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Prospective Study

Patients with Crohn's disease have longer post-operative in-hospital stay than patients with colon cancer but no difference in complications' rate

2015 European Society of Coloproctology (ESCP) collaborating group

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Right hemicolectomy or ileocecal resection are used to treat benign conditions like Crohn's disease (CD) and malignant ones like colon cancer (CC).

AIM

To investigate differences in pre- and peri-operative factors and their impact on post-operative outcome in patients with CC and CD.

METHODS

This is a sub-group analysis of the European Society of Coloproctology's prospective, multi-centre snapshot audit. Adult patients with CC and CD undergoing right hemicolectomy or ileocecal resection were included. Primary outcome measure was 30-d post-operative complications. Secondary outcome measures were post-operative length of stay (LOS) at and readmission.

RESULTS

Three hundred and seventy-five patients with CD and 2,515 patients with CC were included. Patients with CD were younger (median = 37 years for CD and 71 years for CC (P < 0.01), had lower American Society of Anesthesiology score (ASA) grade (P < 0.01) and less comorbidity (P < 0.01), but were more likely to be current smokers (P < 0.01). Patients with CD were more frequently operated on by colorectal surgeons (P < 0.01) and frequently underwent ileocecal resection (P < 0.01) with higher rate of de-functioning/primary stoma construction (P < 0.01). Thirty-day post-operative mortality occurred exclusively in the CC group (66/2515, 2.3%). In multivariate analyses, the risk of post-operative complications was similar in the two groups (OR 0.80, 95%CI: 0.54-1.17; P = 0.25). Patients with CD had a significantly longer LOS (Geometric mean 0.87, 95%CI: 0.79-0.95; P < 0.01). There was no difference in re-admission rates. The audit did not collect data on post-operative enhanced recovery protocols that are implemented in the different participating centers.
CONCLUSION
Patients with CD were younger, with lower ASA grade, less comorbidity, operated on by experienced surgeons and underwent less radical resection but had a longer LOS than patients with CC although complications’ rate was not different between the two groups.
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INTRODUCTION
Surgery is definitive treatment for patients with colon cancer (CC) and an option for patients with Crohn’s disease (CD) in case of complications or non-response to medical treatment.

CC and CD have some common features like geographical distribution where both diseases have high incidence in western countries. Incidences are rising in countries adopting western lifestyles[5-9], and among immigrants from low-incidence countries that move to western countries, suggesting that lifestyle is a risk factor[3,4]. Inexpedient diet and smoking are well known risk factors for both CC and CD[5-8]. Moreover, there is an evidence of genetic components in the pathogenesis of both diseases[8-9].

The two diseases differ in incidence. CC incidence is higher among women and the average age at diagnosis is approximately 30 years[10]. In contrast, the incidence of CC is slightly higher among men[11,12], with 90% of patients over 50 years when diagnosed[13]. Although bowel resection is performed for both diseases, the techniques implemented may differ. The extent of resection in CC is based on vascular supply and lymphatic drainage of the tumour. Therefore, central ligation of tumour draining blood vessels, lymph nodes harvest and free resection margin are important[11]. In contrast, central ligation of blood vessels and lymph nodes harvest are less important for patients with CD. The disease-free resection margin might influence recurrence rate[13].

Literature search showed no large prospective study investigating the effect of pre- and peri-operative risk factors in CD and CC on post-operative outcome. Retrospective and small series prospective studies showed divergent results[15-21]. There is a need for a large prospective study investigating post-operative outcome in patients with CC and CD to identify areas warranting further research like allocation of resources, pre-operative optimization and surgical techniques in the two patient groups respectively.

