

1 CD40L coding oncolytic adenovirus allows long-term survival of 2 humanized mice receiving dendritic cell therapy

3
4 Sadia Zafar ^a, Suvi Sorsa ^{a,b}, Mikko Siurala ^{a,b}, Otto Hemminki ^{a,c}, Riikka Havunen ^{a,b}, Victor Cervera-
5 Carrascon ^{a,b}, João Manuel Santos ^{a,b}, Hongjie Wang ^d, Andre Lieber ^d, Tanja de Gruijl ^e, Anna
6 Kanerva ^{a,f}, and Akseli Hemminki ^{a,b,g}.

7
8 a Cancer Gene Therapy Group, Department of Oncology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland;
9 b TILT Biotherapeutics Ltd, Helsinki, Finland

10 c Division of Urology, Helsinki University Hospital Comprehensive Cancer Center, Helsinki, Finland

11 d Department of Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

12 e VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

13 f Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

14 g Helsinki University Hospital Comprehensive Cancer Center, Helsinki, Finland

15 correspondence: akseli.hemminki@helsinki.fi

16 **Key words: Dendritic cells, T-cells, oncolytic adenovirus, Ad3, CD40L**

17 **A list of abbreviations and acronyms**

18

19

ACK	Ammonium-Chloride-Potassium lysis buffer
Ad5	Serotype 5 adenoviruses
Ad3	Serotype 3 adenoviruses
APCs	Antigen presenting cells
BD	Becton Dickinson
CBA	Cytometric bead array
DCs	Dendritic cells
DMEM	Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium
GMCSF	Granulocyte macrophage colony stimulation factor
hCD40L	Human CD40 Ligand
hTERT	Human telomerase reverse transcriptase
IFN-gamma	Interferon gamma
IL4	Interleukin 4
IL6	Interleukin 6
IL2	Interleukin 2
IL10	Interleukin 10
IL12	Interleukin 12
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
NK	Natural killer cells
rhCD40L	recombinant human CD40 Ligand
Th1	T helper type 1 cells
Th2	T helper type 2 cells
TGF-β	Transforming growth factor - beta
TME	Tumor microenvironment
VEGF	Vascular endothelial growth factor

20

21 **Abstract**

22 Dendritic cells (DCs) are crucial players in promoting immune responses. Logically, adoptive DC
23 therapy is a promising approach in cancer immunotherapy. One of the major obstacles in cancer
24 immunotherapy in general is the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment, which hampers the
25 maturation and activation of DCs. Therefore, human clinical outcomes with DC therapy alone have
26 been disappointing. In this study, we use fully serotype 3 oncolytic adenovirus Ad3-hTERT-CMV-
27 hCD40L, expressing human CD40L, to modulate the tumor microenvironment with subsequently
28 improved function of DCs. We evaluated the synergistic effects of Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L and
29 DCs in the presence of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells *ex vivo* and *in vivo*. Tumors treated
30 with Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L and DCs featured greater antitumor effect compared with unarmed
31 virus or either treatment alone. 100% of humanized mice survived to the end of the experiment, while
32 mice in all other groups died by day 88. Moreover, adenovirally-delivered CD40L induced activation
33 of DCs, leading to induction of Th1 immune responses. These results support clinical trials with Ad3-
34 hTERT-CMV-hCD40L in patients receiving DC therapy.

35

36 **Introduction**

37 The field of cancer immunotherapy has made tremendous progress recently and it has become a first
38 or second line treatment option for many cancers. To establish a powerful anti-tumor immune
39 response in patients, successful tumor antigen presentation through antigen-presenting cells (APCs),
40 such as dendritic cells (DCs), to tumor-specific T cells is essential [1]. DCs are APCs and key
41 mediators of adaptive immune responses [2]. Considering the key role of DCs in the initiation and
42 regulation of immune responses, they are an attractive tool for immunotherapy [1]. DC-based
43 therapies have been investigated for various advanced-stage cancers such as prostate cancer,
44 melanoma, renal cell carcinoma, and B-cell lymphoma [3]. However, the typical tumor
45 microenvironment (TME) is highly immunosuppressive and capable of impairing DC functions,
46 thereby hampering the efficacy of DC therapies [4-6]. Thus, despite promising preclinical results in
47 DC therapy, clinical data has suggested that alone it may not be sufficient to reverse the immune-
48 suppressive TME for meaningful responses in patients [7,8].

49 For example, a randomized trial in colorectal cancer concluded that although anti-tumor immune
50 responses could be induced with DC therapy, this did not result in anti-tumor efficacy or a survival
51 advantage [9]. Similarly, in melanoma, a survival advantage was not seen versus chemotherapy [10].
52 Taken together with dozens of non-randomized trials, it appears that DC therapies are able to induce
53 anti-tumor immunity but there is a limitation with efficacy, and tumor immunosuppression appears
54 the likely culprit. This notion is supported by more promising trial results when DC therapy was given
55 as an adjuvant therapy, in the context of minimal residual disease [11]. If there is no macroscopic
56 tumor, there is less immunosuppression caused by the TME.

57 Of note, it has repeatedly been suggested that patients responding immunologically to DC therapy
58 have better outcomes [12-15]. This finding could indicate that immune competent patients have better
59 outcomes than highly immune suppressed patients [16-18], without DCs necessarily playing a role.
60 An interesting outlier to lack of randomized efficacy is sipuleucel T, which is a mixed product
61 containing T cells and DCs. It can be speculated that the survival advantage attributed to this cell
62 product might relate to the presence of T cells in the product [19].

63 Thus, with tumor immunosuppression identified as the likely reason for lack of efficacy of DC
64 therapy, one option would be to sensitize the tumor milieu to DCs [20]. Anti-tumor immune response
65 depends on the amount and type of infiltrating immune cells, stromal cells, and MHC expression on
66 tumor cells. During cancer progression, immunoediting and various escape tactics employed by
67 tumors eventually prevent the host immune system from controlling tumors [21]. Thus, for a
68 successful cancer immunotherapy, it is important to revert the immunosuppressiveness of the TME.

69 Development of successful immune response requires multiple molecular signals. The primary signal
70 is provided by binding of a tumor antigen to a T- or B-cell receptor, followed by secondary signals
71 involving engagement of costimulatory proteins to their co-receptors on the surface of T or B
72 lymphocytes. Additional signals, such as cytokine secretion, are necessary to further modify, enhance,
73 and sustain the immune response against tumor cells. One of the key costimulatory molecules is the
74 CD40 receptor [22]. CD40 is a member of the tumor necrosis factor receptor family and expressed
75 by antigen-presenting cells such as DCs and B cells, whereas its ligand CD40L is transiently
76 expressed on T cells. CD40 engagement on the surface of DCs induces expression of costimulatory
77 molecules and cytokine production. Thus, the activation licenses DCs to mature and to trigger
78 immune responses [22].

