Oversized ubiquinones as molecular probes for structural dynamics of the ubiquinone reaction site in mitochondrial respiratory complex I
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ABSTRACT

NADH-quinone oxidoreductase (complex I) couples electron transfer from NADH to quinone with proton translocation across the membrane. Quinone reduction is a key step for energy transmission from the site of quinone reduction to the remotely located proton-pumping machinery of the enzyme. Although structural biology studies have proposed the existence of a long and narrow quinone-access channel, the physiological relevance of this channel remains debatable. We investigated here whether complex I in bovine heart submitochondrial particles (SMPs) can catalytically reduce a series of oversized ubiquinones (OS-UQs), which are highly unlikely to transit the narrow channel because their side chain includes a bulky “block” that is ~13 Å across. We found that some OS-UQs function as efficient electron acceptors from complex I, accepting electrons with an efficiency comparable to ubiquinone-2. The catalytic reduction and proton translocation coupled with this reduction were completely inhibited by different quinone-site inhibitors, indicating that the reduction of OS-UQs takes place at the physiological reaction site for ubiquinone. Notably, the proton-translocating efficiencies of OS-UQs significantly varied depending on their side-chain structures, suggesting that the reaction characteristics of OS-UQs affect the predicted structural changes of the quinone reaction site required for triggering proton translocation. These results are difficult to reconcile with the current channel model; rather, the access path for ubiquinone may be open to allow OS-UQs to access the reaction site. Nevertheless, contrary to the observations in SMPs,
OS-UQs were not catalytically reduced by isolated complex I reconstituted into liposomes. We discuss possible reasons for these contradictory results.

INTRODUCTION

Proton-translocating NADH-quinone oxidoreductase (complex I), which is the largest of the respiratory chain enzymes, couples electron transfer from NADH to quinone with the translocation of protons across the membrane. Energy-consuming reactions, such as ATP synthesis via oxidative phosphorylation and substrate transport, are driven by the electrochemical proton gradient produced by the enzyme (1–4). Although complex I has long been a “black box”, the recent rapid advances in X-ray crystallographic and single-particle cryo-electron microscopic studies (5–12) along with computational simulations (13–15) provided invaluable knowledge of the structure and functions of the enzyme. These outcomes have led to the consensus that structural and electrostatic rearrangements induced by quinone reduction, which takes place at the interface between the hydrophilic and membrane domains, transmit to the membrane domain through the link continuing over the domain as the central axis of potentially ionized or protonated residues to trigger proton translocation (four protons for each oxidation of NADH). Thus, the quinone reduction is a key part in the energy conversion processes; however, the mechanism responsible remains elusive.

Based on structural biology studies (5–12), it is considered that ubiquinones (UQs) of varying isoprenyl chain length (UQ1–UQ10) enter and transit the quinone-access channel to be reduced at the “top” of the channel. This channel extends from the membrane interior to the Fe-S cluster N2 (~30 Å long) and is a completely enclosed tunnel with a narrow entry point (~3 × 5 Å diameter) that is framed by transmembrane helix 1 (TMH1), TMH6, and amphipathic α-helix1 from the ND1 subunit (in the bovine enzyme) and TMH1 from the ND3 subunit. Since the planar UQ ring is wider (~6 Å across) than the diameter of the entry point, the channel has been postulated to undergo structural rearrangement to allow UQs to move into and out of the channel (5, 11). The so-called quinone-site inhibitors such as piericidin A and rotenone are supposed to enter the channel’s interior and block UQ’s path (5, 6, 16). This proposal was originally derived from the electron density attributed to bound piericidin A, which was observed to overlay the electron density attributed to bound n-decyl benzoquinone (DB) in crystallographic maps from Thermus thermophilus complex I (5). However, it should be noted that these scenarios for the binding of UQs or inhibitors have yet to be confirmed experimentally.

To characterize structural features of the binding pocket for UQ or quinone-site inhibitors, we previously performed two varieties of chemical biology experiments using bovine heart submitochondrial particles (SMPs) (17). First, we synthesized unique UQs that are oversized (SF-UQs) or lipid-like (PC-UQs) (e.g. SF-UQ6 and PC-UQ4 in Figure S1), which are unlikely to enter and transit the long narrow channel due to extensive physical restrictions. We examined whether complex I can catalyze the reduction of these quinones. As a result, SF-UQs and PC-UQs were catalytically reduced at the physiological reaction site for UQ, albeit at low rates of reaction. We note that a bulky tritylQ10 (Figure S1), which is a neutral analog of MitoQ10 and is also unlikely to transit the channel, can be reduced by complex I in bovine heart mitochondria at a slower rate than that of UQ4 (18). Second, photoaffinity labeling experiments using four amiloride-type inhibitors
showed that they bind to the interfacial domain of multiple core subunits (49 kDa, ND1, and PSST) and the 39-kDa supernumerary subunit (17), although the latter does not make up the channel cavity in the current channel models. The binding of these amilorides to the multiple subunits was significantly suppressed by excess short-chain UQs or different types of inhibitors. Based on comprehensive interpretations of these results and previous findings (19–22), we questioned whether the current quinone/inhibitor-access channel models fully reflect physiologically relevant states.

The previous findings above provided new insights into the binding site for UQ or quinone-site inhibitors in complex I. However, to further advance our understanding of the coupling mechanism of the UQ reduction and proton translocation, there are some significant points to be improved to promote the use of these oversized UQs as quinone substrates (17). Taking the example of SF-UQ6 (the bulkiest substrate among the oversized UQs), the electron transfer activity of this quinone in SMPs was very poor (being comparable to UQ4) because of its extremely low solubility in water, thereby preventing homogenous dissolving in the assay medium and, consequently, sufficient partitioning into SMPs (18, 23, 24). The low solubility of hydrophobic UQs in water is an experimental drawback in this type of assay (18, 25). For this reason, UQ1 and UQ2, but not UQ3 and UQ4, have been widely used as quinone substrates of various respiratory enzymes in in vitro assays. To further improve the reliability of analyzing the electron transfer activities of oversized UQs, their activities need to be increased by enhancing solubility in water as far as SMPs are used, while maintaining satisfactory bulkiness of the side chain. Alternatively, we should make use of another appropriate assay system that can evaluate the electron transfer activity of extremely hydrophobic UQs, as described hereafter in this manuscript.

Regarding the molecular solubility in water, we introduced a weakly acidic phenol part (pKa ≃ ~8.0, Figure S1) into the side chain of SF-UQ6 (also other oversized UQs) as the “block” to enhance its solubility in water as much as possible. This substructure has an amphiphilic character (being soluble both in water and hydrophobic medium) because a negative charge in the anionic form is delocalized over the long electron-conjugation system. However, SF-UQ6 functioned unexpectedly as a protonophoric uncoupler in the lipid membrane of SMPs in spite of its large molecular size relative to ordinary protonophores such as FCCP and SF6847 (17). The uncoupling activity hampered the measurement of membrane potential formed by proton translocation coupled with the SF-UQ6 reduction; therefore, we were unable to examine whether the proton translocating efficiency of this extremely bulky quinone is identical to that of natural-type UQs such as UQ1 and UQ2. This assessment is necessary to elucidate how the UQ reduction is connected to the structural changes of its binding pocket, which is predicted to trigger proton translocation.

