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According to Tiglath-pileser III’s Mila Mergi Rock Relief Inscription, Halzi-atbari was a “rebellious land” during his early reign. Consequently, in his seventh regnal year (739), Halzi-atbari was annexed to Assyria when Tiglath-pileser III conquered Ulluba and placed one of his eunuchs as provincial governor over the people of that land. This episode does not exclude the possibility that the region of Halzi-atbari may have already belonged to Assyria at an earlier date, in particular because of the unclear definition “rebellious land” (mātu nabalkuttu), even if there are no mentions of the toponym before Tiglath-pileser’s reign.

Halzi-atbari is one of the lesser-known provinces of the Assyrian empire. As with many other Assyrian provinces, its exact location is uncertain and still disputed today. Current views on its location may be summarized as follows: Postgate locates it to the west of central Assyria: “Given that adbaru stone seems to be volcanic, it is tempting then to seek this province in the area SW of Jebel Sinjar, which had a long-standing association with basalt, especially the alveolar pumice used for grindstones. It could well have been created in the later 8th century from part of the province of Raşappa which had remained so unusually big.” Parpola and Porter, on the other hand, situate the province to the north-east of Tillê, south of Šabirešu and south-west of Aššur-iqiša, about 100 km north-west of Nineveh. In spite of these dissenting opinions on the location of the province, there probably is consensus that we should look for it in a moun-
tainous area or at least at the foot of a mountain that may not have been situated very far from central Assyria. At the end of the article, I will return to this question and briefly consider some evidence pertaining to the location of the province of Halzi-atbari.

Etymologically, the name Halzi-atbari is the sum of two elements forming a compound word that can be understood in the sense of “Basalt district” or “Fortress of basalt”. The word halzu means both “fortress” and “district”, and atbāru is the word for “basalt”. The name may have been coined in the early Neo-Assyrian period, or perhaps earlier, and it may have been used until the end of Assyrian hegemony in the area, or possibly even longer. The fact that there are no extant attestations of Halzi-atbari before the reign of Tiglath-pileser III might suggest that the province and its name were created late, in the second half of the eighth century.

There may be some practical reasoning behind the choice of the name Halzi-atbari. For example, in the letter SAA 1 58, whose geographical origin is uncertain, the chief treasurer Ṭab-šar-Aššur writes to the king that he is completing his mission of cutting 150 basalt slabs and transporting them to Nineveh. If the letter relates to Halzi-atbari, then the province may have had a proper quarry to procure that desired stone. The cut slabs were presumably meant for the bathroom of the Aššur temple. Since the letter does not provide any information about the source of the stone, any connection between the letter and the province of Halzi-atbari must remain conjectural, but one could speculate that the basalt was quarried in the province of the treasurer in the north. On the other hand, had alabaster been abundantly available in the region, then the question of a quarry would be superfluous.

In addition to the chief treasurer Ṭab-šar-Aššur, Ṭab-ṣill-Ešarra, governor of Assur and eponym of the year 716, was another official who played a major role in coordinating construction projects at Dur-Šarruken, Sargon’s capital-to-be. Interestingly, in one of his letters the

