Biogeographical Patterns of Species Richness and Abundance Distribution in Stream Diatoms Are Driven by Climate and Water Chemistry
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Abstract: In this intercontinental study of stream diatoms, we asked three important but still unresolved ecological questions: (1) What factors drive the biogeography of species richness and species abundance distribution (SAD)? (2) Are climate-related hypotheses, which have dominated the research on the latitudinal and altitudinal diversity gradients, adequate in explaining spatial biotic variability? and (3) Is the SAD response to the environment independent of richness? We tested a number of climatic theories and hypotheses (i.e., the species-energy theory, the metabolic theory, the energy variability hypothesis, and the climatic tolerance hypothesis) but found no support for any of these concepts, as the relationships of richness with explanatory variables were nonexistent, weak, or unexpected. Instead, we demonstrated that diatom richness and SAD evenness generally increased with temperature seasonality and at mid- to high total phosphorus concentrations. The spatial patterns of diatom richness and the SAD—mainly longitudinal in the United States but latitudinal in Finland—were defined primarily by the covariance of climate and water chemistry with space. The SAD was not entirely controlled by richness, emphasizing its utility for ecological research. Thus, we found support for the operation of both climate and water chemistry mechanisms in structuring diatom communities, which underscores their complex response to the environment and the necessity for novel predictive frameworks.
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Introduction

The spatial variability in species richness along latitudinal, longitudinal, and elevational gradients has drawn a continued interest among ecologists for centuries, beginning with the works of Alexander von Humboldt and Carolus Linnaeus (Pianka 1966; Gaston 2000; Willig et al. 2003; Rahbek 2005; Mittelbach et al. 2007). There are numerous hypotheses about the nearly universal poleward decline in species richness, with ecological and evolutionary rates, energy, and climatic favorability and stability emerging as important underlying factors. The elevation-richness relationship is more variable, most frequently conforming to a monotonically declining or hump-shaped form, but there are some commonalities in the driving forces of the latitudinal and elevational diversity gradients (Rahbek 2005). Thus, warmer temperatures in tropical and low-elevation regions shorten the generation times and accelerate the metabolic and mutation rates, leading to greater speciation (Rohde 1992; Currie et al. 2004; Allen et al. 2006).

There are several prominent climate-based frameworks explaining the geographic variability in richness. The species-energy theory proposed that areas with greater total available energy, such as the tropics, have more diverse communities because they can maintain larger species populations with lower likelihood of extinction (Wright 1983). This theory is also known as the more individuals hypothesis because larger species populations result in a greater total number of individuals (Srivastava and Lawton 1998). It predicts that richness scales positively with energy because richness is a function of the number of individuals, which is proportional to the available energy—that is, communities are energy limited. Further elaboration of the species-energy theory recog-
nized that temporal variability in energy may directly impact the richness of an area, given that periods of low energy support fewer individuals and are, consequently, prone to higher extinction rates. Thus, models that included both total energy and energy variability explained the patterns in bird and mammal species richness better than models using a single energy variable (Carrara and Vazquez 2010). The metabolic theory of ecology (MTE) predicted a positive relationship of species richness with temperature (described in more detail in “Theory Testing” below) because higher temperatures increase the rates of speciation (Allen et al. 2002; Brown et al. 2004). Finally, according to the climatic tolerance hypothesis, the tropics harbor greater richness because their more benign warm and humid conditions fall within the physiological tolerance of many more species compared to the cold and dry extratropical regions (Currie et al. 2004). However, the latitudinal species richness patterns can be complicated by longitudinal effects, such as an east-west heterogeneity in rainfall, which is particularly prominent at midlatitudes (Terborgh 1973). In the United States, longitudinal effects on biodiversity are expected to emerge as a result of a strong ocean influence, whereby coastal regions, especially along the Pacific, experience much milder temperatures than do inland regions of the same or lower latitudes (http://planthardiness.ars.usda.gov/PHZMWeb).

Notably, there are deviations from the classical latitudinal diversity pattern. For example, a bimodal latitudinal distribution of species richness in aquatic systems has been attributed in part to resource supply and productivity (Passy 2010; Chaudhary et al. 2016). Since temperature variability and severity in aquatic systems are much lower compared to terrestrial habitats, it is conceivable that aquatic communities are less sensitive to climate. On the other hand, global changes in water chemistry and primary production as a result of anthropogenic eutrophication have strong ecological and evolutionary consequences (Smith and Schindler 2009; Alexander et al. 2017). Indeed, a comprehensive review of the freshwater literature concluded that water chemistry was a stronger predictor of diatom distributions than temperature (Soininen 2007). Therefore, factors other than climate may have profound influence on aquatic biogeography and merit further research.

While the spatial variability of species richness has been extensively studied, we know substantially less about the spatial and environmental dependence of the species abundance distribution (SAD), defined here as the number of individuals across species in a community. The SAD underlies broadly studied macroecological patterns, including the relationships of number of species with area and with number of individuals (Preston 1962; May 1975; Keeley 2003; McGill et al. 2007), and is therefore of fundamental significance in ecology. However, due to a more theoretical and statistical emphasis in the study of the SAD over the past 70 years (May 1975; McGill et al. 2007; Ulrich et al. 2010), the empirical latitudinal, longitudinal, and elevational patterns of the SAD and their underlying mechanisms, including environmental variability, have remained largely unknown (Matthews et al. 2017).