To improve the function of oversized UQs as quinone substrates, we synthesized a series of new oversized UQs (OS-UQ1–OS-UQ8, Figure 1) through extensive modification of the block’s structure by trial and error. Design concepts for OS-UQ1–OS-UQ5 and OS-UQ6–OS-UQ8 are different due to varying experimental purposes, and they are described at the beginning and in the latter part of Results, respectively. Any acid-dissociative substructure, which has a pKa value in a physiological pH range, was excluded as a candidate of the block for the reason mentioned above. We investigated the electron transfer activities of these OS-UQs and the proton
translocating efficiencies coupled with their reduction not only in bovine heart SMPs but also in complex I-reconstituted proteoliposomes (PLs), which is an excellent assay system for measuring the electron transfer activity of extremely hydrophobic UQs such as UQ8 and UQ10 (16, 25).

The results obtained in SMPs not only strongly corroborated our previous proposition (the access path for UQ may be “open” to allow oversized UQs to access the reaction site (17)) but also revealed that the proton translocating efficiencies coupled with reduction of OS-UQs significantly varies depending on their reaction manners reflecting different side chain structures. Nevertheless, in contrast to the results obtained in SMPs, OS-UQs were not catalytically reduced by isolated complex I reconstituted into liposomes. We will discuss possible causes of the contradictory results.

RESULTS

Design and syntheses of new oversized UQs

We synthesized a series of new oversized UQs (OS-UQ1−OS-UQ8, Figure 1) by the procedures described in Supporting Information. In these UQs, 1-methoxy-2,6-di(3-methoxy-3-methyl-1-butynyl)benzene was attached to a terminal of the side chain as the block to prevent the quinone ring from accessing the reaction site located deep in the channel. The diameter of this rigid block (~4 × 13 Å) is much wider than the diameter of the channel of bovine complex I (the entry point is ~3 × 5 Å, ref. 7). To produce oversized UQs that have both satisfactory bulkiness and efficient electron transfer activity, we synthesized numerous UQs and, consequently, chose the block through trial-and-error tests in SMPs. On considering the current channel model (~30 Å long), the whole molecule of these OS-UQs needs to transit to the top of the channel to be reduced. Then, even though the quinone head-ring of OS-UQs enters the channel entrance, the ring is highly unlikely to reach the deep reaction site because of crucial steric hindrance arising from the block at the entrance and/or somewhere along the narrow channel. We will examine this point by atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) simulations in the next section.

We previously proposed that there is an “open” access path for oversized UQs to the reaction site, which is not identical to the currently predicted channel cavity (17). In either case, it is clear that reduction of the quinone ring takes place nearby the Fe-S cluster N2, a direct electron donor to UQ (5−11). To deduce the length of the access path (the depth of the quinone reaction site from the enzyme surface), we changed the length of the spacer linking the quinone ring and the block (Figure 1). Unless the reaction site is exposed to the enzyme surface, there should be a threshold length of the spacer, which prevents the quinone ring from accessing the reduction site due to steric hindrance caused by the block.

Modeling and simulations of UQ binding to complex I

We modeled OS-UQ2 and OS-UQ3 in the reaction site nearby the Fe-S cluster N2 of bovine complex I based on earlier MD simulation study (26). Modeling and simulations showed that both UQs are fully buried in the site (Figures 2A and S2, respectively) and their bulky substituted-butynyl groups are partly stabilized in grooves, whereas their binding causes structural perturbations in protein backbone relative to the binding of natural UQ10. The areas of higher fluctuations comprise the loop connecting TMHs 5–6 of the ND1 subunit, central loop of PSST subunit, and a segment of 49-kDa subunit carrying Asp160 (Figure 2B), all of which are critical for the catalytic reaction of the enzyme (5−7). Thus, the bulky UQs may perturb the key coupling elements of proton pumping...
machinery if they bind at this reaction site in the channel.

To accept electrons from the N2 cluster to be reduced, OS-UQs would have to move in and out of the main channel during catalytic reaction; however, the movement would not occur without significant structural perturbations. In order to test this, we performed steered MD simulations by pulling OS-UQ3 from the entry site of the channel to the reaction site nearby the N2 cluster. We found that during transition the rod-like substituted-butynyl groups undergo marked deformation (bending) due to the significant steric hinderance caused by network of residues in the channel (Figure 2C). Altogether, the MD simulations suggest that OS-UQs can occupy the reaction site nearby the N2 cluster but not without perturbing the critical elements of proton pumping machinery. Notably, their transition through the main narrow channel would be energetically much more difficult.

**Electron transfer activity of OS-UQs in SMPs**

The electron transfer activities of OS-UQs were measured in the NADH-quinone oxidoreductase assay in SMPs in the presence of antimycin A and KCN to inhibit complexes III and IV activities, respectively. Since the electron transfer activities of all OS-UQs were saturated in a range of 10–30 μM, apparent maximum activities measured in this range are shown in Figure 3 along with activities of UQ2–UQ4 for reference. The electron transfer activities of OS-UQs varied like a bell-shape against the spacer length with OS-UQ2 as the apex. Notably, the activity of OS-UQ2 was highest among the oversized UQs studied so far (17) and comparable to that of UQ2. Hydrophobic OS-UQ4 and OS-UQ5 no longer functioned as a practical electron acceptor. The variation in the electron transfer activities of OS-UQ2–OS-UQ5 may be explained as such that the solubility of these UQs in water decreases with an increasing spacer length and, hence, partitioning of the UQs into SMPs decreases, as typically seen for UQ2–UQ4 (activity order of UQ2 > UQ3 > UQ4).

It is noteworthy that although the solubility of OS-UQ1 in water is superior to that of OS-UQ2, the electron transfer activity of the former was rather lower than that of the latter (Figure 3). This reversal strongly suggests that access of the quinone ring of OS-UQ1 to the reaction site is markedly obstructed since its spacer is too short to avoid the steric hindrance arising from the block, as anticipated when we initially designed these OS-UQs. The electron transfer activities of OS-UQ1–OS-UQ5 were almost completely inhibited by different quinone-site inhibitors (piericidin A, rotenone, fenpyroximate, or bullatacin) and the residual activities were identical to that of UQ2, as shown in Figure 3 taking bullatacin as an example (Figure S3 for other inhibitors).

**Inhibition of the OS-UQ2 reduction by S1QEL2.3**

Brand et al. (27) identified small chemicals S1QELs as specific suppressors of superoxide production from the quinone reaction site in mammalian complex I during reverse electron transfer, although the mechanism of their action in the enzyme was not disclosed. We recently demonstrated that S1QELs are inhibitors of complex I, but do not occupy the binding pocket for ubiquinone or known quinone-site inhibitors; rather, they may indirectly modulate the ubiquinone redox reactions by inducing structural changes of the pocket through binding to the middle of the ND1 subunit (28). This indirect modulation of the ubiquinone redox reactions may be responsible for the direction-dependent (forward or reverse) and incomplete inhibition of electron transfer by S1QELs (28). Since the mode
of action of S1QELs differs from other known inhibitors, they may be useful for characterizing
the reaction manner of OS-UQs in complex I.

