Seasonal influenza vaccines induced high levels of neutralizing cross-reactive antibody responses against different genetic group influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses
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Abstract

Influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses have been circulating throughout the world since the 2009 pandemic. A/California/07/2009 (H1N1) virus was included in seasonal influenza vaccines for seven years altogether, providing a great opportunity to analyse vaccine-induced immunity in relation to the postpandemic evolution of the A(H1N1)pdm09 virus. Serum antibodies against various epidemic strains of influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses were measured among health care workers (HCWs) by haemagglutination inhibition and microneutralization tests before and after 2010 and 2012 seasonal influenza vaccinations. We detected high responses of vaccine-induced neutralizing antibodies to six distinct genetic groups. Our results indicate antigenic similarity between vaccine and circulating A(H1N1)pdm09 strains, and substantial vaccine-induced immunity against circulating epidemic viruses.

1. Introduction

Since the 2009 pandemic, influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses have been circulating throughout the world, evolving continuously [1,2]. To date, eight genetic groups and several subgroups have been identified in A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses [3]. Analyses using panels of post-infection ferret antisera showed A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses to be antigenically similar for relatively long time periods. Therefore, A/California/7/2009 vaccine virus was recommended as the seasonal influenza vaccine A(H1N1) strain for the Northern hemisphere until the epidemic season 2016–2017 [4].

The haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test is the most commonly used method for measuring antibody levels against influenza viruses, while the microneutralization (MN) test, which is also widely employed, has certain advantages over the HI test [5]. Measurable anti-influenza antibodies detected by the HI test have been shown to correlate well with protective immunity [6]. In general, HI and MN antibody titres correlate [7], although the MN assays appear to have a greater sensitivity [8–10]. Good correlation has been shown between the results of different MN assays [11], yet the ELISA-based neutralization assays tend to show lower variation [12].

There has been a great deal of research on the immunogenicity of the A(H1N1)pdm09 vaccine virus, which has mostly concentrated on analysing immune responses against the vaccine virus itself [13–24]. However, limited amount of data is available on vaccine-induced neutralizing antibody responses towards a wider range of wild type epidemic A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses. For the present study we collected sera from health care workers (HCWs) before and after influenza vaccinations in 2010 and 2012. In the present study we analysed vaccine-induced humoral immune responses by HI and MN tests against viruses belonging to six distinct genetic groups. Our results indicate antigenic similarity between vaccine and circulating A(H1N1)pdm09 strains, and substantial vaccine-induced immunity against circulating epidemic viruses.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Vaccines

The seasonal influenza vaccines administered in this study were Fluarix™ (GlaxoSmithKlein, lot number AFLUA523AA) in 2010 and...
Vaxigrip® (Sanofi Pasteur MSD, lot numbers J8389-2 and J8395-2) in 2012. Both vaccines were non-adjuvanted and contained the three WHO-recommended influenza virus strains. The participants were vaccinated in a national vaccination campaign. Different vaccines were used in different years due to a government funded National Vaccination Programme that provided seasonal influenza vaccines free to health care workers, young children, elderly and medical risk groups according to national vaccination policy. Vaccines were administered intramuscularly (deltoid muscle) and one vaccine dose was given to each person. Serum samples were collected prior to vaccination on day 0, and the postvaccination serum specimens were collected on day 21.

2.2. Participants

Clinically healthy HCWs were recruited on a voluntary basis from the staff of the Department of Medicine at Helsinki University Hospital and the Virology Unit at the National Institute for Health and Welfare. Eight men and 42 women, median age 47 years (range: 19–64), were involved in the Fluarix™ vaccination trial in 2010. Two years later, at the time of the Vaxigrip® vaccination in 2012, eight men and 42 women, median age 46 years (range: 24–65), participated in the study. 23 of the participants were included in both vaccination studies. All participants gave their informed written consent before enrolment in the study.