It is possible that the latitudinal gradient of richness is paralleled by a corresponding gradient of the SAD. Brown (2014) suggested that as richness increases toward lower latitudes, the SAD may transition from a less even log linear pattern (a few very abundant and some very rare species) to a more even curvilinear pattern (greater numbers of both intermediate- and low-abundance species) as a result of intensified enemy effects. There is evidence that the SADs of forest communities do become less even at high latitudes due to strong environmental filtering that favors only a few well-adapted species (Qiao et al. 2015). However, there is no broad empirical support for a tendency in the SAD toward greater evenness and lognormality at low latitudes. On the contrary, a recent study of forest trees showed the opposite trend—preponderance of log series (i.e., less even) SADs at low latitudes but lognormal (i.e., more even) SADs at high latitudes, possibly because of overall greater dispersal in the tropics, and subsequent accumulation of rare species (Ulrich et al. 2016a). A global study of dryland plant communities reported an overall prevalence of lognormal compared to log series SADs, which was linked to environmental variability and stress but not to latitude (Ulrich et al. 2016b). There are even fewer and generally terrestrial studies of the SAD along elevational gradients, which have either not reported any distinct patterns (Ulrich et al. 2016a, 2016b) or shown a transition from log series to lognormal SADs with altitude (Arellano et al. 2017). Therefore, there is clearly a need for further research on the large-scale spatial patterns of the SAD, especially in aquatic ecosystems, which have been largely neglected in this context.

Our overall goal was to explore the latitudinal, longitudinal, and altitudinal patterns in diatom species richness and abundance distribution and determine, given the correlation between species richness and the SAD (Locey and White 2013; Passy 2016), whether they are driven by the same environmental factors and whether these factors are climatic and/or chemical. To achieve this goal, we tested several climate-based hypotheses, water chemistry models, and climate + water chemistry models (table 1). Then we implemented variance partitioning to assess if the sources of spatial variation in species richness and the SAD are climatic, chemical, or both.

We examined the shape of the SAD by calculating the standard deviation (parameter \( \sigma \)) of a Poisson lognormal distribution model and skewness (fig. 1). Parameter \( \sigma \) indicates how equitably abundances are distributed across abundance classes, with lower values suggesting higher equitability. However, it has been empirically shown for freshwater diatoms...
that parameter $\sigma$ is inversely related to species richness (Passy 2016). To determine whether spatial and environmental factors control the shape of the SAD independently of species richness and, if so, whether species richness and the SAD have common environmental underpinning, we explored the response of parameter $\sigma$ to space and environment after partialing out the effect of species richness. Additionally, we assessed skewness, which measures the symmetry of the SAD compared to a lognormal distribution. Negative values (left skew) indicate prevalence of rare species, while positive values (right skew) indicate greater frequency of abundant species.

In summary, we had the following objectives with respect to species richness and the SAD: (i) assess their spatial patterns and underlying environmental variability, (ii) examine their responses to climate within the framework of several climate-based theories and hypotheses (i.e., the species-energy theory, the metabolic theory, the energy variability hypothesis, the climatic tolerance hypothesis, and the climatic variability hypothesis) and determine whether they are driven by climate and/or water chem-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model no.</th>
<th>Effect/theory/hypothesis</th>
<th>Regression model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Latitudinal effect</td>
<td>$\text{Lat} + \text{Lat}^2 + \text{Lat}^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Longitudinal effect</td>
<td>$\text{Long} + \text{Long}^2 + \text{Long}^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Altitudinal effect</td>
<td>$\text{Alt} + \text{Alt}^2 + \text{Alt}^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Spatial effect</td>
<td>$\text{Lat} + \text{Lat}^2 + \text{Lat}^3 + \text{Long} + \text{Long}^2 + \text{Long}^3 + \text{Alt} + \text{Alt}^2 + \text{Alt}^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Species-energy theory</td>
<td>$\ln(N) + \ln(N)^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Species-energy theory</td>
<td>$\ln(N) + \ln(N)^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Energy variability hypothesis</td>
<td>$T_{\text{mean}} + T_{\text{mean}}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Climatic tolerance hypothesis</td>
<td>$T_{\text{mean}} + T_{\text{mean}}^2 + T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{max}}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Climate effect</td>
<td>$T_{\text{mean}} + T_{\text{mean}}^2 + T_{\text{SD}} + T_{\text{SD}}^2 + T_{\text{min}} + T_{\text{min}}^2 + T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{max}}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Chemistry effect</td>
<td>$T_{\text{mean}} + T_{\text{mean}}^2 + T_{\text{SD}} + T_{\text{SD}}^2 + T_{\text{min}} + T_{\text{min}}^2 + T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{max}}^2 + \text{TP} + \text{TP}^2 + \text{NO}_2 + \text{NO}_2 + \text{NH}_4 + \text{NH}_4 + \text{pH} + \text{pH}^2 + \text{Cond} + \text{Cond}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Climate + chemistry effect</td>
<td>$T_{\text{mean}} + T_{\text{mean}}^2 + T_{\text{SD}} + T_{\text{SD}}^2 + T_{\text{min}} + T_{\text{min}}^2 + T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{max}}^2 + \text{TP} + \text{TP}^2 + \text{NO}_2 + \text{NO}_2 + \text{NH}_4 + \text{NH}_4 + \text{pH} + \text{pH}^2 + \text{Cond} + \text{Cond}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Metabolic theory</td>
<td>$1/kT_{\text{mean}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Metabolic theory</td>
<td>$1/kT_{\text{mean}} + (1/kT_{\text{mean}})^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $\text{Alt} = \text{altitude}$; $\text{Cond} = \text{specific conductance}$; $k = \text{Boltzmann constant}$; $\text{Lat} = \text{latitude}$; $\text{Long} = \text{longitude}$; $N = \text{total density (number of individuals per area)}$; $T_{\text{mean}} = \text{maximum temperature of the warmest month}$; $T_{\text{min}} = \text{mean annual temperature}$; $T_{\text{max}} = \text{minimum temperature of the coldest month}$; $T_{\text{SD}} = \text{temperature seasonality (standard deviation)}$; $\text{TP} = \text{total phosphorus}$.