We examined susceptibility of the catalytic
reduction of OS-UQ2 to S1QEL2.3 (one of the
S1QELs identified in ref. 27, Figure S1) in the
NADH-quinone oxidoreduction assay using UQ2
as a reference. The concentration of OS-UQ2 and
UQ2 was set at 30 µM each. The dose-response
curve for the inhibition of OS-UQ2 reduction was
almost identical to that of UQ2 (Figure 4).
Substantial enzyme activity (~60%) remained for
both quinones even in the presence of excess
S1QEL2.3. This incomplete inhibition is one of the
unique inhibitory effects of S1QELs, which is
attributable to the indirect modulation of the
quinone redox reactions (28). We initially
considered that since a bulky OS-UQ2 is barely
accommodated in the reaction pocket, the
reduction of this quinone is more susceptibility
disturbed by the structural changes induced via
S1QEL2.3 binding to the ND1 subunit compared
with UQ2; for example, the dose-response curve for
OS-UQ2 may shift to a lower concentration range
of S1QEL2.3 compared with that for UQ2, or the
maximum inhibition of OS-UQ2 reduction may be
greater than that of UQ2 or both. However, none of
these was the case. The results strongly suggest that
the reaction manners of the both quinones are not
so different.

Proton translocation coupled with the reduction of
OS-UQs in SMPs
The marked susceptibility of the catalytic
reduction of OS-UQ1–OS-UQ3 to quinone-site
inhibitors (Figures 3 and S3) suggests that their
reduction takes place at the physiological reaction
site for UQ. To verify this, we examined whether
the reduction of OS-UQs generates a membrane
potential in SMPs by monitoring changes in the
absorbance of oxonol VI in the presence of
nigericin (a K+/H+ exchanger). While assessment
of the proton translocation from a stoichiometric
point of view is not the aim of this section, it should
be noted that a considerable fraction of the protons
pumped across the membrane are lost due to
leakage in SMPs (29). As the proton leak
significantly increases at a higher proton-motive
force generated by respiratory enzymes (29–31),
we determined membrane potential formation in a
concentration range of UQs that led to relatively
low electron transfer activities (i.e., low rates of
NADH oxidation).

A membrane potential was not generated
without externally added UQ (Figure 5, panel A).
We confirmed, as a reference, that reduction of
UQ2 forms a membrane potential, but not in the
presence of bullatacin (Figure 5, panel B). The
extents of membrane potential formation coupled
with reduction of OS-UQ2 or OS-UQ3 were
comparable to those of UQ2 when determined at
similar rates of NADH oxidation (Figure 5, panels
D and E). No membrane potential was formed in
the presence of bullatacin for the two quinones.
Notably, a membrane potential formed by OS-UQ1
reduction was negligibly small as compared with
the three quinones (Figure 5, panel C). OS-UQ1
scarcey generated a membrane potential even at
the concentration (20 µM) exhibiting maximum
electron transfer activity, as seen by a comparison
with UQ2 and OS-UQ2 (20 µM each) (Figure 5,
panel F). These results indicate that the reduction
of the quinone ring and subsequent proton
translocation is less efficiently coupled for OS-
UQ1 relative to the three quinones. This feature
may be associated with the “cramped” reaction of
OS-UQ1 in the binding pocket mentioned above,
as further discussed later.

Electron transfer activity of OS-UQs in complex I-
Hirst and colleagues (25) established an excellent PL system suitable for measuring the electron transfer activity of extremely hydrophobic UQs such as UQ8 and UQ10 in the catalytic reaction by complex I. Considering the marked hydrophobicity of our OS-UQs (particularly OS-UQ4 and OS-UQ5), we should make use of this assay system for examining electron transfer activities of these UQs. Their PLs contain a hydrophobic UQ, complex I isolated from bovine heart mitochondria, and alternative quinol oxidase (AOX) isolated from Trypanosoma brucei (16, 25). As the catalytic activity of isolated complex I is significantly dependent on the lipids composition, the liposomes are composed of bovine heart phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylethanolamine, and cardiolipin (a mass ratio of 8:1:1). AOX, an interfacial membrane protein, is reconstituted into the PLs to recycle reduced UQ (UQH$_2$) to UQ. Since AOX is present in excess in this system, the complex I reaction is rate determining and UQ/UQH$_2$ pool is kept predominantly oxidized under steady-state catalysis.

Using a phospholipid mixture extracted from bovine heart mitochondria, we prepared PLs that contain isolated bovine heart complex I (32), T. brucei AOX (33), and the OS-UQ of choice. It may be noted that this phospholipid composition is not necessarily ideal for the AOX activity. The experimental procedure for preparing liposomes was slightly modified from the original work (25) to produce as many unilamella vesicles as possible (see “Experimental Procedures”).

We confirmed that UQ$_9$ efficiently functions as an electron mediator between the reconstituted complex I and AOX with generating a membrane potential (Figures 6A and 6B, left panel). The electron transfer activity and membrane potential formation were completely blocked by a specific inhibitor of either enzyme (bullatacin or ascofuranone (ref. 33)) (Figures 6A and 6B). The rate of NADH oxidation with 9.2 (±0.5) mM UQ$_9$ was 5.1 (±0.4) µmol·min$^{-1}$·mg complex I (note that the rate with ~10 mM UQ$_8$ was ~17 µmol·min$^{-1}$·mg complex I (16)). In this and following experiments, the concentration of UQ reconstituted into the liposomal membranes was estimated assuming that 1 nmol of UQ per milligram phospholipids is equivalent to 1 mM (25). A molar ratio of complex I:AOX ratio was set to approximately 1:60.

We next examined the electron transfer activity of OS-UQ1–OS-UQ5 using the PLs. Relatively hydrophilic OS-UQ1–OS-UQ3 were externally added to the complex I/AOX-reconstituted PLs, whereas OS-UQ4 and OS-UQ5 were previously reconstituted into the PLs (~20 mM). Unexpectedly, none of these OS-UQs functioned as an electron mediator between complex I and AOX, as shown in Figures 6A and 6B (a middle panel) taking OS-UQ5 as an example. Given that OS-UQ1–OS-UQ3 functioned as an electron acceptor from complex I in SMPs (Figure 3), this result suggests that once reduced OS-UQs cannot be re-oxidized by AOX like in the case where AOX is completely inhibited by ascofuranone (Figures 6A and 6B, left panel). To check this possibility, we examined whether reduced forms of OS-UQ1–OS-UQ5 serve as substrates of isolated AOX in the solution assay, not in the PLs. As a result, they did not serve as efficient substrates of AOX (Figure 7).

