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Foreword

The evaluation of research and doctoral training is being carried out in the years 2010–2012 and will end in 2012. The steering group appointed by the Rector in January 2010 set the conditions for participating in the evaluation and prepared the Terms of Reference to present the evaluation procedure and criteria. The publications and other scientific activities included in the evaluation covered the years 2005–2010.

The participating unit in the evaluation was defined as a Researcher Community (RC). To obtain a critical mass with university-level impact, the number of members was set to range from 20 to 120. The RCs were required to contain researchers in all stages of their research career, from doctoral students to principal investigators (PIs). All in all, 136 Researcher Communities participated in this voluntary evaluation, 5857 persons in total, of whom 1131 were principal investigators. PIs were allowed to participate in two communities in certain cases, and 72 of them used this opportunity and participated in two RCs.

This evaluation enabled researchers to define RCs from the “bottom up” and across disciplines. The aim of the evaluation was not to assess individual performance but a community with shared aims and researcher-training activities. The RCs were able to choose among five different categories that characterised the status and main aims of their research. The steering group considered the process of applying to participate in the evaluation to be important, which lead to the establishment of these categories. In addition, providing a service for the RCs to enable them to benchmark their research at the global level was a main goal of the evaluation.

The data for the evaluation consisted of the RCs’ answers to evaluation questions on supplied e-forms and a compilation extracted from the TUHAT – Research Information System (RIS) on 12 April 2011. The compilation covered scientific and other publications as well as certain areas of scientific activities. During the process, the RCs were asked to check the list of publications and other scientific activities and make corrections if needed. These TUHAT compilations are public and available on the evaluation project sites of each RC in the TUHAT-RIS.

In addition to the e-form and TUHAT compilation, University of Leiden (CWTS) carried out bibliometric analyses from the articles included in the Web of Science (WoS). This was done on University and RC levels. In cases where the publication forums of the RC were clearly not represented by the WoS data, the Library of the University of Helsinki conducted a separate analysis of the publications. This was done for 66 RCs representing the humanities and social sciences.

The evaluation office also carried out an enquiry targeted to the supervisors and PhD candidates about the organisation of doctoral studies at the University of Helsinki. This and other documents describing the University and the Finnish higher education system were provided to the panellists.

The panel feedback for each RC is unique and presented as an entity. The first collective evaluation reports available for the whole panel were prepared in July–August 2011. The reports were accessible to all panel members via the electronic evaluation platform in August. Scoring from 1 to 5 was used to complement written feedback in association with evaluation questions 1–4 (scientific focus and quality, doctoral training, societal impact, cooperation) and in addition to the category evaluating the fitness for participation in the evaluation. Panellists used the international level as a point of comparison in the evaluation. Scoring was not expected to go along with a preset deviation.

Each of the draft reports were discussed and dealt with by the panel in meetings in Helsinki (from 11 September to 13 September or from 18 September to 20 September 2011). In these meetings the panels also examined the deviations among the scores and finalised the draft reports together.

The current RC-specific report deals shortly with the background of the evaluation and the terms of participation. The main evaluation feedback is provided in the evaluation report, organised according to the evaluation questions. The original material provided by the RCs for the panellists has been attached to these documents.
On behalf of the evaluation steering group and office, I sincerely wish to thank you warmly for your participation in this evaluation. The effort you made in submitting the data to TUHAT-RIS is gratefully acknowledged by the University. We wish that you find this panel feedback useful in many ways. The bibliometric profiles may open a new view on your publication forums and provide a perspective for discussion on your choice of forums. We especially hope that this evaluation report will help you in setting the future goals of your research.
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1 Introduction to the Evaluation

1.1 RC-specific evaluation reports

The participants in the evaluation of research and doctoral training were Researcher Communities (hereafter referred to as the RC). The RC refers to the group of researchers who registered together in the evaluation of their research and doctoral training. Preconditions in forming RCs were stated in the Guidelines for the Participating Researcher Communities. The RCs defined themselves whether their compositions should be considered well-established or new.

It is essential to emphasise that the evaluation combines both meta-evaluation1 and traditional research assessment exercise and its focus is both on the research outcomes and procedures associated with research and doctoral training. The approach to the evaluation is enhancement-led where self-evaluation constituted the main information. The answers to the evaluation questions formed together with the information of publications and other scientific activities an entity that was to be reviewed as a whole.

The present evaluation recognizes and justifies the diversity of research practices and publication traditions. Traditional Research Assessment Exercises do not necessarily value high quality research with low volumes or research distinct from mainstream research. It is challenging to expose the diversity of research to fair comparison. To understand the essence of different research practices and to do justice to their diversity was one of the main challenges of the present evaluation method. Understanding the divergent starting points of the RCs demanded sensitivity from the evaluators.

1.2 Aims and objectives in the evaluation

The aims of the evaluation are as follows:

- to improve the level of research and doctoral training at the University of Helsinki and to raise their international profile in accordance with the University’s strategic policies. The improvement of doctoral training should be compared to the University’s policy.2
- to enhance the research conducted at the University by taking into account the diversity, originality, multidisciplinary nature, success and field-specificity,
- to recognize the conditions and prerequisites under which excellent, original and high-impact research is carried out,
- to offer the academic community the opportunity to receive topical and versatile international peer feedback,
- to better recognize the University’s research potential.
- to exploit the University’s TUHAT research information system to enable transparency of publishing activities and in the production of reliable, comparable data.

1.3 Evaluation method

The evaluation can be considered as an enhancement-led evaluation. Instead of ranking, the main aim is to provide useful information for the enhancement of research and doctoral training of the participating RCs. The comparison should take into account each field of science and acknowledge their special character.

---

1 The panellists did not read research reports or abstracts but instead, they evaluated answers to the evaluation questions, tables and compilations of publications, other scientific activities, bibliometrics or comparable analyses.
2 Policies on doctoral degrees and other postgraduate degrees at the University of Helsinki.
The comparison produced information about the present status and factors that have lead to success. Also challenges in the operations and outcomes were recognized.

The evaluation approach has been designed to recognize better the significance and specific nature of researcher communities and research areas in the multidisciplinary top-level university. Furthermore, one of the aims of the evaluation is to bring to light those evaluation aspects that differ from the prevalent ones. Thus the views of various fields of research can be described and research arising from various starting points understood better. The doctoral training is integrated into the evaluation as a natural component related to research. Operational processes of doctoral training are being examined in the evaluation.

**Five stages of the evaluation method were:**
1. Registration – Stage 1
2. Self-evaluation – Stage 2
3. TUHAT\(^3\) compilations on publications and other scientific activities\(^4\)
4. External evaluation
5. Public reporting

### 1.4 Implementation of the external evaluation

**Five Evaluation Panels**
Five evaluation panels consisted of independent, renowned and highly respected experts. The main domains of the panels are:
1. biological, agricultural and veterinary sciences
2. medicine, biomedicine and health sciences
3. natural sciences
4. humanities
5. social sciences

The University invited 10 renowned scientists to act as chairs or vice-chairs of the five panels based on the suggestions of faculties and independent institutes. Besides leading the work of the panel, an additional role of the chairs was to discuss with other panel chairs in order to adopt a broadly similar approach. The panel chairs and vice-chairs had a pre-meeting on 27 May 2011 in Amsterdam.

The panel compositions were nominated by the Rector of the University 27 April 2011. The participating RCs suggested the panel members. The total number of panel members was 50. The reason for a smaller number of panellists as compared to the previous evaluations was the character of the evaluation as a meta-evaluation. The panellists did not read research reports or abstracts but instead, they evaluated answers to the evaluation questions, tables and compilations of publications, other scientific activities, bibliometrics and comparable analyses.

The panel meetings were held in Helsinki:
- On 11–13 September 2011: (1) biological, agricultural and veterinary sciences, (2) medicine, biomedicine and health sciences and (3) natural sciences.
- On 18–20 September 2011: (4) humanities and (5) social sciences.

---
\(^3\) TUHAT (acronym) of Research Information System (RIS) of the University of Helsinki

\(^4\) Supervision of thesis, prizes and awards, editorial work and peer reviews, participation in committees, boards and networks and public appearances.
1.5 Evaluation material

The main material in the evaluation was the RCs' self-evaluations that were qualitative in character and allowed the RCs to choose what was important to mention or emphasise and what was left unmentioned.

The present evaluation is exceptional at least in the Finnish context because it is based on both the evaluation documentation (self-evaluation questions, publications and other scientific activities) and the bibliometric reports. All documents were delivered to the panellists for examination.

Traditional bibliometrics can be reasonably done mainly in medicine, biosciences and natural sciences when using the Web of Science database, for example. Bibliometrics, provided by CWTS/The Centre for Science and Technology Studies, University of Leiden, cover only the publications that include WoS identification in the TUHAT-RIS.

Traditional bibliometrics are seldom relevant in humanities and social sciences because the international comparable databases do not store every type of high quality research publications, such as books and monographs and scientific journals in other languages than English. The Helsinki University Library has done analysis to the RCs, if their publications were not well represented in the Web of Science databases (RCs should have at least 50 publications and internal coverage of publications more than 40%) – it meant 58 RCs. The bibliometric material for the evaluation panels was available in June 2011. The RC-specific bibliometric reports are attached at the end of each report.

The panels were provided with the evaluation material and all other necessary background information, such as the basic information about the University of Helsinki and the Finnish higher education system.

Evaluation material

1. Registration documents of the RCs for the background information
2. Self evaluation material – answers to the evaluation questions
3. Publications and other scientific activities based on the TUHAT RIS:
   3.1. statistics of publications
   3.2. list of publications
   3.3. statistics of other scientific activities
   3.4. list of other scientific activities
4. Bibliometrics and comparable analyses:
   4.1. Analyses of publications based on the verification of TUHAT-RIS publications with the Web of Science publications (CWTS/University of Leiden)
   4.2. Publication statistics analysed by the Helsinki University Library - mainly for humanities and social sciences
5. University level survey on doctoral training (August 2011)
6. University level analysis on publications 2005–2010 (August 2011) provided by CWTS/University of Leiden

Background material

University of Helsinki
- Basic information about the University of the Helsinki
- The structure of doctoral training at the University of Helsinki
- Previous evaluations of research at the University of Helsinki – links to the reports: 1998 and 2005

The Finnish Universities/Research Institutes
- Finnish University system
- Evaluation of the Finnish National Innovation System
- The State and Quality of Scientific Research in Finland, Publication of the Academy of Finland 9/09

The evaluation panels were provided also with other relevant material on request before the meetings in Helsinki.
1.6 Evaluation questions and material

The participating RCs answered the following evaluation questions which are presented according to the evaluation form. In addition, TUHAT RIS was used to provide the additional material as explained. For giving the feedback to the RCs, the panellists received the evaluation feedback form constructed in line with the evaluation questions:

1. Focus and quality of the RC’s research
   - Description of
     - the RC’s research focus.
     - the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results)
     - the scientific significance of the RC’s research in the research field(s)
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research

The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s publications, analysis of the RC’s publications data (provided by University of Leiden and the Helsinki University Library)
A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

2. Practises and quality of doctoral training
   - Organising of the doctoral training in the RC. Description of the RC’s principles for:
     - recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates
     - supervision of doctoral candidates
     - collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes
     - good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities/supervision of doctoral dissertations
A written feedback from the aspects of: processes and good practises related to leadership and management
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

3. The societal impact of research and doctoral training
   - Description on how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.

