pinho et al., 2018).

Therefore, studies in the past have focused on examining pre-pubertal and pubertal boars with ultrasound (Clark and Althouse, 2002; Clark et al., 2003; Ford and Wise, 2011; Kauffold et al., 2011; Pinho et al., 2018). The aims of these studies were to establish normal ultrasound parameters to identify sub fertile boars and to establish correlations between these parameters and subsequent semen parameters. The first ultrasonographic evaluation of normal boar testes was performed more than 30 years ago. Carter et al. (1986) compared the ultrasonographic appearance and testicle measurements with semen parameters in 14 Landrace boars but did not find any correlations. Nevertheless, they found significant differences in these parameters between 9-month-old and 15-month-old boars. Likewise, Clark et al. (2003) found an increased paired-testicular diameter in 18-month-old boars compared to 12-month-old boars. However, no correlation between paired-testicular diameter and the average total sperm number was established (Clark et al., 2003). Ford and Wise (2011) assessed pubertal development of boars derived from ultrasonographic determination of testicular diameter and length in 160 boars at 4, 5, 6, or 7 months of age. Boars were subsequently castrated and the weight of the testes, mean diameter of seminiferous tubules and percentage of the testis occupied by tubules were determined. At 4 and 5 months of age, although testicular diameter correlated positively with diameter of seminiferous tubules, this relationship was not significant at older ages.

Previously, Kauffold et al. (2011) conducted a study to describe the echogenicity pattern of the epididymis in boars using B-mode ultrasound together with grey-scale analysis. Ejaculate parameters were also determined for investigating the relationships between them and ultrasonographic findings. In the ultrasound images, all parts of the epididymis appeared homogeneous and regular in echotexture. However, while the echotexture of the caput and the corpus was normal, the cauda had a rather marbled echotexture (Kauffold et al., 2011). The echogenicity, expressed as the mean grey value, was different in comparison between the three segments of the epididymis (caput > corpus > cauda). The echotexture of the caput of the epididymis correlated slightly positively with the ejaculate volume and the total sperm count. Thus, ultrasound examination of the epididymis with analysis of caput echotexture provides information on semen parameters before semen collection.

Ultrasound examination of the accessory sex gland has also been successfully conducted and the appearance of each accessory sex gland has been described (Clark and Althouse, 2002) but no correlations with semen parameters have been made. It is unlikely that ultrasound examination of accessory sex glands can be implemented into practice. This method is quite challenging and dangerous as it requires rectalizing the boar. It is also not applicable in pubertal boars because of the anatomically small pelvic canal. This method may only be used in adult boars as a diagnostic tool in the work-up of subfertility. In conclusion, ultrasonographic determination of testicular diameter can be used to monitor testicular development during puberty but no correlations have been established with total sperm number in the ejaculate or with subsequent reproductive performance. It would be of particular interest to study whether ultrasound of the epididymis could also be used in prepubertal or pubertal boars to predict their future “fertility potential.”

Embryo transfer in sows

Global need for foods and animals requires the development of strategies beyond traditional breeding to ensure offspring of high genetic quality and productivity while preserving genetic diversity. Demand for pork has been rising in recent decades due to changes in consumption patterns as incomes increase in developing countries with rapidly growing economies. Genetics from superior sows best meeting with breeding goals are sought internationally. The export of live animals is contentious due to animal welfare issues, biosecurity, economy and sustainability due to long transport times and crossing of borders. The challenges with AI regarding export of porcine genetics have been discussed earlier. Although sensitive to chilling and highly susceptible to intracellular ice formation, recent progress in oocyte and embryo cryopreservation is promising (Saragusty and Arav, 2011; Cuello et al., 2016; Nohalez et al., 2018). Porcine embryos have the potential to substantially accelerate genetic gain in pig populations and to facilitate international transport of genetics, while decreasing the carbon footprint due to reduced live animal transportation. New knowledge on ET in sows is therefore essential. ET in pigs was described for the first time in 1950 at the Pig Breeding Research Institute in Poltava, Ukraine (Kvasnitski, 1950). To our knowledge, no standardized and commercial ET service in sows exist. Today, porcine ET is carried out in private companies and institutes engaged in biomedical research (Petersen et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2016). The main oocyte source for in vitro maturation (IVM), in vitro fertilization (IVF) and in vitro culture (IVC) is ovaries mainly from prepuberal sows collected from the local slaughterhouse. Antral follicles are punctured in the laboratory for oocyte collection. Embryo collection after slaughter has the disadvantage of using donor sows only once.
Additionally, the stage of the oestrus cycle at slaughter or the reason for slaughter is commonly unknown. Therefore, when oocytes are recovered in this manner, they are heterogenous in terms of developmental competence (Bertoldo et al., 2012).

To establish a viable, commercial ET concept, oocyte and embryo retrieval should be feasible for trained veterinarians under field conditions. This suggests non-surgical oocyte or embryo retrieval. Recent reports by Björkman et al. (2017b) and Yun et al. (2017) are encouraging as transvaginal ultrasound-guided biopsies of the ovaries may not cause appreciable pain or distress in non-sedated sows. However, no successful non-surgical embryo collection has been reported in pigs, except for the studies of Hazeleger et al. (1989) and Kobayashi et al. (1989) that used surgical resection of uterine horns (Brüssow et al., 2000). The major reason for this restriction is the anatomy of the porcine genital tract.