Based on the structure of ubiquinol-bound AOX predicted from the inhibitor-bound state (33, 34), the ubiquinol oxidation site nearby a diiron active center is accessed from membrane interior via a short cavity: two (or three) isoprene units of ubiquinol may be accommodated in the cavity. In light of this model, the block in OS-UQ1–OS-UQ4 may hamper access of the quinol ring to the oxidation site. We are left, however, with the
Complex I catalytically reduces oversized ubiquinones

Electron transfer activity of isoprenyl derivatives (OS-UQ6–OS-UQ8) in complex I-reconstituted PLs

In connection with the question above, Cermáková et al. (35) reported that T. brucei AOX strictly recognizes the isoprene unit in the side chain of UQs; for example, the electron transfer activity of a reduced form of DB is much poorer than that of reduced UQ2 and UQ1 (activity order of UQ2H2 > UQ1H2 >> DBH2). We confirmed this tendency for the three quinols using our AOX preparation (Figure 7). The electron donating activities of these quinols were completely inhibited by ascofuranone (100 nM). So, to improve the ability of OS-UQs as a substrate of AOX, we synthesized isoprenyl derivatives OS-UQ6–OS-UQ8 (Figure 1) and investigated their electron transfer activity in the PLs. Note that OS-UQ6–OS-UQ8 did not work as a practical electron acceptor of complex I in SMPs because of their extremely high hydrophobicities (Figure 3). OS-UQ6 and OS-UQ7 did not function as an electron mediator between complex I and AOX (Figures 6A and 6B, a middle panel), though they have a longer side chain than OS-UQ1–OS-UQ5. In contrast, OS-UQ8 worked as an efficient electron mediator with forming a membrane potential in an inhibitor-sensitive manner (Figures 6A and 6B, right panel): the rate of NADH oxidation with 4.8 (±0.2) mM OS-UQ8 was 3.6 (±0.2) µmol·min⁻¹/mg complex I. It is, however, unclear solely from these results that the enzyme (complex I or AOX (or both)) that could not recognize OS-UQ6 and OS-UQ7 as quinone substrates.

Therefore, we adopted another approach to assess the electron accepting ability of OS-UQ6 and OS-UQ7 from isolated complex I reconstituted into liposomes. Namely, we assessed the redox state of OS-UQ6 or OS-UQ7, which was reconstituted into the liposomal membrane with isolated complex I as above but without AOX, by monitoring their reduction by reverse-phase HPLC 5 min after the addition of NADH (1.3 mM) (36). If OS-UQ6 or OS-UQ7 is catalytically reduced by reconstituted complex I, a reduced form of them should be accumulated in the liposomal membrane. We confirmed, as a control, that a reduced form of UQ9 is produced under the experimental conditions, but not in the presence of bullatacin (Figure 8A). Notably, no reduced form was detected for OS-UQ6 and OS-UQ7 (Figures 8B and 8C), whereas OS-UQ8 was catalytically reduced in an inhibitor-sensitive manner (Figure 8D), being consistent with the above results in the PLs (Figures 6A and 6B). We note that OS-UQ1–OS-UQ5 were not reduced under the same experimental conditions. Computational simulations of UQ10-bound bovine complex I predicted that seven or eight isoprene units of UQ10 enter the quinone-access channel (16). If so, the side chain of solely OS-UQ8 is long enough to avoid the steric hindrance because the block may be outside the channel cavity (Figure 1). Taken together, all OS-UQs tested, except OS-UQ8, could not be catalytically reduced by the isolated complex I reconstituted into the liposomal membrane. These results contradict those obtained in SMPs.

Effects of UQs on the alkynylation of Asp160 in the 49-kDa subunit by AL1

To obtain a clue to the cause for the contradictory results between SMPs (native complex I) and PLs (isolated complex I), it may be useful to determine whether OS-UQs retain the binding affinity to complex I in the PLs. Therefore, we examined the effects of OS-UQ2 and UQ2 (as a question of why OS-UQ5, which would be able to avoid the steric hindrance, did not serve as a substrate of AOX.
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We previously demonstrated that 49 kDa-Asp\(^{160}\) located deep inside the proposed ubiquinone-access channel (7, 9, 11) can be specifically alkynylation (Asp\(^{160}\)(COO)-(CH\(_2\))\(_2\)-C≡CH) via the so-called ligand-directed tosyl chemistry technique using AL1 in SMPs (19, 22). The pinpoint alkynylation can be achieved by incubating SMPs with AL1 for several hours and visualized by conjugating a fluorescent tag TAMRA-N\(_3\) to Asp\(^{160}\)(COO)-(CH\(_2\))\(_2\)-C≡CH via a Cu\(^+\)-catalyzed click chemistry after solubilizing SMPs (Figure S4). Since AL1 is a n acetogenin-type inhibitor (IC\(_{50}\) value being ~3 nM, ref. 19), the alkynylation was completely blocked by excess other quinone-site inhibitors (e.g., piericidin A, aminoquinazoline, bullatacin, and fenpyroximate) (19, 22). Before investigating with the PLs, we compared the effects of OS-UQ2 and UQ\(_2\) on the alkynylation in SMPs. SMPs (2.0 mg of proteins/mL) were incubated with AL1 (0.50 µM) for 12 h at 24 °C in the presence of different concentrations of OS-UQ2. OS-UQ2 suppressed the alkynylation in a concentration dependent manner, but did not achieve complete suppression up to 100 µM (Figure 9A). This is probably because the binding affinity of AL1 to complex I is much higher than that of OS-UQ2 or because binding positions of the two ligands do not completely overlap or both. We did not use concentrations of OS-UQ2 over 100 µM since high concentrations of hydrophobic chemicals can nonspecifically alter the enzyme structure. UQ\(_2\) suppressed the alkynylation as efficiently as OS-UQ2 in the same concentration range (Figure 9A). We confirmed, as a reference, that excess bullatacin (10 µM) completely blocked the alkynylation (Figure 9A).

The alkynylation by AL1 also took place with complex I reconstituted into liposomes (~10 µg proteins/mg of phospholipids) (Figure 9B). Although the suppressive effects cannot be directly compared between SMPs and PLs (because of, for example, different partitioning equilibriums of the quinones), the relative suppressive effects by OS-UQ2 and UQ\(_2\) were almost similar between the two experimental systems (Figure 9B). These results strongly suggest that OS-UQ2 does not necessarily lose its binding affinity to complex I even in the PLs. Altogether, it is likely that OS-UQ2 can bind to complex I in the PLs, but its quinone head-ring cannot reach the deep reaction site nearby a Fe-S cluster N\(_2\) to be reduced.