The aim of this study is to investigate differences in pre- and peri-operative factors and their impact on post-operative outcome in patients with CC and CD undergoing Right hemicolecotomy or ileocecal resection. The two groups have previously been investigated separately within the ESCP snapshot audit, but this analysis presents the two in the same paper to demonstrate how the same surgical procedure have different post-operative outcome depending on the underlying disease.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
The ESCP snapshot audit included adult patients undergoing right hemicolectomy or ileocecal resection, regardless of the operative approach, in both elective and emergency settings. The inclusion period extended over a 2-mo period from January 15th to March 15th, 2015. Thirty-day post-operative outcome was reported. Details of centre inclusion, data entry and collection, follow up, approvals and patient recruitment as well as inclusion and exclusion criteria were based on a prespecified protocol[22] and have been explained in the main study of this audit[23]. No details about enhanced recovery after surgery program were registered in the snapshot audit however, ERAS is now standard in most of the world. Criteria for admission to critical care unit was decided by routine guidelines in the participating centers. Details on pre-operative characteristics, surgery for CD and post-operative outcome in patients with CD is explained in the recently published paper on this cohort[24] while details about the CC cohort are reported in another paper (in press). ESCP study on CD reported pre-operative characteristics and indication for surgical interventions in CD. It investigated and adjusted for risk factors which are specific to CD[24] for example steroid, biological treatment and pre-operative sepsis while the other cohort studied factors specific for CC like chemotherapy, details of resection and anastomosis.

Outcome measures
Primary outcome measure was 30-d overall post-operative complication. Secondary outcome measures were post-operative length of stay (LOS) in hospital measured in days after operation and re-admission rates. Clinically suspected anastomosis leak, intraabdominal pelvic collection, surgical site infection (SSI) and reoperation were investigated as specific complications.

Statistical analysis
For univariate analyses, Mann-Whitney U test was used to test continuous variables while categorical variables were tested using Pearson’s Chi-Square or Fisher’s exact test when relevant. Continuous variables were reported by median and interquartile range (IQR) while categorical variables were reported as frequencies. Variables that showed a significant association with the outcome in the univariate analyses (P < 0.05) and variables deemed clinically important were included as covariates in the multivariate analysis model. We used logistic regression models for binary outcome variables (e.g., complication yes/no) and linear regression models for the continuous variables. Results of the logistic regression analyses are presented as odds ratios (OR) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). The LOS variable was log-transformed, and results of the linear regression models are presented as the geometric mean with corresponding 95% CI. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistician (RN) chose geometric mean due to the type of data from the audit. A multivariate model was constructed for each of the outcome variables that the study investigated. Analyses were performed with SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.2010) and the R statistical software version 3.2.2.

RESULTS

The ESCP snapshot audit included 3208 patients from 284 centres in 39 countries. The included patients underwent ileo-cecal resection or right hemicolectomy due to CC, CD or other reasons. Overall data completeness record in this audit was 97.4%. This is a sub group analysis of the original data from the audit. This subgroup includes 375 patients with CD and 2,515 patients with CC.

Pre- and peri-operative characterization
Patients with CD were significantly different from those with CC in most of the pre-operative characteristics as shown in Table 1. Patients with CD were predominantly female, more likely to be smokers and had more previous surgeries. In contrast patients with CC were older, had higher body mass index (BMI), more comorbidities, higher serum creatinine and lower haemoglobin. Patients with CD were more likely to be operated on by colorectal surgeons 83.5% (313/375) compared to patients with CC 71.5% (1798/2515, P < 0.01). Two thirds of patients with CD had ileocecal resection while almost all patients with CC had right hemicolectomy. Details of anastomosis explained in the main study[23]. Patients with CD were at higher risk of de-functioning/primary stoma construction compared to patients with CC (P < 0.01). The rate of unplanned intraoperative adverse events (UIAE) was higher in CD (14.9%)
compared to CC (9.15%).

**Univariate analysis of post-operative outcomes**

In unadjusted analysis, both groups had a median LOS in hospital of 7.0 d (IQR 5.0) as shown in Figure 1. There was no significant difference in risk of post-operative complications (33.6% in CD vs 38% in CC, \( P = 0.099 \)). A closer look at anastomotic leak, SSI and reoperation did not show a significant difference between the two groups. Nevertheless, CC patients were more likely to be admitted to a critical care unit (773/2515, 30.7%) compared to patients with CD (70/375, 18.7%, \( P < 0.01 \)). Sixty-six patients with CC died within the follow up period (2.3%) while no mortality was reported in patients with CD [details about causes of death are explained in the study of CC cohort (in press)]. Post-operative CRP levels (within first 3 d) were significantly higher in patients with CD [median (IQR) 133 (162)] compared to patients with CC [108 (134), \( P < 0.01 \)].