79 Oncolytic adenoviruses can be engineered to selectively replicate in and destroy tumor cells,
80 providing an attractive platform for the treatment of cancer. In the larger context of cancer
81 immunotherapy, oncolytic adenoviruses are especially promising for generating *de novo* immunity
82 against tumors, and modifying the suppressive TME towards a proinflammatory status conducive to
83 successful immunotherapy [23-26]. Thus, viruses appear attractive companion therapies for
84 approaches such as DC therapy, T-cell therapies, and checkpoint inhibitors, all of which are hindered
85 by the immunosuppressive TME.

86
87 Arming the virus with immunostimulatory molecules such as CD40L enables efficient delivery of the
88 therapeutic gene locally to the tumor, with local amplification and limited systemic exposure, which
89 has proved to be an issue with recombinant CD40L. Then the recombinant molecule was given
90 systemically, adverse events from non-target organs proved limiting to effective concentrations in
91 tumors [27]. High local levels of CD40L cause apoptosis of CD40+ tumor cells [28], but since many
92 advanced tumors are apoptosis-resistant, the DC-activating effect of CD40L could be more relevant
93 in the context of cancer [28-30].

94 Previously, oncolytic adenovirotherapy has demonstrated safety and efficacy in preclinical studies
95 and in patients [25,31-35]. In one patient series, an oncolytic adenovirus coding for CD40L was used
96 in advanced cancer patients refractory to available therapies [30], establishing safety of the approach.
97 Possible signs of efficacy were reported in 83% of the treated patients. However, complete responses
98 and long-term survival were rare, leaving room for improvement.

99 We have shown that Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L, a CD40L-coding oncolytic adenovirus fully based
100 on serotype 3 (Ad3), can elicit potent antitumor efficacy by coupling the lytic function with
101 production of high amounts of CD40L at the tumor [36]. Importantly, the oncolytic platform restricts
102 the expression of CD40L to cancer cells, reducing systemic exposure. Of note, Ad3 been shown to
103 transduce tumors through the intravenous route both in patients and in animal models [25]. Previously
104 published *in vitro*, *in vivo*, and human data has additionally revealed that virally expressed CD40L is
105 able to stimulate DCs [24,30]. In this regard, we performed a pilot experiment where vectored
106 delivery of mouse CD40L in a non-replicating virus was able to increase the efficacy of murine DC
107 therapy [36]. Delivery of human CD40L in an oncolytic virus has not been previously studied in the
108 context of human DC therapy.

109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116

In the present study, we explored the potential benefit of oncolytic Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L in a clinically relevant “humanized” model of DC therapy featuring human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) as a source of immune cells. Synergistic effects of this approach were shown to lead to enhanced DC maturation and antitumor immune response. Our findings highlight the potential therapeutic benefit of Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L as an enabling therapy in patients receiving DC therapy. These preclinical results set the stage for clinical translation.

117 **Materials and Methods**

118 **Cell lines**

119 Human A549 lung adenocarcinoma cell line, LNCaP prostate cancer cell line and SKOV3 ovarian
120 cancer were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; LGS standards, USA). EJ
121 human bladder cancer cell line was a kindly provided by A.G. Eliopoulos (University of Crete
122 Medical School and Laboratory of Cancer Biology, Heraklion, Crete, Greece). All the cell lines
123 except LNCaP were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagles’s medium (DMEM) whereas LNCaP
124 cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI). All the cell lines were
125 maintained under a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37°C and media were supplemented with 1%
126 Penicillin/Streptomycin (P/S), 1% L-Glutamine, 10% FBS.
127

128 **Viruses**

129 Two human oncolytic adenovirus based on serotype 3 were used: Ad3-hTERT-E1A [34] and Ad3-
130 hTERT-CMV-hCD40L [36]. Both feature human telomerase reverse transcriptase promoter
131 (hTERT), to restrict the virus replication in tumor cells.
132

133 **Generation of human DCs**

134 Generation of human DCs was done according to a protocol reported previously (Zafar et al., 2016).
135 Briefly, human PBMCs were isolated from buffy coat of healthy donor obtained from Red Cross
136 Blood Service (Helsinki, Finland). Isolation was done through density gradient centrifugation using
137 lymphoprep (StemCell technologies). Isolated PBMCs were washed with PBS, and ACK lysis buffer
138 (Sigma, St Louis, MO. A10492.01) was used to remove erythrocytes. CD14⁺ cells were isolated from
139 PBMCs with CD14⁺ magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec, 130–050–201) according to the
140 manufacturer’s instructions. 4.5 X10⁶ CD14⁺ cells were cultured for 5-7 days in 10 ml of 10% RPMI
141 supplemented with 1000U granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GMCSF, Peprotech)
142 and 20ng interleukin 4 (IL4, Peprotech). Immature DCs were then incubated with 50 µg/ml tumor
143 cell lysate for 24h, followed by incubation with lipopolysaccharide (LPS, 100ng) (Sigma, L4391-
144 1MG) for 17-24h. Maturation markers (CD80, CD86, CD83) of DCs were analyzed with flow
145 cytometry.
146

147 **DC maturation and functionality assay**

148 Freshly isolated monocytes from PBMCs were cultured in a medium containing recombinant human
149 GMCSF and IL4 to obtain immature DCs. The immature DCs were used in two maturation assays:

150 first in the presence of Ad3-hTERT-E1A and Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L infected cells, and second
151 in the presence of cell culture media supernatants collected from virus-infected cells.

152 In the first assay, A549 cells were infected with Ad3-hTERT-E1A, Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L, or
153 left uninfected. The cells were washed after 18h with PBS, and the infection media was replaced with
154 fresh media containing monocyte-derived immature DCs. After 48h, maturation status of the DCs
155 was assessed using flow cytometry. After this T cells isolated from fresh PBMCs through Pan T cell
156 Isolation kit (Miltenyi Biotec, 130-096-535) were added to the mixture of DCs and virus-infected
157 tumor cells. After 24h, T-cell activation was assessed with flow cytometry (see Supplementary Table
158 1 for the list of antibodies).

159 In the second assay, A549 cells were first infected with Ad3-hTERT-CMV-CD40L or Ad3-hTERT-
160 E1A and supernatants were collected and filtered to remove the viruses 48 hours later. The
161 supernatants were added to fresh A549 cells together with monocyte-derived DCs. Similarly to the
162 first assay, DC maturation was assayed after 48h, followed by an addition of T cells into the wells
163 containing DCs and cancer cells. T-cell activation was measured through flow cytometry 24h later.
164 LPS (100 ng) (Sigma, L4391-1MG) and recombinant hCD40L (500 ng) (Abcam, ab51956) were
165 used as positive controls in both of the assays. The assay was done in triplicates.

166 **Cell viability assay**

167 10,000 A549, EJ, SKOV3 or LNCaP cells were plated in growth medium containing 2% FBS on 96-
168 well plates. After 24h, the cells were infected with Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L or Ad3-hTERT-E1A
169 at concentrations of 1 viral particle (VP), 10 VP, 100 VP, or 1000 VP. Two days after the viral
170 infection, DCs and human PBMCs were added in the wells. Tumor cells alone and DCs or PBMCs
171 alone with virus were used as controls. Cell viability was normalized against the viability of controls.
172 Cell viability was determined with MTS assay (CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution, Promega,
173 Madison, WI) starting from 24h to 96h after adding DCs and PBMCs.