DISCUSSION

Structural biology studies have proposed the existence of a long and narrow quinone-access channel in complex I from different biological species (5−12). Since the quinone reduction is a key step in the energy conversion processes in the enzyme, it is essential to examine the physiological relevance of the channel models by different experimental approaches. Here, we investigated accessibility of the UQ reduction site in bovine complex I to a series of oversized UQs, which are highly unlikely to transit the predicted channel (Figure 2). OS-UQ1–OS-UQ3 functioned as an electron acceptor from complex I in SMPs; particularly, the apparent electron transfer activity of OS-UQ2 was comparable to that of UQ\(_2\). Hydrophobic OS-UQ4 and OS-UQ5 did not work as a practical electron acceptor because of their extremely low solubility in water. Importantly, reduction of OS-UQ2 and OS-UQ3 and the membrane potential formation coupled with their reduction were both completely blocked by different inhibitors. The catalytic reduction of OS-UQ2 and UQ\(_2\) were similarly inhibited by the
unique inhibitor S1QEL2.3. These results provide strong evidence that the reduction of OS-UQ2 and OS-UQ3 takes place at the physiological reaction site for UQ, not at the FMN site. On the other hand, although higher solubility of short-chain UQ in water is favorable for apparent electron transfer activity in SMPs (e.g., activity order of UQ<sub>2</sub> > UQ<sub>3</sub> > UQ<sub>4</sub>), the activity of OS-UQ1 was rather poorer than that of OS-UQ2. This reversal strongly suggests that the steric hindrance arising from the block becomes more severe for the catalytic reduction of OS-UQ1 compared with the longer analogues. Conversely, it is surprising that the short spacer of OS-UQ2 is just enough to allow its quinone ring to gain access to the reaction site, avoiding the steric hindrance (Figure 1). Taking the position of the Fe-S cluster N2 into consideration (5–12), this finding suggests that the block of OS-UQ2 enters fairly deep interior of the enzyme led by the quinone head-ring.

Although the monitoring of membrane potential formation (Figure 5) is semi-quantitative assessment, it is clear that the proton translocating efficiency coupled with reduction of OS-UQ1 is significantly lower than that of OS-UQ2 and OS-UQ3 (also UQ<sub>2</sub>). This finding strongly suggests that reduction of OS-UQ1 does not sufficiently induce the predicted structural changes of the UQ reaction pocket required for triggering proton translocation. In this context, we previously showed that catalytic reduction of “decoupling” UQs (e.g., QT1 in Figure S1) by complex I in SMPs scarcely derives proton translocation and the extent of this decoupling phenomenon varies with the side chain structures (21, 37). It should be noted that QT1 can access deep interior of the UQ reaction site because a sulfonate group attached to the side chain of this quinone reacts with nucleophilic Asp<sup>160</sup> in the 49-kDa subunit to form a covalent bond (21). Thus, the proton translocating efficiency coupled with reduction of the artificial UQs is significantly affected by their side chain structures. How can we explain this finding? In connection with this question, Brandt and colleagues (38) recently reported that blocking the movement of a loop connecting TMH1–2 in the ND3 subunit of <i>Yarrowia lipolytica</i> complex I by tethering the loop to the nearby subunit PSST via a disulfide bond significantly reduces the proton translocating efficiency coupled with the reduction of DB. Their study strongly suggests that conformational rearrangements of this flexible loop induced by the DB reduction is critical for energy transmission from the site of the UQ reduction to remotely located proton pumps of complex I. Taking this into consideration, we cannot rule out the possibility that the extent and/or nature of conformational rearrangements of the loop induced by reduction of the artificial UQs may vary with their reaction manners reflecting different side chain structures, thereby resulting in different proton translocating efficiencies. OS-UQ1 may be unable to induce the intrinsic conformational rearrangements required for triggering proton translocation since reduction of this quinone barely takes place due to the marked steric hindrance. Collectively, we conclude that the quinone rings of OS-UQ2 and OS-UQ3, but not OS-UQ1, can reach the physiological reaction site in the native complex I and can be catalytically reduced in a similar manner with ordinary short-chain UQs such as UQ<sub>2</sub>.

The results obtained in SMPs are difficult to reconcile with the quinone-access channel models (5–9) unless the channel undergoes drastic structural changes under steady-state catalysis, which allow OS-UQs to move in and out of the channel. The channel was originally postulated to undergo slight structural rearrangement since the planar UQ ring is wider (~6 Å across) than the
diameter of the entry point (5, 11). Nevertheless, the structural change required here is more drastic than the originally postulated one because the block (~13 Å across) is much wider than the channel entrance and body; therefore, we consider the likelihood of this to be very low. The results obtained by the MD simulations support this notion (Figure 2).

Instead it is conceivable that the access path for UQ is open to allow a wide range of ligand molecules access to the deep interior. In this regard, it should be noted that although the so-called quinone-site inhibitors have been considered to occupy the channel interior (5, 6, 13), we recently showed that the binding positions of different types of inhibitors are distributed around the channel (17, 28). Then, if the predicted channel is not the access path for OS-UQs, where is the open path in the native enzyme? We previously proposed that the putative path is located in the area where the regions that were photoaffinity-labeled by different types of inhibitors (fenpyroximate (39), quinazoline (40, 41), bullatacin (42), and various amilorides (17)) are in contact or close to one other (yellow circle in Figure S5) because the binding of these inhibitors was competitive one another and short-chain UQs such as UQ2 and SF-UQ6 (Figure S1) competitively suppressed the binding of amilorides (17). This area is not only closer to the cluster N2 than the predicted channel entrance but also contains or is in contact with flexible TMH5–6ND1 and TMH1–2ND3 loops, respectively (see right panels in Figure S5). Based on the static structural models (5–9), there is not enough space for UQ passage in this area since these loops enclose the reaction site of UQ, although a part of the loops is disordered to varying degrees. We propose that these flexible loops are dynamic “lids” that allow UQ (or some inhibitors) to enter the reaction site rather than rigid “walls” that encloses the site. Further studies with diversified approaches are needed to assess this.

With regards to the binding site of UQ, a recent cryo-EM structure of complex I from *Yarrowia lipolytica* showed a tightly bound UQ9 molecule in the originally proposed UQ-access tunnel (43). Interestingly, the position of the head-ring of this bound UQ9 is at some distance from the Fe-S cluster N2 (~27 Å) and in agreement with the position predicted by earlier MD simulations (44). Although the functional significance of the observed position of UQ9 in the catalytic cycle remains to be elucidated, this structural data would extend possible mechanistic models of terminal electron transfer step in complex I (e.g. two UQ molecules model, 43, 45).

It is noteworthy that the results obtained using the complex I-reconstituted PLs contradict those obtained using SMPs. Namely, not only OS-UQ1–OS-UQ5 but also isoprenyl derivatives OS-UQ6 and OS-UQ7 were not catalytically reduced by the isolated complex I in the PLs. OS-UQ8 solely functioned as an electron acceptor from the isolated enzyme (Figures 6 and 8). We repeated the experiments shown in Figure 8 using another complex I preparation, which was isolated by the procedure reported by Jones et al. (25), and obtained similar results. Considering that the side chain length of solely OS-UQ8 is longer than that of the predicted channel cavity (therefore, its quinone ring may be able to reach the reaction site without steric hindrance from the block) (Figure 1), the observations in the PLs appear to be consistent with the quinone-access channel model (5–12).