**Multivariate analyses**

After adjustment for confounding factors the risk of post-operative complications and the risk of admission to critical care unit were not significantly different between the two cohorts (OR 0.80, 95%CI: 0.54-1.17 and OR 1.43, 95%CI: 0.94-2.18, respectively), as shown in Table 2. However, patients with CC had a significantly shorter stay in hospital compared to patients with CD (Geometric mean 0.87, 95%CI: 0.79-0.95). Factors associated with longer LOS are shown in Table 3.

Post-operative complications do not explain the longer LOS in patients with CD as Figure 2 illustrates. Emergency surgery increased the risk of complications (OR 1.55, 95%CI: 1.18-2.05, \( P = 0.002 \)) and admission to critical care unit (OR 1.47, 95%CI: 1.12-1.93, \( P = 0.006 \)) in the combined cohort data of CD and CD. There was, however, no significant difference between patients with CD and patients with CC who underwent emergency surgery.

**DISCUSSION**

This study showed that patients with CD had the same risk of post-operative complications but longer post-operative LOS at hospital compared to patients with CC. To the authors knowledge, this has not previously been investigated in a prospective study. A large retrospective database study, examining the cost of elective surgery for diverticulitis compared to other diseases, found that patients with inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) were more likely to develop post-operative complications, compared to patients with CC[16]. An older retrospective study found higher morbidity and mortality rates for patients with CC compared to patients with IBD[17]. A recent retrospective observational study including 109 patients found no significant differences between patients with CC and CD regarding risk of post-operative complications, anastomotic leakage, SSI and death[18]. In other smaller series studies, SSI rates were higher in patients with CD compared to patients with CC[19,20]. However, no difference in readmission rates was shown[21].

Tables 2 and 3 show pre- and peri-operative risk factors and their effect on post-operative outcome. Patients with CC might have higher risk of post-operative complications and longer LOS due to their older age, higher pre-operative creatinine, higher American Society of Anesthesiology score (ASA) grade, comorbidities, higher BMI and having undergone more radical resections compared to patients with CD. Risk factors for longer LOS in patients with CD like smoking, de-functioning stoma, previous surgeries and emergency setting were adjusted in a multivariate model, indicating that there might be other explanations for the longer LOS in this group of patients, which are not accounted for in this paper. A BMI below 18.5 is another factor which significantly increases the LOS, but this is also adjusted for in the LOS model, which shows that a low BMI cannot explain the increased LOS in patients with CD either. This was not investigated in this study, thus further studies are needed to rule out that elements of malnutrition play a part in the LOS and post-operative status of patients with CD.

Timing of operation may be a modifiable risk factor for un-favourable post-operative outcome in patients with CD[24] but emergency surgery cannot explain the longer LOS because both groups of patients had the same rate of emergency operations. UIAEs increase the risk of post-operative complications as well as LOS. It is expected to have higher risks for UIAEs in patients with CD due to the inflammatory nature of the disease and previous surgeries in the area, but this was not the case after adjusting for other factors in the multivariate analyses.