7. Halzi-atbari is mentioned in connection with central Assyrian provinces in at least two texts: CTN 3 86: 15–17 (followed by Tamnuna, Talmusa and Isana) and SAA 11 7: 2′–6′ (preceded by Kurbail and followed by Tamnuna and Talmusa). It may also be meaningful that the eponymate of Šulmu-šarri (year 698), governor of Halzi-atbari (see below), is sandwiched between those of the governors of Kurbail and Tamnuna. In the Mesopotamian anti-witchcraft rituals, the atbāru-stone symbolizes purity (see Abusch and Schwemer 2011, texts 7.5, 1.: 3′, 7′; 7.6.4: 27′; 7.8, 7.: 19′, 23′; 7.10, 1.: 188′′; 8.5, 1.: 8′; 9.2: 15; 9.3: 10′, 12′, 23′), as suggested by the fact that “the atbāru-stone (“basalt”) bears the epithet ‘pure mountain’ in the incantations referring to the rite of standing on basalt when addressing the sun-god” (Abusch and Schwemer 2011: 397, note to lines 23–24′).
8. CDA 103a (halṣu II), AHw 313–14 (halṣu II) and CAD H s.v. ḫalṣu.
9. CDA 30b, AHw 86b (“etwa ‘Basaltlava’”) and CAD A/2 s.v. atbaru. For the name, see Postgate 1995: 1, 12.
10. For the building projects supervised by the chief treasurer, see Mattila 2000: 26–27.
11. See also the discussion of the letter by Reade 2008: 22–23.
12. Another letter (SAA 1 67) written by Ṭab-šar-Aššur concerns the bathroom of the hilanu palace (see Reade 2008: 30); see also SAA 1 121, whose sender is Aššur-bani, the governor of Kalhu. In general, it is worth noting that the activities of Ṭab-šar-Aššur mainly take place along a north-south axis, from his province in the north down to the city of Assur in the south. Geographically, an exception may be his letter SAA 1 50, which has something to do with an emblem of the moon god of Harran; only the first eight lines of the letter survive. In fact, this letter may relate to construction at Dur-Šarruken (topically cf. SAA 1 66 r.5–10).
13. Author/sender of SAA 1 41–74, SAA 5 282–90.
14. Author/sender of SAA 1 75–109, SAA 5 291.
governor of Assur complains to the king that he has not been able to till the king’s arable land [in] Halzi-atbari (SAA 1 106: 15–19). Although these lines in the letter are very fragmentarily preserved, they may be understood as conflicting with the positive example set in the Halahhu region (lines 6–14), which is located in central Assyria.\footnote{15}

\textit{SAA 19 68}

We now turn to other textual sources dealing, directly or indirectly, with Halzi-atbari. At first glance the short, but well-preserved, letter \textit{SAA 19 68},\footnote{16} which is written by an Assyrian official named Šamaš-ilaʾi, appears insignificant.\footnote{17} In his 2001 edition of the \textit{Nimrud Letters}, Saggs included this piece of correspondence in his “Wellbeing of the Land” section, which included twelve other letters.\footnote{18} The letter reads:\footnote{19} (1) \textit{ana šarri bēliya / šurdaka Šamaš-ilaʾi / lū šulmu ana šarri / bēliya / (5) adanniš / šulmu ina mātika / šulmu ana niši / mātika / Aššur u Šamaš / (10) [a]na šarri // (r.1) bēliya / likrubu

(1) To the king, my lord: your servant Šamaš-ilaʾi. The best of health to the king, my lord! (6) Your country (and) the people of your country are well. May Aššur and Šamaš bless the king, my lord!

The letter does not contain more than an address (lines 1–2), greeting (lines 3–5), report of the well-being of a land and its inhabitants (lines 6–8), and a closing blessing (lines 9–r.2). Admittedly, this short letter does not appear very informative, but “no news is good news”.\footnote{20} On the other hand, the letter includes some rare and/or interesting features that stand out. In particular, after the first five standardized lines, a striking feature is how the well-being of the country and its people is phrased: \textit{šulmu ina mātika šulmu ana niši mātika}.\footnote{21} Despite the regular use of...
**urdaka (urdu + ka), “your servant”, which appears in the second line of most Neo-Assyrian letters and refers to the Assyrian king, the possessive suffix -ka “your” attached to “country/land” in lines 6 and 8 is so far not attested in a comparable passage (i.e., in a report section between a greeting and a blessing) in any other Neo-Assyrian letter from a subordinate to a superior. Here the expected phrase is the comparable and frequently attested šulmu ana māti ša šarri, “the land of the king is well”. The expression “people of the land/country” is also attested in other Neo-Assyrian and Neo-Babylonian letters to the king of Assyria.

To find a parallel for “your (-ka) country/land” in a Neo-Assyrian letter addressed to an Assyrian king, we have to go so far as to quote a letter of Adad-šumu-uṣur, a well-known chief exorcist, in which he consoles the grieving king, most likely Esarhaddon, after the loss of a royal child:

\[ lū ša ṭaṭāri šī mišil mātiša lū taddin lū taṭurašši \]

Had it been curable, you would have given away half of your kingdom to have it cured! SAA 10 187: 10–12.

