

# Ensuring meiotic DNA break formation in the mouse pseudoautosomal region

Laurent Acquaviva<sup>1\*</sup>, Michiel Boekhout<sup>1†</sup>, Mehmet E. Karasu<sup>1,2††</sup>, Kevin Brick<sup>3</sup>, Florencia Pratto<sup>3</sup>, Tao Li<sup>1,5</sup>, Megan van Overbeek<sup>1‡</sup>, Liisa Kauppi<sup>1§</sup>, R. Daniel Camerini-Otero<sup>3</sup>, Maria Jasin<sup>2,4\*</sup> and Scott Keeney<sup>1,2,5\*</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Molecular Biology Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Louis V. Gerstner, Jr., Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Genetics & Biochemistry Branch, NIDDK, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA.

<sup>4</sup>Developmental Biology Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.

<sup>5</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.

\*Correspondence to [acquavil@mskcc.org](mailto:acquavil@mskcc.org), [m-jasin@ski.mskcc.org](mailto:m-jasin@ski.mskcc.org) or [s-keeney@ski.mskcc.org](mailto:s-keeney@ski.mskcc.org)

† Current address: UMC Utrecht, Oncode Institute, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands.

†† Current address: ETH Zurich, Switzerland.

‡ Current address: Caribou Biosciences, Inc., Berkeley, CA, USA.

§ Current address: Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

**Sex chromosomes in males of most eutherian species share only a diminutive homologous segment, the pseudoautosomal region (PAR), wherein double-strand break (DSB) formation, pairing, and crossing over must occur for correct meiotic segregation<sup>1,2</sup>. How cells ensure PAR recombination is unknown. Here we delineate an unexpected dynamic ultrastructure of the PAR and identify controlling cis- and trans-acting factors that make this the hottest area of DSB formation in the male mouse genome. Before break formation, multiple DSB-promoting factors hyper-accumulate in the PAR, its chromosome axes elongate, and the sister chromatids separate. These phenomena are linked to heterochromatic mo-2 minisatellite arrays and require MEI4 and ANKRD31 proteins but not axis components REC8 or HORMAD1. We propose that the repetitive PAR sequence confers unique chromatin and higher order structures crucial for recombination. Chromosome synapsis triggers collapse of the elongated PAR structure and, remarkably, oocytes can be reprogrammed to display spermatocyte-like PAR DSB levels simply by delaying or preventing synapsis. Thus, sexually dimorphic behavior of the PAR rests in part on kinetic differences between the sexes for a race between maturation of PAR structure, DSB formation, and completion of pairing and synapsis. Our findings establish a mechanistic paradigm of sex chromosome recombination during meiosis.**

During meiotic recombination, DSBs must occur within the tiny (~700 kb<sup>3,4</sup>) mouse PAR<sup>2-6</sup>. Since on average one DSB forms per ten megabases, the PAR would risk frequent recombination failure if it behaved like a typical autosomal segment<sup>2</sup>. Consequently, the PAR

42 has disproportionately frequent DSBs and recombination<sup>2,6-8</sup> (Supplementary Discussion).  
43 Mechanisms promoting such frequent DSBs are unknown in any species.

44 DSBs arise concomitantly with linear axial structures that anchor chromatin loops  
45 wherein DSBs occur<sup>9,10</sup>. Axes begin to form during replication and become assembly sites for  
46 proteins that promote SPO11 DSBs<sup>11-13</sup>. PAR chromatin in spermatocytes forms relatively short  
47 loops on a long axis<sup>2</sup>. However, only a low-resolution view of PAR structure was available and  
48 the controlling cis- and trans-acting factors were unknown. Moreover, it was unclear how  
49 spermatocytes but not oocytes make the PAR so hyperrecombinogenic.

## 50 51 **A distinctive PAR ultrastructure**

52 X and Y usually pair late, with PARs paired in less than 20% of spermatocytes at late  
53 zygonema when most autosomes are paired<sup>2,14</sup>. At this stage, unsynapsed PAR axes (SYCP2/3)  
54 appeared thickened relative to other unsynapsed axes and had bright HORMAD1/2 staining (**Fig.**  
55 **1a and Extended Data Fig. 1a,b**)<sup>15</sup>. Moreover, the PAR was highly enriched for REC114,  
56 MEI4, MEI1, and IHO1—essential for genome-wide DSB formation<sup>16-19</sup>—plus ANKRD31, a  
57 REC114 partner essential for PAR DSBs<sup>20,21</sup>.

58 All five proteins (RMMAI) colocalized in several bright “blobs” for most of prophase I  
59 (**Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. 1c**). Two blobs were on X and Y PARs and others highlighted  
60 specific autosome ends (**Fig. 1a, Extended Data Fig. 1d**), revisited below. Similar blobs in  
61 published micrographs were uncharacterized<sup>16,17,19,22</sup>. The proteins also colocalized in smaller  
62 foci along unsynapsed axes<sup>16,17,19-22</sup> (**Extended Data Fig. 1c**). Enrichment on the PAR was  
63 already detectable in pre-leptonema (**Extended Data Fig. 1e**)<sup>17,22</sup> but not in spermatogonia  
64 (**Extended Data Fig. 1f**). Mass spectrometry of testis immunoprecipitates identified ZMYM3  
65 and PTIP as new ANKRD31 interactors also enriched on the PAR (**Extended Data Fig. 1g-i**).

66 Structured illumination microscopy (SIM) resolved the thickened PAR as two axial cores  
67 (**Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 2a,b**) decorated with RMMAI (**Fig. 1c**). PAR axes were  
68 extended and separated in late zygonema before X and Y synapsis, then collapsed during X–Y  
69 synapsis in early pachynema (**Fig. 1b**). Each axial core is a sister chromatid, with a “bubble”  
70 from near the PAR boundary almost to the telomere (**Extended Data Fig. 2c-h**). This PAR  
71 structure is distinct from what is seen at chromosome ends later in prophase I (Supplementary  
72 Discussion). Axis splitting and REC114 enrichment occurred independently of DSB formation  
73 (**Extended Data Fig. 2i**).

## 74 75 **Dynamic remodeling of PAR structure**

76 We investigated temporal patterns of axis differentiation, RMMAI composition, and  
77 chromatin loop configuration on the PAR using SIM or conventional microscopy (**Fig. 1d and**  
78 **Extended Data Fig. 3a,b**). The SYCP3-defined axis was already long as soon as it was  
79 detectable in leptoneuma, and the PARb FISH signal was compact and remained so while the axis  
80 lengthened further through late zygonema, when the sister axes separated. Throughout, abundant  
81 ANKRD31 and REC114 signals stretched along the PAR axes, decorating the compact  
82 chromatin (**Extended Data Fig. 3a chromosomes a-h, and Extended Data Fig. 3b i-ii**). After  
83 synapsis, the axes shortened and chromatin loops decompacted, with concomitant RMMAI  
84 dissociation. A focus of the meiotic cohesin subunit REC8 was juxtaposed to ANKRD31 blobs

85 at pre-leptonema; REC8 was mostly restricted to the borders of the PAR as its axes elongated  
86 and split, and remained highly enriched on the short axis after RMMAI proteins disappeared  
87 (**Extended Data Fig. 3a chromosomes i-o, and Extended Data Fig. 3b iii-iv**). Collapse of the  
88 loop-axis structure and REC114 dissociation also occurred when the PAR underwent non-  
89 homologous synapsis in a *Spo11*<sup>-/-</sup> mutant (**Extended Data Fig. 3c**), so synapsis without  
90 recombination is sufficient for PAR reconfiguration. DSB formation without synapsis may also  
91 be sufficient (Supplementary Discussion). These findings delineate large-scale reconfiguration of  
92 loop-axis structure and establish spatial and temporal correlations between RMMAI proteins and  
93 association of a long axis with compact PAR chromatin.

## 94 **Heterochromatic mo-2 minisatellites**

96 We deduced that specific DNA sequences might recruit RMMAI proteins because  
97 autosomal blobs also hybridized to the PARb probe (**Extended Data Fig. 1d**). This repetitive  
98 probe includes a ~20-kb tandem array of a minisatellite called mo-2, with a 31-bp repeat<sup>23,24</sup>  
99 (**Fig. 2a**). Clusters of mo-2 are also present at the non-centromeric ends of chr4, chr9, and chr13  
100 (**Fig. 2a,b and Extended Data Fig. 4a,b**)<sup>23,24</sup>. FISH with an mo-2 oligonucleotide probe showed  
101 that RMMAI blobs colocalize completely with mo-2 arrays (**Fig. 2b and Extended Data Fig.**  
102 **4c,d**). Mo-2 arrays become enriched at the onset of meiosis for heterochromatic histone  
103 modifications (H3K9me3, H4K20me3) and proteins (HP1β, HP1γ, and others), independent of  
104 DSB formation (**Extended Data Fig. 5**).

105 To test if mo-2 arrays are cis-acting determinants of RMMAI recruitment, we exploited  
106 the fact that the *Mus musculus molossinus* subspecies has substantially lower mo-2 copy  
107 number<sup>24</sup>. The MSM/MsJ strain (MSM) showed less hybridization signal than B6 with the mo-2  
108 FISH probe and had lower REC114 intensity in blobs (**Extended Data Fig. 4e**).

109 To avoid confounding strain effects, we examined spermatocytes of F1 hybrids (**Fig. 2c**  
110 **and Extended Data Fig. 4f,g**). Less ANKRD31 accumulated on MSM PARs: the Y<sup>MSM</sup> PAR  
111 had 8-fold less ANKRD31 than the X<sup>B6</sup> PAR in offspring from B6 mothers and MSM fathers  
112 (**Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 4g**), and the X<sup>MSM</sup> PAR had 6.5-fold less than the Y<sup>B6</sup> PAR in  
113 the reciprocal cross (**Extended Data Fig. 4f,g**). Relative ANKRD31 levels matched mo-2 FISH.  
114 Nevertheless, MSM PARs support sex chromosome pairing efficiency and timing similar to B6  
115 (**Extended Data Fig. 4h**), not surprisingly since MSM is fertile. Interestingly, the ssDNA  
116 binding protein RPA2 was present at lower intensity on MSM PARs (**Fig. 2c and Extended**  
117 **Data Fig. 4f**), revisited below.

## 118 **Trans-acting determinants**

120 To identify factors important for PAR behavior, we eliminated RMMAI or axis  
121 proteins<sup>16,20,25,26</sup>. Requirements for RMMAI blobs overlap with but are distinct from those for  
122 smaller RMMAI foci, for which *Hormad1* is important and *Mei4* even more so, but *Ankrd31*  
123 contributes only partially<sup>17,20,22</sup> (**Fig. 3a**). HORMAD1 and REC8 were dispensable for RMMAI  
124 assembly on mo-2 regions, PAR axis elongation, splitting of sister axes, and formation of short  
125 loops (i.e., compact mo-2 and REC114 signals) (**Fig. 3a,b,c and Extended Data Fig. 6a,b**).  
126 Distal PAR axes were separated in *Rec8*<sup>-/-</sup> (**Fig. 3c and Extended Data Fig. 6c**), so REC8 is  
127 essential for cohesion at the PAR end.

128 The smaller MEI4 and REC114 foci still formed in *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup>, but fewer and weaker  
129 (**Fig. 3a and Extended Data Fig. 6a,d,e**)<sup>20</sup>. On mo-2 in contrast, RMMAI proteins did not  
130 accumulate detectably in *Mei4*<sup>-/-</sup> and *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> (**Fig. 3a and Extended Data Fig. 6a,b**).  
131 ANKRD31 was dispensable for enrichment of heterochromatin factors (**Extended Data Fig. 6f**).  
132 REC114, although not IHO1, is similarly essential for RMMAI blobs<sup>21</sup>. Normal PAR  
133 ultrastructure was also absent in *Mei4*<sup>-/-</sup> and *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup>: axes were short with no sign of splitting  
134 and mo-2 was decompacted (**Fig. 3b,c and Extended Data Fig. 6b**). We conclude that PAR  
135 RMMAI blobs share genetic requirements with autosomal mo-2 blobs, and presence of blobs  
136 correlates with normal PAR structural differentiation.

### 137 138 **PAR(-like) axis remodeling and mo-2**

139 If mo-2 arrays are cis-acting determinants of high-level RMMAI recruitment that in turn  
140 governs PAR structural dynamics, then autosomal mo-2 should also form PAR-like structures.  
141 Indeed, the distal end of chr9 underwent splitting in spermatocytes where this region was late to  
142 synapse (**Fig. 4a**) and showed a PAR-like pattern of extended axes and compact chromatin  
143 dependent on *Ankrd31* (**Extended Data Fig. 7a**). Thus, mo-2 (and/or linked elements) may be  
144 sufficient for both RMMAI recruitment and axis remodeling. Less axis remodeling for MSM  
145 PARs (**Extended Data Fig. 7b**) reinforced the correlation between mo-2 copy number, RMMAI  
146 levels, and PAR ultrastructure.

### 147 148 **DSB formation in spermatocytes**

149 We hypothesized that RMMAI recruitment and axis remodeling create an environment  
150 conducive to high-level DSB formation. This idea predicts that mutations should affect all of  
151 these processes coordinately and that autosomal mo-2 regions should experience PAR-like DSB  
152 formation. We counted axial RPA2 foci as a proxy for global DSB numbers and assessed mo-2  
153 overlap with RPA2 (**Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 7c-f**).