The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities.
A written feedback from the aspects of: societal impact, national and international collaboration, innovativeness
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)
4. International and national (incl. intersectoral) research collaboration and researcher mobility
   - Description of
     - the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities
     - how the RC has promoted researcher mobility
   - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, national and international collaboration
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

5. Operational conditions
   - Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).
   - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

A written feedback from the aspects of: processes and good practices related to leadership and management
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

6. Leadership and management in the researcher community
   - Description of
     - the execution and processes of leadership in the RC
     - how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC
     - how the leadership- and management-related processes support
       - high quality research
       - collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC
       - the RC’s research focus
     - strengthening of the RC’s know-how
   - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes

7. External competitive funding of the RC
   - The RCs were asked to provide information of such external competitive funding, where:
     - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and
     - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki
   - On the e-form the RCs were asked to provide:
     1) The relevant funding source(s) from a given list (Academy of Finland/Research Council, TEKES/The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, EU, ERC, foundations, other national funding organisations, other international funding organisations), and
     2) The total sum of funding which the organisation in question had decided to allocate to the RCs members during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010.

Competitive funding reported in the text is also to be considered when evaluating this point.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness, future significance
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

8. The RC’s strategic action plan for 2011–2013
   - RC’s description of their future perspectives in relation to research and doctoral training.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal Impact, processes and good practices related to leadership and management, national and international collaboration, innovativeness, future significance
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
9. Evaluation of the category of the RC in the context of entity of the evaluation material (1-8)

The RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category
A written feedback evaluating the RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

10. Short description of how the RC members contributed the compilation of the stage 2 material
Comments on the compilation of evaluation material

11. How the UH’s focus areas are presented in the RC’s research?
Comments if applicable

12. RC-specific main recommendations based on the previous questions 1-11

13. RC-specific conclusions

1.7 Evaluation criteria

The panellists were expected to give evaluative and analytical feedback to each evaluation question according to their aspects in order to describe and justify the quality of the submitted material. In addition, the evaluation feedback was asked to be pointed out the level of the performance according to the following classifications:
- outstanding (5)
- excellent (4)
- very good (3)
- good (2)
- sufficient (1)

Evaluation according to the criteria was to be made with thorough consideration of the entire evaluation material of the RC in question. Finally, in questions 1-4 and 9, the panellists were expected to classify their written feedback into one of the provided levels (the levels included respective descriptions, ‘criteria’). Some panels used decimals in marks. The descriptive level was interpreted according to the integers and not rounding up the decimals by the editors.

Description of criteria levels

Question 1 – FOCUS AND QUALITY OF THE RC’S RESEARCH

Classification: Criteria (level of procedures and results)

Outstanding quality of procedures and results (5)
- Outstandingly strong research, also from international perspective. Attracts great international interest with a wide impact, including publications in leading journals and/or monographs published by leading international publishing houses. The research has world leading qualities. The research focus, key research questions scientific significance, societal impact and innovativeness are of outstanding quality.

In cases where the research is of a national character and, in the judgement of the evaluators, should remain so, the concepts of “international attention” or “international impact” etc. in the grading criteria above may be replaced by “international comparability”.

Other remarks
Recommendations
Operations and procedures are of outstanding quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of outstanding quality.

**Excellent quality of procedures and results (4)**

Research of excellent quality. Typically published with great impact, also internationally. Without doubt, the research has a leading position in its field in Finland.

Operations and procedures are of excellent quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of excellent quality.

**Very good quality of procedures and results (3)**

The research is of such very good quality that it attracts wide national and international attention.

Operations and procedures are of very good quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Good quality of procedures and results (2)**

Good research attracting mainly national attention but possessing international potential, extraordinarily high relevance may motivate good research.

Operations and procedures are of good quality, shared occasionally in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of good quality.

**Sufficient quality of procedures and results (1)**

In some cases the research is insufficient and reports do not gain wide circulation or do not have national or international attention. Research activities should be revised.

Operations and procedures are of sufficient quality, shared occasionally in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to some extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of sufficient quality.

**Classification: Criteria (level of procedures and results)**

**Outstanding quality of procedures and results (5)**

Procedures are of outstanding quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of outstanding quality. The procedures and results are regularly evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.

**Excellent quality of procedures and results (4)**

Procedures are of excellent quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of excellent quality. The procedures and outcomes are evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.

**Very good quality of procedures and results (3)**

Procedures are of very good quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and
management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Good quality of procedures and results (2)**

Procedures are of good quality, shared occasionally in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of good quality.

**Sufficient quality of procedures and results (1)**

Procedures are of sufficient quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to some extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of sufficient quality.

**Question 9 – CATEGORY**

Participation category – fitness for the category chosen

The choice and justification for the chosen category below should be reflected in the RC's responses to the evaluation questions 1–8.

1. **The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.**
2. **The research of the participating community is of high quality, but the community in its present composition has yet to achieve strong international recognition or a clear break-through.**
3. **The research of the participating community is distinct from mainstream research, and the special features of the research tradition in the field must be considered in the evaluation.** The research is of high quality and has great significance and impact in its field. However, the generally used research evaluation methods do not necessarily shed sufficient light on the merits of the research.
4. **The research of the participating community represents an innovative opening.** A new opening can be an innovative combination of research fields, or it can be proven to have a special social, national or international demand or other significance. Even if the researcher community in its present composition has yet to obtain proof of international success, its members can produce convincing evidence of the high level of their previous research.
5. **The research of the participating community has a highly significant societal impact.** The participating researcher community is able to justify the high social significance of its research. The research may relate to national legislation, media visibility or participation in social debate, or other activities promoting social development and human welfare. In addition to having societal impact, the research must be of a high standard.

**An example of outstanding fitness for category choice (5)**

The RC’s representation and argumentation for the chosen category were convincing. The RC recognized its real capacity and apparent outcomes in a wider context to the research communities. The specific character of the RC was well-recognized and well stated in the responses. The RC fitted optimally for the category.

- Outstanding (5)
- Excellent (4)
- Very good (3)
- Good (2)
- Sufficient (1)

The above-mentioned definition of outstanding was only an example in order to assist the panellists in the positioning of the classification. There was no exact definition for the category fitness.

---

5 The panels discussed the category fitness and made the final conclusions of the interpretation of it.
1.8 Timetable of the evaluation

The main timetable of the evaluation:

1. Registration November 2010
3. External peer review May–September 2011
4. Published reports March–April 2012
   - University level public report
   - RC specific reports

The entire evaluation was implemented during the university’s strategy period 2010–2012. The preliminary results were available for the planning of the following strategy period in late autumn 2011. The evaluation reports will be published in March/April 2012. More detailed time schedule is published in the University report.

1.9 Evaluation feedback – consensus of the entire panel

The panellists evaluated all the RC-specific material before the meetings in Helsinki and mailed the draft reports to the evaluation office. The latest interim versions were on-line available to all the panellists on the Wiki-sites. In September 2011, in Helsinki the panels discussed the material, revised the first draft reports and decided the final numeric evaluation. After the meetings in Helsinki, the panels continued working and finalised the reports before the end of November 2011. The final RC-specific reports are the consensus of the entire panel.

The evaluation reports were written by the panels independently. During the editing process, the evaluation office requested some clarifications from the panels when necessary. The tone and style in the reports were not harmonized in the editing process. All the reports follow the original texts written by the panels as far as it was possible.

The original evaluation material of the RCs, provided for the panellists is attached at the end of the report. It is essential to notice that the exported lists of publications and other scientific activities depend how the data was stored in the TUHAT-RIS by the RCs.
2 Evaluation feedback

2.1 Focus and quality of the RC’s research

- Description of
  - the RC’s research focus
  - the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results)
  - the scientific significance of the RC’s research in the research field(s)
- Identification of the ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness

Strengths
The sizeable RC consists of about 100 researchers and constitutes one of the world-leading centres in the area of algorithmic and computational data analysis. The top-level research of the RC deals specifically with the computational methods for machine learning and data mining, combinatorial pattern matching and string algorithms, together with the multi-disciplinary applications in science (e.g. in biology, medicine) and industry. The RC has an outstanding international track record and represents one of the leading, core areas of HIIT. The RC is widely acclaimed as a leading centre. The RC benefits from outstanding scientific leadership and a very international network.

The RC is widely recognized also through ALGODAN, the Finnish Centre of Excellence in algorithms and data analysis that is already recognized for a second term by the AF.

The bibliometric record shows that the RC has excellent performance in peer-reviewed conference publications in well-recognized, high-level peer-reviewed conferences. For the field in question this is an excellent standard. However, quite remarkably the RC is also performing very well in publishing articles in excellent journals.

The quality of the scientific staff in the RC is very high, as seen from the citations, awards, patents and so on of its members. The RC is highly visible in organizing committees, in program committees of numerous conferences and in other leading roles in the research world.

The RC is an asset for the Department.

Areas of development
The RC sets an outstanding example of how a field within Computer Science can be practiced. The collaboration between the constituent groups and the joint research projects across discipline boundaries will be maintained and enhanced.

Other remarks
The scientific importance of data analysis research and of the computational challenges in this field is rising continuously, as applications in science and industry are becoming increasingly data-intensive.

Recommendations
The RC is excellently positioned, with an outstanding scientific record in which foundational and application-oriented research are both excellently represented. The research agendas of the constituent groups are clearly at the core of the success of the RC. Selecting among the many challenging problems in the field and maintaining the high quality in research and (doctoral) training will be important.

Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)
2.2 Practises and quality of doctoral training

- **Organising of the doctoral training in the RC. Description of the RC’s principles for:**
  - recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates
  - supervision of doctoral candidates
  - collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes
  - good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training
  - assuring of good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates

- **Identification of the RC's strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.**

- **Additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities/supervision of doctoral dissertations**

**ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management**

**Strengths**

The RC is responsible for the specialized education in ‘Algorithms and Machine Learning’ in the Department and coordinates several other graduate programs, such as the joint MSc programme in Bioinformatics (with Aalto University). Its doctoral program is of high international repute. The organization of the PhD program, and the recruitment and supervision of candidates for it follow the established, excellent practices of the Department of Computer Science.

The strong cross-disciplinary collaboration within the RC is also reflected in the doctoral training. The doctoral program is part of the Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering and of the Finnish Graduate School in Computational Sciences.

The career perspectives of the graduates are very good, not in the least because of the many opportunities in Finland’s IT companies but also through e.g. postdoc positions to pursue a possible career in science. PhD students are encouraged to take additional courses to prepare them for careers in industry.