174 **Animal experiment**

175 The experimental animal committee of the University of Helsinki and the Provincial Government of
176 Southern Finland approved all animal protocols. Five weeks old immunodeficient SCID mice were
177 implanted subcutaneously with 5×10^6 A549 cells. When the tumors become injectable 14 days after
178 implantation [37], mice were divided into eight groups (n=10/group). Mice received intravenous
179 injection of 10×10^6 HLA-matched PBMCs on day 0. Intratumoral injections of viruses (10^8 VP)
180 were administered on days 1, 3, and 5, followed by 1×10^6 DCs on days 2, 4, and 6. Tumor growth
181 was measured with electronic caliper every other day until day 44 and the survival was followed until
182 day 112. Mice were euthanized when tumor size reached the limit of 18 mm, and tumor ulceration
183 was considered as an exclusion criteria (excluded mice are shown in the figure with reversed
184 triangles). Tumors were collected, homogenized, filtered, and cultured overnight before analyzing
185 with flow cytometry (See Supplementary Table 1 for the list of antibodies). Part of the tumor samples
186 were snap frozen and homogenized, to analyze various cytokines with CBA Flex set cytokine beads
187 using BD Accuri C6. Results were analyzed with FCAP array software.

188
189

190

191

192 **Statistics:**

193 For statistical analyses, two tailed Student's t-test, Two-way ANOVA (Tukey's multiple comparisons
194 test), and log-rank were performed using Graphpad Prism (Graphpad Software Inc. La Jolla, CA).
195 Statistical significance was considered when $p < 0.05$.

196

197

198

199 **Results**

200

201 Tumor cells infected with Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L induce DC maturation, resulting in T-cell
202 stimulation

203 After incubating immature DCs with cancer cells infected with hCD40L-armed or parental unarmed
204 virus, we observed statistically significant upregulation of DC maturation markers CD83, CD80, and
205 CD86 compared with the non-infected mock group ($p < 0.0001$; Figure 1A-C). Moreover, the DC
206 maturation markers CD83 ($p = 0.0005$) and CD80 ($p = 0.04$) were significantly more upregulated if
207 tumor cells were infected with Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L instead of the unarmed virus.

208 To evaluate the functional consequences of DC stimulation, T cells were added to co-cultures
209 resulting in high-level T-cell activation as measured by CD69 expression (Figure 1D and 1E).
210 Intriguingly, the group containing Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L infected tumor cells showed
211 significantly higher levels of T-cell activation compared with the group containing Ad3-hTERT-E1A
212 infected tumor cells ($p < 0.05$), indicating the importance of the arming device.

213 Virally expressed hCD40L induces DC maturation and T-cell activation *ex vivo*

214 To study the functionality of virally produced hCD40L, A549 cells were infected with hCD40L armed
215 or unarmed virus and supernatants were collected and filtered for the assay. Immature DCs (CD14-,
216 CD1a+) differentiated from CD14+ monocyte-enriched PBMCs were cultured with A549 tumor cells
217 in the presence of filtered supernatants. After 48h, we evaluated co-cultured DCs for the expression
218 of CD83, CD80, and CD86 (Figure 2A-C) with flow cytometry. We observed increased levels of
219 maturation markers in groups incubated with filtered supernatants. Interestingly, co-culture of DCs
220 in the presence of filtered supernatant containing hCD40L showed significant upregulation of DC
221 maturation markers CD83 ($p = 0.0134$) and CD80 ($p = 0.0052$) compared to DCs co-cultured in the
222 presence of filtered supernatant collected from cells infected with unarmed virus, again suggesting
223 relevance of hCD40L arming.

224 We further assessed the activation capability of mature DCs to activate T cells in the presence of
225 A549 tumor cells and filtered supernatants. Elevated levels of T-cell activation marker CD69 was
226 observed on both CD3+CD4+ T cells and CD3+CD8+ T cells (2E and 2D). However, this increase
227 in T cell activation between the positive control and treated groups has a trend towards significance.
228 Especially CD3+CD4+ T cells showed significantly ($p < 0.01$) higher activation in a group containing
229 filtered supernatant collected from Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L infected cells, compared with Ad3-
230 hTERT-E1A infected supernatant.

231 Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L improves DC- and PBMC-mediated cancer cell killing *ex vivo*

232 The cytotoxic potency of Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L or Ad3-hTERT-E1A virus with DCs and
233 PBMCs was assessed in two CD40 positive cell lines (LNCaP and EJ) and two CD40 negative cell
234 lines (SKOV3 and A549). Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L together with DCs and PBMCs induced

235 complete cell killing at 1000 VP/cell in LNCaP (Figure 3A) and EJ cells (Figure 3B) 24h after adding
236 DCs and PBMCs. In A549 cells (Figure 3D) and SKOV3 cells (Figure 3C) killing was observed 72h
237 after adding DCs and PBMCs.

238 The cytotoxic capacity of Ad3-hTERT-E1A, DCs, and PBMCs was less pronounced than the
239 corresponding Ad3-hTERT-E1A-hCD40L triple therapy in all the cell lines except Skov3 (Figure 3
240 E-H). Moreover, triple therapy with either armed or unarmed virus showed more prominent cell
241 killing than double therapy (virus and DCs or virus and T cells) or virus alone groups. Thus, the
242 CD40L-armed virus was able to enhance PBMCs-mediated cell killing even *ex vivo* when DCs were
243 present.

244 As expected, CD40L armed virus was more potent in CD40+ EJ and LNCaP cells compared with the
245 unarmed virus. This was probably due to the proapoptotic effect of CD40L on CD40+ cancer cells
246 [28]. There was no difference in the oncolytic potency of armed and unarmed virus alone in CD40-
247 cells, suggesting that addition of transgene does not hamper the cell killing capacity of virus, which
248 is in accordance with our previous findings (14).

249 Ad3-hTERT-E1A-hCD40L and human DCs therapy results in antitumor effects and 100% survival 250 of humanized mice

251 To mimic the situation in humans, the ability of the virus to enhance DC therapy was studied in mice
252 humanized by injection of human PBMCs intravenously [38,39]. Intratumoral injections of Ad3-
253 hTERT-CMV-hCD40L, Ad3-hTERT-E1A, or PBS, and matured DCs was performed on alternate
254 days. As, the goal of DC vaccines in the clinical use is to use *ex vivo* "trained" DCs, appropriately
255 activated and loaded with tumor antigen, and thus capable of inducing strong antitumor T-cell
256 responses, we chose to use mature DCs in the *in vivo* experiment to mimick the clinical setting. Tumor
257 growth was followed until day 44 when the tumor growth in control groups reached the criteria
258 determined by animal regulations. DCs or PBMCs alone were not able to inhibit tumor growth
259 compared with the mock control group (Figure 4A). The group treated with the combination of
260 PBMCs and DCs (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 1A) showed some tumor control but only the
261 addition of oncolytic adenovirus (either hCD40L-armed or unarmed) inhibited tumor growth
262 significantly (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 1A).