Or a letter of Iddin-Aššur to the king:

\[ annurig rēšāti ša mātiša hamussu ša Barhalzi memmēni lā naṣṣa \]

As of now, no one is bringing in the first fruits of your land or the one-fifth tax from Barhalzi SAA 13 51: 4–7.

In SAA 19 68, the use of the second person singular suffix may have one of these two possible reasons: (1) as with Adad-šumu-uṣur, the singular “you” emphasizes the intimacy of the sender/author with the king, or, (2) an alternative way is to take the conquest as a divine deed or intervention that changes the status of the land into the ruler’s land, i.e., “your land”. If the latter interpretation is correct, Šamaš-ilaʾi’s usage resembles that of Iddin-Aššur. In his letter, Iddin-Aššur, who may have been a priest or temple administrator, seems to use the authority of the god Aššur to justify his straight talk to the king when he collects taxes for the Aššur temple. Generally speaking, this type of usage is typical of letters from scholars, priests and the administrative personnel of Mesopotamian temples to the kings Esarhaddon and Assurbanipal, and
we do have many such letters available from the seventh century BC, but in comparison, only a handful of them are preserved from the eighth century. Of course the above-mentioned two reasons are not mutually exclusive, and may be in play simultaneously.

In SAA 19 68, moreover, the invocation of Aššur and Šamaš is exceptional and may imply a preceding military activity in the area similar to that attested in another letter unearthed in Nimrud that concerns the planned attack on the Urartian capital Ṭurušpâ. Although the blessing formula invoking the gods Aššur and Šamaš is extremely rare among the extant Neo-Assyrian letter corpus, in this case it appears impossible to find out the geographical origin of the letter by means of the blessing formula alone: my assumption is that the letter was written in 739 in the aftermath of a military campaign to Ulluba. A military context could be a specific motive for substantiating the invocation of Aššur and Šamaš to bless the king in the letter.

Furthermore, SAA 19 65, a letter sent by the chief cupbearer Nabû-[ṭiranni], must relate to the same event in 739 because it mentions the “deported” Ullubeans who are at the disposal of Inurta-ilaʾi, governor of Naṣibina. Hence SAA 19 65 seems to importantly prove that among the extant Nimrud Letters there is at least one letter that directly relates to the campaign of 739.

Identifying the Governors of Halzi-atbari

Šamaš-ilaʾi, the name of the author or sender of the letter SAA 19 68, was relatively common in Assyria. It is attested altogether at least thirty-six times in the Neo-Assyrian Text Corpus Project’s database. From these attestations, a passage in a letter of Aššur-belu-daʾʾin, probably the governor of Halzi-atbari (see below), may contain the key to the identification of our Šamaš-ilaʾi:

\[
\text{ušhāya qudāya . . . ālāni ša ūmāti ša Šamaš-ilaʾi la hanšūni ūmâ annurig ša-qurbūte up-tahhir ina muhhīya naṣṣa}
\]

The Ušhæans and Qudaeans . . . towns which were not submissive in the days of Šamaš-ilaʾi, the royal bodyguard has now assembled and brought over to me ŠAA 5 78: 4–15.