The quality of the scientific staff is excellent and of high international standard. There also are an excellent number of postdocs in the RC.

**Areas of development**

The areas of development are clearly embedded in the research groups. The strong link between research and teaching will be maintained. For an outstanding program as this, it is important to be able to attract very talented PhD students.

**Other remarks**

Some additional information on specific courses for PhD students would be helpful.

**Recommendations**

Some further information on the cursory component of the PhD program would be helpful, viz. on how this component is kept up-to-date with the developments in the RC and in its research area in general. What role will ICT Labs play for the graduate training?

**Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)**

2.3 The societal impact of research and doctoral training

- **Description on how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).**

- **Identification of the ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC's research and doctoral training.**

- **Additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC's other scientific activities.**

**ASPECTS: Societal impact, national and international collaboration, innovativeness**
**Strengths**
The RC has a rich network in science and industry. Its methods, tools, software, patents, courses and graduates have a clear societal impact. The RC also has an excellent and extensive record of academic and industrial cooperations.

**Areas of development**
The current lines of action are excellent for a sustained societal impact. Increased media visibility is among the actions foreseen for further improvement of the impact.

**Other remarks**
The impact of data analysis and `discovery science’ is seen everywhere and offers clear pathways to societal impact. There are many opportunities for PR in this area, especially with for this multi-disciplinary RC.

**Recommendations**
The ever on-going development of data-intensive applications in science and industry will continue to lead to demands for knowledge and expertise from an expert and reknowned party like the RC. An active strategy for the PR may be helpful.

**Numeric evaluation:** 5 (Outstanding)

### 2.4 International and national (incl. Intersectoral) research collaboration and researcher mobility

- **Description of**
  - the RC's research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities
  - how the RC has promoted researcher mobility
- **Identification of the RC's strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.**

**ASPECTS:** Scientific quality, national and international collaboration

**Strengths**
The RC has a very strong international and cross-disciplinary tradition. The RC has an excellent record in collaboration in EU-projects and in many other international and intersectoral projects, in Europe and worldwide. Nationally and locally, the RC is also very well networked in collaborative structures. It is a key participant in HIIT. Researcher mobility, also to industry, is at a very good level.

**Areas of development**
The RC has wide international and national collaborative networks, also across discipline boundaries and with industry. These collaborations must be nurtured and maintained.

**Other remarks**
It would be helpful to know more about the role and benefits foreseen for the RC in the ICT Labs project of the EIT.

**Recommendations**
The RC should maintain its excellent level of external collaboration in research and in PhD training. Mobility of heavily occupied scientific staff should be facilitated in any reasonable way possible.

**Numeric evaluation:** 5 (Outstanding)
2.5 Operational conditions

- **Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).**
- **Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.**

**ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management**

**Strengths**
The RC operates in the excellent research environment as provided in the Department of Computer Science. The benefits are clearly stated and convincing.

In addition, the RC has an excellent level of international and industry collaborations and projects. It gives optimal conditions for the high-level and internationally oriented PhD program.

**Areas of development**
The RC’s activities and responsibilities are extensive.

**Other remarks**
Some additional remarks concerning the balance between teaching, research supervision, and research acquisition for the RC members at different levels of seniority would be helpful.

**Recommendations**
The strengths of the RC are clearly the excellent research environment, the excellent scientific staff, the extensive international and local collaborative networks, all the excellent graduate program. The Department should cherish this excellent RC.

2.6 Leadership and management in the researcher community

- **Description of**
  - the execution and processes of leadership in the RC
  - how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC
  - how the leadership- and management-related processes support
    - high quality research
    - collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC
    - the RC’s research focus
    - strengthening of the RC’s know-how
- **Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes**

**ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management**

**Strengths**
The scientific leadership of the RC is very strong. The RC has several PI’s which are very well established. The RC has a clear leading role in its area (e.g. in HIIT).

The RC also participates in two Graduate Schools (Hecse and FICS), both of which are coordinated by directors from the RC. The RC is also responsible for the master programme in bio-informatics.

The RC has an exemplary range of actions to support and enhance its research focus and internal collaboration.

**Areas of development**
The interest of foreign students for studies in this field can be expected to grow. The interest of postdocs can be expected to develop likewise.
Other remarks
It is important that the acquisition- and management-related duties of the RC remain sufficiently balanced with the duties in research and education within the RC.

Recommendations
The challenges of maintaining the high quality of the teams and of the, internal and external, collaborations are non-trivial and should be supported.

2.7 External competitive funding of the RC

• The RCs were asked to provide information of such external competitive funding, where:
  • the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010, and
  • the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki

• On the e-form the RCs were asked to provide:
  1) The relevant funding source(s) from a given list (Academy of Finland/Research Council, TEKES/The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, EU, ERC, foundations, other national funding organisations, other international funding organizations), and
  2) The total sum of funding which the organisation in question had decided to allocate to the RCs members during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010.

Competitive funding reported in the text is also to be considered when evaluating this point.

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness and future significance

Strengths
The RC is funded very well from a variety of sources, reflecting the prominent standing of the RC. Funding comes from both national (e.g. Academy of Finland and Tekes) and international sources (e.g. EU, NIH) and many other organizations. The sources reflect the nature of the research in the RC.

Areas of development
The research portfolio is likely to grow in this vibrant area.

Other remarks
The RC would benefit from an ERC starting or advanced investigator grant.

Recommendations
Research funding e.g. at the national level (e.g. for ALGODAN) or at the EU-level is an ever important issue. The RC may need to develop a long-term e.g. 5-year perspective on the funding of its research effort, taking expected opportunities and uncertainties into account.

(Funding is of critical importance to any RC. For an RC of this excellent standing, the international funding will likely make the difference in the future, of course while maintaining the level of funding from Finnish sources.)

2.8 The RC’s strategic action plan for 2011–2013

• RC’s description of their future perspectives in relation to research and doctoral training.

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal Impact, processes and good practices related to leadership and management, national and international collaboration, innovativeness, future significance

Strengths
The strategic action plan is clearly focused on the commitment to do world-class research. The research agenda's of the teams are impressive and leading, and central to the actions of the RC.
Areas of development
The RC aims to keep its operations at the high levels that have become its standard. The RC aims to strengthen its activities in several directions, incl its industry-oriented research and several other initiatives. These include e.g. the plan to maintain a good distribution in the seniority levels in its leadership.

Recommendations
The RC operates at an excellent level. The strategic actions will improve its position even further. The RC is a top group in Computer science by any international standard.

2.9 Evaluation of the category of the RC in the context of entity of the evaluation material (1-8)

The RC's fitness to the chosen participation category.
Category 1. The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.

Strengths
The excellent international position and status of the RC is clear. Considering its excellent and consolidated level of operation, it is entirely appropriate that the RC chose for Participation Category 1: ‘Research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field’.

Recommendations
The RC should be facilitated by the Department in order to be able to maintain its exemplary level of operation.

Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)

2.10 Short description of how the RC members contributed the compilation of the stage 2 material

The material was prepared following an excellent procedure, aptly using wiki-technology to adequately accommodate the scale of the RC and allow all members to follow the process and comment on the contents of the document. The members of the RC were all consulted on the material in final form and could submit their feedback before the final submission of the material.

2.11 How the UH’s focus areas are presented in the RC’s research

Focus area 7: Precise reasoning

Algorithmic data analysis is a field which fits the science-/mathematical traditions of Computer Science. It fits in the UH focus area ‘Exact Thinking’ (also called: Precise Reasoning), with a definite slant towards applications in a multi-disciplinary setting (e.g. touching on aspects of the UH focus area ‘The Basic Structure of Life’).
2.12 RC-specific main recommendations

The RC is one of the world-leading centres in the area of algorithmic and computational data analysis. It is operating at an outstanding level. The department should cherish this excellent RC.

The RC is excellently positioned in its research field, with an outstanding scientific record in which foundational and application-oriented research are both excellently represented. The research agendas of the constituent groups are clearly at the core of the success of the RC. Selecting among the many challenging problems in the field and maintaining the high quality in research and (doctoral) training will be important.

The ever on-going development of data-intensive applications in science and industry will continue to lead to demands for knowledge and expertise from an expert and renowned party like the RC. An active strategy for the Public Relations may be helpful.

The RC may need to develop a long-term e.g. 5-year perspective on the funding of its research effort, taking expected opportunities and uncertainties into account. Especially the leading role and status at the European level could be developed further.

2.13 RC-specific conclusions

The RC operates at an outstanding level and the overall focus is very strong. The RC should maintain its excellent level of external and cross-disciplinary collaboration in research and in PhD training. The RC is an asset for the department.

2.14 Preliminary findings in the Panel-specific feedback

Panel-specific feedback

The (meta-)evaluation is based solely on the documentation.

Quality in research and doctoral training

- **Research focus.** Algorithmic data analysis is one of Helsinki’s widely recognized focus areas in Computer Science. The RC operates at an outstanding level, with an outstanding scientific record in which both fundamental and applications-oriented research are represented in an excellent manner. (The core of the RC is the CoE ALGODAN which is now even in its second term of AoF funding.)

- **Practices and quality of doctoral training.** The organization of the PhD program follows the established, excellent practices of the Department of Computer Science. The quality of the scientific staff is excellent. The number of postdocs is good but may increase in the future. Some more information on the cursory component of the PhD program would have been helpful.

- **Societal impact.** The RC has an excellent societal impact through its methods, tools, software, patents, courses and graduates. The ever-ongoing development towards greater data-intensiveness in all IT-applications in science and society will accelerate the importance of ‘discovery science’ in the future, and this RC is excellently positioned for it.

- **International and national collaboration.** The RC has a wide international and national network of collaborators, also across discipline boundaries and with industry. Mobility of heavily occupied scientific staff should be facilitated in any reasonable way possible.

- **Leadership and management.** The scientific leadership of this RC is very strong. It is important that the duties of research, education and management remain sufficiently balanced within the RC, also for the PI’s.
  
  (It is not clear whether personnel management is an issue for the department of for an RC.)

- **External funding.** The RC is funded very well from a variety of sources (including AoF, TEKES, industry etc), reflecting the prominent standing of the RC. Funding is of critical importance for all
RC’s. The RC may need to develop a long-term perspective on the funding of its research, taking expected opportunities and uncertainties into account. Special interest may be given to more EU-funding, while maintaining the level of funding from Finnish sources.