Figure 1: Species abundance distribution with a Poisson lognormal fit in two sample communities from the United States, differing in species richness ($S$), parameter $\sigma$, proportion of species revealed by the sample ($\text{psr}$), and skewness ($\gamma$). An asterisk indicates significance; NS = nonsignificant.
istry, and (iii) evaluate whether the SAD responds to spatial and environmental factors independently of species richness.

Material and Methods

Data Sets

United States. Data on stream water chemistry and diatom composition were collected from 526 distinct stream localities in the United States (fig. A1; figs. A1–A9 are available online) by the National Water-Quality Assessment Program (http://water.usgs.gov/nawqa). Diatoms were sampled from a defined area of hard substrate or macrophytes. Water chemistry, including total phosphorus, nitrate + nitrite, ammonia, pH, and specific conductance, was measured for the month of algal collection (table A1; tables A1–A3 are available online). Samples were taken in July and August from 1993 to 2009 along a latitudinal range of 36°, longitudinal range of 83°, and altitudinal range of 2,448 m. Climatic variables including mean annual temperature (\(T_{mean}\)), temperature seasonality (standard deviation, \(T_{std}\)), minimum temperature of the coldest month (\(T_{min}\)), and maximum temperature of the warmest month (\(T_{max}\)) were obtained from the WorldClim database (Hijmans et al. 2005). In each sample, about 600 diatom cells were counted and identified primarily to species. The total cell count was converted to total density (cells cm\(^{-2}\)).

Finland. Data on climate (the same variables as in the United States) and water chemistry, including total phosphorus, pH, and specific conductance, were available for 100 streams in Finland (fig. A1). Diatoms were sampled from a total area of 90 cm\(^2\) of stream substrate in July and August from 2001 to 2004 along a latitudinal range of 10°, longitudinal range of 7°, and altitudinal range of 302 m. Diatoms were identified primarily to species in counts of about 500 cells. In both the United States and Finland, the numbers of counted cells were consistent with international protocols; therefore, we are confident that we have good estimates of community species richness.

Analysis of the SAD

Using cell counts, the SAD of each community was fit with a Poisson lognormal distribution model (fig. 1), which is comparatively insensitive to sampling effort and performs equally well to other commonly used models (Sæther et al. 2013; Baldrige et al. 2016). We estimated the standard deviation, parameter \(\sigma\), with the poilog R package (Groten and Engen 2008). A comparison of the rank of the observed log likelihood with the log likelihood derived from 1,000 bootstraps provided a goodness-of-fit metric. Goodness-of-fit values between 0.05 and 0.95 indicate good fit. We also calculated the proportion of the species pool revealed by the sample, which represents the unveiled proportion of the Poisson lognormal distribution. We calculated the skewness (\(\gamma\)) of the log-transformed counts of individuals and the standard error of skewness (SES) as \((6/n)^{0.5}\), where \(n\) is the number of species. Skewness is considered significant if the absolute value of the ratio \(\gamma/\text{SES}\) is greater than 2 (SYSTAT, San Jose, CA). Environmental and biotic data are deposited in the Dryad Digital Repository: http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.v1v7856 (Passy et al. 2018).

Theory Testing

We performed a series of regression analyses to explore the spatial patterns in species richness and the SAD and to test the outlined theories and hypotheses (table 1). To assess whether the SAD had responses to spatial and environmental predictors that were independent of species richness, we regressed parameter \(\sigma\) against richness (fig. A2), obtained the residuals (\(\sigma_{res}\)), and then treated \(\sigma_{res}\) as a dependent variable in all subsequent regressions of the SAD. If richness controlled the behavior of the SAD along spatial and environmental gradients, then these gradients would have little to no effect on \(\sigma_{res}\). Considering that spatial trends could be more complex due to patchiness, the spatial predictors included linear, quadratic, and cubic terms. The environmental predictors, on the other hand, encompassed only linear and quadratic terms.