26. SAA 19 76: 5–6. See also, e.g., SAA 8 418: 4–5; this report refers to the conquest of Kush and Egypt, and this section begins with “Aššur, Šamaš, Nabû, and Marduk . . . “.
27. The only other examples of Neo-Assyrian letters which invoke Aššur and Šamaš (and no other gods) are KAV 214, VAT 9770 (both letters by Nabû-ahhe-riba, from Assur, to his lord Didia), SAA 16 41 (by Nabû-tukulti, Nabû-šumu-lešir and Mutakkil-Adad), SAA 13 59 (by Urdu-Nabû from Kalhu) and CTN 2 180 (sent by Hunanu, possibly from Kalhu). Only the last example dates to the eighth century (cf. Luukko 2012: 105, n. 44); the other examples were all written in the seventh century. The mention of Šamaš in the blessing formula hardly indicates a ritual connection (cf. note 7).
28. As an alternative hypothesis, the possibility should not be excluded that Šamaš-ilaʾi is writing to the campaigning king from the Assyrian capital or from another city in Assyria. If this interpretation proves correct, then there are of course obvious difficulties with identifying Šamaš-ilaʾi’s as governor of Halzi-atbari.
29. ND 2434.
30. See also SAA 19, pp. xxi (Table II, letter no. 74) and xlii.
31. All these attestations are treated in PNA 3/II, p. 1200–1201; there may be as many as twenty-eight individuals with this name, although this seems unlikely.
The passage SAA 5 78: 4–15 was not commented on in SAA 5. However, on p. 245 s.v. Šamaš-ilāʾi in the volume’s “Index of Personal Names”, Šamaš-ilāʾi is referred to as “(eponym 818)”. 32 As for the person mentioned in SAA 5 78, this identity is not impossible, although perhaps far-fetched as it hardly makes good sense to mention a 100-year-old eponym holder. Therefore, the reference to Šamaš-ilāʾi in SAA 5 78 may be explained in another, much simpler way: Aššur-belu-daʾʾin is most likely referring to his predecessor, an earlier governor of Halzi-atbari. 33

As for lines 11–12 of the letter, Neo-Assyrian officials sometimes spoke about their immediate predecessors by using the phrase (ša/ina) ūmāti ša PN, “at the time of PN”, and this expression occurs elsewhere in Neo-Assyrian and Neo-Babylonian sources, referring to the predecessor of the current office holder. 34 An alternative way to say the same is to employ the structure ina (ana/ištu) tarṣi PN, “at the time of”, but the latter is almost exclusively used to refer to rulers in the sense “in the reign of”. 35 However, a fragmentary Babylonian letter to the king of Assyria may have combined the two phrases:


During [the day]s of Šulā, . . . SAA 18 102: 15′–16′.

The same Šamaš-ilāʾi, thus presumably the first governor of Halzi-atbari, may also occur in several horse lists, 36 or be mentioned in another letter, two administrative documents and in a legal transaction. 37 In practice, however, there is no way of ascertaining with certainty the identities of these people because of the high frequency of the name and the possible distance in time between these attestations. Also, it is possible that another Nimrud Letter was written by the same Šamaš-ilāʾi as SAA 19 68: SAA 19 69, 38 possibly sent to Tiglath-pileser III, could have originated from him.

In the greeting formula of this letter, the sender exceptionally states that both the provincces of the treasurer and chief cupbearer are well, but unfortunately the authorship of the letter remains uncertain. 39 In any case, the sender of this letter may have functioned as an intermediary between the treasurer and chief cupbearer. Moreover, the sender may have also been connected to the palace herald since he writes to the king that he “is performing the works

32. This official served under Šamši-Adad V. Although his title is not preserved in extant eponym lists (Millard 1994: 31), he may have been treasurer, assuming that the traditional order of eponyms was followed at the time.
33. This possibility is also considered in PNA 3/II, p. 1201, s.v. Šamaš-ilāʾi no. 8.
34. See, e.g., SAA 10 364: 4′ (addressed to Esarhaddon), SAA 17 95: 4′–12′, SAA 18 185: 7. The passage in SAA 18 186 r.8e–9e also refers to the past, but not to Nabû-ušallim’s (sender/author of the letter) predecessors.
35. See, e.g., Millard 1994: 71, RIMA 3 A.0.103.1 i 39, and passim in Assyrian royal inscriptions, as well as in other genres of Neo-Assyrian texts.
36. See CTN 3, pp. 142, 175 (note to line iii.23), 182, but cf. PNA 3/II, pp. 1201–202, s.v. Šamaš-ilāʾi nos. 3 and 5.
37. SAA 1 193: 2′; SAA 6 37 r.12; SAA 11 32 r.3, 125 r.4–5. Cf. PNA 3/II, pp. 1201–202, s.v. Šamaš-ilāʾi nos. 9, 13, 3 and 27.
38. ND 2798. The letter is discussed in, e.g., Mattila 2000: 51 (= NL 55).
39. See the critical apparatus of letter no. 69 in SAA 19. The original tablets of SAA 19 68 and 69 cannot be properly compared since no. 69 is in the British Museum (London), while no. 68 is probably in the Iraq Museum (Baghdad).
of Šarru-iqbi about which the king commanded me”. 40 Šarru-iqbi is an Assyrian fortress on the Mannean border in the east. Although its exact location is uncertain, it is possible that Šarru-iqbi was not very far from the area controlled by the palace herald. The introductory formula of SAA 19 69 may indicate that the sender would have travelled to the east through the provinces of treasurer and chief cupbearer, and possibly partly through the province of the palace herald.