- **Strategic action plan.** The strategic action plan is clearly focused on the commitment to do world-class research.
  - **Findings.** The RC operates at an outstanding level. It is an example of how RC’s should work in an innovative field.
  - **Strength.** The RC demonstrates an outstanding ability to pursue its high-level research in both fundamental and interdisciplinary contexts. The academic status is excellent. The RC is an asset for the department.
  - **Potential development areas.** Maintaining the high level in research and (doctoral) training will be important.
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RC-SPECIFIC MATERIAL FOR THE PEER REVIEW

NAME OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY:
Algorithms and Data Analysis (ALKO)

LEADER OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY:
Professor Esko Ukkonen, Department of Computer Science, HIIT, and Algodan Centre of Excellence, University of Helsinki

RC-SPECIFIC MATERIAL FOR THE PEER REVIEW:

- Material submitted by the RC at stages 1 and 2 of the evaluation
  - STAGE 1 material: RC’s registration form (incl. list of RC participants in an excel table)
  - STAGE 2 material: RC’s answers to evaluation questions
- TUHAT compilations of the RC members’ other scientific activities 1.1.2005-31.12.2010

NB! Since Web of Science (WoS)-based bibliometrics does not provide representative results for most RCs representing humanities, social sciences and computer sciences, the publications of these RCs will be analyzed by the UH Library (results available by the end of June, 2011)
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC STAGE 1 MATERIAL (registration form)

1 RESPONSIBLE PERSON

Name: Ukkonen, Esko
E-mail:
Phone: 09-19151280
Affiliation: Department of Computer Science, HIIT, and Algodan Centre of Excellence, University
Street address: Gustaf Hällströminkatu 2b

2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTICIPATING RESEARCHER COMMUNITY (RC)

Name of the participating RC (max. 30 characters): Algorithms and Data Analysis
Acronym for the participating RC (max. 10 characters): ALKO
Description of the operational basis in 2005-2010 (eg. research collaboration, joint doctoral training activities) on which the RC was formed (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The Algorithms and Data Analysis community (ALKO) is one of the world-leading groups in data analysis research. The community investigates computational methods for machine learning and data mining, pattern matching, and string algorithms. It is one of three natural units of the Department of Computer Science. It consists of researchers working on computer science-oriented Data Analysis, one of the three focal areas of research at the department. The community is also responsible for education in Algorithms and Machine Learning, one of the three specialization areas of the department.

At the same time, the researcher community consists of two out of four programs of Helsinki Institute for Information Technology HIIT. HIIT is a joint institute of the University of Helsinki and Aalto University, and almost all of the community members have a double affiliation with HIIT. The ALKO researcher community at the University of Helsinki forms a majority of HIIT’s Algorithmic Data Analysis and Algorithmic Systems programs. Other members of these HIIT programs are an essential part of the researcher community, but being outside the University of Helsinki they are not fully covered by this assessment.

The core of this researcher community is Algodan, a Center of Excellence in Algorithmic Data Analysis. Most researchers of the community also belong to this center.

Joint doctoral training of the community is carried out not only at the department level, but also in two graduate schools coordinated within the community. They include collaboration especially with other parts of HIIT (in Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering) and with other computational sciences (in Finnish Graduate School in Computational Sciences).
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RC-SPECIFIC STAGE 1 MATERIAL (registration form)

3 SCIENTIFIC FIELDS OF THE RC

Main scientific field of the RC's research: natural sciences
RC's scientific subfield 1: Computer Science, Artificial Intelligence
RC's scientific subfield 2: Computer Science, Information Systems
RC's scientific subfield 3: Computer Science, Interdisciplinary Applications
RC's scientific subfield 4: Computer Science, Theory and Methods
Other, if not in the list: data analysis

4 RC'S PARTICIPATION CATEGORY

Participation category: 1. Research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field

Justification for the selected participation category (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The Algorithms and Data Analysis researcher community has an established status as an international leader in its field. Indications of this from external evaluations include the following.

(1) Algodan has been appointed as a Center of Excellence by the Academy of Finland for a second six year term already (the first was under the name From Data to Knowledge).

(2) In the International Evaluation of Computer Science Research in Finland (Academy of Finland, 2007) the department was described as "an obvious leader in Finnish computer science", largely due to research in algorithms and data analysis.

(3) In the Recent Research Assessment Exercise of Aalto University (2009), HIIT's research was found to be on "Outstanding International Level". The report concludes that "The research on the Algorithmic Data Analysis theme is outstanding" and that "The work in the Probabilistic and Adaptive Systems (now: Algorithmic Systems) theme is internationally acclaimed".

5 DESCRIPTION OF THE RC'S RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING

Public description of the RC's research and doctoral training (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The research of the Algorithms and Data Analysis community lies in the algorithmic and modeling problems of combinatorial pattern matching, data mining, and machine learning. The work is strongly interdisciplinary: we cooperate constantly with application experts in various application areas, formulating novel computational concepts and ways of attacking the scientific and industrial problems of the application areas. Developing new concepts, algorithms and models is an iterative process consisting of interacting extensively with the application experts, formulating computational concepts and models, analyzing their properties, designing algorithms and analyzing their performance, implementing and experimenting with the algorithms and models, and applying the results in practice. The main application areas are in biology, medicine, telecommunications, environmental studies, linguistics, and neuroscience.
Doctoral training by the Algorithms and Data Analysis researcher community emphasizes a solid knowledge of the central concepts and methods of computer science. Doctors are trained for research positions both in the academia and the industry. Expertise in the core areas of computer science is essential for the innovativeness and competitiveness of the IT industry, as well as for scientific advances and industrial applications of information technology. While the framework for doctoral training is set by degree requirements of the university and faculty, the department provides additional support in the form of mentoring and a PhD seminar. A key instrument for organizing internationalization, domestic contacts and peer support, are graduate schools. The researcher community coordinates two such national schools, encompassing practically all of the PhD students of the community.

Significance of the RC’s research and doctoral training for the University of Helsinki (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The community is a substantial resource of core computer science research and education at the national level. Besides the expected high quality research and doctoral training and the associated results (publications, projects, software, degrees), the community actively contributes to other departments and sciences at the university in the following ways.

The Algorithms and Data Analysis researcher community contributes exceptionally strongly to cross-disciplinary research jointly with other departments of the university. The community has had joint projects and publications with the Departments of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Comparative Religion, Ecology and Systematics, Education, English, Finnish Language and Literature, Finno-Ugric Studies, Geology, History, Mathematics and Statistics, Medical Genetics, Physical Sciences, and Psychology, as well as the Haartman Institute, Helsinki Institute of Physics, Institute of Biomedicine, and Institute of Biotechnology.

Doctors trained by the community are often employed by other departments in the biomedical sciences as method specialists.

Keywords: computer science, algorithms, machine learning, data mining, data analysis, complex systems, string methods, neuroinformatics, bioinformatics

Justified estimate of the quality of the RC’s research and doctoral training at national and international level during 2005-2010 (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The quality of the research of the Algorithms and Data Analysis researcher community is at the highest international level. Its publications are highly cited and many have become textbook material. The results are utilized extensively in other sciences and in industry. Quotes from recent evaluations:

"The panel was very impressed with the focus and depth of the Department, with its ability to integrate theory and applications, and with its international leadership in several important fields. " (International Evaluation of Finnish Computer Science, Academy of Finland, 2007).
"In summary, we were very impressed by the CoE and its activities. We believe that Algodan is among the best CoEs worldwide, that the research carried out in the CoE is of high quality.” (Scientific Advisory Board of Algodan, Center of Excellence in Algorithmic Data Analysis, 2008)

"In this program (Algorithmic Systems, then called ‘Probabilistic Adaptive Systems’), we assess the Institute’s activities as excellent. The groups have a strong publication record, and its researchers have assumed important leadership and organizational positions within their technical fields”. – "This world-class program (Algorithmic Data Analysis) is under the leadership of a widely recognized scientific leader. The collaboration with domain experts is impressive, and provides further evidence of the impact and usefulness of the algorithms being developed." (Scientific Advisory Board of HIIT, 2008)

"The work in the PAS theme (now Algorithmic Systems) is internationally acclaimed, both fundamental research as well as scientific/engineering applications. The research on the ADA theme is outstanding, and is closely related to the Academy of Finland Centre of Excellence with the same name.” (Aalto University Research Assessment Exercise, 2009)

The doctoral training has a high international quality. This is evidenced, e.g., by the excellent positions of the PhD graduates. The community has invested a lot into developing researcher training, in order to shorten PhD study times: e.g., support for planning of studies and research, mentoring, internationalization, PhD seminars, summer schools, and peer support.

Comments on how the RC’s scientific productivity and doctoral training should be evaluated (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): For the assessment of scientific productivity, the normal scientific indicators apply: international publications, citations, competitive external funding, number of degrees, etc. However, computer science research also has some strong characteristics that must be noted in any assessment of research in the field (see also the report “Research Evaluation in Computer Science” by Informatics Europe: www.informatics-europe.org/docs/research_evaluation.pdf):

* All peer-reviewed publications should be considered, also peer-reviewed conference articles. Conference publications are usually rigorously peer-reviewed, they have low acceptance rates, and they are considered original publications just like journal papers. Citation rates for papers in good conferences are typically higher than for papers in good journals.

* Citation analysis is useful, but should be exercised with equal care since conference publications and citations to and from them are poorly covered by many indexes. In computer science, Google Scholar seems to have the best coverage and should be used to complement other sources of citation information, such as Web of Science.
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In doctoral training, it is important to assess the PhD study and supervision processes, as well as placement of graduated PhD students, in addition to simple statistics. This includes systematic and supportive methods to select, supervise, mentor, internationalize, and graduate PhD students.