154 In wild-type zygotene spermatocytes, RPA2 foci overlapped on average 35% of each  
155 cell's mo-2 regions, increasing to 70% at pachynema (**Extended Data Fig. 7e**). Similar to the  
156 PAR<sup>2</sup>, autosomal mo-2 often acquired DSBs late (**Extended Data Fig. 7g**). In contrast, *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup>  
157 mutants had starkly reduced overlap of RPA2 foci with mo-2, so X and Y paired in only 6% of  
158 mid-pachytene spermatocytes (**Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 7e,h**). This is distinct from  
159 autosomes: global RPA2 foci were only modestly reduced (**Extended Data Fig. 7d**) and most  
160 *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> cells pair and synapse all autosomes<sup>20,21</sup>. (*Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants form fewer RPA2 foci  
161 at leptoneuma and early zygonema, but normal numbers thereafter<sup>20,21</sup>.)

162 *Rec8* deficiency did not reduce RPA2 focus formation on mo-2 or more globally relative  
163 to a synapsis-deficient control (*Syce1*<sup>-/-</sup>) (**Extended Data Fig. 7c-e**). However, X-Y pairing was  
164 reduced (**Extended Data Fig. 7h**), presumably because REC8 promotes interhomolog  
165 recombination<sup>27</sup>. *Hormad1*<sup>-/-</sup> spermatocytes had comparable or higher frequencies of mo-2-  
166 overlapping RPA2 foci and X-Y pairing as the *Syce1*<sup>-/-</sup> control (**Extended Data Fig. 7e,h**). The  
167 high frequency of mo-2 RPA2 foci was striking given the global reduction in RPA2 foci  
168 (**Extended Data Fig. 7d,f**) and DSBs<sup>28</sup>, but consistent with HORMAD1 dispensability both for  
169 RMMAI recruitment to mo-2 and for PAR ultrastructure (**Fig. 3a-c**).

170 These findings establish a tight correlation of RMMAI recruitment and axis remodeling  
171 with high-frequency DSB formation. Further strengthening this correlation, we noted above that  
172 MSM PARs display lower RPA2 intensity (**Fig. 2c**), perhaps reflecting a lesser tendency to make  
173 multiple DSBs. Indeed, multiple PAR RPA2 foci were resolved by SIM more frequently in B6  
174 than MSM (**Extended Data Fig. 7i,j**).

175 We used maps of ssDNA bound by the strand-exchange protein DMC1 (ssDNA  
176 sequencing, or SSDS)<sup>7,29,30</sup> to test more directly whether autosomal mo-2 regions experience  
177 PAR-like DSB formation, i.e., dependent on ANKRD31 but largely independent of the histone  
178 methyltransferase PRDM9 (**Fig. 4c and Extended Data Fig. 8a**)<sup>7,20,21</sup>. Indeed, the region  
179 encompassing the chr9 mo-2 cluster displayed accumulation of SSDS reads that was  
180 substantially reduced in *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> but not in *Prdm9*<sup>-/-</sup>. A modest ANKRD31-dependent,  
181 PRDM9-independent peak was also observed near the mo-2 cluster on chr13 (**Extended Data**  
182 **Fig. 8a**). Thus, autosomal mo-2 regions not only accumulate PAR-like levels of RMMAI  
183 proteins and undergo PAR-like axis remodeling in spermatocytes, they frequently form DSBs in  
184 a PAR-like manner.

### 185 186 **Mo-2 regions in oocytes**

187 In females, recombination between the two X chromosomes is not restricted to the PAR,  
188 so oocytes do not require PAR DSBs like spermatocytes<sup>31</sup>. We therefore asked whether the PAR  
189 undergoes spermatocyte-like structural changes in oocytes. RMMAI proteins robustly  
190 accumulated on PAR and autosomal mo-2 regions from leptonema to pachynema (**Extended**  
191 **Data Fig. 9a**), consistent with studies of MEI4 and ANKRD31<sup>16,21</sup>. Oocytes also displayed an  
192 extended PAR axis and compact PARb FISH signal from leptonema to zygonema and  
193 transitioned to a shorter axis and more extended PARb signal in pachynema, with loss of  
194 REC114 signal upon synapsis (**Extended Data Fig. 9b**). Heterochromatin factors were also  
195 enriched (**Extended Data Fig. 9c**). However, we did not detect spermatocyte-like thickening or  
196 splitting of the PAR axis or REC8 accumulation (**Extended Data Fig. 9d**), even in the absence  
197 of synapsis in *Syce1*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants (**Extended Data Fig. 9e**). Moreover, similar to the PAR<sup>31</sup>,  
198 autosomal mo-2 regions showed little enrichment for SSDS signal in wild-type ovaries  
199 (**Extended Data Fig. 8b,c**).

200 Low SSDS signal despite RMMAI enrichment and long axes could indicate that oocytes  
201 lack a critical factor(s) that promotes PAR DSBs in spermatocytes. Alternatively, oocyte PARs  
202 may not realize their full DSB potential because of negative feedback tied to homolog  
203 engagement<sup>32,33</sup>: perhaps synapsis that initiated elsewhere on X often spreads into the PAR and  
204 disrupts the PAR ultrastructure before DSBs can form. To test this idea, we tested effects of  
205 delaying or blocking PAR synapsis using sex-reversed XY females<sup>34</sup> and *Syce1*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants.

206 XY oocytes pair and synapse their PARs relatively late: only 28% of late zygotene cells  
207 had X and Y paired and/or synapsed (25 of 90 cells from two mice), increasing to 66% at  
208 pachynema (115 of 174 cells). This late pairing and synapsis is reminiscent of spermatocytes, but  
209 appears less efficient. Most pachytene XY oocytes that synapsed their PARs had a PAR-  
210 associated RPA2 focus, at twice the frequency and with higher immunofluorescence intensity  
211 than in XX oocytes (**Fig. 4d, Extended Data Fig. 9f**). RPA2 foci were also seen on most PARs  
212 that failed to synapse (**Extended Data Fig. 9g**). In contrast, chr9 and chr13 had lower RPA2

213 frequency and intensity that was comparable to XX PARs and that did not differ between XY  
214 and XX (**Extended Data Fig. 9f**).

215 These findings suggest that delayed PAR synapsis allows oocytes to more efficiently  
216 form DSBs. Supporting this conclusion, absence of synapsis in *Syce1*<sup>-/-</sup> oocytes was  
217 accompanied by an increase in both the frequency and intensity of RPA2 on PARs and  
218 autosomal mo-2 regions alike (**Extended Data Fig. 9h**). Our results do not exclude the  
219 possibility of spermatocyte-oocyte differences in trans-acting factors, but we infer that the ability  
220 to manifest high-level DSB formation depends substantially on the result of a race between DSB  
221 formation and completion of synapsis (Supplementary Discussion).

222

## 223 **Discussion**

224 We demonstrate that the PAR in male mice undergoes a striking rearrangement of loop-  
225 axis structure prior to DSB formation involving recruitment of RMMAI proteins, dynamic axis  
226 elongation, and splitting of sister chromatid axes (**Extended Data Fig. 10**). Most of these  
227 behaviors also occur in oocytes and can support high-level DSB formation if synapsis is delayed.  
228 The mo-2 array may be a key cis-acting determinant and RMMAI proteins are crucial trans-  
229 acting determinants. Although the function of sister axis splitting is unclear (Supplementary  
230 Discussion), the full suite of PAR behaviors appears essential for pairing, recombination, and  
231 segregation of heteromorphic sex chromosomes.

232 Budding yeast also uses robust recruitment of Rec114 and Mer2 (the IHO1 ortholog) to  
233 ensure that its smallest chromosomes incur DSBs<sup>35</sup>. Thus, such preferential recruitment is an  
234 evolutionarily recurrent strategy for mitigating risk of recombination failure when the length of  
235 chromosomal homology is limited.

236 RMMAI hyper-accumulation may reflect binding of one or more of these proteins to an  
237 mo-2-associated chromatin structure and/or direct binding to mo-2 repeats or another tightly  
238 linked DNA element. We note that the repetitive mo-2 array imposes risks of unequal  
239 exchange<sup>23,36</sup>. Thus, paradoxically, the PAR DNA structure stabilizes the genome by supporting  
240 sex chromosome segregation but also promotes the rapid evolution of mammalian PARs<sup>4</sup>.

241

**References:**

- 243 1 Raudsepp, T. & Chowdhary, B. P. The eutherian pseudoautosomal region. *Cytogenet*  
244 *Genome Res* **147**, 81-94 (2015).
- 245 2 Kauppi, L. *et al.* Distinct properties of the XY pseudoautosomal region crucial for male  
246 meiosis. *Science* **331**, 916-920 (2011).
- 247 3 Perry, J., Palmer, S., Gabriel, A. & Ashworth, A. A short pseudoautosomal region in  
248 laboratory mice. *Genome Res* **11**, 1826-1832 (2001).
- 249 4 Raudsepp, T., Das, P. J., Avila, F. & Chowdhary, B. P. The pseudoautosomal region and  
250 sex chromosome aneuploidies in domestic species. *Sex Dev* **6**, 72-83 (2012).
- 251 5 Palmer, S., Perry, J., Kipling, D. & Ashworth, A. A gene spans the pseudoautosomal  
252 boundary in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94**, 12030-12035 (1997).
- 253 6 Soriano, P. *et al.* High rate of recombination and double crossovers in the mouse  
254 pseudoautosomal region during male meiosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **84**, 7218-7220  
255 (1987).
- 256 7 Brick, K., Smagulova, F., Khil, P., Camerini-Otero, R. D. & Petukhova, G. V. Genetic  
257 recombination is directed away from functional genomic elements in mice. *Nature* **485**,  
258 642-645 (2012).
- 259 8 Lange, J. *et al.* The landscape of mouse meiotic double-strand break formation,  
260 processing, and repair. *Cell* **167**, 695-708 e616 (2016).
- 261 9 Kleckner, N. Chiasma formation: chromatin/axis interplay and the role(s) of the  
262 synaptonemal complex. *Chromosoma* **115**, 175-194 (2006).
- 263 10 Zickler, D. & Kleckner, N. Meiotic chromosomes: integrating structure and function.  
264 *Annu Rev Genet* **33**, 603-754 (1999).
- 265 11 Panizza, S. *et al.* Spo11-accessory proteins link double-strand break sites to the  
266 chromosome axis in early meiotic recombination. *Cell* **146**, 372-383 (2011).
- 267 12 Lam, I. & Keeney, S. Mechanism and regulation of meiotic recombination initiation.  
268 *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **7**, a016634 (2014).
- 269 13 de Massy, B. Initiation of meiotic recombination: how and where? Conservation and  
270 specificities among eukaryotes. *Annu Rev Genet* **47**, 563-599 (2013).
- 271 14 Kauppi, L., Jasin, M. & Keeney, S. The tricky path to recombining X and Y  
272 chromosomes in meiosis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1267**, 18-23 (2012).
- 273 15 Page, J. *et al.* Inactivation or non-reactivation: what accounts better for the silence of sex  
274 chromosomes during mammalian male meiosis? *Chromosoma* **121**, 307-326 (2012).
- 275 16 Kumar, R., Bourbon, H. M. & de Massy, B. Functional conservation of Mei4 for meiotic  
276 DNA double-strand break formation from yeasts to mice. *Genes Dev* **24**, 1266-1280  
277 (2010).
- 278 17 Stanzione, M. *et al.* Meiotic DNA break formation requires the unsynapsed chromosome  
279 axis-binding protein IHO1 (CCDC36) in mice. *Nat Cell Biol* **18**, 1208-1220 (2016).
- 280 18 Reinholdt, L. G. & Schimenti, J. C. *Mei1* is epistatic to *Dmc1* during mouse meiosis.  
281 *Chromosoma* **114**, 127-134 (2005).
- 282 19 Kumar, R. *et al.* Mouse REC114 is essential for meiotic DNA double-strand break  
283 formation and forms a complex with MEI4. *Life Sci Alliance* **1**, e201800259 (2018).
- 284 20 Boekhout, M. *et al.* REC114 partner ANKRD31 controls number, timing, and location of  
285 meiotic DNA breaks. *Mol Cell* **74**, 1053-1068 e1058 (2019).