Aššur-belu-daʾʾin, most likely the second governor of Halzi-atbari, is the sender of three Neo-Assyrian letters to Sargon II (SAA 5 78–80), all of which date to ca. 710. 41 Of these three letters, SAA 5 78 (quoted above) is most promising because it includes three ethnic names — the Mumaeans, Ušhaeans and Qudaeans — that could help us pin down the geographic location of Halzi-atbari. Unfortunately, apart from this letter nothing is known about the “unsubmissive Mumaeans” (r.11) since the place name Mumu does not appear elsewhere in extant Neo-Assyrian sources. With regard to the Ušhaeans and Qudaeans, the letter states that they submitted to the king’s treaty. Apart from SAA 5 78, Quda and Ušhu are both only known from one other source: Quda 42 is one of the many “cities” named by Tiglath-pileser III in accounts of his eleventh campaign in 735, which was directed against Urartu (RINAP 1, no. 18: 4–5); and, if we believe an inscription of Assurnaṣirpal, 43 Ušhu must have been situated close to the foot of Mount Nipur or Mount Paṣate. 44 All three ethnic groups might have been “peripheral” Halzi-atbareans. However, the potential weakness of this argument lies at the end of the quotation “the royal bodyguard has now assembled (the Ušhaeans and Qudaeans) and brought (them) over to me” (lines 14–15) since this passage could refer to deported people. If that proves to be the case, then the Ušhaeans and Qudaeans mentioned in SAA 5 78 were not Halzi-atbareans. In addition, and more importantly, SAA 5 78 says that “the whole mountain (area) has observed the king’s tr[eaty]” (r.4–5).

On the other hand, Yasumu (modern Karacadağ) and Bit-Zamani presumably occur in SAA 5 79 r.5–6 as the intermediate stage of the deported Chaldeans. These places are much further in the north-west than the place where Aššur-belu-daʾʾin was stationed. Whether Yasumu and Bit-Zamani were also destinations of refugees from Halzi-atbari, of whom it is said that they have “run away in great numbers and are (scattered) all over the mountains” (lines 10–12), is less clear from SAA 5 79. The deported Chaldeans mentioned in SAA 5 79 also appear in the fragmentarily preserved SAA 5 80, in which they are said to be building their houses (r.3′–4′), apparently in Halzi-atbari. 45

40. SAA 19 69: 8–9.
41. See Fales 1983: 70, 142–43. According to PNA 1/I, p. 172, he was a “high official, perhaps the governor of Halzi-atbar”. Most of Sargon’s letters from Nineveh date to the last five years of his rule; see, e.g., Radner 2014: 82.
42. The mention of URU.qu-ta in RINAP 1 39: 32 may refer to another “city” in the north-west (but cf. Fuchs 2003: 53*, n. 29), whereas a URU.qu-at-ta in the vicinity of Waisi, in the north-east, was burnt by Sargon II according to the account of his famous eighth campaign (TCL 3, line 304).
43. RIMA 2 A.0.101.1 i 71.
45. A short summary of these two letters, especially of no. 79, is given in Gallagher 1994: 62, 64–65. Another fragmentary letter, SAA 5 14, titled “Chaldeans in Bit-Zamani”, may pertain to the same events. Note also the remark in SAA 5, p. 11, the critical apparatus to no. 14: 7: “A date after 710 is implied by r. 4, which can only refer to Chaldeans deported and resettled in the north after the defeat of Merodach-baladan.”
Šulmu-šarri, governor of Halzi-atbari and eponym of the year 698, dates various texts as eponym. Unfortunately, nothing else is known about this high official who served under Sennacherib. It is worth noting, however, that he is the only governor of Halzi-atbari attested as eponym. Moreover, it is certain in Šulmu-šarri’s case that he was the governor of the province under discussion.