The publishing strategy is to publish methodological results in best venues of computer science (both journals and conferences), applied results within other scientific fields in their best journals, and additionally to publish research prototype software where appropriate. Further dissemination to computer science community takes place through active participation in and organization of international projects, networks, and workshops; to other sciences and to industry through research co-operation, technology transfer (including software, IPRs), and people.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last name</th>
<th>First name</th>
<th>PI-status</th>
<th>Title of research and teaching personnel</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ukkonen</td>
<td>Esko</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoyer</td>
<td>Patrick</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyvärinen</td>
<td>Aapo</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kärkkäinen</td>
<td>Juha</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaski</td>
<td>Petteri</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaski</td>
<td>Samuel</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Professor, Research Director</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kívinnen</td>
<td>Jyrki</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koivisto</td>
<td>Mikko</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemström</td>
<td>Kjell</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mäkinen</td>
<td>Veeti</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannila</td>
<td>Heikki</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Professor, Vice Rector</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myllymäki</td>
<td>Petri</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polishchuk</td>
<td>Valentin</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rouxu</td>
<td>Juho</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sillanpää</td>
<td>Mikko</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toivonen</td>
<td>Hannu</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yangarber</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahonen-Myka</td>
<td>Helena</td>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunline</td>
<td>Wray</td>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rissanen</td>
<td>Jorma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirri</td>
<td>Henry</td>
<td></td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bingham</td>
<td>Ella</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>García Moreno-Esteva</td>
<td>Enrique</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garriga</td>
<td>Gemma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gionis</td>
<td>Aristides</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gutmann</td>
<td>Michael</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurri</td>
<td>Jarmo</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyvönen</td>
<td>Saara</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Järvisalo</td>
<td>Matti</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kääriäinen</td>
<td>Matti</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kivioja</td>
<td>Teemu</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahler</td>
<td>Sebastian</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>Joong Chae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicolas</td>
<td>François</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oikarinen</td>
<td>Emilia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pizzi</td>
<td>Cinzia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmela</td>
<td>Leena</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schönauer</td>
<td>Stefan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Sevon Petteri</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Tamm Hellis</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Tsaparas Panayiotis</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Yu Huizhen</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Zhang Kun</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Haiminen Niina</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Hämäläinen Wilhelmiina</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Kontikanen Petri</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Lehtonen Miro</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Leino Annti</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Miettikäinen Taneli</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Miettinen Pauli</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Palin Kimmo</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Rantanen Ari</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Rastas Pasi</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Roos Teemu</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Aunimo Lili</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Autio Ilkka</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Doucet Antoine</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Klami Arto</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Kollin Jussi</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Köster Urs</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Laasonen Kari</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Lindgren Jussi</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Makkonen Juha</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pitkänen Esa</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Raento Mika</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Silander Tomi</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Terzi Evimaria</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Ahonen Teppo</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Astikainen Katja</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Entner Doris</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Ernon Lauri</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Gallbrun Esther</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Heinonen Markus</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Heinonen Oskari</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Hintsanen Petteri</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Hyyttinen Antti</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Juntila Esa</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Kallio Aleksi</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Korhonen Janne</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Institution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Kuuskoski Reeta</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Langohr Laura</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Luosto Panu</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Narayanan Krishnan</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Paalasmaa Joonas</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Parviainen Pekka</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Perkiö Jukka</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Pihlaja Miika</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Puuronen Jouni</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Siren Jouni</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Toivonen Jarkko</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Tripathi Abhishek</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Välimäki Niko</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Vuorinen Matti</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Wessman Jaana</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Zhou Fang</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>Faculty of Science, Department of Computer Science &amp; HIIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Name of the RC’s responsible person: Ukkonen, Esko

E-mail of the RC’s responsible person:

Name and acronym of the participating RC: Algorithms and Data Analysis, ALKO

The RC’s research represents the following key focus area of UH: 7. Eksakti ajattelu – Exact thinking

Comments for selecting/not selecting the key focus area: It should be clear that the ALKO community primarily belongs to the Exact thinking focus area; even the building we are located in on the Kumpula Campus is called Exactum (sic!). However, via our multidisciplinary collaborations we also contribute to many other focus areas, most notably to Basic structure of life.

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**FOCUS AND QUALITY OF RC’S RESEARCH (MAX. 8800 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)**

- Description of the RC’s research focus, the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results) and the scientific significance of the RC’s research for the research field(s).

The Algorithms and Data Analysis researcher community (ALKO) is one of the world-leading groups in data analysis research. The community investigates computational methods for machine learning and data mining, pattern matching, and string algorithms as well as their multidisciplinary applications in other sciences as well as in the industry.

ALKO is a community of about 100 members including 17 PI’s of which eight are professors. Most members are affiliated with the Department of Computer Science or with the Helsinki Institute for Information Technology HIIT, a joint institute of UH and Aalto University. The core of ALKO is Algodan, a national Centre of Excellence in Algorithmic Data Analysis research, granted by the Academy of Finland. Our organizational position is explained in more detail on the Registration Form (Sect. 2).

**Focus**

The importance of data analysis in science and in industry is increasing continuously, as our ability to measure and store data grows dramatically. While data analysis is as old as science itself, the new methods of collecting raw data pose unprecedented challenges and opportunities to data analysis and to the algorithms of data analysis.

The ALKO community develops new concepts, algorithms, principles, and frameworks for data analysis. The overall objective includes large-scale data-intensive computational modeling and inference: how to model the currently ubiquitous data banks and streams to infer what is relevant in the vast data masses. The work combines strong basic research in computer science with interdisciplinary work in a wide variety of scientific disciplines and industrial problems. The research of the community lies in the areas of combinatorial pattern matching, data mining, and machine learning.

Developing new concepts and algorithms is an iterative process consisting of interacting extensively with the application experts, formulating computational concepts, analyzing the properties of the concepts, designing algorithms and analyzing their performance, implementing and experimenting with the algorithms, and applying the resulting software. The main application areas of ALKO are in biology (bioinformatics), medicine, information retrieval, environmental studies, linguistics, and neuroscience (neuroinformatics).
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC STAGE 2 MATERIAL

Here is a selection of our recent results:

- Problem formulations, algorithms, and freely available software for learning linear latent variable models, by A. Hyvärinen, P. Hoyer and others, have significantly advanced the field, especially independent component analysis (ICA), non-negative matrix factorization, and causal discovery (e.g., Journal of Machine Learning Research 2006; 82 citations in Google Scholar). Recently, we have developed nonlinear extensions of ICA to model learning of basic perceptual representations in the visual areas of the brain. This work culminated in the monograph A. Hyvärinen, J. Hurri and P.O.Hoyer: Natural Image Statistics (Springer 2009), the first book on the topic.

- ContextPhone, by M. Raento, H. Toivonen et al., is a unique prototyping and research software platform for context-aware applications running on Smartphones. ContextPhone has been used in numerous projects world-wide (e.g. Reality Mining at MIT), and was being commercialized by Jaiku Ltd. Later, Google acquired Jaiku. This was successful cross-disciplinary research, with wide visibility in press. Main publication IEEE Pervasive Computing 2005 (304 citations).

- Novel algorithms for finding orders from data, by A. Gionis and H. Mannila, significantly improve solutions to interesting paleontological problems while related complexity results are theoretically interesting. ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data 2007 (145 citations).

- A novel model for so-called gene enhancer elements in mammalian genomes, by E. Ukkonen in collaboration with J. Taipale, was used to predict several new enhancers, some of which have then been successfully verified in vivo. Publications in Nature Genetics 2009 (84 citations), Cell 2006 (211 citations).

- Several algorithmic advances in full-text indexing, by J. Kärkkäinen, E. Ukkonen and V. Mäkinen, have been celebrated results in the combinatorial pattern matching community. Publications in Journal of the ACM 2006 (329 citations), ACM Transactions on Algorithms 2007 (138 citations)

- The Tutte polynomial of a graph is a most fundamental invariant in graph theory, with connections to many areas. M. Koivisto et al. have developed a substantially improved evaluation algorithm of Tutte polynomials [FOCS 2008]. Our fast subset convolution framework and related results [STOC 2007; 71 citations] occupy a substantial portion of a recent book “Exact Exponential Algorithms” by Fomin and Kratch (Springer 2010).

- The probabilistic positioning algorithms by P. Myllymäki et al. have been successfully commercialized by the spin-off Ekahau Ltd, and the technology has won numerous international awards.

Significance and quality

The high quality of the research of ALKO is indicated by high number of citations, high level of external competitive funding and by high marks in previous evaluations.

The eight ALKO professors have had strong scientific impact as evidenced by their high citation rates. Their total number of citations is over 62000 (Publish or Perish with Google Scholar), with average h-index is 32 (Hyvärinen: 16850 citations, h=36; Kaski: 5415/34; Kivinen 1959/19; Mäkinen 1251/19; Mannila 17762/57; Myllymäki 1668/19; Toivonen 11200/34; Ukkonen 5917/37). Aapo Hyvärinen is recognized by Thomson ISI as a Highly Cited researcher, as one of only 20 scholars in Finland, all disciplines combined. Jorma Rissanen, a Shannon award recipient (not listed among ALKO professors but a member in ALKO) has about 17000 citations and h=43.
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Corresponding figures of group leader professors in computer science in comparable units (e.g. SICS, CWI, LUCT) are clearly smaller. ETH Zurich scores highly on the Shanghai ranking and has citation figures comparable to ALKO: total citations app. 44814 and average h=32.

The external competitive funding of ALKO in 2005-2010 is 14.8 million euros for research and 7.7 million euros for PhD programmes coordinated by ALKO members.

Our impact is visible for instance in some recent textbooks, or in the popularity of research software such as B-Course, a web-based data analysis tool for multivariate Bayesian and causal modeling, with hundreds of users world-wide monthly.

Through its wide collaborations, ALKO has a significant impact on other sciences. Our new computational methods have produced new results for various applications (published, e.g., in Nature Genetics (impact factor 34.2), Nature Biotechnology (29.5), Cell (29.4)). The novel methods are changing the way many researchers in other sciences operate: study designs are changing when new possibilities for data analysis are opened. In such fields as genetics, paleontology, and linguistics our work is influencing research plans.

In the 2005 research assessment of UH, the Department of Computer Science and HIIT both got the highest mark. In the 2010 assessment of Aalto University, HIIT was among the six best units. The Algodan CoE within ALKO got its CoE status from the Academy of Finland in a highly competitive process covering all fields of research. The recent report of the Algodan SAB (J. Gehrke (Cornell), V. Tresp (TU Munich)) states: “We believe that Algodan is among the leading CoEs worldwide, that the research carried out in the CoE is of high quality, and that it already had and very likely will continue to have high impact in the future.” See Registration Form, Sect. 4

- Ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research.

The success of ALKO style of research essentially depends on the contribution of talented individuals and not so much on coordinated effort of a large group of people. To improve the quality it is therefore crucial to hire good people and to find the best collaborators who can bring in novel computational questions. We already publish on the most prestigious forums (STOC, FOCS, SODA, Journal of the ACM, ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data, Journal of Machine Learning Research, PNAS, Cell, Nature Genetics,...), but we need to concentrate still more on high-quality publishing. Our methodological focus stems from a shared computational toolbox and from concentration on data. Our focus in data analysis applications can naturally be quite wide. Explicitly selecting some grand challenge problems to work on would help improving the focus.

2 PRACTISES AND QUALITY OF DOCTORAL TRAINING (MAX. 8800 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- How is doctoral training organised in the RC? Description of the RC’s principles for recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates, supervision of doctoral candidates, collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes, good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training, and assuring good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates.

Doctoral training is formally organized by the Department of Computer Science in close collaboration with doctoral programmes. The department (together with the faculty) coordinates admission, degree requirements, teaching, supervision, as well as follow-up of students. Most ALKO PhD students are enrolled in of the two doctoral programmes (Hecse and FICS) coordinated by ALKO PIs. These programmes provide additional training in their focus areas (summer schools, short courses),
supervision (support teams, peer support), joint recruitment efforts, and financial support (travel, some salaries). The processes of the department and these doctoral programmes have been carefully aligned.

Practical researcher training in ALKO takes place in research groups. Doctoral students work on national or international research projects, learning by working with more experienced researchers. Projects and team work improve important transferable skills. International activities (conferences, research visits) are strongly encouraged and financially supported, to give our students wider perspectives. We next review some of the more detailed practices.

Recruitment to PhD studies is mainly through supervisors and their networks. Additionally, our doctoral programmes organize and advertise calls for application, to attract students from a wider pool. The increasing international MSc education at the department will be used to minimize risks in recruiting international doctoral students.