263 The double therapy or the triple therapy showed significant anti-tumor effect as compared with mock
264 group ($p < 0.0001$). However, tumor control was best in the group treated with hCD40L-armed virus,
265 PBMCs, and DCs (Ad3-hTERT-E1A + PBMCs +DCs Vs Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCd40L + PBMCs
266 +DCs $p < 0.001$).

267 Cancer specific survival data (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure 1B) mirrored tumor control data.
268 Mice treated with hCD40L-armed virus, PBMCs, and DCs showed a significant improvement in
269 survival. Impressively, all mice remained alive until the end of the experiment. Thus, these results
270 indicate that CD40L-armed virus is a potent enhancer of DC therapy when human T cells are present.

271

272 DC therapy and Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L induce anti-tumor immune responses in the tumor 273 microenvironment

274 To investigate mechanism-of-action, four mice from each group were euthanized one week after the
275 last administration of DCs. Analysis of the microenvironment revealed robust upregulation of DC
276 maturation markers CD83, CD80, and CD86 in tumors treated with triple therapy (Figure 5A-C).

277 Moreover, infiltration of significantly high levels of B and T lymphocytes in the same groups were
278 also observed (Figure 5D and 5E). The immune modulation of the tumor microenvironment towards
279 Th1 phenotype was further confirmed through the presences of high levels of TNF alpha, IFN
280 gamma, IL2, IL12, granzyme B and IL6 in the same groups (Supplementary Figure 3). In summary,
281 our findings suggest that expression of CD40L in the tumor induces maturation of DCs, leading to
282 activation of adaptive immune response against the tumor.

283

284 Discussion

285 The highly immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment is a major obstacle to successful cancer
286 immunotherapy in general and for DC therapy in particular [40-42]. Suppression results from
287 complex interplay between soluble factors such as TGF- β , IL10, and VEGF [43-47], cell-bound
288 molecules such as PD-L1, and cellular factors including regulatory T cells, myeloid-derived
289 suppressor cells, and tumor-associated neutrophils [48]. Immunosuppression is associated with
290 poor prognosis [16-18]. With regard to DC therapy, which is a promising approach with a solid
291 theoretical basis, immunosuppressive factors hamper the ability of DCs to present antigens,
292 thwarting the stimulation of tumor-specific T cells [49]. Therefore, DC immunotherapy has not yet
293 been successful enough to become a routine therapy in humans [42].

294

295 CD40, as a target for cancer immunotherapy, has gained interest due to its capacity for activation
296 of Th1 type immunity through DC maturation [28]. Interaction of CD40 with its natural ligand
297 CD40L leads to activation of DCs, which is needed for T-cell activation [50]. Without this crucial
298 signal for T-cell priming and proliferation, tumor-infiltrating T cells would undergo apoptosis
299 [36,51,52]. Furthermore, CD40-CD40L interaction induces high levels of IL12 which in turn is
300 responsible for the initiation of Th1 responses [53]. In addition, the interaction enhances DC
301 capacity to promote IFN-gamma production by T cells [50,53].

302

303 In preclinical studies, it has been reported that murine CD40L upregulates DC co-stimulatory
304 receptors and induces antitumor immune responses [54,55]. In clinical use, CD40L has been used in
305 different forms with encouraging results [27,30,56-58]. However, it has also been recognized that
306 systemic administration is suboptimal as normal tissue damage seen, for example, as liver enzyme
307 elevation, limits the concentration that can be achieved in tumors. Nevertheless, this creates the
308 rationale for local production of CD40L, which has been explored in a few human pilot cohorts with
309 promising results [30,59]. Although this approach seems to have anti-tumor activity, patients were
310 not cured, providing the rationale for further improvements [30]. Of note, the oncolytic platform may
311 provide many advantages over non-replicating vector approaches [28,30].

312

313 Oncolytic adenoviruses are an attractive platform for cancer immunotherapy due to their tumor-
314 specific replication, ability to infect different tumors, good stability *in vivo*, and favorable safety
315 profile in humans [60,61]. In this study, we studied CD40L-armed adenovirus serotype 3 Ad3-
316 hTERT-CMV-hCD40L. It features the following important aspects: fully serotype 3 to enhance
317 tumor transduction through the intravenous route, tumor selectivity due to the presence of hTERT
318 promoter, and induction of apoptosis in CD40+ tumors [36]. As discussed before, the serotype 3
319 platform may be advantageous to the ubiquitous Ad5 in several ways [25,36]. The primary receptor
320 for Ad3, desmoglein-2, is highly expressed in advanced tumors [25,36], allowing enhanced tumor
321 transduction. Moreover, it has been reported that fully Ad3 capsid allows effective intravenous
322 delivery in animals and humans [25,36].

323 Virally expressed CD40L has previously shown to induce apoptosis of CD40+ tumors and also
324 activates antigen-presenting cells [28,36,62]. We have shown previously that Ad3-hTERT-CMV-
325 hCD40L virus as well as virally coded hCD40L induces maturation of DCs *ex vivo* [36]. In the
326 present study, we demonstrated the ability of Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L to facilitate DC therapy in
327 a clinically relevant setting using human DCs, human PBMCs and human tumor cells or xenografts
328 *ex vivo* and *in vivo*. The purpose of the *ex vivo* study was to evaluate the capability of virally produced
329 CD40L to mediate tumor cell killing by enhancing the activation of DCs. Ad3-hTERT-CMV-
330 hCD40L demonstrated significantly higher DC activation seen as high expression of CD80, CD86,
331 and CD83 in comparison to other groups. Furthermore, in co-cultures Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L
332 and DCs activated CD4 + T cells and CD8+ T cells.

333 CD40L stimulates and recruits DCs, leading to direct cytotoxic T-cell activation and skewing the
334 immune response towards Th1 phenotype [28]. Accordingly, in our study stimulated DCs were able
335 to activate T cells in co-cultures. Cell killing with armed or unarmed virus together with DCs and
336 PBMCs was more prominent compared with single agent treatments. As expected, CD40+ tumor
337 cells treated with Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L, DCs, and PBMCs were more susceptible to the
338 treatment compared to the CD40- tumor cells, although cell killing was achieved also in this group.
339 This is in accordance with our previous findings, indicating that potential application of this virus is
340 not restricted to CD40+ tumors [36].

341 Next, we tested the ability of Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L to sensitize the tumor microenvironment
342 to DC therapy *in vivo*. The specificity of Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L virus and its human transgene
343 hCD40L restricted the choice of animal model to immunodeficient SCID mice bearing human
344 xenografts, as human CD40L would not activate mouse CD40 [28]. Key components of the human
345 immune system were introduced by intravenous injections of human PBMCs (SCID mice lack murine
346 B and T cells). We were also able to demonstrate the *in vivo* ability of Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L
347 to polarize an immunosuppressive microenvironment towards a more immunogenic phenotype as
348 upregulation of Th1 immune-stimulatory cytokines was observed. Even the unarmed Ad3-hTERT-
349 E1A virus alone was able to stimulate DCs as seen by high expression of CD80, CD86, and CD83
350 and to activate T- cell and B-cell responses. The engagement of CD40 expressed on B cells and CD40L
351 is also important for the initiation of humoral immune response. Moreover, it has been shown that
352 this interaction leads to germinal center formation, antibody isotype switching and affinity
353 maturation [63]. Thus, CD40 pathway is essential for the survival of many cell types and is crucial in
354 the generation of humoral immune response [22,64]. These responses, however, were more
355 pronounced with Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L administered with DCs leading to the best tumor
356 control and prolonged survival. We think that it is a promising starting point for human translation
357 that death due to cancer could be prevented in 100% of mice in the key experimental group.