Šulmu-šarri’s eponymate is followed by a gap of about thirty or even fifty years, after which we can read the following in an administrative document from Nineveh, originating from the reign of Assurbanipal, and edited by Fales and Postgate: [m]i-ri-i₄a LÚ.EN.N[AM] / [UR]U₄.⸣“it-ri-ia” LÚ.EN.N[AM] / [UR]U₄.⸣“hal”-x₄[x x x] SAA 7 3 i 14’–15’ (ADD 853). In addition, a closely related text to it reads: [m]a-ta-a LÚ.NAM KUR.[x x] SAA 7 4 i 12’ (ADD 854), but whilst in SAA 7 3 the partial restoration [m]i-ri-i₄a LÚ.EN.N[AM] / [UR]U₄.⸣“hal”-z[i’-AD.BAR] “Itriya, governor[or of] Halzi-atbari” appears justifiable, in the second tablet it is impossible to accommodate four signs, i.e., the shortest attested writing of the province is hal-zi-AD.BAR, when it only has two erased signs at the end of the line (I collated the two passages in June 2014). Therefore, we cannot convincingly restore “Ataraya, governor of [Halzi-atbari]” in SAA 7 4 i 12’. In any case, one might suggest that the assonance between the names Halzi-atbari and Barhalzi/a (SAA 7 3 i 13’ and 4 i 11’, cf. SAA 10 96: 17–19 where other place names are inserted between the two toponyms) could explain why these provinces were apparently presented consecutively in the two administrative documents. The spellings Itriya and Ataraya may be expounded as two variant forms of the personal name Idraya, the last governor of the province for whom we have these two pieces of evidence, however questionable they may seem.

**On Halzi-atbari’s Location**

As already mentioned at the beginning of this paper, extant Neo-Assyrian sources are not informative enough to ascertain the exact location of Halzi-atbari; too many questions remain unanswered. Rather than committing myself to any theory about the province’s location, I would like to briefly discuss some details that may both help and complicate our endeavour to locate Halzi-atbari.

First, the geographic relation between Halzi-atbari and Ulluba appears unclear to me: are they close together or far apart from? Tiglath-pileser’s fragmentary Mila Mergi inscription, which deals with both lands, does not give a clear answer to this, although that text seems to imply that Halzi-atbari was along the route from Assyria to Ulluba. What we do not know is the direction from which Tiglath-pileser approached Ulluba. The Assyrian army could have marched on it from either the south, east or west. Second, the fragmentary passage in SAA 5 98: 4–9, a letter written by Aššur-reṣuwa, who was also in contact with the treasurer, may support the

---

46. The references to this official are presented in PNA 3/II, p. 1278 s.v. Šulmu-šarri no. 9.
47. On the approximate date of SAA 7 3 and 4, see Mattila 2000: 17.
48. These two entries are presumed to refer to the same person; see the note to line i.14 in SAA 7, p. 6. On the reading of Halzi-atbari in SAA 7 3 i 15’ see also Radner 2006: 54.
49. As done in PNA 2/I, p. 505, s.v. Idrāia or Idrīja or Idrī-Aya no. 4.
51. SAA 5 96–97. For a discussion, see Radner 2014: 87.
proximity of Halzi-atbari to Kumme. In addition, the Assyrian eponym chronicle records that the campaign of 736 was directed towards the foot of Mount Nal; no report of this campaign is preserved in an official royal inscription, apart from a couple of fragmentary lines.\footnote{For a discussion of this state of affairs and an edition of these lines, see RINAP 1, pp. 6, 7, 13, 17 and 54.}