Selection and admission to PhD studies is coordinated at departmental level, by a joint PhD Studies Committee with a representative from each area, including ALKO. The committee evaluates the research plan, study plan and the funding plan as well as supervision, and routinely also asks for improvements to them before acceptance. Admission to doctoral programmes is programme-specific, but always includes a review phase by three impartial experts and an open discussion among a wide board of a programme.

Supervision of doctoral students is understood in ALKO as the processes of guidance and support at large. We naturally require each new student to have a supervisor who is fully committed to the student, his or her research topic, as well as finding funding for four years. Often there is a second, younger supervisor working with the PhD student on day-to-day research. Additional supervision is provided by the research group. – An important form of guidance and support is offered by two mentors. They are typically professors or docents, and they always come from other research groups or universities. Their role is to provide general advice and encouragement, as well as quality control external to the research group. Mentoring is kept lightweight to avoid overwhelming the mentors. – Peer support is also part of supervision as we understand it. Mechanisms to support it include a PhD seminar and various activities by our doctoral programmes.

Collaboration in doctoral training is intensive. Formal forms include doctoral programmes and networks. Hece is a joint programme on computer science with Aalto University, FICS is a wider national network on computational sciences. Activities span across universities almost on a daily basis. For instance, mentors often come from other universities and students can easily take courses from another university. Other collaboration includes organization of joint special courses and planning and implementation of the student application processes. On the international level, we are a core member of the EU Network of Excellence Pascal which supports international collaboration, such as student visits, joint research, and joint summer schools and workshops.

Cross-disciplinary collaboration in doctoral training of ALKO is also substantial. Some take place in FICS, but much is informal and purely based on mutual interests of the supervisors and students. PhDs have been co-supervised in the intersections of computer science and biology, linguistics, and medicine. – Cross-sector collaboration with industry is also common. Many of our students already have professional experience and many carry out their research in a project with industrial partners. – Cooperation with the department and faculty is easy and natural, as there is a clear division of work and strong culture of co-operation and coordination.
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Good practices have already been covered above so we just list them here: supervision at large, learning by working in projects and teams, clear division of work and coordination between organizations, collaboration across units and disciplines, recruitment and admission procedures, active and concrete support for internationalization.

The quality of the doctoral training environment is the highest possible in Finland: our research and teaching staff have been found to be at the top level in several evaluations, and also the infrastructures for research and learning are excellent. Quality of doctoral training is assured from several viewpoints. The student selection processes guarantee fair selection of best candidates. Their progress is followed on a regular basis at the PhD student seminar, by annually updated research plans, and by an annual poster presentation. The SAB's of Algodan and HIIT, as well as the current and other evaluations provide quality control for the research at large, while constant publication at peer reviewed venues during PhD studies gives feedback on individual work. Mentoring is not used for control, but for supportive actions in case of difficulties in research. Financial difficulties are handled by the Algodan CoE or the department. Other potential issues are handled by the PhD Studies Committee. National benchmarking of doctoral training takes place in the doctoral programmes, international by active mutual participations in PhD committees. As a rule, we have three external examiners for each PhD, of which at least one usually comes from abroad.

Career perspectives of our doctoral students and graduates PhDs are very good. From the close collaboration across sectors and disciplines we are well aware of the needs for computer science graduates and have been able shift emphasis where needed. In addition to computer science substance, project and team work skills are actively built, and we encourage our students to take studies in topics such as project management and industrial economics. A proof of good career perspectives is the placement of our recent graduates in excellent positions, whether in R&D units of large companies (e.g., Nokia, Ericsson, NVIDIA, Yahoo, Google), in exciting start-ups, or as post-docs in computer science or in other sciences. The doctoral programme Hecse can already list 18 computer science professors among its former students.

- RC's strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

The main strength of our doctoral training lies in the high quality of the research and teaching staff, good coordination and collaboration in doctoral training, as well as the good practices. The PIs are active in the research community, creating opportunities for collaboration and academic career development, also with other sciences and industry.

A challenge is how to continuously succeed in attracting talented students, as the number of MSc graduates is decreasing. We will further emphasize international recruitment.

Another challenge are the long study times and relative high drop-out rates in computer science. We have improved the student selection and supervision processes and will monitor the success.

A new opportunity for international recruitment and co-operation is provided by the recently established European Institute for Innovation and Technology (EIT) ICT Labs. Hecse and PiCS are both affiliated with it.
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3 SOCIETAL IMPACT OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).

The ALKO community has an exceptionally rich network of research collaborators in the public and private sector. We will describe collaborations and partners in more detail in Section 4 below. Here, we focus on the interaction and impact outside computer science research.

Impact of scientific co-operation

Through collaborations, ALKO has a large indirect impact on society as methods and tools developed in ALKO are used to solve important problems. Examples include the following.

- New algorithms and software (patented) for gene mapping and haplotyping have been used successfully to find novel genes, potentially leading to novel diagnostics and medications.

- Computational reconstruction of the dissemination history of the Legend of St. Henry, i.e., identification of the paths along which different versions have been created by copying and altering earlier versions, has provided a basis for the first scientific edition of this historical text. The study has also provided crucial information about medieval literature and the history of Baltic regions.

During the reporting period, we have collaborated with in total over 300 partners in academia and industry.

Industrial impact

Many research projects of ALKO are funded by companies (in 2005-10, total 270 000 euros), often jointly with Tekes, or collaboration takes place in European projects with strong involvement of companies (2 projects in 2010). Industrial partners include enterprises in medicine, broadcasting and media, IT solutions, language services, etc. We have had joint projects with 68 companies (10 from abroad).

Our researchers also provide consulting and courses to companies. Several spin-offs have been founded with basis in our research (e.g., Bayes Information Technology, Ekahau, Jaiku, Whitevector).

- Ekahau has successfully commercialized probabilistic positioning algorithms developed in ALKO. The company has over 10 000 customers worldwide, and the patented technology has won numerous international awards.

- Jaiku commercialized research that was carried out in the ContextPhone project, on context-awareness and microblogging in mobile phones. Jaiku was eventually acquired by Google.

Impact through software and patents

Software produced in ALKO is used both in industry and in academia. For instance, both Bayes-IT and ICA have been downloaded over 10 000 times. HaploRec has 130 registered users.

Our researchers have filed about twenty patents, e.g., in gene mapping, location positioning, data visualization, and pattern discovery, many of which have been utilized commercially by the start-ups or in the software.

- Ekahau has successfully commercialized probabilistic positioning algorithms developed in ALKO. The company has over 10 000 customers worldwide, and the patented technology has won numerous international awards.

- Jaiku commercialized research that was carried out in the ContextPhone project, on context-awareness and microblogging in mobile phones. Jaiku was eventually acquired by Google.

Impact through software and patents

Software produced in ALKO is used both in industry and in academia. For instance, both Bayes-IT and ICA have been downloaded over 10 000 times. HaploRec has 130 registered users.

Our researchers have filed about twenty patents, e.g., in gene mapping, location positioning, data visualization, and pattern discovery, many of which have been utilized commercially by the start-ups or in the software.
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Impact on Finnish academia

ALKO also has tight collaborations with many Finnish universities, especially Aalto, and also with polytechnics (Stadia and Haaga-Helia), and it this way spreads its expertise and results into wider use in the Finnish society.

Societal impact of doctoral training

The Finnish and European society has a pressing need for highly trained computer scientists. Many our PhD graduates are employed in exciting positions in industry or in other sciences.

Of our 28 PhD graduates, 6 are employed in industry, 3 are entrepreneurs, and 16 are researchers in units outside ALKO. Out of these 28, 13 are currently abroad.

- Ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.

The industrial impact could be further increased by more research collaboration. Given the recent increase in PhD output and the good placement of them in industry, it is becoming easier to find natural collaborations.

Our doctoral programmes have started adding components for entrepreneurship in their curricula. This direction will be strengthened to increase the societal as well as economical impact of research and PhD training.

An area for improvement is our media visibility. This would help make our impact and skills better visible, and attract more collaboration.

We are in the process of building up our alumni operations. An alumni association for computer science was recently established, and we will use them to reach to the society (and to let the society reach to us). Our annual open house day will be made better known to alumni and others interested, in order to improve the contacts.

Description of the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities and how the RC has promoted researcher mobility.

ALKO has a strong international and cross-disciplinary tradition. Here we give a brief summary of the collaborations during the evaluation period.

We have conducted research in international collaboration in numerous EU-funded projects (SMART, Bison, IQ, VisMaster, CLASS, Alvis; total MEUR 1.2 granted during 2005-10), in two Networks of Excellence (Pascal 2 with 65 partners, and Biosapiens with 24 partners), and in projects funded by NIH and Frontex (total MEUR 0.6). The total number of research partners (excluding the networks) is 74.

A concrete evidence of successful international collaboration is jointly authored articles. During the evaluation period, we have authored peer-reviewed papers with co-authors from 93 foreign research units (including top institutes such as University of California, Berkeley, Carnegie Mellon University and University of Toronto). Of these units, 72 are in the area of computer science, while 21 are in other fields, including bioinformatics, statistics, biology, chemistry, neuroscience, aviation, medicine, philosophy and economics.
Intersectoral research is even stronger nationally, where we have collaborated with departments or research institutes in the areas of computer science, bioinformatics, biology, neuroscience, history, medicine, forensics, software innovation and business intelligence technology, education, language technology, geography, geology, and physics with co-authors from 15 domestic units in about 30 projects.

Examples of very successful international or interdisciplinary collaboration include:

- New methods for estimating linear and nonlinear causal models from data, jointly with Shohei Shimizu at the University of Osaka, Bernhard Schölkopf at MPI Tübingen, Peter Spirtes at Carnegie Mellon University. We showed that if the data is non-Gaussian one can obtain much more information on the underlying data generating process.

- Storage and retrieval of individual genomes, jointly with Gonzalo Navarro, University of Chile. For data analysis of next-generation DNA sequencing we developed space-efficient self-indexes that provide fast extraction of any substring and fast searching of exact/approximate occurrences of a pattern.

- Finding orders from data, jointly with Mikael Fortelius at Department of Geosciences and Geography. In the analysis of paleontological presence/absence data, an important task is to find an ordering for the sites so that each species occurs in consecutive observations. We have developed novel algorithms for this seriation task.

Researcher mobility is strongly encouraged, using funding from the CoE, department, and doctoral programmes. Approximately 30% of our PhD students and post-docs are international, and 65% of our PhD graduates have had a substantial stay abroad.

There is also significant researcher mobility across sectors and disciplines. Many of our PhD students have worked in the industry and some take their PhD while in industry. Three of our professors have had positions at Nokia Research Center, including the current Head of Nokia Research. Several PhD's have been taken in the intersection of computer science and some other field (biology, medicine, linguistics), and several of our PhD graduates are now employed by other departments.

- RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

Our strengths clearly are in the wide collaboration networks, also across scientific disciplines and to industry, the good level of international staff, and the English-speaking environment and culture at the department.