In tables 2 and 3, models 1–4 tested the spatial effects on species richness and \(\sigma_{res}\); models 5–8 tested climate-related theories and hypotheses, models 9–11 tested climatic and/or chemistry effects, and models 12 and 13 tested the metabolic theory. We tested the predictions of the species-energy theory that species richness (S) increases with energy (here mean temperature, \(T_{mean}\)) because S is a positive function of the number of individuals (\(N\)), which in turn is proportional to the amount of energy (Srivastava and Lawton 1998). Testing this required several equations, including models 5 and 6 from table 1 and equation (1) below, which contained a quadratic term to account for potential nonlinearity in the density (\(N\)) response to mean temperature (\(T_{mean}\)):

\[
\ln(N) = \ln(T_{mean}) + \ln(T_{mean})^2. \quad (1)
\]

The metabolic theory expresses species richness (\(S\)) as a function of temperature according to the equation \(\ln(S) = -E_A/kT + I\), where \(E_A\) is the activation energy with an expected value of about 0.65; \(k\) is Boltzmann’s constant, \(8.62 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV K}^{-1}\); \(T\) is the temperature in Kelvin; and \(I\) is the intercept (Allen et al. 2002; Brown et al. 2004). Here we used \(T_{mean}\) and tested whether this prediction holds, and \(E_A\) lies between 0.6 and 0.7. However, since the richness response to the Boltzmann temperature factor \((1/kT_{mean})\) can be curvilinear (Algar et al. 2007), we added a quadratic term (model 13).
Table 2: US diatoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model no.</th>
<th>Regression model for richness</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>Regression model for $\sigma_{\text{res}}$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.20Lat + .15Lat$^2$ - .18Lat$^3$</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>4269</td>
<td>.18Lat + .22Lat$^2$ - .15Lat$^3$</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-.34Long$^2$ - .35Long$^3$</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>4256</td>
<td>.57Long$^2$ + .37Long$^3$</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-.15Alt$^2$</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>4268</td>
<td>.13Alt$^2$</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>.34Lat + .17Lat$^2$ - .69Long$^2$ - .31Long$^3$ - .21Alt + .16Alt$^2$</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>4211</td>
<td>.30Lat$^2$ + .68Long$^2$ + .64Long$^3$ + .16Alt$^2$</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>.12T$^2$_mean$^2$</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>4273</td>
<td>.11T$^2$_mean + .20T$^2$_max$^2$</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ln(N) + ln(N)$^2$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>-1.1ln(N) + .13ln(N)$^2$</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>.24T$<em>{SD}$ + .31T$</em>{SP}$</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>4232</td>
<td>-.22T$_{SD}$</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-.17T$<em>{min}$ + .28T$</em>{min}$</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>4245</td>
<td>.21T$<em>{min}$ - .22T$</em>{max}$</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-.32T$^2$<em>mean$^2$ + .44T$</em>{SD}$ + .24T$<em>{min}$ + .52T$</em>{max}$ + .19T$^2$_mean$^2$</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>4225</td>
<td>-.28T$<em>{SD}$ - .46T$</em>{SD}^2$ + .39T$<em>{min}^2$ - .26T$</em>{max}$</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>.35TP - .13TP$^2$ - .20NO$_2$ + .11NH$_4$</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>4213</td>
<td>-.12TP - .12NO$_2$ - .15Cond</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-.19T$^2$<em>mean$^2$ + .21T$</em>{SD}$ + .40T$<em>{SP}$ + .13T$</em>{max}$ + .28TP - .10TP$^2$ - .15NO$_2$ + .11NH$_4$</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>4182</td>
<td>.13T$^2$<em>mean$^2$ - .18T$</em>{SP}$ + .22T$<em>{min}$ - .25T$</em>{max}$ - .11TP - .14NO$_2$</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1/kT$_{mean}$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>1/kT</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1/kT$<em>{mean}$ + (1/kT$</em>{mean}$)$^2$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>.13/(kT)$^2$</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>787</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Regression models testing the responses of species richness (models 1–11); ln-transformed species richness, ln(S) (models 12 and 13); and residual parameter $\sigma_{\text{res}}$ (models 1–13). All models were compared using the Akaike information criterion (AIC). Models with lower AIC provided better fits. Regression trees were calculated to assess interactions among climatic and water chemistry predictors and potential nonlinear responses of species richness and $\sigma_{\text{res}}$. Variance partitioning estimated whether the spatial responses of species richness and $\sigma_{\text{res}}$ were driven by climate, water chemistry, and/or their covariance. Specifically, we estimated how much of the variance explained by the overall spatial model (table 1, model 4) was contributed by covariance of the spatial predictors with climatic and chemistry predictors from models 9 and 10, respectively. The effects of

Table 3: Finnish diatoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model no.</th>
<th>Regression model for richness</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>Regression model for $\sigma_{\text{res}}$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.58Lat$^2$ - .33Lat$^3$</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>.38Lat$^3$</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.31Long$^2$ + .36Long$^3$</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>Long + Long$^2$ + Long$^3$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.52Alt + .22Alt$^2$</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>.27Alt$^3$</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-.35Lat$^2$ + .32Long$^3$</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>.38Lat$^3$</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-.26T$_{mean}$</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>-.35T$_{mean}$</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ln(N) + ln(N)$^2$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>ln(N) + ln(N)$^2$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>.34T$_{SD}$</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>T$<em>{SD}$ + T$</em>{SP}^2$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>.55T$<em>{min}$ + .74T$</em>{max}$ - .10T$_{max}^2$</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>-.40T$<em>{min}$ + .24T$</em>{max}^2$</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-.31T$<em>{mean}^2$ + .23T$</em>{SP}$ + 3.29T$<em>{min}$ - 2.33T$</em>{max}$ - .91T$_{mean}^2$</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>1.39T$<em>{mean}$ + .33T$</em>{mean}^2$ + .44T$<em>{min}$ - 1.47T$</em>{max}^2$</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-.42TP - .23pH$^2$</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>-.32Cond$^2$</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-.32T$<em>{mean}$ + .97T$</em>{mean}^2$ + 2.05T$<em>{SD}$ + 4.67T$</em>{SD}$ + .72T$_{SP}$ + .29pH$^2$</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>-.51T$<em>{mean}$ - .28T$</em>{max}^2$ + .32T$_{max}^2$ + .43TP</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1/kT$_{mean}$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>.35/kT</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-.26/(kT$_{mean}$)$^2$</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>.35/kT</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Regression models testing the responses of species richness (models 1–5, 7–13); ln-transformed species richness, ln(S) (models 12 and 13); and residual parameter $\sigma_{\text{res}}$ (models 1–5, 7–13) to spatial and environmental variables. Parameter $\sigma_{\text{res}}$ was obtained from a regression of parameter $\sigma$ against ln(S) (fig. A2A, available online). The parameters in each model are standardized regression coefficients with $.000001 \leq P < .05, n = 536$. The Akaike information criterion (AIC) of the best environmental model is in boldface. Abbreviations are as in table 1. NA = not applicable; NS = nonsignificant.
climatic and water chemistry predictors on skewness were examined with multiple regressions using a backward stepping procedure. Regressions, regression trees, and variance partitioning were performed with SYSTAT 13.