On the other hand, what is known about the Neo-Assyrian use of basalt favours a more western location of Halzi-atbari, possibly in eastern Syria or Turkey. For instance, Moorey repeatedly stressed that the basalt used in Assyria came from Syria and Turkey,\footnote{E.g., in Moorey 1999: 21, 24, 30, 336, 340; see also Reade 2008: 17. On the use of basalt in Assyria, see Moorey 1999: 341–45.} although it was also abundantly available in the north: “It [basalt] could also be obtained around Cizre in the upper Tigris valley.”\footnote{Moorey 1999: 336; see also ibid. 22, 345, Reade 1981: 154–55 and Reade 2008: 22: “Basalt was readily available some distance upstream on the Tigris, in modern Turkey, and was commonly used in Assyria for special purposes, such as the manufacture of grinding stones. It was also used for door-sockets and column-bases, and for various other purposes from time to time.”} The letter SAA 5 81\footnote{SAA 5 81 and SAA 5 100 are the only Neo-Assyrian letters in the collections of the Louvre, but it is puzzling that they have ended up in two separate collections there; their respective museum numbers are AO 4506 and N III 3158. This seems to suggest that they were two separate sporadic finds, with no connection to one another. I do not know what the evidence is that makes Radner (2014: 82) state that “Even more importantly, two letters [SAA 5 81 and 100] from Sargon’s correspondence were excavated in his palace at Dur-Šarruken.” This is certainly the most likely scenario for these two letters, but are Botta’s and Victor Place’s nineteenth-century excavations at Nineveh to be written off as an alternative? Both the letters are thus apparently from the reign of Sargon II, but without a firm find spot this may not be easily proven with SAA 5 81. In any case, that letter might relate to the north, just like SAA 5 100. Note, however, that these two pieces of correspondence have one important difference: SAA 5 100 is about Kummean smugglers and sent to the king, while SAA 5 81 was addressed to Nergal-etir. It is not impossible that the latter ended up at the court via the hands of the chief eunuch (see lines 7, 15 of the letter).} might report on areas west of the Assyrian heartland; the letter implies a legal case against an unnamed governor of Halzi-atbari, who claims the Ehi\footnote{Accidentally read Aššur-zeru-idanna in SAA 5, but cf. Contenau 1926: 3, Radner 1997: 23 and PNA 1/1, p. 228, s.v. Aššūr-zēru-ibni no. 1. Radner (2001: 275 n. 55) suggests he is an official of a neighbouring province to the governor of Halzi-atbari.}\footnote{See PNA 3/II, p. 1443, s.v. Zēru-ibni no. 3.} man\footnote{SAA 15 65–68 and BM 30205 (see Van Buylaere in this volume).} as his servants. The author or sender of that letter, Aššur-zeru-ibni,\footnote{Nergal-etir, probably also governor, is the sender of SAA 15 65–68 and BM 30205 (see Van Buylaere in this volume).} might be identified as Zeru-ibni, governor of Raṣappa and eponym of the year 718, but that governor of Raṣappa is known from other Neo-Assyrian sources only as Zeru-ibni, although it may be a shortened form of his name.\footnote{The reading of the name of this ethnic group is not entirely certain; see the discussion in Radner 1997: 24.} The recipient of the letter is a man named Nergal-eṭir, Aššur-zeru-ibni’s “brother”, possibly the same high official who was active on Assyria’s eastern border during the reign of Sargon II.\footnote{Radner (1997: 24) has convincingly located Ehi\footnote{Radner (1997: 23) and PNA 1/1, p. 228, s.v. Aššūr-zēru-ibni no. 1. Radner (2001: 275 n. 55) suggests he is an official of a neighbouring province to the governor of Halzi-atbari.} man in the upper Khabur region, it is uncertain whether or not the Ehi\footnote{Radner (2001: 275 n. 55) suggests he is an official of a neighbouring province to the governor of Halzi-atbari.} man\footnote{See PNA 3/II, p. 1443, s.v. Zēru-ibni no. 3.} eans in the letter were deportees and, therefore, the
Ehimanecans might appear beyond their normal dwellings, e.g., working at Dur-Šarruken, where many provincial governors were involved in building Sargon’s new capital. Thus, the letter may present the two corresponding officials outside their normal surroundings, that is, out of their usual, expected context.