Being a chronic disease not curable by surgery or medical treatment, patients with CD might have a higher inflammatory profile in response to surgery. This is...
Table 1 Patients’ demographics, pre- and peri-operative patient characterization *n (%)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>CC <em>n</em> = 2515</th>
<th>CD <em>n</em> = 375</th>
<th><em>P</em> value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>71 (15)</td>
<td>37 (23)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>11.9 (3)</td>
<td>12.8 (2)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male Female</td>
<td>1310 (52.1) 1205 (48.9)</td>
<td>161 (42.9) 214 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of diabetes</td>
<td>463 (18.4)</td>
<td>7 (1.9)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of IHD</td>
<td>548 (21.8)</td>
<td>10 (2.7)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≤ 18.5 18.6-25 25.1-30 &gt; 30</td>
<td>57 (2.5) 926 (39.9) 867 (37.4) 468 (20.2)</td>
<td>197 (7.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA grade I II III IV V</td>
<td>250 (9.9) 1261 (50.1) 903 (35.9) 98 (3.9) 3 (0.01)</td>
<td>88 (23.5) 239 (63.7) 45 (12.0) 3 (0.8) 0 (0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal s. creatinine</td>
<td>294 (11.6)</td>
<td>13 (3.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td>Non-smoker</td>
<td>1587 (63.1)</td>
<td>219 (58.4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>Ex-smoker Missing</td>
<td>268 (10.7)</td>
<td>2390 (95.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous surgery in the area</td>
<td>607 (24.1)</td>
<td>12 (32.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgency of surgery</td>
<td>Elective/expedited Emergency</td>
<td>2216 (88.1) 299 (11.9)</td>
<td>321 (83.5) 62 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon in charge</td>
<td>Colorectal surgeon General surgeon</td>
<td>1798 (71.5) 717 (28.5)</td>
<td>313 (83.5) 62 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative approach</td>
<td>Laparoscopy Converted to open Open</td>
<td>1221 (48.5) 219 (8.7) 1075 (42.7)</td>
<td>177 (47.2) 42 (11.2) 156 (41.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of resection</td>
<td>Ileocecal resection Right hemicolectomy</td>
<td>125 (5.0) 2390 (95.0)</td>
<td>266 (70.9) 109 (29.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De-functioning stoma</td>
<td>78 (3.1)</td>
<td>46 (12.3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin closure technique</td>
<td>Suture Stapled Others</td>
<td>842 (33.5) 1450 (57.7) 223 (8.9)</td>
<td>196 (52.2) 145 (38.7) 34 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned Intra-operative events</td>
<td>230 (9.15)</td>
<td>56 (14.9)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of operation (minutes)</td>
<td>130 (65)</td>
<td>128 (59)</td>
<td>0.831</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients with Crohn’s disease were younger, with lower American Society of Anesthesiology score grade, less comorbidity, operated on by experienced surgeons and underwent less extensive surgery. Pre- and peri-operative patient characterization (*n*/%). Missing data were observed in the following variables: “Age”, “BMI”, “Smoking status”, “Haemoglobin” and “Duration of operation”.

1Median (IQR);

2Some patients may have more than one unplanned intra-operative event. IHD: Ischemic heart diseases; CRP: C-reactive protein; BMI: Body mass index; CD: Crohn’s disease; CC: Colon cancer; UIAEs: Unplanned intraoperative adverse events; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology score.

Supported by higher peak CRP when compared to patients with CC. Early surgical intervention after non-response to medical treatment as well as pre-operative optimization might therefore be beneficial in this group[20], especially when standard optimization schemes are implemented[20]. Patients with CD have higher risk for post-operative psychiatric morbidity[27] which might be a factor influencing LOS in this group of patients.

A similar paper was by Piessen et al[28] about prevalence of and risk factors for morbidity after left colectomy showed that disease entity can affect post-operative outcome for the same type of surgical intervention. A population-based study compared elective sigmoidectomy for diverticular disease with same intervention for cancer[29] showing different types of complications in the two groups. Our study on right side colectomy showed that same intervention can have different outcome according to underlying disease. This is important to plan pre-operative optimization in different diseases.

The strengths of this study include a prospective standardized approach, large geographical diversity (34 European countries, Argentina, Brazil, China, Japan and United States), and a large patient sample. The audit did not collect detailed data about nutritional status, disease severity in CD, pre-operative staging and neo-adjuvant chemo-radiotherapy in CC. These might influence the outcome and present a limitation. Another limitation might be reporting bias although it is unlikely given the method of data entry, where patient data is locked/saved pre- and peri-operatively, before any complications are registered. Comparison of LOS in patients with CD and CC might be tricky, as there are no standardized recovery pathways for either patient group in this study however, this study provides a valuable snapshot of reality.

Despite these limitations, this sub-group analysis of data from the ESCP snapshot audit can generate hypotheses and stimulate further studies. This study shows that
patients with CD, despite their young age and relatively good health have a tendency for longer LOS in hospital, which cannot be fully explained by any of the investigated variables. This indicates that more can be done to improve the post-operative outcome in patients with CD. Further studies are needed to shed a light on the complexities of CD surgery and to examine whether certain approaches, such as standardized pre-operative optimization schemes or earlier surgical intervention, can improve the post-operative outcome for patients with CD. The power of this study is to be a brick in the design of a post-operative recovery program for patients with CD who seemed to be slow in recovering compared to patients with CC.