2.3. Viruses

Representative viruses from six genetic groups of influenza A (H1N1)pdm09 viruses that circulated in Finland during 2009–2013 [25,26] were selected for serological analyses: A/Finnland/554/2009 (group 1), A/Finnland/24/2010 (group 3), A/Finnland/124/2011 (group 4), A/Finnland/153/2011 (group 5), A/Finnland/148/2011 (group 7), A/Finnland/142/2011 (group 6A), A/Finnland/300/2012 (group 7), A/Finnland/308/2013 (group 6C). Additionally, the A/California/07/2009 (group 1) vaccine virus was included in the analyses. The clinical samples for isolation of the viruses included in this study have been collected for routine viral diagnostic purposes. Based on national laws, ethical permission was not required for specific microbiological diagnostics and further characterisation of detected viruses. All viruses were propagated in MDCK cells and stored in aliquots at −70°C.

2.4. Phylogenetic and structural analyses of the HA molecule

The phylogenetic analysis of the HA gene for selected Finnish viruses and reference strains was performed as described [25]. Mega (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis) software version 5 [27] was used in amino acid sequence comparison and the construction of the phylogenetic tree. The Neighbour-joining method [28] with the maximum composite likelihood model [29] was used to generate the phylogenetic tree. Bootstrapting was performed with 1000 replicates [30]. Reference virus sequences for the phylogenetic tree were obtained from GISAID EpiFlu™ Database.

The three-dimensional structure of the HA molecule of the influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 virus, A/California/04/2009 (RCSB Protein Bank accession number 3ZLG) was used to locate amino acid differences between the epidemic Finnish A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses (seasons 2009–2010, 2010–2011 and 2012–2013) and the A/California/07/2009 vaccine virus. The molecular models were constructed using RasMol Molecular Graphics software version 2.7.3 [31]. Amino acid residues in the HA molecule were numbered without the signal peptide sequence.

2.5. Serologic assays

Antibody levels were measured in serum specimens collected before the vaccination (day 0) and 21 days after the vaccination with seasonal influenza vaccines Fluarix (2010) or Vaxigrip (2012). Serum specimens were assayed by the haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test against the virus strains described above. The HI tests were performed according to WHO guidelines [32] using turkey erythrocytes (0.5%/vol). For statistical analyses, serum specimens with HI titers <10 were assigned a titer value of 5.

Microneutralization (MN) tests were performed with the virus strains described above (excluding A/Finnland/554/2009 due to low amounts of serum specimens). The MN test was done according to the WHO guidelines [32]. We optimized the MN tests for the particular viruses and antibodies used in this study.

In the MN test two-fold serial dilutions of heat inactivated sera were performed in 50 μl volumes of the diluent (OptiPro™ SFM, Gibco, supplemented with 0.2% non-essential amino acids and Penicillin-Streptomycin) in 96-well tissue culture plates. Serum dilutions were mixed with diluent containing 100 TCID50 of different influenza viruses. Control wells with the virus and the diluent (VC), the diluent alone (CC) and an internal positive control were included in each plate. After incubation for 1 h at 37 °C with 5% CO2, 2.5 × 105 MDCK cells were added to each well for an 18–20 h incubation at 37 °C with 5% CO2. Wells were fixed within cold 80% acetone for 10 min.

The presence of influenza antigens in fixed cells was detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The fixed plates were washed with washing buffer (PBS, 0.05% Tween 20). An in-house rabbit antibody against the whole virus (A/Califor-nia/07/2009 whole virion vaccine antigen, GSK) [26] was used as the primary antibody. The antibody was diluted 1:4000 in PBS containing 5% milk. 80 μl of antibody dilution was added and incubated at room temperature for 1 h followed by washing with washing buffer (see above). Subsequently, 80 μl of 1:2000 dilution of polyclonal horseradish peroxidase-labeled goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins (Dako, Denmark) was added to each well and incubated at room temperature for 1 h followed by washing as above. Freshly prepared substrate o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (100 μl) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was added into each well and incubated at room temperature. The reaction was stopped after 20 min with 100 μl 1 M sulfuric acid. Absorbances were measured at 492 nm and 620 nm. The neutralizing endpoint was determined as previously described [32]. For statistical analyses, serum specimens with MN titers < 10 and greater than 1280 were assigned a titer value of 5 and 2560, respectively.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Geometric mean titers (GMT) with 95% confidence intervals and seroprotection rates (HI titer ≥ 1:40; MN titer ≥ 1:160) for each virus were calculated. Statistical differences between the groups were calculated using Student’s t-test (paired, two-tailed) and the statistically significance level of difference was set to p < 0.01.