358 In summary, we provide preclinical proof of principle for using Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L in
359 cancer patients receiving DC therapy. Thus, Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L is a promising candidate
360 for human clinical trials.

361 **Acknowledgements**

362 We thank Minna Oksanen and Susanna Grönberg-Vähä-Koskela for expert assistance. This study was
363 supported by University of Helsinki Doctoral Programme in Clinical Research (KLTO), Jane and
364 Aatos Erkko Foundation, HUCH Research Funds (EVO), Sigrid Juselius Foundation, Finnish Cancer
365 Organizations, University of Helsinki, TILT Biotherapeutics Ltd, European Commission Marie Curie

366 Innovative Training Network (ITN) grant VIRION (H2020-MSCA-ITN-2014 project number
367 643130).

368

369

370

371 **Conflict of interest**

372 A.H. and O.H. are shareholders in Targovax ASA and TILT Biotherapeutics Ltd. A.H., S.S., M.S.,
373 R.H., V.C.C., and J.M.S. are employees of TILT Biotherapeutics Ltd.

374

375

376 **Figure Legends**

377

378 **Figure 1:** Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L infected tumor cells induce DC maturation and T-cell
379 stimulation. A549 cells were infected with Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L, Ad3-hTERT-E1A, or left
380 untreated. After 18 h, infection media were removed and cells were washed with PBS before adding
381 monocyte-derived DCs added to co-cultures. LPS (100 ng) and recombinant hCD40L protein (500 ng)
382 were used as positive controls. After 48 h, a portion of DCs was assayed for maturation by flow
383 cytometry. Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) for CD83 (A), CD80 (B) and CD86 (C) of CD11c+
384 populations. T cells were added to the wells and the activation status of CD4+ T cells (D) or CD8+ T
385 cells (E) was determined after 24 h by the expression of CD69. The assay was done in triplicates.
386 MFI: Median fluorescence intensity, LPS: lipopolysaccharide, rhCD40L: recombinant human
387 CD40L, Ad3-hCD40L and Ad3: cells infected with Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L and Ad3-hTERT-
388 E1A viruses, respectively. Data presented as mean \pm SEM *, $P < 0.05$. **, $P < 0.01$. ***, $P < 0.001$.
389 ****, $P < 0.0001$ by two tailed Student's t-test .

390

391 **Figure 2:** Virally expressed hCD40L induces DC maturation and T-cell activation *ex vivo*. A549 cells
392 were infected with Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L or Ad3-hTERT-E1A and supernatants were
393 collected and filtered. Immature DCs were cultured with filtered supernatants for 48hrs. LPS and
394 recombinant hCD40L protein were used as positive controls. After 48h, a portion of DCs was
395 evaluated for Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) for CD83 (A), CD80 (B) and CD86 (C) of
396 CD11c+ populations or co-cultured with T cells. Activation status of CD4 +T cells (D) and CD8+ T
397 (E) cells was assessed 24h later by the expression of CD69. Cells were stained and analyzed by flow
398 cytometry. The assay was done in triplicates. Data presented as mean \pm SEM. *, $P < 0.05$ **, $P <$
399 0.01 . ***, $P < 0.001$ ****; $P < 0.0001$ by two tailed Student's t-test.

400

401

402 **Figure 3:** Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L virus, DCs and PBMCs efficiently kill tumor cells *ex vivo*.
403 Tumor-killing potency of Ad3-hTERT-CMVhCD40L, DCs and PBMCs was assessed after 1 day (in
404 LNCaP and EJ cells) and 3 days (in SKOV3, and A549 cells), after adding DCs and PBMCs in co-
405 culture. The assay was done in triplicates. Oncolytic potency of Ad3-hTER-E1A with DCs and
406 PBMCs was evaluated after 3 days (in LNCaP cells), 2 days (in EJ cells) and 4 days (in SKOV3, and

407 A549 cells), after adding DCs and PBMCs in co-culture. Data presented as mean \pm SEM. Cell
408 viability was normalized against the viability of controls (not shown).

409

410

411 **Figure 4:** Ad3-hTERT-E1A-hCD40L, human PBMCs, and human DCs therapy enhanced antitumor
412 effects and survival in mice. Antitumor efficacy (A) and cancer specific survival (B) of humanized
413 mice receiving DC therapy and injections of Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L or the unarmed control
414 virus Ad3-hTERT-E1A. A549 tumors were implanted subcutaneously in immunodeficient SCID
415 mice lacking B and T-cells. To humanize the white blood cell compartment of the mice, 10×10^6
416 PBMCs were injected intravenously on day 0 (dashed arrow). Viruses (gray arrows) were injected at
417 1×10^8 VP and DCs (black arrows), 1×10^6 , were injected intratumorally three times alternatively.
418 Tumor growth was monitored every other day. Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L and DCs therapy
419 significantly reduced tumor growth as compared with other groups. Tumor growth is expressed as
420 normalized tumor volume based on the values from the first day of virus injection. Data is presented
421 as mean + SEM. ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$. 1A by Two-way ANOVA (Tukey's post-hoc test)
422 and 1B Kaplan-Meier survival was analyzed by log-rank test.

423

424

425 **Figure 5:** Immune response in the tumor microenvironment. Median fluorescence intensity (MFI)
426 for CD83 (A), CD80 (B) and CD86 (C) of CD11c+ populations. Percentage of the CD19+ B cell
427 population (D) and CD8+CD69+ lymphocytes of the CD19-CD3+ parent population (E). Data is
428 presented as mean + SEM. *, $P < 0.05$ **, $P < 0.01$. ***, $P < 0.001$, ****, $P < 0.0001$

429

430 **Supplementary Figure 1:** Antitumor efficacy (A) and cancer specific survival (B) of mice treated
431 with PBMCs, DC therapy and injections of Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L or the unarmed control virus
432 Ad3-hTERT-E1A. A549 tumors were implanted subcutaneously in immunodeficient SCID mice. To
433 humanize the white blood cell compartment of the mice, 10×10^6 PBMCs were injected
434 intravenously on day 0. Viruses were injected at 1×10^8 VP and 1×10^6 DCs, were injected
435 intratumorally three times alternatively as indicated by arrows. Tumor growth is expressed as
436 normalized tumor volume based on the values from the first day of virus injection. Data is presented
437 as mean + SEM. Statistical significance is indicated by stars: *, $P < 0.05$ **, $P < 0.01$. ***, $P < 0.001$,
438 ****, $P < 0.0001$ 1A by Two-way ANOVA (Tukey's post-hoc test) and 1B Kaplan-Meier survival
439 was analyzed by log-rank test. Data shown here is the same as in Figure 4, but with main groups only.