**Conclusions**

After Tiglath-pileser III’s seventh campaign to Ulluba in 739, the governor of Halzi-atbari was presumably a man called Šamaš-ilaʾi. He was probably followed in the office by Aššur-belu-daʾin. Although there is no direct evidence, it seems highly likely that Šamaš-ilaʾi and Aššur-belu-daʾin were the successive governors of the same province. How can we be sure that the province is Halzi-atbari? I do not think we can know for certain, and it would be foolhardy to insist that this was the case, although SAA 5 79 provides important information on some runaway Halzi-atbareans. These runaways fit well with the general situation of a recently annexed province (739) in a mountainous area: the local men wanted to keep their freedom and were ready to risk their lives for it.

Aššur-belu-daʾin may have been succeeded by Šulmu-šarri, eponym of the year 698. Idraya may be the name of the last governor of Halzi-atbari for whom we have some — if not totally convincing — evidence. If correctly interpreted, altogether the above discussed four governors of Halzi-atbari might span a period of seventy to ninety years, from 739 until ca. 670 or 650 BC. It would not be surprising if that province had had more than four governors during this period.

The tentative identification of governors of Halzi-atbari may be presented in a tabular form as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. The governors of Halzi-atbari</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Šamaš-ilaʾi, in the reign of Tiglath-pileser III (since 739).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aššur-belu-daʾin, late in the reign of Sargon II.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Šulmu-šarri, eponym of the year 698 under Sennacherib.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idraya, reign of Assurbanipal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With present knowledge, the location of Halzi-atbari either in the area of Jebel Sinjar or to the south, west or east of Ulluba should not be ruled out since one may present circumstantial evidence in support of each of these regions. At the moment a subtle analysis on the province’s location is hindered by elusive details. Hence new and more explicit evidence is needed since without it the location of the province remains debatable. In addition to the fact that the highest officials had landholdings in various parts of the Assyrian empire, with many subordinates, the interpretive problems surrounding peoples and their geographical location arise from the military campaigns, deportations resulting from them, and the compulsory participation of these high officials in large construction works. All these interpretive problems are easy to detect when studying Neo-Assyrian sources.
But as to the report of Tiglath-pileser’s seventh campaign at Mila Mergi, its wording, “Halzi-atbari, a rebellious land”, may suggest a detour on the road to Ulluba. On the other hand, one might go a step further and ask: would it be unthinkable to consider the land of Ulluba as part of a larger entity, the land/province of Halzi-atbari? Alternatively, Halzi-atbari may have been a small province in the proximity of Ulluba.

60. Unfortunately the text of the Mila Mergi inscription is broken after the mention of Halzi-atbari, but it appears between the sections about Ulluba. Radner 2006: 44 (Karte 1, no. 40), 56–57 situates the province of Birtu, allegedly the same as the former Ulluba as the Assyrians no longer used the name Ulluba after Tiglath-pileser’s reign, more or less where Parpola and Porter locate Halzi-atbari on their map (see note 6, above). At least SAA 10 96: 19–20 makes it clear that Birtu and Halzi-atbari are two separate provinces. As for Birtu, its exact location is still uncertain but see, e.g., Parpola 1983: 318. Related to Birtu and Ulluba, there is also a problem that concerns the city of Aššur-iqiša. It only appears in the inscriptions of Tiglath-pileser III, according to which he built the city as a provincial capital of Ulluba (RINAP 1, nos. 39: 28–29 and 41: 30′–31′; cf. also ibid. no. 49: 9′–10′). What happened to Aššur-iqiša after Tiglath-pileser’s reign? Should we assume that its name was changed to Birtu or that the location of the provincial capital was moved, or is it only a matter of time before evidence for Aššur-iqiša after Tiglath-pileser’s rule turns up?
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