**Results**

*Spatial Patterns of Environmental Factors, Species Richness, and the SAD*

Temperature-related variables and, to a lesser extent, water chemistry variables exhibited complex spatial patterns (figs. 2A–2D, 3A–3E, A3–A6). For example, in the conterminous United States, the Upper Midwest experienced low mean and minimum temperatures and high temperature seasonality, while coastal areas, even of similar latitude, had much greater \( T_{\text{mean}} \) and \( T_{\text{min}} \) and much lower \( T_{\text{SD}} \). Total phosphorus and specific conductance peaked at midlongitudes. In Finland, total phosphorus and all climatic variables but \( T_{\text{SD}} \) declined with latitude and altitude, while \( T_{\text{SD}} \) was the highest at intermediate latitudes and the highest altitudes. Regressions including linear, quadratic, and cubic terms of latitude, longitude, and altitude explained 72%–95% of the variability in the four climatic variables in the United States and 94%–99% in Finland but 14%–30% of the variability in water chemistry in the United States and 67%–71% in Finland.

In both the US and Finnish data sets, the Poisson lognormal distribution model fit the abundance data well (0.36 ≤ goodness-of-fit ≤ 0.53). Therefore, this distribution model provided a reliable estimate of the species abundance variability and was used in further analyses to determine the drivers of the SAD. Parameter \( \sigma \) was a negative function of the ln-transformed species richness (fig. A2), that is, rich communities had lower parameter \( \sigma \) and were thus more equitable. To assess the richness-independent effects of spatial and environmental predictors on the SAD, we calculated residual parameter \( \sigma (\sigma_{\text{res}}) \) from the regression of parameter \( \sigma \) against \( \ln(S) \) and treated it as a dependent variable in subsequent regressions (tables 2, 3; fig. 4B, 4D). Richness and parameters \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \) displayed broad variability in both the United States and Finland (fig. A7).

In the United States, the most pronounced spatial trend in species richness and \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \) was longitudinal (fig. 2E, 2F), while latitude and altitude generated weaker responses. The highest richness and the lowest \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \) were detected in streams with longitudes between approximately \(-87^\circ\) and \(-97^\circ\) across a wide range of latitudes but primarily concentrated in the Midwest (figs. 2E, 2F, A4C, A4D). In Finland, latitude was the only spatial gradient with a prominent effect on both species richness and \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \) (fig. 3F, 3G). The best spatial model in the United States, especially for richness, included all spatial predictors (but the longitudinal terms had the highest standardized regression coefficients) and captured 14%–17% of the biotic variance (table 2, model 4). In Finland, latitude and longitude captured 24% of the variance in species richness, while just latitude explained 15% of the variance in \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \) (table 3, model 4).

*Responses of Species Richness and the SAD to Environmental Factors and Their Covariance with Space*

To assess whether variability in mean temperature, temperature seasonality, or temperature extremes contributed most to the variability in richness, as predicted by the species-energy theory, the energy variability hypothesis, and the climatic tolerance hypothesis, respectively, we calculated models 5, 7, and 8 (tables 2, 3). Additionally, in the United States we examined the response of richness to density to more fully evaluate the species-energy theory (table 2, model 6). Temperature seasonality and temperature extremes emerged as stronger predictors of richness in both countries and of \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \) in the United States than mean temperature, while mean and extreme temperatures defined \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \) in Finland equally well. In the United States, the climatic variables generated a more or less pronounced U-shaped response in richness, contrary to the predictions of the aforementioned theories and hypotheses, but primarily a linear response in \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \) (table 2; fig. A8). A nonsignificant relationship of richness with density further indicated that the effect of temperature on richness was not due to a temperature dependence of density, which is inconsistent with the species-energy theory. The relationship of density with mean temperature was weak and unimodal (\( R^2 = 0.03 \), \( n = 524 \), \( P < .00005 \)). In Finland, the greatest richness was observed at intermediate \( T_{\text{max}} \) and high \( T_{\text{SD}} \), and the lowest \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \) was observed at the highest \( T_{\text{mean}} \) and \( T_{\text{max}} \) (table 3; fig. A9). Despite high correlations among the climatic variables (table A2), the model including all significant climatic variables (tables 2, 3, model 9) improved the predictability of richness and \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \) to various extents, indicating that in some cases these community properties were products of multiple climatic influences.