Longer research visits to abroad still need financial and other incentives to keep the international activity on satisfactory level in the future.

5 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).

The Department of Computer Science and HIIT provide an excellent research environment for the ALKO community. The administration, working space and library are very good, and the computing environment is excellent with a dedicated IT team and modern infrastructure.
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Much of the research of ALKO is collaborative. The research institute HIIT has been and will continue to be a major platform for collaboration between these universities. ALKO PIs Kaski, Myllymäki and Mannila also have an Aalto affiliation.

In the Helsinki area, ALKO has extensive network of collaboration partners in the University of Helsinki, the Aalto University and the VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland. Here, the collaborators include eight national Centres of Excellence in research. Collaboration conditions with such relatively well-funded units are easy and smooth, without high bureaucratic barriers.

The funding of ALKO mostly comes from competitive sources (Academy of Finland, EU, Tekes). The longer-term funding of the Algodan CoE balances shorter term funding from other sources. Doctoral programmes are also a part of the overall funding structures.

The work load of PIs in applying for external funding retrieval is relative high. The teaching load, on the other hand, is negotiable and allows research active staff to teach less. The ALKO community is jointly responsible for the teaching of the Algorithms and Machine Learning subprogramme of the department and of the MBI programme.

ALKO participates and is responsible for organizing two national PhD programmes funded by the Academy of Finland: Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering (Hecse), and Finnish Doctoral Programme in Computational Sciences (FICS) is coordinated in ALKO.

 RC's strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

Our strengths are an excellent research infrastructure, extensive international and local collaboration, and excellent researchers and students. The teaching load of research active PIs can be reasonably low. We strive to provide a strong link between our research and teaching.

The main challenges include the administrative load of struggling with short term external funding, common in Finland, to maintain our current good funding level. With increasing number of graduated PhDs from our doctoral programmes, creating an attractive academic career beyond the PhD becomes a more and more serious challenge. Hopefully the new tenure track systems will provide some assistance in this respect, by providing better career paths for young researchers.

6 LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT IN THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the execution and processes of leadership in the RC, how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC and how the leadership- and management-related processes support high quality research, collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC, the RC's research focus and strengthening of the RC's know-how.

ALKO is a community of about 15 research groups of varying size and in varying stage of their evolution, sharing the mission of combinatorial-probabilistic data analysis and having joint funding for research and PhD education. Joint leaderships and management of research takes place in the Algodan CoE and in HIIT. Joint doctoral training is primarily lead in doctoral programmes Hecse and FICS, while teaching of PhD and MSc level courses is managed in the Algorithms and Machine Learning subprogramme of the department and the MBI master's programme. The leaderships are described below. For the daily management the community utilizes administrative service units of the Department of Computer Science, HIIT and Kumpula Campus.
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Professor Esko Ukkonen is the director of the Algodan CoE, Professor Heikki Mannila being the vice-director. Most ALKO groups are members of the Algodan centre. The centre has a board that coordinates joint activities and develops the research agenda and fund raising. The director is responsible for the allocation of the joint funding to the teams, new hirings, and developing the infrastructure of the CoE. When taking these decisions, he consults with the board.

Most groups of ALKO also participate in the research programmes of HIIT. Here, Professor Samuel Kaski is the director of the Algorithmic Data Analysis program, and Professor Petri Myllymäki is directing the Algorithmic Systems program. The programmes have boards and some joint funds.

The ALKO community is responsible for the teaching on the Algorithms and Machine Learning subprogramme at the Department of Computer Science. This is organized by Professor Jyrki Kivinen who is the director of this line.

The PhD programme Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering (Hegse) is coordinated in ALKO, Professor Hannu Toivonen being the director of the school.

The Finnish Doctoral Programme in Computational Sciences (FICS) is coordinated in ALKO, Samuel Kaski being the director. Our former PhD programme Graduate School on Computational Biology, Bioinformatics and Biometry (ComBi) was merged with FICS.

The joint Masters Programme in Bioinformatics (MBI) of the University of Helsinki and Aalto University is coordinated in ALKO, Professor Veli Mäkinen being the director.

To support high quality research, internal collaboration, research focus and know-how of ALKO, our actions include:
- Competitive allocation of the internal funds by using international open calls to find best people to open positions.
- Regular internal full-day review and brainstorming seminars to give feedback to the groups and to encourage new collaborations as well as to develop up-to-date and focused research agenda.
- Regular weekly research seminar to report own research as well as to invite visitors.
- Internal mentoring of young PIs.
- Joint hiring and exchange of researchers with our application partners.
- Organizing multidisciplinary seminars comprising the subjects of our application partners.
- The researchers of ALKO regularly participate in teaching by giving lectures, arranging seminars, and supervising of project work and theses.
- Participation in international consortia in research (e.g. the EU programmes) and in PhD education (e.g. the BREW collaboration).

RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes.

The ALKO community is a result of a natural evolution that started in the 1980’s. Among our 17 PIs, four have PhD from Helsinki University of Technology (Aalto University), two from the US (Stony Brook, NYU), and the rest have been educated in the UH. All the senior members have a long history of collaboration and good confidential personal relations. This is our clear strength on which we can build in leadership.
and management. A further strength is the very good infrastructure provided by the Department of Computer Science and HIIT. Almost all members of the community work physically in the same building. The main challenges include how to maintain sufficient coherence and focus in the large community comprising of several strong and scientifically independent PIs, and how the entire community can most efficiently utilize the special competencies of individual groups. Here transparency of planning could help, for example by organizing open seminars in which the PIs communicate regularly to the community each new project that is about to start.

7 External Competitive Funding of the RC

- Listing of the RCs external competitive funding, where:
  - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and
  - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki

- Academy of Finland (AF) - total amount of funding (in euros) AF has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: 10450000

- Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation (TEKES) - total amount of funding (in euros) TEKES has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: 1470000

- European Union (EU) - total amount of funding (in euros) EU has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: 1330000

- European Research Council (ERC) - total amount of funding (in euros) ERC has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010:

International and national foundations - names of international and national foundations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the foundations: Finnish Cultural Foundation, Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Technology Industries of Finland Centennial Foundation
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned foundations: 350000

Other international funding - names of other international funding organizations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the funding organizations: National Institutes of Health (NIH), Frontex
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned funding organizations: 570000

Other national funding (incl. EVO funding and Ministry of Education and Culture funded doctoral programme positions) - names of other national funding organizations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the funding organizations: Ministry of Education (doctoral programmes 7680000), University of Helsinki, Orion, VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Nokia, Finnish Association on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned funding organizations: 8320000
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8 RC’S STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN FOR 2011–2013 (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the RC’s future perspectives in respect to research and doctoral training.

In general, we are happy with the current mode and focus of operations of ALKO. The Algodan CoE’s SAB stated in their 2010 report: “The general technical direction of this confluence of people and research areas – the combination of combinatorial and statistical techniques in data analysis – is highly innovative.” “The research groups are integrated in a way that comes naturally out of overlap in the projects that they work on. We do not think that further overlap needs to be necessary or forced.”

Specific actions in 2011-13:

- Strengthen industry-oriented work by taking a central role in the forthcoming major research programme ‘From data to intelligence’ of the ICT cluster of the Finnish Strategic Centres for Science, Technology and Innovation (ICT-SHOK). Petri Myllymäki is the academic coordinator of this initiative.
- Maintain active international hiring, and support researcher careers by increasing postdoctoral and higher levels.
- Keep the computing infrastructure powerful.
- Implement new incentives to boost international activity, in particular longer research visit to and from abroad.
- Our general policy is to make research software publicly available. Systematize this by creating coherent practices and a portal for software distribution, to improve our visibility and impact.
- The current term of the Algodan CoE expires in 2013. This CoE, with HIIT, has been the main source of long-term funding. We need to decide how to continue the CoE and how to participate in other CoEs.
- Strengthen the leadership and administrative role of younger PIs.
- In doctoral training, keep running the two doctoral programmes. One of them (Hecse) is currently under review for prolongation by another 4 year term.

- The PI’s of ALKO have formulated mission statements and research goals of their groups for 2011-13. The groups share key elements of their mission but fruitful variability is fostered. Here are some condensed examples of plans:

  Hyvärinen: The most practical goal is development of machine learning methods tailored for analysis of brain imaging data such that the specific properties of the data are taken into account. For example, EEG and MEG data are characterized by oscillations, and thus methods based on time-frequency decompositions are necessary. Another example relevant to brain imaging is that we usually record data from many subjects. New methods are needed to properly combine information from all the subjects. On more theoretical level, the goal is to formalize new information-processing principles used by the brain. Another theoretical goal is development of computationally efficient estimation theory.

  Kaski: In computational biology and medicine we address the grand challenge of how to keep biology maximally cumulative when first principles are seldom accessible as in physics, and the number of published experiments is beyond comprehension of a single researcher. As recently shown, a new experiment can be put in the context of earlier ones, by viewing it as an information retrieval problem, of retrieving earlier relevant experiments. Making biology cumulative is thus transformed into the
computational problem of combining prior knowledge and data-driven learning in a model which the analyst can apply for retrieval on a massive scale.

Myllymäki: We focus on flexible modeling approaches, using Bayesian and the Minimum Description Length approaches, where the model complexity is automatically regularized to match the complexity of the learning task. We also work on variable-order Markov models, with applications in areas involving heterogeneous data. One such area is intelligent information access, where one has to gather information at the user end about the context and information need, use this data for accessing relevant information through an intelligent retrieval engine, and finally to present the gathered information in a useful, non-intrusive form.

Mäkinen: The group’s focus is shifting towards bioinformatics applications, especially to the new challenges set by high-throughput sequencing technologies. We are working on new solutions to the classical de novo fragment assembly problem using our own approach. A challenge is to design index structures for Markov representations of collections of individual genomes allowing efficient similarity searches.

### Short Description of How the RC Members Have Contributed to the Compilation of the Stage 2 Materials (Max. 1100 Characters with Spaces)

In the first phase, we established a group of 12 volunteers from researchers of all levels and from all three RC’s at the department (ALKO, NODES, SOFTSYS). This group met three times during December and January to discuss the evaluation and to fill in initial content using bullet points. Some of these activities were organized in subgroups specific to ALKO or NODES, whereas one subgroup worked on doctoral training, a shared activity between the RC’s.

The writing of all stage 2 material has taken place in Wiki, allowing all members of the working group as well as all PI’s of the communities to follow the process and to contribute to it.

The working group presented its results to the whole department of computer science in its strategy seminar.

In a second phase, the PI’s of the RC’s took over the responsibility to write the full versions of the texts. Collaboration continued in Wiki where the draft texts were written and edited.