HI and MN assays were correlated and compared with Pearson and t-tests. Log-transformed titers were performed by linear regression analysis using Microsoft Excel 2010 software.

3. Results

The epidemic A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses used in this study were collected between the years 2009 and 2013 from sentinel sites of influenza surveillance network (garrisons and health care centres for general public) and non-sentinel sites (clinical microbiology laboratories) situating in different geographic regions in Finland.
The studied strains clustered in six genetic groups (Fig. 1). The number of amino acid substitutions increased during the evolution of the HA gene (Table 1) and the changes occurring on the surface of the HA molecule compared to the A/California/07/2009 virus (vaccine virus) are illustrated in Fig. 2.

Using vaccine virus A/California/07/2009 as a reference strain, we found that the antibody titers of the HI and MN assays correlated strongly positively \((n = 190, r = 0.6287, R^2 = 0.8215, p < 0.0001)\). A HI titer of 40 was considered to be equivalent to a MN titer of 160 (Fig. 3).

Geometric mean titers (GMTs) obtained with the MN test were typically higher than those seen in the HI test (Table 2). Pre-existing A(H1N1)pdm09 antibody titres did not differ statistically significantly between the groups of 2010 and 2012. Three weeks after Fluarix and Vaxigrip vaccinations, GMTs for both assays and for all studied viruses increased significantly \(p < 0.01 – p < 0.0001\), respectively.

Vaccine-induced anti-H1N1pdm09 antibody GMTs were somewhat higher after administration of the Fluarix vaccine compared to that seen in response to Vaxigrip vaccination (Table 2). As analysed by the HI test Fluarix vaccine induced antibody responses between the prevaccine and postvaccine serum specimens increased 3.2 to 5.4-fold depending on the virus strain. In the Vaxigrip group the corresponding increases were 2.0 to 2.5-fold for different viruses (Fig. 2a). The GMTs for Fluarix and Vaxigrip vaccine induced antibody rises measured by the MN test ranged from 4.9 to 7.4 and from 2.4 to 2.8, respectively (Fig. 2b). It is noteworthy that the basal levels (day 0) of anti-H1N1pdm09 antibodies were somewhat higher in the Vaxigrip vaccine group and thus the fold increase remained at a lower level as compared to that seen in the Fluarix group. However, in all cases the postvaccination (day 21) GMTs were higher in the Fluarix group as compared to the Vaxigrip group (Fig. 2a and b).

Next we analyzed the rate of seroprotection i.e. the percentage of individuals showing \(\geq 1:40\) titer in the HI test and \(\geq 1:160\) titer in the MN test, before and after influenza vaccination. Seroprotection rates (SRs) as measured by HI and MN tests,

![Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree of the haemagglutinin (HA) sequences of influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses. The strains were identified in Finland during 2009–2013; all sequences were 1698 nucleotides long. The tree was constructed by the neighbour-joining method with Mega software version 5.1. The viruses used in serological tests are highlighted in bold type. Reference strains are included for comparison. The horizontal lines are proportional to the number of nucleotide changes. Different genetic groups are marked as numbers.](https://example.com/fig1.png)

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus strain</th>
<th>Genetic group</th>
<th>Number of changes</th>
<th>Antigenic sites</th>
<th>Other sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A/California/07/2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sa, Sb</td>
<td>S203T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/554/2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>P385, I321V, S451N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/24/2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>P385, S131T, S183P, I321V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/124/2011</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N125D</td>
<td>S203T, P385, S451V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/153/2011</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>S203T, P385, D97N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/148/2011</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>S203T, P385, D97N, I216V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/142/2011</td>
<td>6A</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>S185T</td>
<td>S203T, P385, A197T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/300/2012</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>K160N</td>
<td>S203T, P385, I216M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Potential glycosylation site NLS (160-162).
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were almost equally high in both vaccine groups and both vaccines induced SRs that were well over 70% that is considered as the rate for an efficient vaccination. However, somewhat higher SR values were obtained by the MN test (Fig. 4). Pre-existing SR values were higher in 2012 compared to those seen in the 2010 vaccine group. SRs before 2010 and 2012 vaccinations varied 32.7–49.0% and 30.0–64.0% in the HI test and 34.0–52.0% and 44.0–56.0% in the MN test, respectively. Both vaccinations induced very high SRs. HI SRs ranged from 75.5–89.8% to 74.0–84.0% and MN SRs ranged from 82.0–94.0% to 78.0–86.0% for different virus strains after Fluarix 2010 and Vaxigrip 2012 vaccinations, respectively.