In the United States, water chemistry captured a greater proportion of the variance in species richness than climate, whereas climate outperformed water chemistry in the remaining models of richness in Finland and \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \) in both countries (tables 2, 3, models 9, 10). In both countries, total phosphorus was the best water chemistry predictor of richness and specific conductance of \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \) (figs. A8, A9). Notably, the best model in both countries included both climate and water chemistry variables and explained 19%–38% and 13%–22% of the variance in species richness and \( \sigma_{\text{res}} \), respectively (tables 2, 3, model 11).

The relationship of ln-species richness with the Boltzmann temperature factor \( (1/kT_{\text{mean}}) \) was not significant in
the United States and convex in Finland, inconsistent with the prediction of the MTE (tables 2, 3, models 12, 13). Only in Finland did $\sigma_{\text{res}}$ exhibit a notable relationship with the Boltzmann temperature factor (negative).

Regression tree analyses of the US data revealed the highest species richness and the lowest $\sigma_{\text{res}}$ at high temperature seasonality (fig. 4A, 4B). At lower temperature seasonality, richness was greater at higher total phosphorus levels. In Finland, rich and poor communities were separated only by temperature seasonality, with rich communities found at higher seasonality (fig. 4C). Parameter $\sigma_{\text{res}}$ was differentiated by mean temperature and specific conductance (fig. 4D). The lowest $\sigma_{\text{res}}$ (highest equitability) was detected at high mean temperature. At lower $T_{\text{mean}}$, streams of lower conductance had lower $\sigma_{\text{res}}$.

We asked next to what extent the spatial patterns of richness and the SAD were driven by climate versus water chemistry. To answer this question, we performed variance partitioning (fig. 5), which revealed that in both countries the spatial effect on both species richness and $\sigma_{\text{res}}$, which captured 14%–24% of their variance, was generated by covariance of space with climate and water chemistry (4%–12% explained variance), followed by covariance of space with climate (4%–10% explained variance).

Skewness was positive in all communities in both the United States and Finland, indicating a prevalence of common species. Of the skewness values, 51% were significant ($\gamma/\text{SES} > 2$) in the United States and 71% were significant in Finland. Skewness was either negatively (Pearson $r = -0.24$, $P < .000001$; United States) or nonsignificantly (Finland) related to richness. In both countries, skewness was positively correlated with $\sigma_{\text{res}}$ (Pearson $r = 0.38–0.52$, $P < .000002$), that is, equitable communities were more symmetric with lower skewness and these communities tended to be rich in the United States. In the United States, skewness responded primarily to climate, though weakly (table A3). In
Finland, skewness was determined by climatic variables alone and declined at high values of mean, minimum, and maximum temperature.

Discussion

On both continents, diatom richness and the SAD exhibited distinct spatial patterns, which were attributed to spatially structured climate and water chemistry. By examining different environmental factors, we were able to test several climate-based theories and hypotheses for the spatial variability in richness and ultimately develop a climate-water chemistry model (tables 2, 3; model 11), outperforming existing frameworks (tables 2, 3; models 5–8, 12–13). A similar model was formulated for the SAD, which exhibited variability along environmental and spatial gradients that was independent of richness. Next we give an overview of the spatial patterns of richness and the SAD and discuss their potential origins.

In accordance with our first objective, we report a number of interesting findings about the spatial variability in species richness and the SAD. First, in the United States, no single spatial factor captured much of the variance in richness, but a more complex polynomial model of latitude, longitude, and altitude was necessary to better describe richness variability. In this model, the strongest spatial effect was longitudinal. The most pronounced spatial gradient of the SAD in the United States was also longitudinal. Second, the best spatial predictors of richness in Finland were latitude and longitude, but only latitude had a comparatively strong effect on the SAD. Third, in both the United States and Finland, diatom richness did not conform to the classical pattern of monotonic latitudinal decrease, consistent with prior diatom studies (Passy 2010; Soininen et al. 2016). These studies attributed the deviation from the classical pattern to the overriding effect of wetlands and their impact on micronutrient availability. Here we identify both climatic and water chemistry factors that further contribute to the unique spatial distribution of diatom richness. Fourth, the expectation for increased evenness in the SAD at low latitudes (Brown 2014) was confirmed only in Finland, while in the United States the most equitable communities were observed at midlatitudes, where specific conductance, temperature seasonality, and total phosphorus were the greatest. Fifth, the altitudinal response of richness—bimodal in the United States but peaking at high elevations in Finland—did not follow the common
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**Figure 3:** Latitudinal distributions of the best climatic and water chemistry predictors (A–E) of species richness and $\sigma_{\text{res}}$ in Finland (table 3). The latitudinal distributions of species richness (F) and $\sigma_{\text{res}}$ (G) were among the strongest spatial trends of biotic variability in Finland. The fits were generated by locally weighted scatterplot smoothing with tension of 0.5. $n = 100$. 
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monotonic decline or hump-shaped patterns. Admittedly, the elevational gradient in Finland was short and not sufficient to reveal the full variability in richness. By examining different sources of climatic and water chemistry variability, here we provide a more comprehensive explanation for the nonconventional diatom spatial patterns.