440

441 **Supplementary Figure 2:** Immune cell subset in the tumor microenvironment. Percentage of the
442 CD8+CD25+ (A) and CD4+CD25+ (B) lymphocytes of the CD3+ parent population. Tumor
443 samples were run in triplicate except Ad3-E1A +PBMCs +DCs group in which just one sample left
444 for analysis . Data is presented as mean + SEM. *, $P < 0.05$ by student's t test.

445 **Supplementary Figure 3:** Intratumoral cytokines expression level: Cytokines from A549 tumors
446 samples treated with dendritic cells (DCs) alone, PBMCs alone, Ad3-hTERT-E1A plus DCs and
447 PBMCs (PBMCs + DCs + Ad3-E1A) and Ad3-hTERT-CMV-hCD40L along with DCs and PBMCs
448 (PBMCs + DCs + Ad3-hCD40L) were measured with CBA Flex set. Error bars, + SEM.

449

450

451

452 **Supplementary Table 1: Antibodies used in the experiments**

453

Antibody	Catalogue number	Company
Anti-Human CD3 FITC	11-0036-42	e-bioscience
Anti-human CD4 PerCP/Cy5.5	317428	Biolegend
Anti-Human CD8a PE	12-0089-42	ebiosciences
Anti-human CD69 APC	310910	Biolegend
<u>Anti-human CD25 APC</u>	<u>302610</u>	<u>Biolegend</u>
Mouse Anti-Human CD19 PE-Cy™7	560728	BD
Anti-human CD11c PerCP/Cy5.5	301624	Biolegend
Anti-human CD80 FITC	305205	Biolegend
Anti-human CD86 PE	305405	Biolegend
Anti-Human CD83 APC	17-0839-42	e-bioscience

454

455

456

457 **References:**

- 458 1. Kim Y, Clements DR, Sterea AM, Jang HW, Gujar SA, PWK L: **Dendritic Cells in Oncolytic Virus-Based Anti-**
459 **Cancer Therapy**. *Chiocca EA, Lamfers MLM, eds. Viruses* 2015, **7**:6506-6525.
- 460 2. Steinman RM, Hemmi H: **Dendritic cells: translating innate to adaptive immunity**. *Curr Top Microbiol*
461 *Immunol* 2006, **311**:17-58.
- 462 3. Fong L, Engleman EG: **Dendritic cells in cancer immunotherapy**. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2000, **18**:245-273.
- 463 4. Rabinovich GA, Gabrilovich D, Sotomayor EM: **Immunosuppressive strategies that are mediated by tumor**
464 **cells**. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2007, **25**:267-296.
- 465 5. Gervais A, Leveque J, Bouet-Toussaint F, Burtin F, Lesimple T, Sulpice L, Patard JJ, Genetet N, Catros-
466 Quemener V: **Dendritic cells are defective in breast cancer patients: a potential role for polyamine**
467 **in this immunodeficiency**. *Breast Cancer Res* 2005, **7**:R326-335.
- 468 6. Cerundolo V, Hermans IF, Salio M: **Dendritic cells: a journey from laboratory to clinic**. *Nat Immunol* 2004,
469 **5**:7-10.
- 470 7. Wong KK, Li WA, Mooney DJ, Dranoff G: **Advances in Therapeutic Cancer Vaccines**. *Adv Immunol* 2016,
471 **130**:191-249.

- 472 8. Lesterhuis WJ, Aarntzen EH, De Vries IJ, Schuurhuis DH, Figdor CG, Adema GJ, Punt CJ: **Dendritic cell**
473 **vaccines in melanoma: from promise to proof?** *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2008, **66**:118-134.
- 474 9. Caballero-Banos M, Benitez-Ribas D, Tabera J, Varea S, Vilana R, Bianchi L, Ayuso JR, Pages M, Carrera G,
475 Cuatrecasas M, et al.: **Phase II randomised trial of autologous tumour lysate dendritic cell plus best**
476 **supportive care compared with best supportive care in pre-treated advanced colorectal cancer**
477 **patients.** *Eur J Cancer* 2016, **64**:167-174.
- 478 10. Schadendorf D, Ugurel S, Schuler-Thurner B, Nestle FO, Enk A, Brocker EB, Grabbe S, Rittgen W, Edler L,
479 Sucker A, et al.: **Dacarbazine (DTIC) versus vaccination with autologous peptide-pulsed dendritic**
480 **cells (DC) in first-line treatment of patients with metastatic melanoma: a randomized phase III trial**
481 **of the DC study group of the DeCOG.** *Ann Oncol* 2006, **17**:563-570.
- 482 11. Cho DY, Yang WK, Lee HC, Hsu DM, Lin HL, Lin SZ, Chen CC, Harn HJ, Liu CL, Lee WY, et al.: **Adjuvant**
483 **immunotherapy with whole-cell lysate dendritic cells vaccine for glioblastoma multiforme: a phase**
484 **II clinical trial.** *World Neurosurg* 2012, **77**:736-744.
- 485 12. Keiichi Sakai, Shigetaka Shimodaira, Shinya Maejima, Kenji Sano, Yumiko Higuchi, Terutsugu Koya, Haruo
486 Sugiyama, Kazuhiro Hongo: **Clinical effect and immunological response in patients with advanced**
487 **malignant glioma treated with WT1-pulsed dendritic cell-based immunotherapy: A report of two**
488 **cases.** *Interdisciplinary Neurosurgery*, 2017, **9**:24-29.
- 489 13. Cui Y, Yang X, Zhu W, Li J, Wu X, Pang Y: **Immune response, clinical outcome and safety of dendritic cell**
490 **vaccine in combination with cytokine-induced killer cell therapy in cancer patients.** *Oncol Lett* 2013,
491 **6**:537-541.
- 492 14. Zhang S, Wang Q, Li WF, Wang HY, Zhang HJ, Zhu JJ: **Different antitumor immunity roles of cytokine**
493 **activated T lymphocytes from naive murine splenocytes and from dendritic cells-based vaccine**
494 **primed splenocytes: implications for adoptive immunotherapy.** *Eksp Onkol* 2004, **26**:55-62.
- 495 15. Chang XH, Cheng HY, Cheng YX, Ye X, Guo HF, Fu TY, Zhang L, Zhang G, Cui H: **[Specific immune cell**
496 **therapy against ovarian cancer in vivo and in vitro].** *Ai Zheng* 2008, **27**:1244-1250.
- 497 16. Saito H, Tsujitani S, Oka S, Kondo A, Ikeguchi M, Maeta M, Kaibara N: **An elevated serum level of**
498 **transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF-beta 1) significantly correlated with lymph node**
499 **metastasis and poor prognosis in patients with gastric carcinoma.** *Anticancer Res* 2000, **20**:4489-
500 4493.
- 501 17. Hasegawa Y, Takanashi S, Kanehira Y, Tsushima T, Imai T, Okumura K: **Transforming growth factor-beta1**
502 **level correlates with angiogenesis, tumor progression, and prognosis in patients with nonsmall cell**
503 **lung carcinoma.** *Cancer* 2001, **91**:964-971.
- 504 18. Ghellal A, Li C, Hayes M, Byrne G, Bundred N, Kumar S: **Prognostic significance of TGF beta 1 and TGF**
505 **beta 3 in human breast carcinoma.** *Anticancer Res* 2000, **20**:4413-4418.
- 506 19. Kantoff PW, Higano CS, Shore ND, Berger ER, Small EJ, Penson DF, Redfern CH, Ferrari AC, Dreicer R, Sims
507 RB, et al.: **Sipuleucel-T immunotherapy for castration-resistant prostate cancer.** *N Engl J Med* 2010,
508 **363**:411-422.
- 509 20. Tahtinen S, Gronberg-Vaha-Koskela S, Lumen D, Merisalo-Soikkeli M, Siurala M, Airaksinen AJ, Vaha-
510 Koskela M, Hemminki A: **Adenovirus Improves the Efficacy of Adoptive T-cell Therapy by Recruiting**
511 **Immune Cells to and Promoting Their Activity at the Tumor.** *Cancer Immunol Res* 2015, **3**:915-925.
- 512 21. Lawler SE, Speranza MC, Cho CF, Chiocca EA: **Oncolytic Viruses in Cancer Treatment: A Review.** *JAMA*
513 *Oncol* 2017, **3**:841-849.
- 514 22. Elgueta R, Benson MJ, de Vries VC, Wasiuk A, Guo Y, Noelle RJ: **Molecular mechanism and function of**
515 **CD40/CD40L engagement in the immune system.** *Immunol Rev* 2009, **229**:152-172.
- 516 23. Taipale K, Liikanen I, Juhila J, Turkki R, Tahtinen S, Kankainen M, Vassilev L, Ristimaki A, Koski A, Kanerva
517 A, et al.: **Chronic Activation of Innate Immunity Correlates With Poor Prognosis in Cancer Patients**
518 **Treated With Oncolytic Adenovirus.** *Mol Ther* 2016, **24**:175-183.
- 519 24. Parviainen S, Ahonen M, Diaconu I, Hirvonen M, Karttunen A, Vaha-Koskela M, Hemminki A, Cerullo V:
520 **CD40 ligand and tdTomato-armed vaccinia virus for induction of antitumor immune response and**
521 **tumor imaging.** *Gene Ther* 2014, **21**:195-204.