In conclusion, patients with CD were younger, with lower ASA grade, less comorbidity, operated on by experienced surgeons and underwent less radical resection, but had a longer post-operative stay in hospital compared to patients with CC. More studies are needed to investigate this association.

Figure 1 Post-operative length of stay at hospital in patients with Crohn's disease compared to those with colon cancer. CD: Crohn's disease; CC: Colon cancer; LOS: Length of stay.
### Table 2  Risk factors of post-operative complications in multivariate analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indication</td>
<td>CC vs CD</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.54-1.17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male vs female</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.28-1.83</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.01-1.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>≤ 18.5 vs 18.6-25</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.87-2.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.1-30 vs 18.6-25</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.72-1.07</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 30 vs 18.6-25</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.72-1.07</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA grade</td>
<td>IV-V vs I-III</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.04-2.56</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD</td>
<td>IHD vs no IHD</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.94-1.46</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>History of diabetes vs no history of diabetes</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.84-1.32</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Ex-smoker vs never smoker</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.02-1.58</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current smoker vs never smoker</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.01-1.70</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.95-1.03</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating surgeon</td>
<td>General surgeon vs colorectal surgeon</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.91-1.34</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgency</td>
<td>Emergency vs elective/expedited</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.18-2.05</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De-functioning/primary stoma</td>
<td>De-functioning stoma vs no de-functioning stoma</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.52-2.45</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of operation</td>
<td>≥ 120 min vs &lt; 120 min</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.13-1.59</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative approach</td>
<td>Converted vs laparoscopy</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.72-1.32</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open vs laparoscopy</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.24-1.85</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned intraoperative adverse events</td>
<td>Any UIAES vs no UIAES</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.21-1.95</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.00-1.01</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous surgery</td>
<td>Any previous surgery vs no previous surgery</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.04-1.52</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resection type</td>
<td>Right hemicolectomy vs ileocecal resection</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.63-1.19</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin closure</td>
<td>Stapled vs suture</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.19-1.75</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Logistic regression. The model was adjusted for: Gender, age, BMI, ASA grade, ischemic heart disease, diabetes, smoking, haemoglobin, operating surgeon, urgency, defunctioning/primer stoma, duration of operation, operative approach, anastomosis type, unplanned intraoperative adverse events, CRP, previous surgery, resection type and skin closure.

*Significant outcomes. IHD: Ischemic heart diseases; CRP: C-reactive protein; BMI: Body mass index; CD: Crohn’s disease; CC: Colon cancer; UIAES: Unplanned intraoperative adverse events; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology score.

### Table 3  Risk factors affecting the post-operative length of stay at hospital in multivariate analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Estimates</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indication</td>
<td>CC vs CD</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.79; 0.95</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>male vs female</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.01; 1.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.00; 1.01</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>≤ 18.5 vs 18.6-25</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.10; 1.38</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.1-30 vs 18.6-25</td>
<td>0.98 0.94</td>
<td>0.92; 1.04 0.96; 1.12</td>
<td>0.54 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA grade</td>
<td>IV-V vs I-III</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.94; 1.16</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD</td>
<td>IHD vs no IHD</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.01; 1.13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>History of diabetes vs no history of diabetes</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.96; 1.08</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Ex-smoker vs never smoker</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.92; 1.04</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current smoker vs never smoker</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.98; 1.11</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98; 1.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating surgeon</td>
<td>General surgeon vs colorectal surgeon</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.05; 1.15</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgency</td>
<td>Emergency vs elective/expedited</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.99; 1.14</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De-functioning/primary stoma</td>
<td>De-functioning stoma vs no de-functioning stoma</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.21; 1.77</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of operation</td>
<td>≥ 120 vs &lt; 120</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.04; 1.13</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative approach</td>
<td>Converted vs laparoscopy</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.02; 1.18</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open vs laparoscopy</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.29; 1.42</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned intraoperative adverse events</td>
<td>Any UIAES vs no UIAES</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.02; 1.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00; 1.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous surgery</td>
<td>Any previous surgery vs no previous surgery</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.98; 1.08</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resection type</td>
<td>Right hemicolectomy vs ileocecal resection</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.96; 1.11</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Logistic regression. The model was adjusted for: Gender, age, BMI, ASA grade, ischemic heart disease, diabetes, smoking, haemoglobin, operating surgeon, urgency, defunctioning/primer stoma, duration of operation, operative approach, anastomosis type, unplanned intraoperative adverse events, CRP, previous surgery, resection type and skin closure.