How can we explain the contradictory results between SMPs (native complex I) and PLs (isolated complex I)? Although we have currently no way of comparing structural differences, if any, in the channel cavity between the native and isolated enzymes, the results shown in Figure 9
suggest that OS-UQ2 retains the binding affinity to complex I even in the PLs, but its quinone head-ring cannot reach to the reaction site nearby a Fe-S cluster N2. In light of this view, the most straightforward explanation for the contradictory results could be that the structure of the open access path for OS-UQs in the native enzyme is altered (or narrowed) by purification from the inner mitochondrial membrane; hence, the access of the ubiquinone ring of OS-UQs to the reaction site is obstructed. OS-UQ8 that has the longest side chain among the OS-UQs examined would be able to avoid this obstruction. Alternatively, we cannot rule out the possibility that some specific positioning of the planar and polar terminal block, which contains multiple polar functional groups (-COO- and three -OCH3), in the highly ordered lipid bilayer membrane may restrict movement of the whole side chain in the membrane and, consequently, interfere with the quinone ring smoothly accessing the reaction site via the open path. This restricted movement could be considerably lessened in OS-UQ8 compared to other shorter OS-UQs. Structural models of OS-UQ-bound complex I with high resolution may provide the key to solving the current contradictions.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Materials**

UQ1, UQ2, and UQ3 were kind gifts from Eisai (Tokyo, Japan). UQ4 and UQ9 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. S1QEL.2.3 was the same sample as used previously (28). All of other reagents were of analytical grade.

**Syntheses of OS-UQs**

The synthetic procedures of OS-UQ1–OS-UQ8 are described in Supporting Information. A reduced form of OS-UQs was prepared by the method of Rieske (46).

**Preparation of bovine heart SMPs and measurement of electron transfer activity of UQs in SMPs**

Mitochondria were isolated from bovine heart. SMPs were prepared by the method of Matsuno-Yagi and Hatefi (47) and stored in buffer containing 0.25 M sucrose and 10 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.4) at -80 °C until use. NADH-UQ oxidoreductase activity in SMPs was measured spectrophotometrically by following the oxidation of NADH with a Shimadzu UV-3000 instrument (340 nm, ε = 6.2 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹) at 30 °C (17). The reaction medium (2.5 mL) contained 0.25 M sucrose, 1.0 mM MgCl2, and 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The final mitochondrial protein concentration was 90 µg of proteins/mL. The reaction was initiated by adding 100 µM NADH after the equilibration of SMPs with UQ for 4 min.

**Measurement of membrane potential formation in SMPs**

Membrane potential formation coupled with NADH–UQ oxidoreduction in SMPs was measured by following changes in the absorbance of oxonol VI (601–630 nm) with a Shimadzu UV-3000 instrument in the dual-wavelength mode in reaction medium (2.5 mL) containing 0.25 M sucrose, 1.0 mM MgCl2, 0.8 µM antimycin A, 4.0 mM KCN, 2.5 mM oligomycin, 0.10 µM nigericin, 1.0 µM oxonol VI, and 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) at 30 °C (17). The final mitochondrial protein concentration was set to 90 µg of proteins/mL. The reaction was initiated by adding 100 µM NADH after the equilibration of SMP with UQ for 4 min. A protonophoric uncoupler SF6847 was used at a final concentration of 0.10 µM to confirm the complete dissipation of the membrane
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Purification of complex I and alternative oxidase (AOX)

Complex I was isolated from bovine heart mitochondria by solubilization with sodium deoxycholate and \( n \)-decyl-\( \beta \)-D-maltoside, and purified by sucrose density gradient centrifugation and anion-exchange chromatography, as described previously (32). The recombinant AOX from Trypanosoma brucei was expressed in Escherichia coli (48). After the E. coli membrane was solubilized by \( n \)-octylglucoside, AOX was purified by cobalt affinity chromatography according to the previous method (48). Electron transfer activities of UQ and UQH\(_2\) with isolated complex I and AOX, respectively, were measured according to the previous procedures (32, 33).

Extraction of phospholipid mixture from bovine heart mitochondria

To use for the preparation of liposomes, a phospholipid mixture was extracted from bovine heart mitochondria (49). Bovine mitochondria were gently suspended in a mixture of chloroform/methanol/water (1:2:1) at room temperature. Then, a mixture of chloroform/methanol (1:1) was added and the mixture was shaken for 1 min, followed by removal of the organic (lower) layer. The aqueous layer was further extracted twice with chloroform. After the combined organic layer was evaporated under \( N_2 \) atmosphere, phospholipids were purified by a silica gel column (Wako gel\textsuperscript{®} C-200) (50). A total lipid sample was applied in chloroform, followed by 10 column-volumes of chloroform to elute nonpolar lipids. Then phospholipids were eluted with 10 column-volumes of methanol, evaporated under \( N_2 \) atmosphere, and dissolved in chloroform/methanol (2:1) solution.

The phospholipid composition was assessed by thin layer chromatography using a chloroform/methanol/water (65:25:4) mixture as an eluent. The purified phospholipids predominantly contained phosphatidylcholine (PC), phosphatidylethanolamine, and cardiolipin, as shown in Figure S6. The PC content, which was determined using the choline assay kit LabAssay\textsuperscript{TM} Phospholipids (Wako Pure Chemicals, Osaka, Japan), in the total phospholipid mixture was approximately 40%. We confirmed that the phospholipid mixture does not contain UQ10.

Preparation of complex I/AXO-reconstituted PLs

The procedures for the preparation of liposomes were slightly modified from the original work (25) regarding the following two points. First, we used the phospholipid mixture extracted from bovine heart mitochondria in our laboratory (as described above) in place of commercially available phospholipids. Second, the lipids suspension was subjected to seven rounds of freeze-thawing before the extrusion operation using a LiposoFast device (equipped with a 100-nm pore polycarbonate filter) to produce as many unilamella vesicles as possible (51, 52).

PLs were prepared from the unilamella vesicles (675 \( \mu \)L) containing the phospholipid mixture (10 mg) and UQ (160 nmol) of choice. The vesicles were partially solubilized by the addition of 160 \( \mu \)L of \( n \)-octyl-\( \beta \)-glucoside from an aqueous 10\% (\( w/w \)) solution. Then, the purified complex I (100 \( \mu \)g) and AOX (100 \( \mu \)g) were added to the solubilized vesicle suspension (800 \( \mu \)L). The detergent was gradually removed from the mixture by the successive addition of SM Biobeads (Bio-Rad, 40 mg x 4 times) to afford PLs containing complex I, AOX, and UQ. Outward orientation of the reconstituted complex I, which was evaluated from acceleration of the electron transfer activity by the
addition of the pore-forming antibiotic alamethicin (25), was ~45% of the total enzyme.

The PC content in the PLs was quantified by the choline assay. The total phospholipid content in the PLs was estimated using the PC content, which was quantified by the choline assay, and its ratio in the phospholipid mixture (~40%, as determined above) on the assumption that each phospholipid was equally reconstituted into the liposomal membrane.