- 522 25. Hemminki O, Diaconu I, Cerullo V, Pesonen SK, Kanerva A, Joensuu T, Kairemo K, Laasonen L, Partanen K,
523 Kangasniemi L, et al.: **Ad3-hTERT-E1A, a fully serotype 3 oncolytic adenovirus, in patients with**
524 **chemotherapy refractory cancer.** *Mol Ther* 2012, **20**:1821-1830.
- 525 26. V. Cervera-Carrascon , M. Siurala, J. M. Santos, R. Havunen, S. Tähtinen, P. Karell , S. Sorsa, A. Kanerva,
526 Hemminki A: **TNF α and IL-2 armed adenoviruses enable complete responses by anti-PD-1**
527 **checkpoint blockade.** *Oncoimmunology* 2018, **7**.
- 528 27. Vonderheide RH, Dutcher JP, Anderson JE, Eckhardt SG, Stephans KF, Razvillas B, Garl S, Butine MD, Perry
529 VP, Armitage RJ, et al.: **Phase I study of recombinant human CD40 ligand in cancer patients.** *J Clin*
530 *Oncol* 2001, **19**:3280-3287.
- 531 28. Diaconu I, Cerullo V, Hirvinen ML, Escutenaire S, Ugolini M, Pesonen SK, Bramante S, Parviainen S, Kanerva
532 A, Loskog AS, et al.: **Immune response is an important aspect of the antitumor effect produced by**
533 **a CD40L-encoding oncolytic adenovirus.** *Cancer Res* 2012, **72**:2327-2338.
- 534 29. Sun Y, Peng D, Lecanda J, Schmitz V, Barajas M, Qian C, Prieto J: **In vivo gene transfer of CD40 ligand into**
535 **colon cancer cells induces local production of cytokines and chemokines, tumor eradication and**
536 **protective antitumor immunity.** *Gene Ther* 2000, **7**:1467-1476.
- 537 30. Pesonen S, Diaconu I, Kangasniemi L, Ranki T, Kanerva A, Pesonen SK, Gerdemann U, Leen AM, Kairemo
538 K, Oksanen M, et al.: **Oncolytic immunotherapy of advanced solid tumors with a CD40L-expressing**
539 **replicating adenovirus: assessment of safety and immunologic responses in patients.** *Cancer Res*
540 2012, **72**:1621-1631.
- 541 31. Alemany R, Balague C, Curiel DT: **Replicative adenoviruses for cancer therapy.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2000,
542 **18**:723-727.
- 543 32. Dummer R, Hassel JC, Fellenberg F, Eichmuller S, Maier T, Slos P, Acres B, Bleuzen P, Bataille V, Squiban
544 P, et al.: **Adenovirus-mediated intralesional interferon-gamma gene transfer induces tumor**
545 **regressions in cutaneous lymphomas.** *Blood* 2004, **104**:1631-1638.
- 546 33. Sangro B, Mazzolini G, Ruiz J, Herraiz M, Quiroga J, Herrero I, Benito A, Larrache J, Pueyo J, Subtil JC, et
547 al.: **Phase I trial of intratumoral injection of an adenovirus encoding interleukin-12 for advanced**
548 **digestive tumors.** *J Clin Oncol* 2004, **22**:1389-1397.
- 549 34. Hemminki O, Bauerschmitz G, Hemmi S, Lavilla-Alonso S, Diaconu I, Guse K, Koski A, Desmond RA,
550 Lappalainen M, Kanerva A, et al.: **Oncolytic adenovirus based on serotype 3.** *Cancer Gene Ther* 2011,
551 **18**:288-296.
- 552 35. Andtbacka RH, Kaufman HL, Collichio F, Amatruda T, Senzer N, Chesney J, Delman KA, Spitler LE, Puzanov
553 I, Agarwala SS, et al.: **Talimogene Laherparepvec Improves Durable Response Rate in Patients With**
554 **Advanced Melanoma.** *J Clin Oncol* 2015, **33**:2780-2788.
- 555 36. Zafar S, Parviainen S, Siurala M, Hemminki O, Havunen R, Tähtinen S, Bramante S, Vassilev L, Wang H,
556 Lieber A, et al.: **Intravenously usable fully serotype 3 oncolytic adenovirus coding for CD40L as an**
557 **enabler of dendritic cell therapy.** *Oncoimmunology* 2017, **6**:e1265717.
- 558 37. Wen FT, Thisted RA, Rowley DA, Schreiber H: **A systematic analysis of experimental immunotherapies**
559 **on tumors differing in size and duration of growth.** *Oncoimmunology* 2012, **1**:172-178.
- 560 38. Mosier DE, Gulizia RJ, Baird SM, Wilson DB: **Transfer of a functional human immune system to mice with**
561 **severe combined immunodeficiency.** *Nature* 1988, **335**:256-259.
- 562 39. Brehm MA, Shultz LD, Greiner DL: **Humanized mouse models to study human diseases.** *Curr Opin*
563 *Endocrinol Diabetes Obes* 2010, **17**:120-125.
- 564 40. Zou W: **Immunosuppressive networks in the tumour environment and their therapeutic relevance.** *Nat*
565 *Rev Cancer* 2005, **5**:263-274.
- 566 41. Banchereau J, Palucka AK: **Dendritic cells as therapeutic vaccines against cancer.** *Nat Rev Immunol* 2005,
567 **5**:296-306.
- 568 42. Lim DS, Kim JH, Lee DS, Yoon CH, Bae YS: **DC immunotherapy is highly effective for the inhibition of**
569 **tumor metastasis or recurrence, although it is not efficient for the eradication of established solid**
570 **tumors.** *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2007, **56**:1817-1829.
- 571 43. Amoils KD, Bezwoda WR: **TGF-beta 1 mRNA expression in clinical breast cancer and its relationship to**
572 **ER mRNA expression.** *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 1997, **42**:95-101.