Similar to species richness and the SAD, the four studied climatic factors (mean, minimum, and maximum temperature, and temperature seasonality) and to a lesser extent, water chemistry (total phosphorus and specific conductance), displayed complex spatial distributions, generally driven by all three spatial gradients (latitudinal, longitudinal, and altitudinal), but to a varying degree. To assess which environmental factors contributed to the spatial structuring of species richness and the SAD and what biotic responses they generated, we performed a series of multiple regressions, regression tree analysis, and variance partitioning.

In pursuit of our second objective, we tested climatic and water chemistry effects on species richness and the SAD. In the United States, species richness was constrained most strongly by temperature seasonality and total phosphorus—the highest richness was recorded in streams with the highest seasonality, whereas in streams of lower seasonality, high levels of total phosphorus promoted greater richness (fig. 4A). Temperature seasonality was a comparatively strong and positive predictor of richness in Finland. In fact, the latitudinal richness distribution in Finland, with a midlatitude maximum, was best approximated by the latitudinal distribution of temperature seasonality, also reaching high values at midlatitudes. These results contradict the energy variability hypothesis, predicting a negative effect of temperature seasonality on richness due to reduced energy availability during the cold months. A possible explanation for this unexpected pattern can be derived from competition theory, which postulates

---

**Figure 4:** Regression trees of species richness S (A) and σ_res (B) in the United States and of S (C) and σ_res (D) in Finland, showing the splitting variables, their cut values, and the fit, derived from the proportional reduction in error. For both countries, the predictor set included T_mean, T_SD, T_min, T_max, ln(TP) (total phosphorus), ln(Cond) (specific conductance), and pH. For the United States, ln(NO_3) and ln(NH_4) were also added. n = number of communities.
that temporal heterogeneity in resource supply increases biodiversity because it allows coexistence of species that alternate between dominance and persistence at different times (Sommer 1985; Tilman and Pacala 1993). The shape of the SAD in the United States was also determined by temperature seasonality—communities with higher abundance equality were found in streams of higher seasonality. This finding provides further evidence that the increased species coexistence under variable climatic conditions is potentially maintained by resource partitioning and diminished competition.

In the United States, minimum temperature was among the strongest predictors of richness, which showed a U-shaped response with high values at the lowest minimum temperature. In Finland, richness was the highest at lower minimum temperature. These results contradict the expectation of the climatic tolerance hypothesis for reduced richness at low temperature minima. Given that low minimum temperature in this study correlated with high temperature seasonality (table A2), these unexpected richness patterns are likely a consequence of the positive effect of temperature seasonality on richness. It is also possible that diatoms deviate from the expectation of the climatic tolerance hypothesis because they inhabit the comparatively milder aquatic environment, which is less prone to extreme fluctuations. Conversely, in terrestrial habitats, where temperatures reach much greater extremes, the climatic tolerance hypothesis was supported (Simová et al. 2011). Furthermore, low temperatures may favor diatoms over cyanobacteria (Peterson and Grimm 1992; van der Grinten et al. 2005) or green algae (Roberts et al. 2003); therefore, lower temperature minima and stronger temperature seasonality may prevent diatom exclusion and promote higher biodiversity of this algal group. High temperature seasonality, low temperature minima, and high temperature maxima were also associated with the highest abundance equality (lowest $\sigma_{\text{max}}$) in the United States, which suggests more equitable resource partitioning at higher temperature variability and extremes with positive consequences for diatom biodiversity. These results indicate that a decrease in the temporal variability of temperature and an increase in the temperature minima due to global warming (Xu et al. 2013) may potentially lead to lower biodiversity and abundance equality in some stream diatoms.

The response of richness to the Boltzmann temperature factor and mean temperature contradicted the MTE and the species-energy theory, respectively, predicting a positive relationship (both theories) with a specific rate of increase (MTE). Deviations from the predictions of the MTE were previously reported for both aquatic and terrestrial macroorganisms, where the richness–temperature relationship was found to be curvilinear, linear with a slope significantly different from predictions, or not significantly different from zero (Algar et al. 2007; Hawkins et al. 2007; Pinel-Alloul et al. 2013). In microbes, including lake phytoplankton and soil bacteria, the richness response to temperature was also variable, following a segmented (Segura et al. 2015) or linear pattern, respectively, less pronounced than that observed in macroorganisms (Zhou et al. 2016). A systematic analysis of multiple data sets across a broad range of terrestrial macroorganisms, testing the predictions of the MTE, found that the richness-temperature relationship was positive in data sets that included areas with colder winters but nonexistent or negative in data sets from tropical, subtropical, and warm temperate regions (Hawkins et al. 2007). A review of the species-energy relationship noted that it is scale dependent and transitions from unimodal at small scales to monotonically increasing at large scales (Evans et al. 2005). Here the relationship of richness with the Boltzmann temperature factor and mean temperature was nonsignificant or weak in the United States ($R^2 \leq 0.01$; table 2) but comparatively stronger and unimodal in Finland ($R^2 = 0.07$; table 3), even though both data sets covered regional to subcontinental scales and included cold climate streams. Ultimately, our results show that species richness is more strongly related to temperature seasonality, extremes, and total phosphorus than to mean temperature. The SAD response to the Boltzmann temperature factor and mean temperature was weak and U-shaped in the United States but stronger and monotonic in

**Figure 5:** Variance partitioning of species richness (A) and $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ (B) in the United States and of species richness (C) and $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ (D) in Finland using spatial, climatic, and water chemistry variables from models 4, 9, and 10, respectively, in tables 2 (A, B) and 3 (C, D). The numbers indicate percent explained variance.
Finland, where inequality (parameter $\sigma_{m}$) decreased with temperature. It is thus possible that mean temperature becomes a more important determinant of the SAD at higher latitudes.