- 286 21 Papanikos, F. *et al.* Mouse ANKRD31 regulates spatiotemporal patterning of meiotic  
287 recombination initiation and ensures recombination between X and Y sex chromosomes.  
288 *Mol Cell* **74**, 1069-1085 e1011 (2019).
- 289 22 Kumar, R. *et al.* MEI4 - a central player in the regulation of meiotic DNA double-strand  
290 break formation in the mouse. *J Cell Sci* **128**, 1800-1811 (2015).
- 291 23 Harbers, K., Francke, U., Soriano, P., Jaenisch, R. & Muller, U. Structure and  
292 chromosomal mapping of a highly polymorphic repetitive DNA sequence from the  
293 pseudoautosomal region of the mouse sex chromosomes. *Cytogenet Cell Genet* **53**, 129-  
294 133 (1990).
- 295 24 Takahashi, Y. *et al.* Methylation imprinting was observed of mouse mo-2 macrosatellite  
296 on the pseudoautosomal region but not on chromosome 9. *Chromosoma* **103**, 450-458  
297 (1994).
- 298 25 Shin, Y. H. *et al.* *Hormad1* mutation disrupts synaptonemal complex formation,  
299 recombination, and chromosome segregation in mammalian meiosis. *PLoS Genet* **6**,  
300 e1001190 (2010).
- 301 26 Bannister, L. A., Reinholdt, L. G., Munroe, R. J. & Schimenti, J. C. Positional cloning  
302 and characterization of mouse *mei8*, a disrupted allele of the meiotic cohesin Rec8.  
303 *Genesis* **40**, 184-194 (2004).
- 304 27 Kim, K. P. *et al.* Sister cohesion and structural axis components mediate homolog bias of  
305 meiotic recombination. *Cell* **143**, 924-937 (2010).
- 306 28 Daniel, K. *et al.* Meiotic homologue alignment and its quality surveillance are controlled  
307 by mouse *HORMAD1*. *Nat Cell Biol* **13**, 599-610 (2011).
- 308 29 Brick, K., Pratto, F., Sun, C. Y., Camerini-Otero, R. D. & Petukhova, G. Analysis of  
309 meiotic double-strand break initiation in mammals. *Methods Enzymol* **601**, 391-418  
310 (2018).
- 311 30 Khil, P. P., Smagulova, F., Brick, K. M., Camerini-Otero, R. D. & Petukhova, G. V.  
312 Sensitive mapping of recombination hotspots using sequencing-based detection of  
313 ssDNA. *Genome Res* (2012).
- 314 31 Brick, K. *et al.* Extensive sex differences at the initiation of genetic recombination.  
315 *Nature* **561**, 338-342 (2018).
- 316 32 Thacker, D., Mohibullah, N., Zhu, X. & Keeney, S. Homologue engagement controls  
317 meiotic DNA break number and distribution. *Nature* **510**, 241-246 (2014).
- 318 33 Kauppi, L. *et al.* Numerical constraints and feedback control of double-strand breaks in  
319 mouse meiosis. *Genes Dev* **27**, 873-886 (2013).
- 320 34 Arnold, A. P. Mouse models for evaluating sex chromosome effects that cause sex  
321 differences in non-gonadal tissues. *J Neuroendocrinol* **21**, 377-386 (2009).
- 322 35 Murakami, H., Lam, I., Song, J., van Overbeek, M. & Keeney, S. Multilayered  
323 mechanisms ensure that short chromosomes recombine in meiosis. *bioRxiv doi:*  
324 <https://doi.org/10.1101/406892> (2018).
- 325 36 Harbers, K., Soriano, P., Muller, U. & Jaenisch, R. High frequency of unequal  
326 recombination in pseudoautosomal region shown by proviral insertion in transgenic  
327 mouse. *Nature* **324**, 682-685 (1986).

328

329



330 **Fig. 1: Ultrastructure of the PAR during male meiosis. (a)** Axis thickening (SYCP2 and  
331 SYCP3) and ANKRD31 accumulation on X and Y PARs (arrowheads) in late zygonema. The  
332 asterisk shows an autosomal ANKRD31 blob. Scale bar: 2  $\mu\text{m}$ . **(b)** Ultrastructure of the PAR  
333 before and after synapsis (montage of representative SIM images). Dashed lines indicate where  
334 chromosomes are cropped. SIM: Structured Illumination Microscopy. Scale bar: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . **(c)**  
335 RMMAI enrichment along split PAR axes in late zygonema. Scale bar: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . **(d)** Schematic  
336 showing the dynamic remodeling of the PAR loop–axis ensemble during prophase I. See  
337 measurements in **Extended Data Fig. 3b** and **Data File S1**. Scale bar: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ .

338 **Fig. 2: Arrays of the mo-2 minisatellite are sites of RMMAI protein enrichment in the PAR**  
339 **and on autosomes. (a)** Left panel: Self alignment of the PARb FISH probe. The circled block is  
340 a 20-kb mo-2 cluster. Right panel: Schematic showing the non-centromeric chromosome ends  
341 identified by BLAST search using the mo-2 consensus sequence. **(b)** Colocalization of REC114  
342 blobs with mo-2 oligonucleotide FISH signal (zygotene spermatocyte). Scale bar: 2  $\mu\text{m}$ . **(c)** PAR  
343 enrichment for ANKRD31 and RPA2 correlates with mo-2 copy number. Top panels: late  
344 zygotene spermatocyte from F1 hybrid from crosses of B6  $\times$  MSM. Scale bars: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . Bottom  
345 panels: PAR-associated signals (A.U., arbitrary units) on B6-derived ( $X^B$ ) and MSM-derived  
346 chromosomes ( $Y^M$ ) from the indicated number of spermatocytes (N). Red lines: means  $\pm$  SD.  
347 Differences between X and Y PAR intensities are significant for both proteins and for mo-2  
348 FISH ( $p < 10^{-6}$ , paired t-test; exact two-sided p values are in **Data File S2**).

349 **Fig. 3: Requirements for RMMAI recruitment and PAR axis remodeling. (a)** Quantification  
350 of REC114, ANKRD31, MEI4, and IHO1 foci along unsynapsed axes in leptotene/early  
351 zygotene spermatocytes. Error bars: means  $\pm$  SD. Comparisons to wild type are indicated (two-  
352 sided Student's t test): \* =  $p < 0.02$ , \*\* =  $p \leq 10^{-7}$ , ns = not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ); exact p values are  
353 in **Data File S3**. Representative micrographs of REC114 staining are shown; other proteins are in  
354 **Extended Data Fig. 6a**. Presence of mo-2 associated blobs (arrowheads) is indicated in the  
355 bottom panel. Scale bars: 2  $\mu\text{m}$ . **(b)** Genetic requirements for PAR loop–axis organization  
356 (length of REC114 and mo-2 FISH signals along the PAR axis and axis-orthogonal extension of  
357 mo-2). Error bars: means  $\pm$  SD. **(c)** Representative SIM images of Y-PAR loop–axis structure in  
358 each mutant at late zygonema. Scale bar: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ .

359 **Fig. 4: PAR-like structural reorganization and DSB formation on autosomal mo-2 arrays.**  
360 **(a)** The mo-2 region of chr9 undergoes axis elongation and splitting similar to PARs (SIM image  
361 of a wild-type zygotene spermatocyte). Scale bar: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . **(b)** ANKRD31 is required for high-level  
362 DSB formation in mo-2 regions and XY pairing. Immuno-FISH for RPA2 and mo-2 was used to  
363 detect DSBs. Illustration from **Extended Data Fig. 7c**. **(c)** PAR-like DSB formation near  
364 autosomal mo-2 regions. Excerpt from **Extended Data Fig. 8a**. SSDS coverage<sup>6,19</sup> is shown for  
365 the Y PAR (left) and the mo-2-adjacent region of chr9 (right). Positions of mo-2 repeats are  
366 shown below. **(d)** Early pachytene XY oocyte showing bright RPA2 focus in the PAR. Scale bar:  
367 2  $\mu\text{m}$ .  
368

369  
370

## METHODS

### Mice

371 Mice were maintained and sacrificed under U.S.A. regulatory standards and experiments  
372 were approved by the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) Institutional Animal  
373 Care and Use Committee (IACUC, protocol number 01-03-007). Animals were fed regular  
374 rodent chow with *ad libitum* access to food and water. The *Ankrd31* knockout allele  
375 (*Ankrd31<sup>em1Sky</sup>*) is a single base insertion mutation (+A) in exon 3; its generation and phenotypic  
376 characterization are described elsewhere<sup>20</sup>. Mice with the *Mei4* knockout allele<sup>16</sup> were kindly  
377 provided by B. de Massy (IGH, Montpellier, France). All other mouse strains were purchased  
378 from the Jackson Laboratory: C57BL/6J (stock #00664), MSM/MsJ (stock #003719), B6N(Cg)-  
379 *Syce1<sup>tm1b(KOMP)Wtsi</sup>*/2J (stock #026719), B6;129S7-*Hormad1<sup>tm1Rajk</sup>*/Mmjax (stock #41469-JAX),  
380 B6;129S4-*Rec8<sup>mei8</sup>*/JcsMmjax (stock #34762-JAX), B6.Cg-Tg(Sry)2Ei *Sry<sup>d11Rlb</sup>*/ArnoJ (stock  
381 #010905). Mice were genotyped using Direct Tail lysis buffer (Viagen) following the  
382 manufacturer's instructions.

383 B6.Cg-Tg(Sry)2Ei *Sry<sup>d11Rlb</sup>*/ArnoJ males have a Y chromosome with a deletion of the sex-  
384 determining *Sry* gene and also have an *Sry* transgene integrated on an autosome. When these  
385 males are crossed with C57BL/6J females, those XY and XX animals that do not inherit the *Sry*  
386 transgene develop as females.

387  
388

### Generation of REC8 and REC114 antibodies

389 To produce antibodies against REC8, a fragment of the mouse *Rec8* gene encoding amino  
390 acids 36 to 253 (NCBI Reference Sequence: NP\_001347318.1) was cloned into pGEX-4T-2  
391 vector. The resulting fusion of the REC8 fragment fused to glutathione S transferase (GST) was  
392 expressed in *E. coli*, affinity purified on glutathione Sepharose 4B, and cleaved with Precision  
393 protease. Antibodies were raised in rabbits by Covance Inc. (Princeton NJ) against the purified  
394 recombinant REC8 fragment, and antibodies were affinity purified using GST-REC836-253 that  
395 had been immobilized on glutathione sepharose by crosslinking with dimethyl pimelimidate;  
396 bound antibodies were eluted with 0.1 M glycine, pH 2.5. Purified antibodies were tested in  
397 western blots of testis extracts and specificity was validated by immunostaining of spread  
398 meiotic chromosomes from wild type and *Rec8<sup>-/-</sup>* mice.

399 To produce antibodies against REC114, a fragment of the mouse *Rec114* gene encoding a  
400 truncated polypeptide lacking the N-terminal 110 amino acids (NCBI Reference Sequence:  
401 NP\_082874.1) was cloned into pET-19b expression vector. The resulting hexahistidine-tagged  
402 REC114<sub>111-259</sub> fragment was insoluble when expressed in *E. coli*, so the recombinant protein was  
403 solubilized and affinity purified on Ni-NTA resin in the presence of 8 M urea. Eluted protein was  
404 dialyzed against 100 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 6 M urea, pH 7.3 and used to immunize  
405 rabbits (Covance Inc.). Antibodies were affinity purified against purified recombinant His<sub>6</sub>-  
406 REC114<sub>111-259</sub> protein immobilized on cyanogen bromide-activated sepharose and eluted in 0.2  
407 M glycine pH 2.5. The affinity purified antibodies were previously used by Stanzione et al.<sup>17</sup>  
408 who reported detection of a band of appropriate molecular weight in western blots of testis  
409 extracts. However, subsequent analysis showed that this band is also present in extracts of  
410 *Rec114<sup>-/-</sup>* testes, and thus is non-specific (C. Brun and B. de Massy, personal communication).  
411 Importantly, however, Stanzione et al. also reported detection of immunostaining foci on spread  
412 meiotic chromosomes similar to findings reported here and by Boekhout et al.<sup>20</sup>. This  
413 immunostaining signal is absent from chromosome spreads prepared from *Rec114<sup>-/-</sup>* mutant mice

414 (C. Brun and B. de Massy, personal communication). Moreover, this immunostaining signal is  
415 indistinguishable from that reported using independently generated and validated anti-REC114  
416 antibodies<sup>19</sup>. We conclude that our anti-REC114 antibodies are highly specific for the cognate  
417 antigen when used for immunostaining of meiotic chromosome spreads.

### 418 **Chromosome spreads**

420 Testes were dissected and deposited after removal of the tunica albuginea in 1× PBS pH  
421 7.4. Seminiferous tubules were minced using forceps to form a cell suspension. The cell  
422 suspension was filtered through a 70-µm cell strainer into a 15 ml Falcon tube pre-coated with  
423 3% (w/v) BSA, and was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in  
424 12 ml of 1× PBS for an additional centrifugation step at 1000 rpm for 5 min and the pellet was  
425 resuspended in 1 ml of hypotonic buffer containing 17 mM sodium citrate, 50 mM sucrose, 30  
426 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 5 mM EDTA pH 8, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 10 µl of 100× Halt  
427 protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific), and incubated for 8 min. Next, 9 ml of 1× PBS  
428 was added and the cell suspension was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min. The cell pellet was  
429 resuspended in 100 mM sucrose pH 8 to obtain a slightly turbid cell suspension, and incubated  
430 for 10 min. Superfrost glass slides were divided into two squares using an ImmEdge  
431 hydrophobic pen (Vector Labs), then 110 µl of 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (freshly dissolved  
432 in presence of NaOH at 65°C, 0.15% Triton, pH 9.3, cleared through 0.22 µm filter) and 30 µl of  
433 cell suspension was added per square, swirled three times for homogenization, and the slides  
434 were placed horizontally in a closed humid chamber for 2 h. The humid chamber was opened for  
435 1 h to allow almost complete drying of the cell suspension. Slides were washed in a Coplin jar 2  
436 × 5 min in 1× PBS on a shaker, and 2 min with 0.4% Photo-Flo 200 solution (Kodak), air dried  
437 and stored in aluminum foil at -80°C.

438 Ovaries were extracted from 14.5–18.5 d post-coitum mice, and collected in 1× PBS pH  
439 7.4. After 15 min incubation in hypotonic buffer, the ovaries were placed on a slide containing  
440 30 µl of 100 mM sucrose pH 8, and dissected with forceps to form a cell suspension. The  
441 remaining tissues were removed, 110 µl of 1% paraformaldehyde-0.15% Triton was added, and  
442 the slides were gently swirled for homogenization, before incubation in a humid chamber as  
443 described above for spermatocyte chromosome spreads.