- 573 44. Asselin-Paturel C, Echchakir H, Carayol G, Gay F, Opolon P, Grunenwald D, Chouaib S, Mami-Chouaib F:
574 **Quantitative analysis of Th1, Th2 and TGF-beta1 cytokine expression in tumor, TIL and PBL of non-**
575 **small cell lung cancer patients.** *Int J Cancer* 1998, **77**:7-12.
- 576 45. Conrad CT, Ernst NR, Dummer W, Brocker EB, Becker JC: **Differential expression of transforming growth**
577 **factor beta 1 and interleukin 10 in progressing and regressing areas of primary melanoma.** *J Exp*
578 *Clin Cancer Res* 1999, **18**:225-232.
- 579 46. Yang L, Carbone DP: **Tumor-host immune interactions and dendritic cell dysfunction.** *Adv Cancer Res*
580 2004, **92**:13-27.
- 581 47. Gabrilovich DI, Chen HL, Girgis KR, Cunningham HT, Meny GM, Nadaf S, Kavanaugh D, Carbone DP:
582 **Production of vascular endothelial growth factor by human tumors inhibits the functional**
583 **maturation of dendritic cells.** *Nat Med* 1996, **2**:1096-1103.
- 584 48. Lindau D, Gielen P, Kroesen M, Wesseling P, Adema GJ: **The immunosuppressive tumour network:**
585 **myeloid-derived suppressor cells, regulatory T cells and natural killer T cells.** *Immunology* 2013,
586 **138**:105-115.
- 587 49. Kobie JJ, Wu RS, Kurt RA, Lou S, Adelman MK, Whitesell LJ, Ramanathapuram LV, Arteaga CL, Akporiaye
588 ET: **Transforming growth factor beta inhibits the antigen-presenting functions and antitumor**
589 **activity of dendritic cell vaccines.** *Cancer Res* 2003, **63**:1860-1864.
- 590 50. Korniluk A, Kemonia H, Dymicka-Piekarska V: **Multifunctional CD40L: pro- and anti-neoplastic activity.**
591 *Tumour Biol* 2014, **35**:9447-9457.
- 592 51. Caux C, Massacrier C, Vanbervliet B, Dubois B, Van Kooten C, Durand I, Banchereau J: **Activation of human**
593 **dendritic cells through CD40 cross-linking.** *J Exp Med* 1994, **180**:1263-1272.
- 594 52. Peguet-Navarro J, Dalbiez-Gauthier C, Rattis FM, Van Kooten C, Banchereau J, Schmitt D: **Functional**
595 **expression of CD40 antigen on human epidermal Langerhans cells.** *J Immunol* 1995, **155**:4241-4247.
- 596 53. Cella M, Scheidegger D, Palmer-Lehmann K, Lane P, Lanzavecchia A, Alber G: **Ligation of CD40 on dendritic**
597 **cells triggers production of high levels of interleukin-12 and enhances T cell stimulatory capacity:**
598 **T-T help via APC activation.** *J Exp Med* 1996, **184**:747-752.
- 599 54. Grewal IS, Flavell RA: **CD40 and CD154 in cell-mediated immunity.** *Annu Rev Immunol* 1998, **16**:111-135.
- 600 55. Grewal IS, Xu J, Flavell RA: **Impairment of antigen-specific T-cell priming in mice lacking CD40 ligand.**
601 *Nature* 1995, **378**:617-620.
- 602 56. Beatty GL, Chiorean EG, Fishman MP, Saboury B, Teitelbaum UR, Sun W, Huhn RD, Song W, Li D, Sharp
603 LL, et al.: **CD40 agonists alter tumor stroma and show efficacy against pancreatic carcinoma in mice**
604 **and humans.** *Science* 2011, **331**:1612-1616.
- 605 57. Ruter J, Antonia SJ, Burris HA, Huhn RD, Vonderheide RH: **Immune modulation with weekly dosing of an**
606 **agonist CD40 antibody in a phase I study of patients with advanced solid tumors.** *Cancer Biol Ther*
607 2010, **10**:983-993.
- 608 58. Takahashi S, Rousseau RF, Yotnda P, Mei Z, Dotti G, Rill D, Hurwitz R, Marini F, Andreeff M, Brenner MK:
609 **Autologous antileukemic immune response induced by chronic lymphocytic leukemia B cells**
610 **expressing the CD40 ligand and interleukin 2 transgenes.** *Hum Gene Ther* 2001, **12**:659-670.
- 611 59. Loskog A, Maleka A, Mangsbo S, Svensson E, Lundberg C, Nilsson A, Krause J, Agnarsdottir M, Sundin A,
612 Ahlstrom H, et al.: **Immunostimulatory AdCD40L gene therapy combined with low-dose**
613 **cyclophosphamide in metastatic melanoma patients.** *Br J Cancer* 2016, **114**:872-880.
- 614 60. Cerullo V, Vaha-Koskela M, Hemminki A: **Oncolytic adenoviruses: A potent form of tumor**
615 **immunovirotherapy.** *Oncoimmunology* 2012, **1**:979-981.
- 616 61. Koski A, Kangasniemi L, Escutenaire S, Pesonen S, Cerullo V, Diaconu I, Nokisalmi P, Raki M, Rajecki M,
617 Guse K, et al.: **Treatment of cancer patients with a serotype 5/3 chimeric oncolytic adenovirus**
618 **expressing GMCSF.** *Mol Ther* 2010, **18**:1874-1884.
- 619 62. Noguchi M, Imaizumi K, Kawabe T, Wakayama H, Horio Y, Sekido Y, Hara T, Hashimoto N, Takahashi M,
620 Shimokata K, et al.: **Induction of antitumor immunity by transduction of CD40 ligand gene and**
621 **interferon-gamma gene into lung cancer.** *Cancer Gene Ther* 2001, **8**:421-429.
- 622 63. Danese S, Sans M, Fiocchi C: **The CD40/CD40L costimulatory pathway in inflammatory bowel disease.**
623 *Gut* 2004, **53**:1035-1043.

624 64. Bishop GA, Moore CR, Xie P, Stunz LL, Kraus ZJ: **TRAF proteins in CD40 signaling**. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2007,
625 **597**:131-151.