The UQ content in the PLs was quantified by HPLC (Shimadzu LC-20AD system) using a standard calibration curve of the authentic sample (16). The suspension of PLs (10 µL) was mixed with a 10-fold volume of ethanol (90 µL), followed by sonication (1 min) and centrifugation (15,000 rpm, 5 min). The supernatant was separated on a reverse-phase column (COSMOSIL 5C18MS-II, 4.6 x 15 mm, Nacalai-Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) using a mixture of ethanol/methanol (3:2) containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid as a mobile phase with a flow rate of 0.80 mL/min. The elution profiles were monitored at 280 nm.

The total protein content was determined by amido black assay (53). The complex I content in the PLs was estimated by the recovery of NADH-ferricyanide oxidoreduction activity after preparation of the PLs. The AOX content was defined as the difference between the total protein and complex I content (16).

The concentrations of UQ and complex I in the liposomal membrane were estimated assuming that 1 mg of phospholipids occupies ~1 µL; therefore, 1 nmol of UQ or complex I per milligram phospholipids is equivalent to 1 mM (25).

Electron transfer activity of UQs and membrane potential formation in complex I/AOX-reconstituted PLs

Electron transfer activity of UQ in the complex I/AOX-reconstituted PLs was determined by following the oxidation of NADH with a Shimadzu UV-3000 instrument (340 nm, ε = 6.2 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹) at 30 °C (16, 25). The reaction medium (2.0 mL) contained 50 mM KCl and 10 mM Tris/SO₄ (pH 7.5). The reaction was started by adding NADH (100 µM). Bullatacin (100 nM) and ascocururanone (100 nM) were used as a specific inhibitor of complex I and AOX, respectively, to achieve complete inhibition.

The membrane potential formation coupled with the NADH-UQ oxidoreduction in the PLs was determined by following absorbance changes of oxonol VI (601-630 nm). The assay conditions were identical to those for the electron transfer activity except that the reaction medium contained oxonol VI (1.0 µM) and nigericin (0.10 µM).

Determination of the redox-state of UQs in the PLs

The complex I-reconstituted PLs (~5.0 µg of complex I/mg of phospholipid) containing ~10 mM UQ were incubated with NADH (1.3 mM) in reaction medium (50 mM KCl and 10 mM Tris/SO₄ (pH 7.5), 20 µL) at 30 °C on a heat block for 5 min. The electron transfer reaction was stopped by adding Ar-purged ethanol (80 µL), followed by gentle homogenization and centrifugation (16000 × g at 4 °C for 5 min) (36). The supernatant was immediately analyzed by HPLC with the same experimental conditions as those for the quantification of the total UQ content above. UQ and UQH₂ were identified by retention times of the authentic samples. The elution profiles were monitored at 292.5 nm, an isosbestic point for UQ and UQH₂.

Alkynylation of 49 kDa-Asp160 of complex I by AL-1 in SMPs and PLs

SMPs (2.0 mg of proteins/mL, 50 µL), suspended in a buffer containing 250 mM sucrose,
Complex I catalytically reduces oversized ubiquinones

1.0 mM MgCl₂, and 50 mM KPi (pH 7.5), were incubated with AL1 (0.50 μM) in the absence or presence of UQ₂ (or OS-UQ2) for 12 h at 24 °C (19). SMPs were then collected by ultracentrifugation (200,000 x g, 20 min, 4 °C) and denatured in 1.0% (w/v) SDS. For visualization of the 49-kDa subunit alkynylated by AL1, the subunit was conjugated with a fluorescent TAMRA-N₃ tag via Cu⁺-catalyzed click chemistry using the Click-iT reaction buffer kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Proteins were recovered by precipitation with methanol/chloroform, and subjected to Laemmli-type 12.5% SDS-PAGE, followed by the fluorescent gel imaging and CBB stain (19).

The alkynylation of complex I reconstituted into liposomes (~15 mg of phospholipids/mL, 50 μL) by AL1 (2.0 μM) was carried out by the same procedure for SMPs. The concentration of complex I in the PLs was set to ~10 μg proteins/mg of phospholipids. The reaction medium contained 50 mM KCl and 10 mM Tris/SO₄ (pH 7.5). Visualization was also conducted by the same procedure for SMPs.

Computational methods

Atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed on mammalian complex I from Bos taurus (PDB 5LC5) (7), with the system restricted to core subunits around the UQ channel (ND3, ND1, 49-kDa, 30-kDa, PSST, and TYKY subunits) in a 3-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-D-glycero-1-phosphatidylcholine bilayer, as previously described (26). OS-UQ2 and OS-UQ3 were placed at the reaction site nearby the Fe-S cluster N2 based on the alignment with previous setups of natural UQ₁₀. Systems were initially minimized using the steepest descent algorithm in NAMD (54) in order to eliminate steric clashes, followed by solvation with TIP3 water molecules and 0.1 M NaCl. 100 ps NVT and 1 ns NPT equilibration steps were then performed with constraints on the protein backbone, followed by 100 ps NVT and 10 ns NPT without constraints. Simulations were performed using the CHARMM force field for lipids, protein, water and ions (55, 56). The parameters for the bulky tails of OS-UQ2 and OS-UQ3 were obtained from the CHARMM-GUI Ligand Reader & Modeler tool (57), while parameters for the quinone head group were used from previous studies (58, see also 13). GROMACS 2018 (59) was used to perform the simulations at 310 K and 1 atm, with the temperature and pressure being controlled by the Nose-Hoover thermostat (60, 61) and Parrinello-Rahman barostat (62), respectively. The electrostatic interactions were computed using the PME method (63), and the LINCS algorithm (64) was used to achieve a step-size of 2 fs. The production runs (n = 3) were performed totaling ca. 1.2 μs for each of OS-UQ2 and OS-UQ3. Steered molecular dynamics simulations of bound OS-UQ3 at the site near the entrance to the UQ reaction site nearby the N2 cluster were also performed. A constant pulling velocity (7 Å ns⁻¹) was applied to the UQ head group with a force constant of 1000 kJ mol⁻¹ nm⁻² in the direction towards Tyr108 (49-Da) of the UQ reaction site. All trajectory analysis was performed with VMD (65).
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Figure Legends