### 444 **Immunostaining**

446 Slides of meiotic chromosome spreads were blocked for 30 min at room temperature horizontally  
447 in a humid chamber with an excess of blocking buffer containing 1× PBS, pH 7.4 with 0.05%  
448 Tween-20, 7.5% (v/v) donkey serum, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, and 0.05% (w/v) sodium azide,  
449 and cleared by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 15 min. Slides were incubated with primary  
450 antibody overnight in a humid chamber at 4°C, or for at least 3 hours at room temperature. Slides  
451 were washed 3 × 5 min in 1× PBS, 0.05% Tween-20, then blocked for 10 min, and incubated  
452 with secondary antibody for 1–2 hours at 37°C in a humid chamber. Slides were washed 3 × 5  
453 min in the dark on a shaker with 1× PBS, 0.05% Tween-20, rinsed in H<sub>2</sub>O, and mounted before  
454 air drying with Vectashield (Vector Labs). Antibody dilutions were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm  
455 for at least 5 min before use. Primary antibodies used were rabbit and guinea pig anti-  
456 ANKRD31<sup>20</sup> (1:200 dilution), rabbit anti-HORMAD2 (Santa Cruz, sc-82192, 1:50), guinea pig  
457 anti-HORMAD2 (1:200) and guinea pig anti-IHO1 (1:200) (gifts from A. Toth (Technical

458 University of Dresden)), goat anti-MEI1 (Santa Cruz, sc-86732, 1:50), rabbit anti-MEI4 (gift  
459 from B. de Massy, 1:200), rabbit anti-REC8 (this study, 1:100), rabbit anti-REC114 (this study,  
460 1:200), rabbit anti-RPA2 (Santa Cruz, sc-28709, 1:50), goat anti-SYCP1 (Santa Cruz, sc-20837,  
461 1:50), rabbit anti-SYCP2 (Atlas Antibodies, HPA062401, 1:100), mouse anti-SYCP3 (Santa  
462 Cruz, sc-74569, 1:100), goat anti-SYCP3 (Santa Cruz, sc-20845, 1:50), rabbit anti-TRF1 (Alpha  
463 Diagnostic, TRF12-S, 1:100), rabbit anti-H4K20me3 (Abcam, ab9053, 1:200), rabbit anti-  
464 H3K9me3 (Abcam, ab8898, 1:200), mouse anti-macroH2A1.2 (Active motif, 61428, 1:100),  
465 mouse anti-HP-1 gamma (Millipore, MAB3450, 1:100), mouse anti-HP1-beta (Millipore,  
466 MAB3448, 1:100), rabbit anti-HP1-beta (Genetex, GTX106418, 1:100), rabbit anti-Mi2  
467 (recognizes CHD3 and CHD4; Santa Cruz, sc-11378, 1:50), rabbit anti-ATRX (Santa Cruz, sc-  
468 15408, 1:50), mouse anti-DMRT1 (Santa Cruz, sc-377167, 1:50), rabbit anti-ZMYM3 (Abcam,  
469 ab19165, 1:300), rabbit anti-PAXIP1 (EMD Millipore, ABE1877, 1:300). Secondary antibodies  
470 used were CF405S anti-guinea pig (Biotium, 20356), CF405S anti-rabbit (Biotium, 20420),  
471 CF405S anti-mouse (Biotium, 20080), Alexa Fluor488 donkey anti-mouse (Life technologies,  
472 A21202), Alexa Fluor488 donkey anti-rabbit (Life technologies, A21206), Alexa Fluor488  
473 donkey anti-goat (Life technologies, A11055), Alexa Fluor488 donkey anti-guinea pig (Life  
474 technologies, A11073), Alexa Fluor568 donkey anti-mouse (Life technologies, A10037), Alexa  
475 Fluor568 donkey anti-rabbit (Life technologies, A10042), Alexa Fluor568 goat anti-guinea pig  
476 (Life technologies, A11075), Alexa Fluor594 donkey anti-mouse (Life technologies, A21203),  
477 Alexa Fluor594 donkey anti-rabbit (Life technologies, A21207), Alexa Fluor594 donkey anti-  
478 goat (Life technologies, A11058), Alexa Fluor647 donkey anti-rabbit (Abcam, ab150067), Alexa  
479 Fluor647 donkey anti-goat (Abcam, ab150131), all at 1:250 dilution.

480

#### 481 **ImmunoFISH and DNA probe preparation**

482 All steps were performed in the dark to prevent loss of fluorescence from prior  
483 immunostaining. After the last washing step in the immunostaining protocol, slides were placed  
484 horizontally in a humid chamber and the chromosome spreads were re-fixed with an excess of  
485 2% (w/v) paraformaldehyde in 1× PBS (pH 9.3) for 10 min at room temperature. Slides were  
486 rinsed once in H<sub>2</sub>O, washed for 4 min in 1× PBS, sequentially dehydrated with 70% (v/v) ethanol  
487 for 4 min, 90% ethanol for 4 min, 100% ethanol for 5 min, and air dried vertically for 5-10 min.  
488 Next, 15 µl of hybridization mix was applied containing the DNA probe(s) in 70% (v/v)  
489 deionized formamide (Amresco), 10% (w/v) dextran sulfate, 2× SSC buffer (saline sodium  
490 citrate), 1× Denhardt's buffer, 10 mM EDTA pH 8 and 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4. Cover glasses  
491 (22 x 22 mm) were applied and sealed with rubber cement (Weldwood contact cement), then the  
492 slides were denatured on a heat block for 7 min at 80°C, followed by overnight incubation (>14  
493 h) at 37°C. Cover glasses were carefully removed using a razor blade, slides were rinsed in 0.1×  
494 SSC buffer, washed in 0.4× SSC, 0.3% NP-40 for 5 min, washed in PBS-0.05% Tween-20 for 3  
495 min, rinsed in H<sub>2</sub>O, and mounted with Vectashield before air drying.

496 To generate FISH probes, we used the nick translation kit from Abbott Molecular following  
497 the manufacturer's instructions and using CF dye-conjugated dUTP (Biotium), on BAC DNA  
498 from the clones RP24-500I4 (maps to the region of the PAR boundary, PARb probe) CH25-  
499 592M6 (maps to the distal PAR, PARd probe), RP23-139J18, RP24-136G21, and CH36-200G6  
500 (centromere-distal ends of chr4, chr9, and chr13, respectively). BAC clones were obtained from  
501 the BACPAC Resource Center (CHORI). Labeled DNA (500 ng) was precipitated during 30 min  
502 incubation at -20°C after adding 5 µl of mouse Cot-1 DNA (Invitrogen), 0.5 volume of 7.5 M

503 ammonium acetate and 2.5 volumes of cold 100% ethanol. After washing with 70% ethanol and  
504 air drying in the dark, the pellet was dissolved in 15  $\mu$ l of hybridization buffer.

505 Mo-2 oligonucleotide probes were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies, with 6-  
506 FAM or TYE™ 665 fluorophores added to both 5' and 3' ends of the oligonucleotide. The DNA  
507 sequence was designed based on the previously defined consensus sequence<sup>24</sup>, and the probe was  
508 used at a final concentration of 10 pmol/ $\mu$ l in hybridization buffer without Cot-1 DNA. The Y-  
509 chromosome paint probe was purchased from IDLabs and used at 1:30 dilution in hybridization  
510 buffer without Cot-1 DNA.

511

## 512 **EdU incorporation**

513 Seminiferous tubules were incubated in DMEM with 10% FCS and 10  $\mu$ M EdU at 37°C for  
514 1 h for *in vitro* labeling. EdU incorporation was detected using the Click-iT EdU Alexa Fluor  
515 647 imaging kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

516

## 517 **Image acquisition**

518 Images of spread spermatocytes were acquired on a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 Marianas  
519 Workstation, equipped with an ORCA-Flash 4.0 camera and DAPI, CFP, FITC, TEXAS red and  
520 Cy5 filter sets, illuminated by an X-Cite 120 PC-Q light source, with either 63 $\times$ /1.4 NA oil  
521 immersion objective or 100 $\times$ /1.4 NA oil immersion objective. Marianas Slidebook 5.0  
522 (Intelligent Imaging Innovations) software was used for acquisition.

523 Structured illumination microscopy (3D-SIM) was performed at the Bio-Imaging Resource  
524 Center in Rockefeller University using an OMX Blaze 3D-SIM super-resolution microscope  
525 (Applied Precision), equipped with 405 nm, 488nm and 568 nm lasers, and 100 $\times$ /1.40 NA  
526 UPLSAPO oil objective (Olympus). Image stacks of several  $\mu$ m thickness were taken with  
527 0.125  $\mu$ m z-steps, and were reconstructed in Deltavision softWoRx 6.1.1 software with a  
528 Wiener filter of 0.002 using wavelength specific experimentally determined OTF functions.  
529 Slides were prepared and stained as described above, except that chromosomes were spread only  
530 on the central portion of the slides, and the slides mounted using 18  $\times$  18 mm coverslips (Zeiss).

531

## 532 **Image analysis**

533 3D-SIM images are shown either as a z-stack using the sum slices function in Fiji/ImageJ,  
534 or as a unique slice. The X and/or Y chromosomes were cropped, rotated and further cropped for  
535 best display. For montage display, the X and Y chromosome images were positioned on a black  
536 background using Adobe Illustrator 2020 (version 24.1). In the instances where the axes of the X  
537 and Y chromosomes were cropped, the area of cropping was labeled with a light gray dotted line.  
538 Loop/axis measurements, foci counts, and fluorescence intensity quantification were only  
539 performed on images from conventional microscopy using the original, unmodified data.

540 To measure the colocalization between RMMAI proteins, we costained for SYCP3 and  
541 ANKRD31 along with either MEI4, REC114, or IHO1, and manually counted the number of  
542 ANKRD31 foci overlapping with SYCP3 and colocalizing or not with MEI4, REC114 or IHO1.  
543 These counts were performed in 16 spermatocytes from leptoneuma to early/mid zygonema.

544 To quantify the total number of RPA2, MEI4, REC114, ANKRD31, and IHO1 foci, single  
545 cells were manually cropped and analyzed with semi-automated scripts in Fiji<sup>37</sup> (version 2.0.0-  
546 rc-69/1.52p) as described in detail elsewhere<sup>20</sup>. Briefly, images were auto-thresholded on SYCP3

547 staining, which was used as a mask to use ‘Find Maxima’ to determine the number of foci.  
548 Images were manually inspected to determine that there were no obvious defects in determining  
549 SYCP3 axes, that no axes from neighboring cells were counted, that no artifacts were present,  
550 and that no foci were missed by the script.

551 To test for colocalization between RPA2 and mo-2 FISH signals, we manually scored the  
552 percentage of mo-2 FISH signals colocalizing at least partly with RPA2. Depending on the  
553 progression of synapsis during prophase I, between eight and four discrete mo-2 FISH signals  
554 could be detected, corresponding to (with increasing signal intensity) the chr4, chr13, chr9, and  
555 the PAR (two signals for each when unpaired, or a single signal for each after homologous  
556 pairing/synapsis). Notably, the RPA2 focus was most often found in a slightly more centromere-  
557 proximal position compared to the bulk of mo-2 FISH signals, and therefore colocalized partly  
558 with mo-2 FISH signals. In the case of the PAR, this position corresponds closely to the region  
559 of the PAR boundary (PARb probe). A similar trend was observed on autosomal mo-2 clusters.

560 For estimates of chromatin extension, we measured the maximal axis-orthogonal distance  
561 between the FISH signal and the center of the PAR axis, or the centromere-distal axis for chr9  
562 stained by SYCP3. In mutant mice defective for RMMAI protein recruitment in the mo-2  
563 regions, the PAR axis was defined as the nearest SYCP3 segment adjacent to the telomeric  
564 SYCP3 signal.

565 For quantification of RPA2, ANKRD31, REC8, and mo-2 signal intensity in B6 × MSM  
566 and MSM × B6 F1 hybrids, late zygotene spermatocytes with at least one RPA2 focus on X or Y  
567 PAR were analyzed. We used the elliptic selection tool in Fiji to define a region of interest  
568 around the largest signal in the PAR, and the same selection tool was then positioned on the  
569 other PAR axis for comparison. The fluorescence intensity was measured as the integrated  
570 density with background subtraction.

571

## 572 **Prophase I sub-staging and identification of the PAR**

573 Nuclei were staged according to the dynamic behavior of the autosome and sex  
574 chromosome axes during prophase I, using SYCP3 staining. Leptonema was defined as having  
575 short stretches of SYCP3 but no evidence of synapsis, early/mid-zygonema as having longer  
576 stretches of SYCP3 staining and some synapsis, and late zygonema as having fully assembled  
577 chromosome axes and substantial (>70%) synapsis. The X and Y chromosomes generally can be  
578 identified at this stage, and the PAR axis is distinguishable because it appears thicker than the  
579 centromeric end, particularly near the end of zygonema when autosomes are almost fully  
580 synapsed. Early pachynema was defined as complete autosomal synapsis, whereas the X and Y  
581 chromosomes could display various configuration: i) unsynapsed, with thickened PAR axes, ii)  
582 engaged in PAR synapsis, iii) synapsed in the PAR and non-homologously synapsed along the  
583 full (or nearly full) Y chromosome axis. Mid pachynema was defined as showing bright signal  
584 from autosome axes, desynapsing X and Y axes remaining synapsed only in the PAR, with short  
585 PAR axis. During this stage, the autosomes and the non-PAR X and Y axes are initially short and  
586 thick, and progressively become longer and thinner. Late pachynema was defined as brighter  
587 autosome axes with a characteristic thickening of all autosome ends. The X and Y non-PAR axes  
588 are then long and thin and show excrescence of axial elements. Diplonema was defined as  
589 brighter axes and desynapsing autosome, associated with prominent thickening of the autosome  
590 ends, particularly the centromeric ends. In early diplonema, the non-PAR axes of X and Y  
591 chromosomes are still long and thin and progressively condense to form bright axes, associated  
592 with bulges. Most experiments were conducted using SYCP3 in combination with a RMMAI

593 protein, which allows easier distinction between synapsing and desynapsing X and Y  
594 chromosomes.