Correlation coefficients (r) of antibody levels between A/California/07/2009 vaccine virus and epidemic A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses are shown in Table 3. Significant correlation (p < 0.0001) between all virus strains was seen. There was, logically, a tendency for the correlation coefficient to be higher between the viruses that were genetically and structurally more closely related with the vaccine virus and each other. Correlation of A/California/07/2009 and selected epidemic strain-specific antibody responses are shown (Fig. 5).

Fig. 2. Schematic representation of amino acid differences in the HA molecule between the Finnish influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses and the vaccine virus, A/California/07/2009. On the upper left, a side view of the monomeric structure of HA molecule of influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 (A/California/04/2009; RCSB Protein Bank accession number 3L2G) with previously identified H1 protein-specific antigenic sites (Sa in red, Sb in blue, Ca1 in darker green, Ca2 in lighter green and Cb in orange) of influenza A(H1N1) viruses and with the receptor binding pocket (RBP, purple) is shown. The amino acid changes in Finnish A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses compared to A/California/07/2009, the vaccine strain, are illustrated in the monomeric HA structure and coloured as in the A/California/07/2009 structure. Amino acid changes outside the antigenic sites are shown in yellow. Changes are illustrated by amino acid residue numbers and with a serial number of the virus where the respective amino acid change has been observed. * potential glycosylation site NLS (160–162). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fig. 3. Correlation of the antibody titres to A/California/07/2009 measured by haemagglutination inhibition (HI) and microneutralization (MN) tests. Log-transformed HI and MN titres are presented, trend line and coefficient of determination calculated by linear regression analysis. Each dot may include the value from several serum specimens. Total number of serum specimens is 190.
Vaccine-induced humoral immune responses against different influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 viral strains measured by haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test.

Table 2a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geometric mean titer [95% CI]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0 (n = 49)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 21 (n = 46–49)</td>
<td>162.4***</td>
<td>[110.2–230.5]</td>
<td>116.6***</td>
<td>[79.2–171.6]</td>
<td>74.5***</td>
<td>[55.7–99.8]</td>
<td>81.1***</td>
<td>[59.9–109.9]</td>
<td>81.5***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fold increase</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaxigrip vaccine 2012†</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>[25.9–49.5]</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>[20.0–38.9]</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>[17.1–30.9]</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>[17.9–32.9]</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 21 (n = 50)</td>
<td>81.1**</td>
<td>[60.0–109.7]</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>[48.9–88.8]</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>[41.6–70.8]</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>[41.1–73.2]</td>
<td>41.3**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fold increase</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The significance of differences (increase) between day 0 and day 21 geometric mean titres *p < 0.01 **p < 0.001 ***p < 0.0001.

* One dose of non-adjuvanted trivalent seasonal influenza vaccine Fluarix produced by GSK Biologicals was given in 2010.
† One dose of non-adjuvanted trivalent seasonal influenza vaccine Vaxigrip produced by Sanofi Pasteur was given in 2012.

4. Discussion

The first influenza pandemic of the 21st century was caused by a novel reassortant H1N1 swine influenza virus with gene segments originating from avian, human and swine viruses [33]. Since the 2009 pandemic, influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 strains have continued to circulate in humans causing seasonal influenza epidemics. A (H1N1)pdm09 viruses have also succeeded in crossing the species barrier, and novel reassortant viruses with internal genes of A (H1N1)pdm09 viruses have also succeeded in crossing the species barrier, and novel reassortant viruses with internal genes of A (H1N1)pdm09 found in pigs may pose a threat to public health [34].

Antigenic sites of the HA molecule in H1N1 viruses have been identified which include the epitopes Sa, Sb, Ca1, Ca2 and Cb on the surface of the globular head of the molecule (Fig. 2) [35,36]. During the evolution, A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses have carried more HA point mutations in the stem region than in antigenic sites [1]. A substitution E224A and others located in or in the vicinity of amino acid 151–159 surface loop have caused antigenic changes that can be detected in HI assays [37]. Reduced HI titres were also reported with amino acid changes N125D and N156K in the Sa epitope [26]. The yearly mutation rate at amino acid level has been estimated to be approximately 1.5% for the HA1 and 1.1% for the NA molecule [25].