*Significant outcomes. IHD: Ischemic heart diseases; CRP: C-reactive protein; BMI: Body mass index; CD: Crohn’s disease; CC: Colon cancer; UIAES: Unplanned intraoperative adverse events; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology score.
Skin closure: The outcome is log-transformed. The model is adjusted for: Gender, age, BMI, ASA grade, ischemic heart disease, diabetes, smoking, haemoglobin, operating surgeon, urgency, defunctioning/primary stoma, duration of operation, operative approach, anastomosis type, unplanned intraoperative adverse events, CRP, previous surgery, resection type and skin closure.

*Significant outcomes. IHD: Ischemic heart diseases; CRP: C-reactive protein; BMI: Body mass index; CD: Crohn’s disease; CC: Colon cancer; UIAEs: Unplanned intraoperative adverse events; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology score.

Figure 2 Post-operative length of stay at hospital in patients with Crohn’s disease compared to those with colon cancer showing length of stay in patients with and without post-operative complications. CD: Crohn’s disease; CC: Colon cancer; LOS: Length of stay.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background
Right hemicolectomy or ileocolic resection are used to treat benign conditions like Crohn’s disease (CD) and malignant ones like colon cancer (CC).

Research motivation
There is a need for a large prospective study investigating postoperative outcome in patients with CC and CD to identify areas warranting further research like allocation of resources, preoperative optimization and surgical techniques in the two patient groups respectively.

Research objectives
The objective of this study is to investigate differences in pre- and peri-operative factors and their impact on postoperative outcome in patients with CC and CD.

Research methods
This is a sub-group analysis of the European Society of Coloproctology’s prospective, multi-centre snapshot audit. Adult patients with CC and CD undergoing right hemicolectomy or ileocolic resection were included. Primary outcome measure was 30-d postoperative complications. Secondary outcome measures were postoperative length of stay at and readmission.

Research results
375 patients with CD and 2,515 patients with CC were included. Patients with CD were younger, with a median of 37 years for CD and 71 years for CC (P < 0.01), had lower ASA grade (P < 0.01) and less comorbidity (P < 0.01), but were more likely to be current smokers (P < 0.01). Patients with CD were more frequently operated on by colorectal surgeons (P < 0.01) and frequently underwent ileocolic resection (P < 0.01) with higher rate of de-functioning/primary stoma construction (P < 0.01). Thirty-day postoperative mortality occurred exclusively in the CC group (66/2515, 2.3%). In multivariate analyses, the risk of postoperative complications was similar in the two groups (OR 0.80, 95%CI: 0.54-1.17; P = 0.25). Patients with CD had a significantly longer length of stay (Geometric mean 0.87, 95%CI: 0.79-0.95; P = 0.01). There was no difference in re-admission rates.

Research conclusions
Patients with CD were younger, with lower American Society of Anesthesiology score grade, less comorbidity, operated on by experienced surgeons and underwent less extensive surgery but had a longer length of stay than patients with CC although complications’ rate was not different between the two groups.

Research perspectives
This study is hypothesis-generating study. It will stimulate further researches to explore the factors that affect the length of postoperative stay in the hospital.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The list of the full authors and their contributions are listed in the supplementary file.

REFERENCES

24. 2015 European Society of Coloproctology collaborating group. Risk factors for unfavourable postoperative outcome in patients with Crohn's disease undergoing right hemicolectomy or ileocaecal
resection An international audit by ESCP and S-ECCO. *Colorectal Dis* 2017 [PMID: 28913968 DOI: 10.1111/codi.13889]