595 By using only SYCP3 staining, the PARs can only be identified unambiguously from the  
596 late zygonema-to-early pachynema transition through to diplonema. From pre-leptonema to  
597 mid/late-zygonema, the PARs were identified as the two brightest RMMAI signals, the two  
598 brightest mo-2 FISH signals, the two brightest PARb FISH signals, or the two FISH signals from  
599 the PARd probe. The Y PAR could be distinguished from the X PAR using the PARb probe, as  
600 this probe also weakly stains the chromatin of the non-PAR portion of the Y chromosome.

601 PAR loop/axis measurements in oocytes were performed on two 14.5–15.5 dpc (days post-  
602 coitum) (enriched for leptotene and zygotene oocytes) and two 18.5 dpc female fetuses (enriched  
603 for pachytene oocytes).

604 We found significant variability in the X or Y PAR axis length between different animals in  
605 our mouse colony maintained in a C57BL/6J congenic background, and even between different  
606 C57BL/6J males obtained directly from the Jackson Laboratory. This is in agreement with  
607 previous reports about the hypervariable nature of the mo-2 minisatellite and its involvement in  
608 unequal crossing over in the mouse<sup>6,24,36,38,39</sup> (mo-2 was also named DXYmov15 or Mov15  
609 flanking sequences). However, the RMMAI signal intensity/elongation and the PAR axis length  
610 were always correlated with mo-2 FISH signal intensity. Importantly, despite this variability,  
611 mo-2 and RMMAI proteins were enriched in the PAR and autosome ends of all mice analyzed.  
612

### 613 **Analysis of SSDS data**

614 SSDS sequencing data were from previously described studies<sup>7,20,31</sup> and are all available at  
615 the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository under accession numbers GSE35498,  
616 GSE99921, GSE118913. To define enrichment values presented in **Extended Data Fig. 8b**, the  
617 SSDS coverage was summed across the indicated coordinates adjacent to the mo-2 repeats. A  
618 chromosomal mean and standard deviation for chr9 was estimated by dividing the chromosome  
619 into 4-kb bins, summing the SSDS coverage in each bin, and calculating the mean and standard  
620 deviation after excluding those bins that overlapped a DSB hotspot. The enrichment score was  
621 then defined as the difference between the coverage in the mo-2-adjacent region and the chr9  
622 mean coverage, divided by the chr9 standard deviation.  
623

### 624 **Immunoprecipitation/mass spectrometry**

625 Immunoprecipitations were carried out on samples from wild type and *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> animals  
626 using two separate polyclonal anti-ANKRD31 antibodies raised in rabbit and guinea pig<sup>20</sup> (four  
627 samples total). Two additional immunoprecipitations were performed using an anti-Cyclin B3  
628 antibody on either wild-type or *Ccnb3* knockout testes<sup>40,41</sup>; these samples serve as additional  
629 negative controls for the ANKRD31 interaction screen. For each sample, protein extracts were  
630 prepared from testes of three 12-dpp mice in 1 ml of RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM  
631 NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, 1% NP-40, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 100 units of Benzonase for  
632 1h at 4°C. After centrifugation at 13,000rpm for 20 min at 4°C, the lysate was pre-cleared using  
633 30µl of a slurry of protein A/G Dynabeads for 1h at 4°C. Next, 50µl of protein A/G beads  
634 coupled for 30 min with 10µg of anti-ANKRD31 or anti-Cyclin B3 antibody (monoclonal  
635 antibody #5 from ref. 43) were added and the solution incubated overnight at 4°C on a rotating  
636 rack. Beads were washed 3 times in 1 ml of RIPA buffer and once with 1 ml of 50 mM  
637 ammonium bicarbonate. Samples were then digested overnight with 2µg trypsin in 80 µl of 50

638 mM ammonium bicarbonate at 37°C on a thermo mixer (850 rpm). Peptides were desalted using  
639 C18 zip tips, and then dried by vacuum centrifugation. Each sample was reconstituted in 10 µl  
640 0.1% (vol/vol) formic acid and 4 µl was analyzed by microcapillary liquid chromatography with  
641 tandem mass spectrometry using the NanoAcquity (Waters) with an ACQUITY UPLC BEH  
642 C18 Column (Waters) configured with an ACQUITY UPLC M-Class Symmetry C18 trap  
643 column (Waters) coupled to a QExactive Plus mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).  
644 Peptides were eluted with a linear gradient of 0–35% acetonitrile (0.1% formic acid) in water  
645 (0.1% formic acid) over 150 min with a flow rate of 300 nl/min. The QE Plus was operated in  
646 automatic, data dependent MS/MS acquisition mode with one MS full scan (380–1800 m/z) at  
647 70,000 mass resolution and up to ten concurrent MS/MS scans for the ten most intense peaks  
648 selected from each survey scan. Survey scans were acquired in profile mode and MS/MS scans  
649 were acquired in centroid mode at 17,500 resolution and isolation window of 1.5 amu and  
650 normalized collision energy of 27. AGC was set to  $1 \times 10$  for MS1 and  $5 \times 10$  and 100 ms IT for  
651 MS2. Charge exclusion of unassigned and greater than 6 enabled with dynamic exclusion of 15  
652 s. All MS/MS samples were analyzed using MaxQuant (Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry,  
653 Martinsried, Germany; version 1.5.3.3) at default settings with a few modifications.

654

### 655 **Yeast two-hybrid assay**

656 Mouse testis cDNAs for *Ptip*, *Zmym3*, and *Ankrd31* were amplified and cloned in vectors to  
657 generate fusion proteins with the Gal4 DNA-binding domain (Gal4BD) or activation domain  
658 (Gal4AD). Assays were conducted according to manufacturer's instructions (Clontech). Briefly,  
659 Y2HGold and Y187 (Clontech) yeast haploid strains were transformed with constructs encoding  
660 Gal4BD and Gal4AD fusion proteins. After mating on YPD plates, diploid cells expressing  
661 Gal4BD and Gal4AD fusion proteins were selected on double dropout medium (DDO) lacking  
662 leucine and tryptophan. Protein interactions were assayed by spotting diploid cell suspensions on  
663 selective medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, histidine, and adenine (quadruple dropout, QDO),  
664 and QDO containing X- $\alpha$ -gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl  $\alpha$ -D-galactopyranoside) and  
665 aureobasidin A and growing for 3 days at 30°C.

666

### 667 **Statistical analysis**

668 All statistical tests were performed in R (version 3.4.4)<sup>42</sup> and RStudio (Version 1.1.442).  
669 Negative binomial regression was calculated using the `glm.nb` function from the MASS package  
670 (version 7.3-49)<sup>43</sup>.

671

### 672 **Statistics and reproducibility**

673 The pictures shown in this article are representative images that aim to illustrate the findings  
674 in the clearest manner. Any conclusion or statement regarding the results that is not associated  
675 with explicit quantification is based on the imaging and analysis of at least 20 cells, sometimes  
676 hundreds, usually from multiple mice. Details for main figures are as follows.

677 **Fig. 1a:** The thickening of the PAR axis (using SYCP3 staining) and the elongation of the  
678 RMMAI signal along the PAR axis have been observed in more than three different mice in  
679 hundreds of late zygotene spermatocytes using mostly our homemade antibodies against  
680 REC114 and ANKRD31. Other antibodies such as anti-SYCP2 and anti-HORMAD2 were used  
681 to confirm the PAR axis thickening, and anti-MEI1, anti-MEI4 and anti-IHO1 were used to



682 confirm the elongation of the REC114/ANKRD31 signal along the PAR axis, in more than 20  
683 spermatocytes for each antibody.

684 **Fig. 1b:** The PAR axis splitting, the extension of the RMMAI signal and the collapse of the  
685 PAR structure during X-Y synapsis have been observed by SIM in more than 60 spermatocytes  
686 in more than 3 different mice.

687 **Fig. 2b:** The colocalization between REC114 blobs (or RMMAI blobs in general) and mo-2  
688 FISH signals has been observed in all spermatocytes analyzed (N>200), from leptotene to early  
689 pachytene in more than three different mice.

690 **Fig. 3c:** Axis splitting on the Y PAR has been observed by SIM in more than 100 late  
691 zygotene spermatocytes and in more than 20 zygotene-like spermatocytes from *Hormad1*<sup>-/-</sup>  
692 mice. The fork-shaped PAR structure in *Rec8*<sup>-/-</sup> mice has been observed in more than 20  
693 spermatocytes. The absence of PAR differentiation and decompaction of mo-2-containing  
694 chromatin was observed in more than 30 *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> spermatocytes and 20 *Mei4*<sup>-/-</sup>  
695 spermatocytes. This specific pattern has been confirmed in at least three different mice of each  
696 genotype using conventional microscopy. The differentiation of the PAR axis becomes hardly  
697 detectable in *Hormad1*<sup>-/-</sup> at later stage in some pachytene-like spermatocytes as cells enter  
698 apoptosis, similar to *Spo11*<sup>-/-</sup>.

699 **Fig. 4a:** The differentiation of the non-centromeric end of the chr9 was observed in 6  
700 spermatocytes by SIM and was observed in more than 20 late zygotene spermatocytes by  
701 conventional microscopy in three different mice.

702

703

#### Data and code availability

704 Image analysis scripts are available on Github: <https://github.com/Boekhout/ImageJScripts>.  
705 SSDS data are publicly available at GEO under the accession numbers indicated above. The  
706 mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium  
707 via the PRIDE partner repository<sup>44</sup> with the dataset identifier PXD017191.

708

- 709 37 Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat*  
710 *Methods* **9**, 676-682 (2012).
- 711 38 Kipling, D. *et al.* Structural variation of the pseudoautosomal region between and within  
712 inbred mouse strains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **93**, 171-175 (1996).
- 713 39 Kipling, D., Salido, E. C., Shapiro, L. J. & Cooke, H. J. High frequency de novo  
714 alterations in the long-range genomic structure of the mouse pseudoautosomal region.  
715 *Nat Genet* **13**, 78-80 (1996).
- 716 40 Karasu, M. E. & Keeney, S. Cyclin B3 is dispensable for mouse spermatogenesis.  
717 *Chromosoma* **128**, 473-487 (2019).
- 718 41 Karasu, M. E., Bouftas, N., Keeney, S. & Wassmann, K. Cyclin B3 promotes anaphase I  
719 onset in oocyte meiosis. *J Cell Biol* **218**, 1265-1281 (2019).
- 720 42 R Core Team. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.*, (R Foundation  
721 for Statistical Computing, 2018).
- 722 43 Venables, W. N. & Ripley, B. D. *Modern Applied Statistics with S*. 4 edn, (Springer,  
723 2002).
- 724 44 Perez-Riverol, Y. *et al.* The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019:  
725 improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D442-D450 (2019).

726

727

728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767

## Main Text Statements

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Attila Tóth (Technische Universität Dresden, Germany) and Bernard de Massy (Institut Génétique Humaine, Montpellier, France) for antibodies, mice, discussions, and sharing of unpublished information. We thank Alison North and the Bio-Imaging Resource Center at Rockefeller University for assistance with SIM (supported by Award Number S10 RR031855 from the National Center For Research Resources). We thank Ron Hendrickson, Rajesh Soni, and Zhuoning Li (MSKCC Proteomics Core) for assistance with mass spectrometry. This work utilized the computational resources of the NIH HPC Biowulf cluster (<http://hpc.nih.gov>). MSKCC core facilities are supported by Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA008748. LA was supported in part by a fellowship from the Lalor Foundation. MB was supported in part by a Rubicon fellowship from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research. MvO was supported in part by National Institutes of Health (NIH) fellowship F32 GM096692. This work was supported by NIGMS grants R35 GM118092 (SK) and R35 GM118175 (MJ).

**Author contributions:** LA designed and conducted all of the cytogenetic experiments presented and analyzed the data. MEK generated *Ankrd31* mutant mice and anti-ANKRD31 antibodies. MB and MEK provided *Ankrd31* mutant mice and unpublished data. MEK performed the IP/MS experiment and TL validated the ANKRD31 interacting proteins. KB and FP performed SSDS and analyzed the data under the supervision of RDC with input from LA and SK. MvO generated REC8 and REC114 antibodies. LK performed initial characterization and provided unpublished data on PAR ultrastructure and cohesin enrichment. MJ and SK designed and supervised the research, analyzed data, and secured funding. LA and SK wrote the manuscript with input from MJ. All authors edited the manuscript.

**Author Information:** Reprints and permissions information is available at [www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints). Authors declare no competing interests. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to [s-keeney@ski.mskcc.org](mailto:s-keeney@ski.mskcc.org).

**Data and code availability:** Image analysis scripts are available on Github: <https://github.com/Boekhout/ImageJScripts>. SSDS data are publicly available at GEO under the accession numbers indicated in Methods. Underlying data for all graphs in figures are provided in Data Files S1, S2, S3, and S4. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository<sup>44</sup> with the dataset identifier PXD017191. Processed mass spectrometry data are provided in Data File S5.

## Supplementary Materials:

Extended Data Figures 1–10

Data File S1: Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 1c,d and Extended Data Fig. 1c,d, 3b,c

Data File S2: Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 4f,g.

Data File S3: Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 3a,b and Extended Data Fig. 6b,d.

Data File S4: Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 4 and Extended Data Fig. 7a,d,e and 9b,f,h.