The A(H1N1) viral component (A/California/07/2009) of seasonal influenza vaccines remained the same for several years, from 2010–2011 to 2016–2017, which enabled us to compare the immunogenicity of different vaccine preparations as well as to analyse the cross-reactivity of seasonal influenza vaccine-induced immunity against a wide variety of epidemic A(H1N1)pdm09 virus strains. We also compared the performance of the traditional HI assay and optimized the ELISA-based MN test analysing vaccine-induced responses. In general, the levels of anti-influenza antibodies detected by the HI and MN assays correlated very well with each other, strongly suggesting that both assays measure similar types of anti-influenza antibodies. In other studies a good correlation has been shown between HI and MN assays, and also high sensitivity and specificity of MN for A/California/07/2009 vaccine virus induced antibody responses [38].

In accordance with previous observations [5], we found that MN titres tend to be higher than those obtained in the HI test. The HI titre of 40 corresponded to a MN titre of 160. However, the data on the protective value of MN antibody titres against influenza various considerably depending on the method and viruses used in the assays [8,9,39].

The GMTs elicited by the 2010 Fluarix vaccination appeared to be higher than those seen after the 2012 Vaxigrip vaccination. The comparison of vaccine-induced antibody levels is difficult, since the vaccines were given in separate clinical trials. Moreover, the immune status of the vaccinees differed to some extent when the vaccines were administered in 2010 and 2012. Most of the par-
Fig. 4. Seroprotection rates determined by (a) hemagglutination inhibition test (HI) and (b) microneutralization test (MN) before and after vaccination of 50 health care workers with trivalent influenza vaccine Fluarix in 2010 or Vaxigrip in 2012. The seroprotection rate was defined as the percentage of participants with a titre of $\geq 40$ and $\geq 160$ for HI and MN tests, respectively. The striped columns indicate seroprotection rates before vaccination (day 0) and solid grey columns indicate seroprotection rates at three weeks after vaccination (day 21). nd: not done (due to reduced amount of sera).

Table 3

Correlation coefficients of the antibody titres between different A(H1N1)pdm09 virus isolates used in (a) haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test and (b) microneutralization (MN) test. The Pearson correlation coefficients ($r$) were calculated and all values were highly significant ($p < 0.0001$). nd: not done.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic group</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6A</th>
<th>6C</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virus strain</td>
<td>554/09</td>
<td>24/10</td>
<td>124/11</td>
<td>153/11</td>
<td>142/11</td>
<td>308/13</td>
<td>148/11</td>
<td>300/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/California/7/2009</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/554/2009</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/24/2010</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/124/2011</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/153/2011</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/142/2011</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/308/2013</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/148/2011</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/300/2012</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Participants in the Fluvarix trial in 2010 had been given the vaccine Pandemrix only one year before the seasonal vaccination. Previous Pandemrix vaccination has been shown to strongly boost antibody responses against A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses [40].