Figure 1
Structures of oversized ubiquinones (OS-UQ1–OS-UQ8) studied in this study. A stable conformation of OS-UQ2 was shown by a space-filling model (oxygen atoms are in red). The lengths of the models indicate the distances between the two corresponding (C)-H atoms. The structure of UQ10 is also shown as a reference, where a side chain moiety located inside the predicted channel cavity was indicated (16).
Figure 2
Modeling and simulations of UQ binding to bovine complex I. (A) Simulation snapshot of OS-UQ2 molecule at the reaction site nearby the Fe-S cluster N2. (B) Higher root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of the backbone of central subunits ND1, 49-kDa, and PSST that occur upon binding of OS-UQ3 (relative to natural UQ10) at the reaction site is shown in red color on selected segments surrounding the compound. Many of these regions are critical for the proton translocating function of complex I. (C) Steered MD simulation snapshot showing OS-UQ3 en route to the catalytic site with substituted-butynyl chains bending due to constriction in the cavity. Distortion in the rod-like substituted-butynyl tails is displayed in plots of C-C distance (“d”) and C-C-C angle (“a”) with respect to SMD simulation time (equilibrium values of “d” and “a” are 9.5 Å and 150˚, respectively). In the panels, oxygen and carbon atoms are shown in red and cyan, respectively, and the subunits 49 kDa, PSST, ND1, and ND3 in green, light blue, pink, and yellow, respectively.
Figure 3
The electron transfer activity of OS-UQs in SMPs. The apparent maximum rates of NADH oxidation in the NADH-quinone oxidoreductase assay in the absence (black) or presence (gray) of bullatacin (50 nM) are shown. The apparent maximum activity of each UQ was determined in a concentration range of 10–30 µM. The rates for UQ₂, UQ₃, and UQ₄ are shown as references. The concentrations of SMP proteins and NADH were set to 90 µg/mL and 100 µM, respectively. Values show means ± SE (n = 3).
Figure 4
Dose-response curves for the inhibition of NADH-quinone oxidoreductase activity by S1QEL2.3. The reaction was initiated by adding NADH (100 µM) after incubation of SMPs with S1QEL2.3 and quinone (OS-UQ2 (open circles) or UQ2 (closed circles), 30 µM each) for 4 min at 30 °C. The final concentration of SMP protein was 90 µg/mL. Values show means ± SD (n = 3).
Figure 5
Membrane potential formation coupled with reduction of OS-UQs in SMPs. The membrane potential generated by NADH:quinone oxidoreduction was monitored by following changes in the absorbance of oxonol VI (601-630 nm). The panels are as follows: A, without externally added UQ; B, UQ2 (2.0 and 5.0 µM); C, OS-UQ1 (2.0 and 5.0 µM); D, OS-UQ2 (2.0 and 5.0 µM); E, OS-UQ3 (5.0 and 10 µM); F, UQ2, OS-UQ1, and OS-UQ2 (20 µM each). The gray and black traces represent the absorbance at low and high concentrations, respectively, of each quinone. Membrane potential was not formed in the presence of bullatacin (50 nM). In the panels A–E, the traces of NADH oxidation determined at 340 nm are also presented. The SMP protein concentration was set to 90 µg/mL. The arrows indicate the addition of NADH (100 µM). Data are representative of three independent experiments.
Figure 6
The electron transfer activity of UQ in complex I/AOX-reconstituted PLs. (A) The electron transfer activity of UQ₉ (a left panel), OS-UQ₅–OS-UQ₇ (a middle panel), or OS-UQ₈ (a right panel) in the PLs was determined by monitoring NADH oxidation in the absence (black lines) or presence (gray lines) of ascofuranone (100 nM, a specific inhibitor of AOX). The concentrations of UQ (mM), complex I (µg proteins µL⁻¹ lipids), and AOX (µg proteins µL⁻¹ lipids) in the PLs were as follows: UQ₉-reconstituted PLs; 9.2 (±0.5), 3.5 (±0.3), and 9.4 (±0.3), OS-UQ₅-reconstituted PLs; 11.0 (±0.2), 3.7 (±0.1), and 10.3 (±0.1), OS-UQ₆-reconstituted PLs; 8.9 (±0.5), 3.3 (±0.6), and 11.2 (±0.6), OS-UQ₇-reconstituted PLs; 6.2 (±0.2), 3.8 (±0.5), and 9.8 (±0.6), and OS-UQ₈-reconstituted PLs; 4.8 (±0.2), 3.7 (±0.2), and 8.3 (±0.2). The arrows indicate the addition of NADH (100 µM) or ascofuranone (100 nM). (B) Membrane potential formation coupled with reduction of the UQ tested in the PLs was monitored by following absorbance changes of oxonol VI in the absence (black lines) or presence (gray lines) of bullatacin (100 nM). The experimental conditions were the same as those in (A). The arrows indicate the addition of NADH (100 µM) or uncoupler SF6847 (0.20 µM). Data are representative of three or four independent experiments.
Figure 7
The electron donating abilities of ubiquinols to isolated AOX. The electron donating abilities of ubiquinols were examined using isolated *T. brucei* AOX by monitoring their oxidation (278 nm). The concentration of OS-UQ1–OS-UQ5 was set to 30 μM. The reaction conditions: 0.05% (w/v) C12E8, Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), and 2.5 μg of AOX/mL. Values in graphs are means ± SE (*n* = 3).
Figure 8
Catalytic reduction of UQs by complex I in the PLs. Catalytic reduction of different UQs (panel A; UQ9, panel B; OS-UQ6, panel C; OS-UQ7, panel D; OS-UQ8) by complex I reconstituted into liposomes was monitored by determining a reduced form of each UQ accumulated in the liposomal membrane by reverse-phase HPLC (see the Experimental Procedures). The concentrations of UQ (mM) and complex I (µg proteins µL⁻¹ lipids) in the liposomal membrane were as follows: UQ9-reconstituted PLs; 9.7 (±0.2) and 5.0 (±0.1), OS-UQ6-reconstituted PLs; 8.9 (±0.6) and 5.4 (±0.3), OS-UQ7-reconstituted PLs; 9.9 (±0.4) and 5.0 (±0.5), OS-UQ8-reconstituted PLs; 9.0 (±0.2) and 6.2 (±0.3). The reaction was initiated by the addition of NADH (1.3 mM) and quenched by adding ethanol to the liposomal suspension at 5 min after the initiation. The upper chromatogram in each panel represents the retention times of authentic reduced and oxidized forms of UQ tested. The middle and lower chromatogram represents the analytical result in the absence and presence of bullatacin (5.0 µM), respectively. A mobile phase of the HPLC analysis for UQ9 and OS-UQ8 was a mixture of ethanol/methanol (3:2) containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. For OS-UQ6 and OS-UQ7, methanol containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid was used as a mobile phase. Data are representative of two or three independent experiments.
The effects of OS-UQ2 and UQ2 on the chemical modification of 49 kDa-Asp by AL1. (A) The effects of OS-UQ2 and UQ2 were examined in SMPs. SMPs (2.0 mg of proteins/mL) were incubated with AL1 (0.5 µM) for 12 h at 24 °C in the presence of different concentrations of OS-UQ2 or UQ2. The alkynylation was visualized by conjugating a fluorescent tag TAMRA-N3 to Asp-Asp-C≡CH via a Cu⁺-catalyzed click chemistry. Bullatacin (10 µM) was used as a reference. Upper panel; gel image of SDS-PAGE analysis used for the alkynylation. Lower panel; the extents of suppression by UQs. (B) The effects of OS-UQ2 and UQ2 on the alkynylation were examined in the PLs. The PLs (~10 µg proteins/mg of phospholipids (equivalent to ~0.15 mg of proteins/mL)) were incubated with AL1 (2.0 µM) for 12 h at 24 °C in the presence of different concentrations of OS-UQ2 or UQ2. Visualization of the alkynylation was conducted according to the same procedure used for SMPs. Upper panel; gel image of SDS-PAGE analysis used for the alkynylation. Lower panel; the extents of suppression by UQs. Values in graphs are means ± SE (n = 3).
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