768 Data File S5: Excel file containing results of anti-ANKRD31 immunoprecipitation/mass  
769 spectrometry.

770 Supplemental Information: PDF file containing Supplementary Discussion and Supplementary  
771 References.

772

773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818

**Extended Data Fig. 1: PAR axis thickening and accumulation of RMMAI proteins.**

(a) Axis thickening (SYCP3 and HORMAD2 staining) on the PAR (arrowhead) in a late zygotene spermatocyte. Scale bar: 2  $\mu$ m. HORMAD2 staining in the PAR at late zygonema mimics SYCP3 staining in all late zygonema spermatocytes analyzed (N>20) in three mice. (b) Image adapted under Creative Commons CC-BY license from ref.<sup>45</sup> showing enrichment of HORMAD1 on the thick PAR axis of the Y chromosome. (c) Colocalization of ANKRD31 and MEI4, REC114, IHO1, and MEI1. Representative zygotene spermatocytes are shown. Arrowheads indicate densely staining blobs. Areas indicated by dashed boxes are shown at higher magnification. The graphs show the total number of foci colocalized in leptotene/zygotene spermatocytes (error bars are mean  $\pm$  SD). N.D., not determined: The low immunofluorescence signal for MEI1 did not allow us to quantify the colocalization with ANKRD31, although MEI1 showed clear colocalization with ANKRD31 in the blobs and at least some autosomal foci (insets). Scale bars: 2  $\mu$ m. Underlying data for all graphs are in Data Files S1-4. Further evidence for extensive colocalization with ANKRD31 is documented in separate studies<sup>20,21</sup>. (d) PARb FISH probe colocalizes with REC114 blobs. Two blobs are on PAR, as judged by chromosome morphology and bright fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) with a PAR boundary probe (PARb) and others highlight specific autosome ends. Scale bar: 2  $\mu$ m. The colocalization between REC114 blobs and PARb FISH signals has been observed in all spermatocytes analyzed (N>60), from pre-leptonema to early pachynema, in more than three mice. (e) ANKRD31, REC114, and MEI1 immunostaining starts to appear in pre-leptonema. Seminiferous tubules were cultured with 5-ethynyl-3'-deoxyuridine (EdU) to label replicating cells, then chromosome spreads were stained for SYCP3 and either MEI1 plus REC114 or ANKRD31 plus PARb FISH. Colocalized foci appear in pre-leptonema (EdU-positive cells that are weakly SYCP3-positive), as previously shown for MEI4 and IHO1<sup>17,22</sup>. Because we can already detect ANKRD31 accumulation at sites of PARb-hybridization, we infer that the stronger sites of accumulation of MEI1 and REC114 also include PARs. Scale bars: 2  $\mu$ m. PARb colocalized with ANKRD31 blobs (top panel) and MEI1 with REC114 (bottom panel) in all preleptotene spermatocytes analyzed (N>20) in one mouse. (f) REC114 is not detected in the mo-2 regions in spermatogonia. Seminiferous tubules were cultured with EdU, and chromosome spreads were stained for DMRT1 (a marker of spermatogonia<sup>46</sup>) and REC114 plus mo-2 FISH. REC114 blobs colocalized with mo-2 FISH signals in the preleptotene spermatocyte (bottom) but were not apparent in the DMRT1-positive spermatogonium (top). Both cells shown were captured in a single microscopic field. Scale bar: 2  $\mu$ m. Mo-2 FISH signals do not colocalize with REC114 signal in all the spermatogonia analyzed (N>20) in one mouse. (g) Candidate ANKRD31 interacting proteins. To identify other PAR-associated proteins, ANKRD31 was immunoprecipitated from extracts made from whole testes of 12-dpp-old mice using two different polyclonal antibodies. This table shows a subset of proteins that were identified by mass spectrometry in immunoprecipitates from wild-type testes but not from *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> animals, and not from immunoprecipitates using an irrelevant antibody (anti-Cyclin B3). Full results are in Data File S5. LFQ, label-free quantification. REC114, MEI4, and MEI1 were recovered, confirming specificity. REC114 is known to interact directly with ANKRD31<sup>20</sup> and MEI4 is a direct partner of REC114<sup>16,47</sup>. MEI1 colocalizes with ANKRD31 on chromatin (panel c). We also identified ZMYM3 and PTIP. ZMYM3 (zinc finger, myeloproliferative, and mental retardation-type 3) is a component of LSD1-containing transcription repressor complexes<sup>48</sup> and has incompletely understood functions in DNA repair in somatic cells<sup>49</sup>. Mutation of *Zmym3* results in adult male infertility from unknown causes<sup>50</sup>. However, the spermatocyte metaphase I

819 arrest in this mutant<sup>50</sup> may be consistent with presence of achiasmatic chromosomes, possibly  
820 including X and Y. PTIP (Pax transactivation domain interacting protein; also known as  
821 PAXIP1) contains multiple BRCT (BRCA1 C-terminal) domains and regulates gene  
822 transcription, class switch recombination, and DNA damage responses in somatic cells<sup>51-53</sup>.  
823 Conditional knockout of *Ptip* causes spermatogenic arrest, but the function of PTIP during  
824 meiosis remains unclear<sup>54</sup>. Neither ZMYM3 nor PTIP was implicated previously in sex  
825 chromosome recombination. **(h)** Enrichment of ZMYM3 (top) and PTIP (bottom) on the PAR.  
826 Sex chromosomes of representative early pachytene spermatocytes are shown. Scale bars: 1  $\mu$ m.  
827 ZMYM3 and PTIP were enriched in the PAR in all spermatocytes analyzed (N>20) in three  
828 mice. **(i)** Yeast two-hybrid assays testing interaction of full-length ANKRD31 fused to the Gal4  
829 activating domain (AD) with either full-length PTIP or the C-terminal 191 amino acids of  
830 ZMYM3 fused to the Gal4 DNA binding domain (BD). (Full-length ZMYM3 autoactivates in  
831 this assay.) DDO (double dropout) medium selects for presence of both the AD and BD vectors  
832 (positive control for growth); QDO (quadruple dropout) and QXA (QDO plus X- $\alpha$ -gal and  
833 aureobasidin A) media select for a productive two-hybrid interaction at lower and higher  
834 stringency, respectively. Image is representative of two experiments using the same yeast strains.

### 835 **Extended Data Fig. 2: PAR ultrastructure.**

836 **(a)** Comparison of conventional microscopy and SIM, showing that the thickened PAR axis in  
837 conventional microscopy is resolved as separated axial cores (arrowheads). Scale bars: 2  $\mu$ m.  
838 The thickening of the PAR axis in conventional microscopy and the splitting of the PAR axis in  
839 SIM was observed in more than 60 spermatocytes at late zygonema in at least three mice. **(b)**  
840 Ultrastructure of axis proteins SYCP2, SYCP3, and HORMAD2 in the PAR. Scale bars: 1  $\mu$ m.  
841 SYCP2 (left) and HORMAD2 (right) staining mimic SYCP3 staining in late zygonema by  
842 conventional microscopy in all cells analyzed (N>30) in at least three mice, and by SIM (N=5,  
843 one mouse) (except that HORMAD2 appears rather depleted at the telomeres compared to  
844 SYCP3 and SYCP2). **(c-d)** Ruling out a crozier configuration. In principle, sister chromatid axes  
845 could be split apart or the PAR could adopt a crozier configuration in which a single conjoined  
846 axis for both sister chromatids is folded back on itself. A crozier (cartooned in **c**) was ruled out  
847 because the telomere binding protein TRF1<sup>55</sup> decorates the tip of the PAR bubble (**d**) and FISH  
848 signal for the PARb probe is arrayed relatively symmetrically on both axial cores (**e**), consistent  
849 with separated sister chromatid axes (a bubble configuration). Scale bars: 1  $\mu$ m. We conclude  
850 that each axis is a sister chromatid, with a “bubble” from near the PAR boundary almost to the  
851 telomere. The presence of TRF1 at the distal tip of the PAR was observed in all spermatocytes  
852 analyzed, in one mouse (by conventional microscopy, N>20; by SIM, N=3). PARb FISH signals  
853 were relatively symmetrically arranged along the split PAR axes (by conventional microscopy,  
854 N>100 in at least three mice, or by SIM, N=9 in three mice). **(f)** Schematic of PAR ultrastructure  
855 and distribution of axis and RMMAI proteins at late zygonema. **(g, h)** Paired PARs with  
856 elongated and split axes occur in late zygonema to early pachynema. Shown are electron  
857 micrographs adapted with permission from ref.<sup>56</sup> in comparison with SIM immunofluorescence  
858 images of spermatocytes at early pachynema (panel **g**) or late zygonema (panel **h**; cyan  
859 arrowheads indicate examples of incomplete autosomal synapsis). The spermatocytes in the  
860 electron micrographs were originally considered to be in mid-to-late pachynema<sup>56</sup>. However, in  
861 our SIM experiments, we can only detect this structure (paired X and Y with elongated and split  
862 axes, resembling a crocodile’s jaws) around the zygotene-to-pachytene transition, when RMMAI  
863 proteins are still highly abundant on the PAR axes, and when most or all autosomes are

864 completely synapsed. Moreover, other published electron micrographs from mid-to-late  
865 pachytene spermatocytes show diagnostic ultrastructural features that are not present in the  
866 electron micrographs reproduced here, including a short PAR axis length, multi-stranded  
867 stretches of axis on non-PAR portions of the X and Y chromosomes with excrescence of axial  
868 elements, and a clear thickening of autosomal telomeres<sup>15,57</sup>. These observations allow us to  
869 conclude definitively that the elongation and splitting of PAR axes are a hallmark of cells from  
870 late zygonema into early pachynema. Scale bars in SIM images: 1  $\mu\text{m}$  in panel **g**, 2  $\mu\text{m}$  in panel  
871 **h**. Extended and split PAR axes were observed by SIM (N>30 spermatocytes) around the  
872 zygonema-pachynema transition in more than three mice. **(i)** REC114 enrichment and axis  
873 splitting occurs in the absence of SPO11, thus neither is provoked by DSB formation. Scale bar:  
874 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . PAR axis splitting and extension of the RMMAI signal were observed by SIM in *Spo11*<sup>-/-</sup>  
875 mice in more than 20 late zygotene-like spermatocytes in more than three mice. The  
876 differentiation of the PAR axis became hardly detectable at later stages in some pachytene-like  
877 spermatocytes as cells entered apoptosis.

878 **Extended Data Fig. 3: Time course of the spatial organization of the PAR loop-axis**  
879 **ensemble.**

880 **(a)** Time course of REC8 and ANKRD31 immunostaining along the PAR axis from pre-  
881 leptonema (preL, left) to mid pachynema (right). A montage of representative SIM images is  
882 shown. Chromosomes a–e are presumptive X or Y, but could be the distal end of chr9.  
883 Chromosomes at later stages were unambiguously identified by morphology. Chromosomes i–k  
884 show examples where the initial pairing (probably synaptic) contact between X and Y is (i)  
885 centromere-proximal (that is, closer to the PAR boundary), (k) distal (closer to the telomere), or  
886 (j) interstitial. Scale bar: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . The preferential enrichment of REC8 at the border of the PAR  
887 split axes was observed in more than 30 zygotene spermatocytes by SIM in more than three  
888 mice. **(b)** We collected three measurements of conventional immuno-FISH images from  
889 leptonema through mid-pachynema: length of the REC114 signal along the PAR axis; maximal  
890 distance from the PARb FISH signal to the distal end of the SYCP3-defined axis; and axis-  
891 orthogonal extension of FISH signal for the PARb probe (a proxy for loop sizes). Data were  
892 collected on three males. Insets show examples of each type of measurement at each stage.  
893 Horizontal black lines indicate means. Means of each measurement for each mouse at each stage  
894 are given below, along with the means across all three mice. Means are rounded to two  
895 significant figures; the grand means were calculated using unrounded values from individual  
896 mice. The number of cells of each stage from each mouse is given (N). Modest variability in the  
897 apparent dimensions of the Y chromosome PAR between different mice may be attributable to  
898 variation in copy number of mo-2 and other repeats because of unequal exchange during meiosis.  
899 Nonetheless, highly similar changes in spatial organization over time in prophase were observed  
900 in all mice examined, namely progressive elongation then shortening of axes and concomitant  
901 lengthening of loops. Scale bar: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ .

902 Briefly, panels a and b show the following. At pre-leptonema, ANKRD31 blobs had a  
903 closely juxtaposed focus of the meiotic cohesin subunit REC8 (chromosome a). In leptonema  
904 and early zygonema, ANKRD31 and REC114 signals stretched along the presumptive PAR axes,  
905 with REC8 restricted to the borders (panel a, chromosomes b–e). The SYCP3-defined axis was  
906 already long as soon as it was detectable (0.73  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and the PARb FISH signal was compact  
907 (0.52  $\mu\text{m}$ ) (panel bi). At late zygonema, the PAR axis had lengthened still further (1.0  $\mu\text{m}$ ),  
908 while the PARb signal remained compact (panel bii). The PAR split into separate axes during

909 this stage, each with abundant RMMAI (panel a, chromosomes f–h). The split was a REC8-poor  
910 zone bounded by REC8 foci (panel a, chromosomes f–h and Extended Data Fig. 2f). After  
911 synapsis, axes shortened and chromatin loops decompacted, with concomitant RMMAI  
912 dissociation. As cells transitioned into early pachynema and the X and Y PARs synapsed (panel  
913 a, chromosomes i–m), the PAR axes began to shorten slightly (0.85  $\mu\text{m}$ ) while the PARb signal  
914 expanded (0.85  $\mu\text{m}$ ) (panel biii). Meanwhile, the elongated ANKRD31 signals progressively  
915 decreased in intensity, collapsed along with the shortening axes, and separated from the axis  
916 while remaining nearby (panel a, chromosomes l–m). By mid-pachynema, PAR axes collapsed  
917 still further, to about half their zygotene length (0.50  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and the PARb chromatin expanded to  
918 more than twice the zygotene measurement (1.3  $\mu\text{m}$ ). ANKRD31 and REC114 enrichment  
919 largely disappeared, leaving behind a bright bolus of REC8 on the short remaining axis (panel a,  
920 chromosomes n–o and panel biv).  
921 (c) Non-homologous synapsis appears sufficient to trigger collapse of the PAR loop-axis  
922 structure. We measured REC114 signal length along the PAR axis and extension of mo-2  
923 chromatin orthogonal to the axis in *Spo11*<sup>-/-</sup> spermatocytes in which the X PAR had non-  
924 homologously synapsed with an autosome while the Y PAR remained unsynapsed. Within any  
925 given cell, the unsynapsed Y PAR maintained the characteristic late zygotene configuration  
926 (long axis, short loops) whereas the synapsed X PAR adopted the configuration characteristic of  
927 mid-pachynema (short axis, long loops). Error bars are mean  $\pm$  SD. Scale bar: 2 $\mu\text{m}$ . We do not  
928 exclude that DSB formation without synapsis may also be sufficient (Supplementary  
929 Discussion).

930 **Extended Data Fig. 4: RMMAI enrichment at mo-2 minisatellite arrays in the PAR and on**  
931 **specific autosomes.**

932 (a) Top panel: Self alignment of the PARb FISH probe (reproduced from Fig. 2a). The circled  
933 block is a 20-kb mo-2 cluster. Bottom panel: Schematic depicting the last 1.4 Mb of the non-  
934 centromeric ends of the indicated chromosomes, showing the positions of mo-2 repeats (green)  
935 adjacent to assembly gaps (mm10); mo-2 repeats were identified by BLAST search using the  
936 mo-2 consensus sequence. Mo-2 repeats also appear at the distal end of chr4 in the Celera  
937 assembly (Mm\_Celera, 2009/03/04). PARb and PARd BAC clones are indicated. (b)  
938 Confirmation that autosomal mo-2 FISH signals match the chromosomal locations indicated by  
939 mm10 or Celera genome assemblies. FISH was performed using an oligonucleotide probe  
940 containing the mo-2 consensus sequence in combination with BAC probes for adjacent segments  
941 of chromosomes 13, 9 and 4, as indicated. Magenta arrows point to concordant FISH signals.  
942 The chr9 BAC probe also hybridizes to the PAR. Scale bars: 2 $\mu\text{m}$ . The colocalization of mo-2  
943 and the three autosomal FISH signals was observed in two mice (N>20 spermatocytes). (c)  
944 Comparison of mo-2 FISH with REC114 localization relative to the PAR boundary (PARb FISH  
945 probe) and the distal PAR (PARd probe). In mid zygonema, the mo-2 FISH signal colocalizes  
946 well with REC114 staining in between the PARb and PARd FISH signals. In late zygonema, mo-  
947 2 and REC114 are similar to one another and are elongated along the thickened SYCP3 staining  
948 of the PAR axis. From early to mid pachynema, REC114 progressively disappears, whereas the  
949 mo-2 FISH signal becomes largely extended away from the PAR axes. Note that the relative  
950 positions of the PARb and PARd probes reinforce the conclusion that the PAR does not adopt a  
951 crozier configuration. Scale bar: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . The different positioning of PARb and PARd FISH  
952 signals compared to mo-2 or REC114 signals was observed in more than 30 spermatocytes in at  
953 least three mice. (d) Illustration of the compact organization of the PAR chromatin (mo-2 FISH

954 signal) compared to a whole-Y-chromosome paint probe. Scale bar: 2  $\mu$ m. The costaining of mo-  
955 2 and full chrY probe was evaluated in one mouse (N>20 spermatocytes). **(e)** Lower mo-2 copy  
956 number in the *M. m. molossinus* subspecies correlates with lower REC114 staining in mo-2  
957 regions. The left panels compare MSM and B6 mice for the colocalization between REC114  
958 immunostaining and mo-2 FISH in leptotene spermatocytes. The REC114 and SYCP3 channels  
959 are shown at equivalent exposure for the two strains, whereas a longer exposure is shown for the  
960 mo-2 FISH signal in the MSM spermatocyte. Note that the mo-2-associated REC114 blobs are  
961 much brighter relative to the smaller dispersed REC114 foci in the B6 spermatocyte than in  
962 MSM. The right panel shows representative pachytene spermatocytes to confirm the locations of  
963 mo-2 clusters at autosome ends and the PAR in the MSM background. Scale bars: 2  $\mu$ m. The  
964 lower intensity of REC114 blobs in MSM compared to B6 was observed in N>30 spermatocytes  
965 in three different pairs of mice. **(f)** PAR enrichment for ANKRD31 and RPA2 correlates with  
966 mo-2 copy number. Top panel: late zygotene spermatocytes from MSM x B6 F1 hybrid. Scale  
967 bar: 1  $\mu$ m. Bottom panel: PAR-associated signals (A.U., arbitrary units) on B6-derived ( $Y^B$ ) and  
968 MSM-derived chromosomes ( $X^M$ ) from the indicated number of spermatocytes (N). Red lines:  
969 means  $\pm$  SD. Differences between X and Y PAR intensities are significant for both proteins and  
970 for mo-2 FISH in both F1 hybrids ( $p < 10^{-13}$ , paired t-test; exact two-sided p values are in **Data**  
971 **File S2**). **(g)** Representative micrographs of late zygotene spermatocytes from reciprocal F1  
972 hybrid males from crosses of B6 (high mo-2 copy number) and MSM (low mo-2 copy number)  
973 parents. Scale bar: 1  $\mu$ m. **(h)** Frequency of paired X and Y at late zygonema and mid pachynema  
974 analyzed in three MSM and three B6 males. Differences between strains were not statistically  
975 significant at either stage ( $p = 0.241$  for late zygonema and  $p = 0.136$  for mid pachynema; two-  
976 sided Student's t test). Note also that MSM X and Y are late-pairing chromosomes, as in the B6  
977 background. The similar pairing kinetics indicates that the lower intensity of RMAI staining on  
978 the MSM PAR is not attributable to earlier PAR pairing and synapsis in this strain. The number  
979 of spermatocytes analyzed is indicated (N).

#### 980 **Extended Data Fig. 5: Mo-2 regions accumulate heterochromatin factors.**

981 **(a)** Costaining of ANKRD31 or mo-2 with the indicated proteins and histone marks known to  
982 localize at the pericentromeric heterochromatin (mouse major satellite), in zygotene  
983 spermatocytes (left) and pre-leptotene spermatocytes (right). Each of the heterochromatin factors  
984 shows locally enriched signal coincident with mo-2 regions (arrowheads), in addition to broader  
985 staining of other sub-nuclear regions. Scale bars: 2  $\mu$ m. The CHD3/4 antibody recognizes both  
986 proteins<sup>58</sup>. The colocalization of ANKRD31 blobs with heterochromatin blobs was observed in  
987 all zygotene spermatocytes analyzed (N>20) in at least three mice for each antibody (left panel)  
988 and in one mouse for pre-leptotene spermatocytes (N>10) for each antibody (right panel). **(b)**  
989 CHD3/4, ATRX, HP1 $\beta$ , H4K20me3, H3K9me3 and macroH2A1.2 are not detectably enriched at  
990 mo-2 regions in spermatogonia (small, DMRT1-positive cells). These factors may be present at  
991 mo-2 regions in these cells, but do not appear to accumulate to elevated levels. Scale bars: 2  $\mu$ m.  
992 The absence of colocalization between mo-2 FISH signals and heterochromatin factors was  
993 noted in all spermatogonia analyzed (N>30) from one mouse. **(c)** Heterochromatin factors can be  
994 detected in the PAR up to late pachynema. Each of the assayed proteins and histone marks  
995 showed staining on the autosomal and X-specific pericentromeric heterochromatin, the sex body,  
996 and euchromatin, albeit with variations between sites in the timing and level of accumulation.  
997 Importantly, however, they also showed enriched staining at all mo-2 regions up to early/mid-  
998 pachynema, as shown for H4K20me3 (top panel). By mid-to-late pachynema, as shown for



999 H3K9me3 here, the signal persisted in the PAR but was usually barely detectable on chr9 or  
1000 chr13 mo-2 regions. This observation indicates that, at least for the PAR, the heterochromatin  
1001 factors can continue to be enriched on mo-2 chromatin after RMMAI proteins have dissociated.  
1002 These results substantially extend previous observations about CHD3/4 colocalizing with PAR  
1003 FISH signals; H4K20me3 being localized in the PAR and other chromosome ends; and  
1004 H3K9me3, HP1 $\beta$  and macroH2A1.2 detection in the PAR in late pachynema<sup>58-61</sup>. Scale bars: 2  
1005  $\mu$ m. The colocalization between Maj sat and H4K20me3 and H3K9me3 was observed in all  
1006 spermatocytes analyzed (N>20) in one mouse. The colocalization between H4K20me3 and mo-2  
1007 FISH signals was observed in all spermatocytes analyzed (N>60), from preleptotene to mid  
1008 pachytene in more than three mice. **(d)** Enrichment of the heterochromatin factors is independent  
1009 of SPO11. Representative images of Y chromosomes from a *Spo11*<sup>-/-</sup> mouse are shown. Scale  
1010 bar: 1  $\mu$ m. The colocalization between PAR mo-2 FISH signals and heterochromatin factors was  
1011 observed in all *Spo11*<sup>-/-</sup> spermatocytes analyzed (N>30) in more than three mice for CHD3/4 and  
1012 at least one mouse each for ATRX, HP1 $\beta$ , HP1 $\gamma$ , macroH2A1.2, H3K9me3, and H4K20me3.

1013 **Extended Data Fig. 6: Genetic requirements for RMMAI assembly on chromosomes and**  
1014 **for PAR loop-axis organization.**

1015 **(a)** Representative micrographs of ANKRD31, MEI4, IHO1 and MEI1 staining in wild type and  
1016 the indicated mutants (quantification is in **Fig. 3a**). Scale bars: 2  $\mu$ m. **(b)** Measurements of PAR  
1017 loop-axis organization, as in **Fig. 3b**, on two additional males. Data from mouse 1 are  
1018 reproduced from **Fig. 3b** to facilitate comparison. Means of each measurement for each mouse at  
1019 each stage are given below, along with the means across all three mice. Means are rounded to  
1020 two significant figures; the grand means were calculated using unrounded values from individual  
1021 mice. The number of cells of each stage from each mouse is given (N). **(c)** REC8 is dispensable  
1022 for splitting apart of PAR sister chromatid axes, but is required to maintain the connection  
1023 between sisters at the distal tip of the chromosome. A representative SIM image is shown of a Y  
1024 chromosome from a late zygotene *Rec8*<sup>-/-</sup> spermatocyte. The SYCP3-labeled axes adopt an  
1025 open-fork configuration. Note that the distal FISH probe (PARd) shows that there are clearly  
1026 disjointed sisters whereas the PAR boundary (PARb) shows only a single compact signal  
1027 comparable to wild type. The disposition of the probes and SYCP3 further rules out the crozier  
1028 configuration as an explanation for split PAR axes. Scale bar: 1  $\mu$ m. The Y or X PAR structure  
1029 was resolved by SIM as “fork-shaped” in all spermatocytes analyzed (N>20) from three mice.  
1030 **(d)** Quantification of REC114 and MEI4 foci in two additional pairs of wild-type and *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup>  
1031 mice. Horizontal lines indicate means. Fewer foci were observed in the *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> mutant (two-  
1032 sided Student’s t tests for each comparison of mutant to wild type: p = 5.6  $\times$  10<sup>-6</sup> (2<sup>nd</sup> set,  
1033 REC114); p = 1.1  $\times$  10<sup>-5</sup> (2<sup>nd</sup> set, MEI4); p = 2.1  $\times$  10<sup>-6</sup> (3<sup>rd</sup> set, REC114); p = 0.017 (3<sup>rd</sup>, MEI4)).  
1034 **(e)** Reduced REC114-staining intensity of axis-associated foci in *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants. To  
1035 rigorously control for slide-to-slide and within-slide variation in immunostaining, we mixed  
1036 together wild-type and *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> testis cell suspensions before preparing chromosome spreads.  
1037 A representative image is shown of a region from a single microscopic field containing two wild-  
1038 type zygotene spermatocytes (left) and two *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> spermatocytes of equivalent stage (right).  
1039 Note the diminished intensity of REC114 foci in the *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> spermatocytes. Scale bar: 2  $\mu$ m.  
1040 REC114 (non-blob) foci showed lower fluorescence intensity in *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> compared to wild  
1041 type in all pairs of spermatocytes captured in the same imaging field (N=8 pairs), from one pair  
1042 of mice. **(f)** PAR enrichment of heterochromatin-associated factors is independent of ANKRD31.  
1043 Representative images of the Y chromosome at late zygonema/early pachynema showing

1044 colocalization between the decompacted mo-2 chromatin and the indicated proteins. Note that  
1045 both the FISH and immunofluorescence signals are localized mostly off the axis. Compare with  
1046 the same signals in absence of SPO11 (**Extended Data Fig. 5d**). Scale bar: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . Mo-2 FISH  
1047 signal colocalized off the axis with the heterochromatin factors in *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> mice in all  
1048 spermatocytes analyzed (N>30) in more than three mice for CHD3/4 and at least one mouse for  
1049 ATRX, HP1 $\beta$ , HP1 $\gamma$ , macroH2A1.2, H3K9me3, and H4K20me3.