Our results were also inconsistent with the species-energy theory, which so far has received mixed support (Srivastava and Lawton 1998; Kaspari et al. 2000; Mönkkönen et al. 2006; Šimová et al. 2011). The hump-shaped behavior of density along the temperature gradient in the US data set indicated that higher temperatures actually decrease the number of individuals, which is in stark contrast with the prediction of this theory for a positive relationship. Although this pattern was weak, it could potentially be a consequence of intensified grazing at higher temperatures due to accelerated herbivore metabolic and consumption rates (O’Connor and Bruno 2009; West and Post 2016). Finally, the richness-density relationship was nonsignificant, implying that the temperature effect on richness was not through density.

Water chemistry, particularly total phosphorus and specific conductance, emerged as some of the best predictors of richness and the SAD with a comparable effect to that of the best climatic predictors. In the two countries, species richness was higher at intermediate or high nutrient values. An increase of diatom richness with nutrient supply has been previously documented and explained with the ability of more functional groups (e.g., tolerant and sensitive to nutrient limitation) to coexist at high nutrients (Passy 2008; Soininen et al. 2016). Here we further report that the SAD in the United States was also constrained by nutrient supply. A recent study on the SAD of stream diatom communities, sampled along a land use gradient, revealed that their equitability increased (parameter $\sigma$ decreased) with the transition from forest to agriculture and suggested that nutrient enrichment was responsible for this pattern (Passy 2016). In this investigation, we found support for this hypothesis and showed that communities in the United States indeed became more even at higher nutrient supply. In Finland, which contained mostly oligotrophic streams, the SAD ($\sigma_{m}$) did not respond to total phosphorus, probably because of the limited variability of this predictor (table A1).

Remarkably, in the United States, where water chemistry exhibited broad variability, the overall water chemistry model outperformed the climate model (for richness) or was comparable to it (for $\sigma_{m}$) in terms of $R^2$ (table 2). Moreover, the best multiple regression model for richness and the SAD in the two countries included both climatic and water chemistry variables (tables 2, 3, model 11). Regression trees further elucidated the interactive effects of climate and water chemistry, although in some cases this approach selected only climatic variables because these variables correlated with the best water chemistry predictors. In the United States, the longitudinal distributions of species richness and the SAD, which were the most distinct spatial patterns, appeared to be driven primarily by total phosphorus and specific conductance, respectively, with some influence of temperature seasonality (fig. 2). Notably, all three predictors (i.e., temperature seasonality, total phosphorus, and specific conductance) varied most strongly along the longitudinal gradient (figs. A3B, A4A, A4B), which explains the weak latitudinal and altitudinal biotic patterns. We showed by variance partitioning that much of the spatial variability of richness and the SAD was due to covariance of space with both climate and water chemistry. Therefore, an understanding of the biogeography of microorganisms requires models that explicitly include water chemistry. While a combined effect of nutrients and temperature on microbial biodiversity has been reported before (Wang et al. 2016), this study is the first to systematically test different climate theories and hypotheses about diatom biodiversity and demonstrate that climate (particularly temperature seasonality) and water chemistry co-controlled the variability in both diatom richness and the SAD.

Skewness of the log$_2$-transformed abundances was positive in both data sets in contrast with a large body of literature, documenting negative skew as the dominant pattern (Gregory and Gaston 2000; Hubbell 2001; Magurran and Henderson 2003). This discrepancy may be due to both sampling intensity and environmental influences. Thus, when too few individuals are sampled, the left side of the SAD remains veiled and the right side exhibits a positive skew (McGill 2003). Although in both data sets a comparatively large number of individuals was sampled following standard protocols, the proportion of species revealed by the sample had a median of 44%–75%, indicating that some species remained veiled. However, skewness had detectable correlations with environmental factors ($R^2 = 0.07–0.22$; table A3), pointing to some ecological constraints on the SAD symmetry as well. Skewness responded most strongly to temperature seasonality and extremes in the United States, while temperature mean and extremes were the underlying factors in Finland.

Determining the drivers controlling the distribution of common species (i.e., the SAD symmetry) has important practical implications given that these species are primarily responsible for delivering ecosystem services (Winfree et al. 2015). Here we show that these drivers are mainly climatic; therefore, climate change may have a strong effect on diatom community functioning in stream ecosystems.

It is noteworthy that the SAD (parameter $\sigma_{m}$) exhibited distinct environmental and spatial responses that were independent of richness, consistent with our third objective. There were also some differences in the environmental and spatial predictors that entered the regression models of species richness and $\sigma_{m}$ (tables 2, 3; fig. 4) as well as in the shape of the species richness and $\sigma_{m}$ responses to common predictors (figs. A8, A9). The form of the SAD is strongly dependent on richness and total abundance (Locey and White 2013; Passy 2016), and the usefulness of the SAD for ecological research has been questioned (Yen et al. 2013), in part be-
cause of its dependence on richness. The results presented here demonstrate that the abiotic environment has distinct impacts on richness and the SAD and that the shape of the SAD can be predicted to some extent by climatic and water chemistry variables, emphasizing the utility of the SAD in unraveling ecological mechanisms.
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