Non-surgical ovum pick-up (OPU) has not gained significant importance in live sows. This is probably also due to anatomical challenges and the fact that sow ovaries must be placed near the cervix for proper visualization before transvaginal follicle puncture and oocyte isolation can be conducted. Rectal palpation of pig ovaries in can be challenging due to the long uterus horns and the limited length of the rectal mesentery (Okuyama et al., 2017). A recent report from Japan investigated transvaginal OPU and examined the effects of different aspiration vacuum pressures and the phases of oestrous cycle on oocyte recovery, the morphology of cumulus oocyte complexes (COCs) and blastocyst formation in Berkshire pigs. The proportion of oocytes with several compact cumulus layers in 90 mmHg (27.2%) was significantly higher (P < 0.01) than in 120 mmHg (5.2%). The OPU technique enables repeated oocyte collection from highly valuable live pigs (Ikoma et al., 2014).

IVM, IVF and IVC have been extensively investigated in pigs taking known obstacles such as polyspermy into account (Romar et al., 2012; Yuan and Krisher 2012; Gil et al., 2017). IVM influences both nuclear and cytoplasmatic maturation of porcine oocytes and therefore pronuclear formation and cleavage (Laurincik et al., 1994). By modifying maturation media via addition of thiols and organic osmolytes, low incidents of male pronuclear formation after IVF can be counteracted (Funahashi and Day 1993). Polyspermic penetration of porcine oocytes range between 13% and 90% (Niwa, 1993). By simulating the oviductal environment, polyspermy is reduced and the final IVF increases the final efficiency by more than 48%. This was seemingly due to reduced sperm motility and lower capacitating status (Soriano-Úbeda et al., 2017). For IVC, NCSU23 containing taurine and hypotaurine promote the highest success rates in development from the single cell to blastocyst stage (Brüssow et al., 2000).

### Table 3. In vitro and in vivo-related embryo transfer (ET) technologies in sows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Need for research and development</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Selection of the indicated sows with superior fertility traits</td>
<td>Follicular fluid composition, seasonal infertility and follicle size effects on oocyte developmental competence and embryonic survival.</td>
<td>Peltoniemi et al., 1999; Bertoldo et al., 2013; Da Silva et al., 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Oocyte/embryo retrieval from donor sows</td>
<td>Flushing equipment for sows, skill acquisition in vivo</td>
<td>Hazeleger et al., 1989; Kobayashi et al., 1989; Brüssow and Rátky, 1996; Besenfelder et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovum pick-up (OPU) in donor sows</td>
<td>OPU device for sows, OPU technique optimization, skill acquisition on live animals</td>
<td>Brüssow et al., 1997; Antosik et al., 2007; Ikoma et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Gametes: In vitro</td>
<td>In vitro maturation (IVM), fertilization (IVF) and culture</td>
<td>Funahashi and Day 1993; Laurincik et al., 1994; Brüssow et al., 2000; Romar et al., 2012; Gil et al., 2017; Soriano-Úbeda et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oocytes/embryos: In vitro</td>
<td>Cryopreservation/vitrification of embryos/oocytes</td>
<td>Berthelot et al., 2000; Cuello et al., 2016; Nohalez et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Recipient sow synchronization</td>
<td>Hormonal synchronization protocol</td>
<td>Wilson et al., 1998; Martynenko et al., 2004; Brüssow et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET on recipient sows</td>
<td>ET into the cranial portion of the corpus uteri</td>
<td>Webel et al., 1970; Galvin et al., 1994; Hazeleger and Kemp, 1994; Li et al., 1996; Yonemura et al., 1996; Rátky et al., 2001; Martinez et al., 2004; Martinez et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The selection of recipient gilts or sows will have a major impact on ET results (Brüssow et al., 2018). Recipient sows must be hormonally synchronous to the donors. Both the breed of the recipients and the recipient uterine environment can influence the ET results. Meishan pigs have been suggested as recipients due to their higher placental efficiency (Wilson et al., 1998), and post-ovulatory AI followed by ET could increase ET efficiency (Martynenko et al., 2004).

Embryos have mainly been transferred surgically into recipients, either into the oviducts or the cranial tip of the uterus. The need for surgical ET has certainly hampered the progress of bringing this method closer to implementation under field conditions. Multiple research groups have attempted to develop a nonsurgical ET procedure (Galvin et al., 1994; Hazeleger and Kemp 1994; Li et al., 1996; Yonemura et al., 1996; Martinez et al., 2004; Martinez et al., 2016).

Considerable research effort is still necessary before ET can be offered as a commercial breeding tool (Tab. 3). In conclusion, the prospects for ET in pigs have improved with recent developments in cryopreservation of oocytes and embryos. However, despite some recent developments, repeated collection of oocytes from live animals and the need for a surgical ET remain as bottlenecks for wider commercial use of ET in genetic improvement and international trade.

Conclusions

Management of the large litters of the present hyperprolific breeds involve a sufficient appreciation of the physiological and behavioural needs of the sow prior to and around farrowing. Meeting these needs improve the capacity of the sow to produce adequate colostrum, the quantity and quality of which can be managed and monitored by modern tools such as the Brix test. Feeding sows with higher levels of fibre and decreasing the time lapse between last feeding prior to onset of parturition provide new insights for the management of parturition. Use of intermittent suckling will hasten the production cycle while extending the lactation length of small piglets. This would make the process of weaning easier for piglets, but metabolically more demanding for the sow. Recent developments in real-time ultrasonography, together with ultrasound-guided biopsy techniques provide new and novel means to study inflammatory processes of the mammary gland, dysfunction of the uterus and the ovary, timing of AI and seasonal infertility. Advancements in cryopreservation of semen, oocytes and embryos appear encouraging in terms of establishing a foundation for further development of breeding. ET and trade of germ cells and embryos across borders. While litter size in domestic European pig breeds has doubled over the past two decades, duration of farrowing has extended four to five-fold. The birth weight of piglets and colostrum intake per piglet continue to decrease. These challenges of modern breeding need to be addressed in the future. We also urge more research into this area to resolve these emerging challenges of the hyperprolific sows lines.
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