1050 **Extended Data Fig. 7: PAR-associated RPA2 foci.**

1051 **(a)** Loop-axis organization of the mo-2 region of chr9 in late zygonema. Compare with the PAR  
1052 (**Fig. 3b**). Scale bars: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . Error bars: means  $\pm$  SD. **(b)** Low mo-2 copy number correlates with  
1053 less loop-axis reorganization (SIM images of late-zygotene F1-hybrid spermatocytes). Scale  
1054 bars: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . The differentiation of the B6 PAR was observed in both hybrids B6  $\times$  MSM and  
1055 MSM  $\times$  B6 in 3 and 4 spermatocytes, respectively by SIM (1 mouse for each) and in more than  
1056 20 spermatocytes by conventional microscopy in two mice of each genotype. **(c,d,e)** Immuno-  
1057 FISH for RPA2 and mo-2 was used to detect DSBs cytologically in wild type and the indicated  
1058 mutants. To analyze *Rec8* and *Hormad1* mutations, we compared to mutants lacking SYCE1 (a  
1059 synaptonemal complex central element component<sup>62</sup>) because *Syce1*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants show similar  
1060 meiotic progression defects without defective RMMAI recruitment. Panel **c** shows representative  
1061 images. Scale bars: 2  $\mu\text{m}$ , inset 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . Panel **d** shows the global counts of RPA2 foci for  
1062 zygotene (zyg) or zygotene-like cells and for pachytene (pach) or pachytene-like cells. Panel **e**  
1063 shows, for each cell, the fraction of mo-2 regions that had a colocalized RPA2 focus. Red lines:  
1064 means  $\pm$  SD. Statistical significance is indicated in panels **c** and **d** for comparisons (two-sided  
1065 Student's t tests) of wild type to *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> or of *Syce1*<sup>-/-</sup> to either *Rec8*<sup>-/-</sup> or *Hormad1*<sup>-/-</sup> for  
1066 matched stages. Exact p values are in **Data File S4**. Note that the number of discretely scorable  
1067 mo-2 regions in panel **e** varied from cell to cell depending on pairing status. **(f)** Frequent DSB  
1068 formation at mo-2 regions in the PARs and on autosomes does not require HORMAD1.  
1069 Micrograph at left shows two adjacent spermatocytes (boundary indicated by dashed line). Scale  
1070 bar: 2  $\mu\text{m}$ . Insets at right show higher magnification views of the numbered mo-2 regions, all of  
1071 which are associated with RPA2 immunostaining of varying intensity. This picture illustrates the  
1072 preferential RPA2 focus formation in mo-2 regions in a *Hormad1*<sup>-/-</sup> mouse; quantification is in  
1073 panel **e**. **(g)** Autosomal mo-2 regions often form DSBs late. Immuno-FISH for RPA2, mo-2, and  
1074 PARb was used to detect DSBs cytologically in wild type from leptonema to mid-pachynema,  
1075 and to distinguish the X or Y PAR from chromosomes 9 and 13. Chr4 was not assayed because  
1076 the mo-2 FISH signal was often barely detectable. The top panel shows the global number of  
1077 RPA2 foci per cell. Black lines are means  $\pm$  SD. The bottom panel shows the percentage of  
1078 spermatocytes with an RPA2 focus overlapping the PAR (X, Y, or both) or overlapping chr9 or  
1079 chr13. A representative image of an early pachytene spermatocyte is shown. Note that, as  
1080 previously shown for the PAR<sup>2</sup>, autosomal mo-2 regions continue to accumulate RPA2 foci  
1081 beyond the time when global RPA2 foci have largely or completely ceased accumulating. Scale  
1082 bar: 2  $\mu\text{m}$ . **(h)** X-Y pairing status, quantified by immuno-FISH for SYCP3 and the PARd probe.  
1083 **(i)** Montage of SIM images from a B6 male showing that multiple, distinct RPA2 foci can be  
1084 detected from late zygonema to mid pachynema, suggesting that multiple PAR DSBs can be  
1085 formed during one meiosis (see also ref. <sup>2</sup> for further discussion). Scale bar: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . The presence  
1086 of multiple RPA2 foci in the PAR was observed by SIM in more than 20 spermatocytes from late  
1087 zygonema to mid pachynema in one mouse. **(j)** Percentage of spermatocytes at the zygotene-  
1088 pachytene transition with no (0), 1, 2 or 3 distinguishable RPA2 foci on the unsynapsed Y

1089 chromosome PAR of MSM and B6 mice. The difference between the strains is statistically  
1090 significant (negative binomial regression,  $p = 7.2 \times 10^{-5}$ ). N indicates the number of  
1091 spermatocytes analyzed. A representative picture is shown for each genotype, with one RPA2  
1092 focus on the MSM PAR and two apparent sites of RPA2 accumulation on the B6 PAR. The  
1093 detection of multiple foci is consistent with reported double crossovers<sup>6</sup>. Scale bar: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ .

1094 **Extended Data Fig. 8: DSB maps on the PAR and autosomal mo-2 regions.**

1095 (a) SSDS sequence coverage (data from refs.<sup>7,20</sup>) is shown for the X PAR (shown previously in  
1096 different form in ref.<sup>20</sup>), the Y PAR, and the mo-2-adjacent regions of chr9 and chr13. The  
1097 dashed segments indicate gaps in the mm10 genome assembly. We did not assess chr4 because  
1098 available assemblies are too incomplete. (b) Regions adjacent to the mo-2 region on chr9 show  
1099 SSDS signal that is reproducibly elevated relative to chr9 average in wild-type testis samples but  
1100 not in maps from *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> testes or wild-type ovaries. Two of the SSDS browser tracks are  
1101 reproduced from panel a. The bar graph shows enrichment values from individual SSDS maps  
1102 (T1–T9 are maps from wild-type testes; O1 and O2 are from wild-type ovaries<sup>31</sup>). Enrichment  
1103 values are defined as coverage across the indicated coordinates relative to mean coverage for  
1104 chr9 (see Methods for details). Note that ovary sample O1 and the *Ankrd31*<sup>-/-</sup> adult sample are  
1105 known to have poorer signal:noise ratios than the other samples<sup>20,31</sup>. For all SSDS coverage  
1106 tracks, reads mapping to multiple locations are included after random assignment to one of their  
1107 mapped positions. However, the same conclusions are reached about ANKRD31-dependence  
1108 and PRDM9-independence of signal on chr9 and chr13 if only uniquely mapped reads are used.  
1109 (c) Oocytes incur substantially less DSB formation than spermatocytes near the mo-2 region on  
1110 chr9. SSDS signal is from ref.<sup>31</sup> (samples T1 and O2). The X-PAR is shown for comparison  
1111 (previously shown to be essentially devoid of DSBs in ovary samples<sup>31</sup>). See panel b for  
1112 quantification.

1113 **Extended Data Fig. 9: RMMAI accumulation and low-level DSB formation on mo-2**  
1114 **regions in oocytes.**

1115 (a) Examples of zygotene oocytes showing the colocalization between blobs of IHO1 and  
1116 REC114, MEI4 and MEI1, or ANKRD31 and mo-2 FISH signal (arrowheads). Scale bars: 2 $\mu\text{m}$ .  
1117 RMMAI blobs colocalized with mo-2 FISH signals in all zygotene oocytes analyzed (N>30)  
1118 from at least three mice. (b) PAR ultrastructure in oocytes, quantified as in **Extended Data Fig.**  
1119 **3b**. Late zygotene cells with PAR synapsis are compiled separately from other zygotene cells.  
1120 Error bars: means  $\pm$  SD. Scale bar: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . (c) Examples of zygotene oocytes showing  
1121 colocalization of ANKRD31 blobs with enrichment for heterochromatin factors. Scale bars: 2  
1122  $\mu\text{m}$ . ANKRD31 colocalized with heterochromatin factors blobs in all zygotene oocytes analyzed  
1123 (N>20) from one mouse. (d) Representative SIM image of a wild-type late zygotene oocyte  
1124 showing neither detectable splitting of the PAR axis nor REC8 enrichment. Scale bar: 2  $\mu\text{m}$ . The  
1125 absence of spermatocyte-like differentiation of the PAR axis was observed (N>30 zygotene  
1126 oocytes) in more than three mice. A modest degree of differentiation was observed in a minority  
1127 of oocytes (5/45) analyzed by SIM, but this did not resemble the typical PAR axis splitting found  
1128 in spermatocytes. (e) Prolonged asynapsis does not allow axis splitting to occur in oocytes.  
1129 Because synapsis appears sufficient to trigger collapse of PAR ultrastructure in spermatocytes  
1130 (**Extended Data Fig. 3b**), we asked if preventing synapsis (i.e., in a *Syce1*<sup>-/-</sup> mutant) could  
1131 reveal a cryptic tendency toward axis splitting in oocytes. However, whereas axis splitting was  
1132 clearly observed by SIM in *Syce1*<sup>-/-</sup> mutant spermatocytes, PAR axes were not detectably split in

1133 oocytes. Scale bars: 2  $\mu\text{m}$  for main micrograph, 1  $\mu\text{m}$  for insets. Axis splitting of chr9 was  
1134 observed by SIM in multiple (N>20) *Syce1*<sup>-/-</sup> spermatocytes from three different mice. The  
1135 chr13 or chr4 centromere-distal axes were also occasionally seen to be split, but we did not  
1136 quantify this for these chromosomes. In males, the differentiation of the PAR or the chr9 axes  
1137 becomes hardly detectable at later stages in some pachytene-like spermatocytes as cells enter  
1138 apoptosis, similar to *Spo11*<sup>-/-</sup> or *Hormad1*<sup>-/-</sup> mice. However, in *Syce1*<sup>-/-</sup> oocytes, no significant  
1139 axis differentiation or splitting was observed by conventional microscopy or by SIM in multiple  
1140 spermatocytes (N>30) from three different mice, similar to what we observed in wild-type  
1141 oocytes. **(f,h)** Delaying synapsis promotes PAR DSB formation in oocytes. Top panels:  
1142 representative micrographs of pachytene XY **(f)** and *Syce1*<sup>-/-</sup> XX oocytes **(h)**. Middle panels:  
1143 RPA2 fluorescence intensity at the border of mo-2 FISH signals from PAR, chr9, and chr13.  
1144 Bottom panels: Percentage of oocytes with RPA2 focus colocalizing with mo-2 regions on PAR,  
1145 chr9, and chr13. Graphs show data only for pachytene oocytes in which PARs are synapsed (two  
1146 mice of each genotype). Error bars: means  $\pm$  SD. Scale bars: 2  $\mu\text{m}$ . **(g)** Percentage of pachytene  
1147 oocytes with one or more RPA2 foci colocalizing with mo-2 FISH signal from PAR, chr9 and  
1148 chr13 in XY pachytene oocytes that had unsynapsed X and Y chromosomes. Scale bar: 2  $\mu\text{m}$ ,  
1149 inset: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ .

1150 **Extended Data Fig. 10: Summary of PAR ultrastructure and molecular determinants of**  
1151 **axis remodeling and DSB formation.**

1152 Schematic representation of the meiotic Y chromosome loop/axis structure before X–Y  
1153 pairing/synapsis at the transition between zygonema and pachynema. The chromosome axis  
1154 comprises the meiosis-specific axial proteins SYCP2, SYCP3, HORMAD1, and HORMAD2;  
1155 cohesin subunits (only REC8 is represented); and the RMMAI proteins (REC114, MEI4, MEI1,  
1156 ANKRD31, and IHO1). On the non-PAR portion of the Y chromosome axis (left), RMMAI  
1157 protein loading and DSB formation are partly dependent on HORMAD1 and ANKRD31, and  
1158 strictly dependent on MEI4, REC114<sup>19</sup>, IHO1<sup>21</sup>, and presumably MEI1<sup>18</sup>. The DNA is organized  
1159 into large loops, with a low number of axis-associated RMMAI foci. By contrast, in the PAR  
1160 (right), the hyper-accumulation of RMMAI proteins at mo-2 minisatellites (possibly spreading  
1161 into adjacent chromatin) promotes the elongation and subsequent splitting of the PAR sister  
1162 chromatid axes. Short mo-2-containing chromatin loops stretch along this extended PAR axis,  
1163 increasing the physical distance between the PAR boundary and the distal PAR sequences,  
1164 including the telomere. The degree of RMMAI protein loading, PAR axis differentiation, and  
1165 DSB formation are proportional to the mo-2 FISH signal (which we interpret as reflecting mo-2  
1166 copy number), and depend on MEI4, ANKRD31, and presumably REC114.  
1167

1168 **Data S1.(Separate file)**  
1169 Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 1c,d and Extended Data Fig. 1c,d, 3b,c  
1170  
1171 **Data S2.(Separate file)**  
1172 Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 4f,g.  
1173  
1174 **Data S3.(Separate file)**  
1175 Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 3a,b and Extended Data Fig. 6b,d.  
1176  
1177 **Data S4.(Separate file)**  
1178 Excel file containing underlying data for Fig. 4 and Extended Data Fig. 7a,d,e and 9b,f,h.  
1179  
1180 **Data S5.(Separate file)**  
1181 Excel file containing results of anti-ANKRD31 immunoprecipitation/mass spectrometry  
1182 analysis.  
1183  
1184