A total of 23 volunteers were the same in 2010 and 2012 trials, thus their immunization history was not similar during the two time periods: in 2012 most of the volunteers had an additional history of receiving vaccinations in 2010 and 2011. Indeed, albeit not statistically significant, we saw a tendency of somewhat higher pre-existing anti-H1N1pdm09 antibody levels and higher seroprotection rates in 2012 than in 2010. This may be due, not only to a history of sequential vaccinations among the study population, but also...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic group</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6A</th>
<th>6C</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virus strain</td>
<td>554/09</td>
<td>24/10</td>
<td>124/11</td>
<td>151/11</td>
<td>142/11</td>
<td>308/13</td>
<td>148/11</td>
<td>300/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/California/7/2009</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/554/2009</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/24/2010</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/124/2011</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/153/2011</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/142/2011</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/308/2013</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/148/2011</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Finland/300/2012</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Correlation of A/California/07/2009 and selected epidemic strain-specific antibody responses. Each dot may include the value from several serum specimens; their number totals 190–200 in each panel.
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in some cases, also to natural infections caused by A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses. Three weeks after vaccination with either one of the vaccines the GMT values increased significantly against all studied viruses. No significant differences between different virus-specific responses were found. Both seasonal influenza virus vaccines induced adequately high antibody levels that showed very good cross-reactivity against all analysed circulating wild type A(H1N1)pdm09 virus from different genetic groups. Accordingly, repeated annual vaccinations have been shown to be beneficial to HCWs promoting antibody avidity maturation against A/California/07/2009-like vaccine virus. As measured by the MN test, correlation coefficients of the antibody titres between the A/California/07/2009 vaccine virus and epidemic A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses were somewhat higher as compared to the results obtained by the HI test. As the MN assay provides the detection of a wider range of antibodies than the HI test, the MN test is likely more sensitive in identifying antigenic similarities or differences between the viruses. Although MN test is more laborious to conduct than the HI test, the MN test offers greater specificity, sensitivity and probably also better correlation to protection. Therefore, it should be favored in analyses of vaccine (and infection)-induced anti-influenza immunity. Presently, the HI assay fails to efficiently characterize A(H3N2) viruses due to either the variable agglutination of red blood cells from guinea pig, turkey and humans or the loss of the ability of viruses to agglutinate any of these cells. The MN test may also be a more reliable method than the HI test in analysing vaccine- or natural infection-induced immunity against influenza viruses of various types, including the recently emerged H3N2 viruses. Within a potential N-linked glycosylation site (160–162), the substitution K160N located in the antigenic site Sa of the A/Finnland/300/2012 strain did not change the antigenic profile compared to the A/California/07/2009 vaccine virus. Albeit we saw only limited antigenic variation in the responses against different genetic group viruses there was a tendency for the correlation coefficient to be higher between the viruses that were genetically and structurally more closely related to the vaccine virus and to each other.

In Finland, influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses predominated during the influenza seasons 2009–2010 [42], 2012–2013, 2013–2014 and 2015–2016 [43–45]. Our results indicate that the A/California/07/2009 vaccine virus induced antibodies with high cross-reactive potential, which likely provided good cross-protection against epidemic A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses during the influenza seasons 2010–2011 and 2012–2013. We have also shown that the A/California/07/2009 vaccine virus induced high seroprotection rates against a Finnish epidemic genetic group 6B virus that was isolated in 2014 [46].

During the influenza season 2015–2016 two sub-clades within the 6B clade emerged: 6B.1 and 6B.2. HI and virus neutralization assays using ferret antisera indicated that almost all the A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses were antigenically similar and closely related to the vaccine virus A/California/07/2009 [4]. The A/California/07/2009 vaccine strain was changed to A/Michigan/45/2015 and A/Brisbane/02/2018 strains for the 2017 southern and 2019–2020 northern hemisphere vaccines, respectively [47,48]. Both changes were based on immunogenicity analyses in humans rather than experimental data obtained in ferrets. HI assays with ferret antisera indicated that almost all recent A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses were antigenically indistinguishable from the vaccine virus yet some of the epidemic viruses were poorly inhibited by some post-vaccination human sera.

Our study has certain limitations. As the number of HCWs participating was no larger than 50 and all age groups were not covered, the results do not necessarily apply to children or elderly individuals. Vaccine effectiveness in general remains lower among the elderly than among children and adults [49]. The results obtained in the two vaccination trials are not comparable as such, since the vaccines were not administered during the same year and the immune history of the vaccinees were likely quite different. Indeed, it is desirable that future studies would not only explore responses to the vaccine strains but would also compare different (seasonal) influenza vaccines for their immunogenicity and protective efficacy in the same clinical trial. However, it should be pointed out that both vaccines induced strong homologous and heterologous antibody responses against multiple A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses with very high theoretical seroprotection rates.

In the present study we optimized and used an MN assay together with a traditional HI assay to investigate antibody levels after seasonal influenza virus vaccinations. We demonstrated high rates of neutralizing antibodies against different circulating genetic groups of influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses three weeks after seasonal influenza vaccinations. The results suggest strong vaccine-induced protection against circulating A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses isolated in Finland between the years 2009 and 2013. In addition to protecting the staff themselves against influenza, vaccination of HCWs fulfills an important task of preventing the spread of influenza among the vulnerable patient groups.
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