A third and final phase of the preparation was to circulate the final drafts to all members of the RC’s for feedback.
# Analysis of publications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication type</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Total Count 2005 - 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 Refereed journal article</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 Review in scientific journal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3 Contribution to book/other compilations (refereed)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4 Article in conference publication (refereed)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 Unrefereed journal article</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 Contribution to book/other compilations (non-refereed)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 Unrefereed article in conference proceedings</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 Published scientific monograph</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 Article in professional journal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 Article in professional hand or guide book or in a professional data system, or text book material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4 Published development or research report</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 Popular article, newspaper article</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1 Patents</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication type</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Total Count 2005 - 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I1 Audiovisual materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2 ICT programs or applications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Count 2005 - 2010: 1 + 2 = 3
2 Listing of publications

A1 Refereed journal article

2005


Hyvärinen, A, Gutmann, MU, Hoyer, PO 2005, 'Statistical model of normal stimuli predicts edge-like pooling of spatial frequency channels in V2', BMC Neuroscience, vol 6, pp. -.


2006


Gastbarra, D, Sillanpää, MU 2006, 'Constructing the parental linkage phase and the genetic map over distances <1 cM using pooled haploid DNA', Genetics, vol 172, pp. 1325-1335.


Hovi, F, Sillanpää, M 2006, 'Bayesian mapping of genotype × expression interactions in quantitative and qualitative traits', Heredity, vol 97, pp. 4-18.
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2007
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Gionis, A, Mannila, H, Tsaparas, P 2007, ‘Clustering aggregation’, ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data, vol 1, no. 1.
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2008
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2009
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A2 Review in scientific journal

2007
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2009

2010

A3 Contribution to book/other compilations (refereed)

2005


2006
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Lehtonen, M 2006, 'When a few highly relevant answers are enough', Advances in XML information retrieval and evaluation, Springer, Berlin, pp. 296-305.


2007


2008


Rissanen, J 2008, 'Minimum description length', in M Hutter, S Chennu (eds), Scholarpedia. The peer-reviewed open-access encyclopedia., 3(8):6727 edn.


2009

Bhattacharjee, M, Sillanpää, M 2009, 'Bayesian joint disease-marker-expression analysis applied to clinical characteristics of chronic fatigue syndrome', in P McConnell, S Lim, A Cuticchia (eds), Methods of Microarray Data Analysis VI, CreateSpace Publishing, Scotts Valley, California, pp. 15-34.
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2010


A4 Article in conference publication (referred)

2005
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2007


2008


ALIKU/UKkonen
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B1 Unrefereed journal article

2006

2010

B2 Contribution to book/other compilations (non-refereed)

2008

B3 Unrefereed article in conference proceedings
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2005

2008

2009

2010

C1 Published scientific monograph

2005

2006
Navarro, G, Mäkinen, V 2006, Compressed full-text indexes, University of Chile, Department of Computer Science, Santiago.
Yu, H, Bertsekas, DP 2006, Convergence results for some temporal difference methods based on least squares, [Massachusetts Institute of Technology], [USA].
Yu, H, Bertsekas, DP 2006, A least squares Q-learning algorithm for optimal stopping problems, [Massachusetts Institute of Technology], [USA].
Yu, H, Bertsekas, DP 2006, On near-optimality of the set of finite-state controllers for average cost POMDP, [Massachusetts Institute of Technology], [USA].

2007
Yu, H, Bertsekas, DP 2007, Solution of large systems of equations using approximate dynamic programming methods, [Massachusetts Institute of Technology], [USA].
2008

2009
Astikainen, K, Holm, LUT, Pitkänen, E, Rousu, J 2009. Reaction kernels: predicting enzyme functions you have never seen before, Helsingin yliopisto, tietojenkäsittelytiede, Helsinki.
Bertsekas, DP, Yu, H 2009. A unifying polyhedral approximation framework for convex optimization, [Massachusetts Institute of Technology], [USA].

2010

C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal

2005

2006

2007

2008
ALKO/Ukkonen


D1 Article in professional journal
2010


D2 Article in professional hand or guide book or in a professional data system, or text book material
2010

D4 Published development or research report
ALKO/Ukkonen

2005

2006

2008

2010

E1 Popular article, newspaper article

2005

2006

2007

2008

H1 Patents

2005

2007

2008

I1 Audiovisual materials

2010
MapLab
I2 ICT programs or applications

2009
MOODS
Run-Length Compressed Suffix Array

2010
InvCoal
Hybrid SHREC
Generalized Compressed Suffix Array
## 1 Analysis of activities 2005-2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity type</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prizes and awards</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of research journal</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of research anthology/collection/conference proceedings</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer review of manuscripts</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of series</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of special theme number</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of candidates for academic posts</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in review committee</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in research network</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in national/international committee, council, board</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in public Finnish or international organization</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other tasks of an expert in private sector</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in interview for written media</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in radio programme</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity type</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation in TV programme</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Listing of activities 2005-2010

**Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis**

**Esko Ukkonen**,  
Supervision of Doctoral thesis of Hella Tamm, Esko Ukkonen, 2005  
PhD Supervisor of Jarkko Toivanen, Esko Ukkonen, 2006 → ..., Finland  
Supervision of Doctoral thesis of Ari Rantanen, Esko Ukkonen, 2006  
PhD Supervisor of Otto Solin, Esko Ukkonen, 2008 → ..., Finland  
Supervision of Doctoral thesis of Juha Makkonen, Esko Ukkonen, 2009  
Supervision of Doctoral thesis of Pasi Rastas, Esko Ukkonen, 2009  
Supervision of Doctoral thesis of Margus Lälk, Esko Ukkonen, 2010  

**Patrik Hoyer**,  
PhD Supervisor of Antti Hyttinen, Patrik Hoyer, 2008 → ..., Finland  
PhD Supervisor of Doris Entner, Patrik Hoyer, 2008 → ..., Finland  

**Aapo Hyvärinen**,  
PhD supervision: Jussi Lindgren, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2004 → 2008  
PhD supervision: Urs Kläter, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2004 → 2009  

**Samuel Kaski**,  
PhD supervisor of Abhishek Tripathi, Samuel Kaski, 2006 → 2011  

**Jyrki Kivinen**,  
Doctoral thesis supervision, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.07.2008 → 30.06.2012, Finland  

**Mikko Koivisto**,  
Co-supervisor of Jussi Kallin's PhD, Mikko Koivisto, 2005 → 10.2010  
Supervisor of Pekka Parviainen's PhD, Mikko Koivisto, 2007 → 2011  
Supervisor of Janne Korhonen's PhD, Mikko Koivisto, 2009 → 2013  
Co-supervisor of Esther Galbrun's PhD, Mikko Koivisto, 2010 → 2014  

**Kjell Lemström**,  
Supervision of Teppo Ahonen's Doctoral Studies, Kjell Lemström, 2008 → ..., Finland  

**Veli Mäkinen**,  
PhD Supervisor of Jouni Siren, Veli Mäkinen, 2007 → ..., Finland  
PhD Supervisor of Niko Välimäki, Veli Mäkinen, 2006 → ..., Finland  

**Heikki Mannila**,  
PhD Supervisor of Evimaria Terzi, Heikki Mannila, 2002 → 2007, Finland  
PhD Supervisor of Taneli Mielikäinen, Heikki Mannila, 2002 → 2005, Finland  
PhD Supervisor of Antti Leino, Heikki Mannila, 2003 → 2007, Finland  
PhD Supervisor of Niina Haiminen, Heikki Mannila, 2004 → 2008, Finland  
PhD Supervisor of Pauli Miettinen, Heikki Mannila, 2008 → 2009, Finland
ALKO/Ukkonen

PhD Supervisor of Aleksi Kallio, Heikki Mannila, 2007 → 2011, Finland

Petri Myllymäki,
Supervision of Teemu Roos’s doctoral thesis, Petri Myllymäki, 2003 → 2007, Finland
Supervision of Jukka Perkiö’s doctoral thesis, Petri Myllymäki, 2004 → ..., Finland
Supervision of Tomi Silander’s doctoral thesis, Petri Myllymäki, 2004 → 2009, Finland

Juho Rousu,
Doctoral thesis supervisor, Juho Rousu, 01.2001 → 11.2006, Finland
Doctoral thesis supervisor, Juho Rousu, 07.2004 → 11.2010, Finland
Doctoral thesis supervisor, Juho Rousu, 01.2008 → ..., Finland
Doctoral thesis supervisor, Juho Rousu, 01.2009 → ..., Finland

Mikko Sillanpää,
PhD Supervisor of Matti Pirinen, Mikko Sillanpää, 2005 → 2009, Finland
PhD Supervisor of Pinja Pikkubeokana, Mikko Sillanpää, 2006 → ..., Finland
PhD Supervisor of Timo Knurr, Mikko Sillanpää, 2008 → ..., Finland
PhD Supervisor of Hanni Kärkkäinen, Mikko Sillanpää, 2009 → ..., Finland
PhD Supervisor of Mahlako Makgahlela, Mikko Sillanpää, 2009 → ..., Finland
PhD Supervisor of Zitong Li, Mikko Sillanpää, 2009 → ..., Finland
Supervisor of the PhD thesis, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, UH, Mikko Sillanpää, 06.2009, Finland

Hannu Toivonen,
PhD supervisor of Mika Raento, Hannu Toivonen, 2002 → 2007, Finland
PhD supervisor of Petteri Hintsanen, Hannu Toivonen, 2003 → 2011, Finland
PhD supervisor of Kari Laasonen, Hannu Toivonen, 2004 → 2009, Finland
PhD supervisor of Lauri Eronen, Hannu Toivonen, 2004 → 2011, Finland
PhD supervisor of Kimmo Haltönä, Hannu Toivonen, 2007 → 2009, Finland
PhD supervisor of Fang Zhou, Hannu Toivonen, 2008 → ..., Finland
PhD supervisor of Laura Langohr, Hannu Toivonen, 2008 → ..., Finland
PhD supervisor of Wilhelmina Hamalainen, Hannu Toivonen, 2008 → 2010, Finland
PhD supervisor of Esther Galbrun, Hannu Toivonen, 2010 → ..., Finland
PhD supervisor of Joonas Paalasmaa, Hannu Toivonen, 2010 → ..., Finland

Helena Ahonen-Myka,
PhD supervisor of Oskari Heinonen, Helena Ahonen-Myka, 1997 → ..., Finland
PhD supervisor of Antoine Doucet, Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2005, Finland
PhD supervisor of Lili Aunimo, Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2007, Finland
PhD supervisor of Miro Lehtonen, Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2007, Finland
PhD supervisor of Juha Makonnen, Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2009, Finland

Pasi Rastas,
Supervisor, Pasi Rastas, 2005 → 2010

Prizes and awards

Esko Ukkonen,
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Medix-prize, Esko Ukkonen, 2007
Science Prize of the City of Helsinki 2007, Esko Ukkonen, 2007
The first honorary member of bioinformatics society Bioinformatiikan seura ry, Esko Ukkonen, 13.05.2009
Silver Medal of the University of Helsinki, Esko Ukkonen, 2010

Aapo Hyvärinen,
Highly Cited researcher, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2010

Petteri Kaski,
2007 Kirkman medal, Petteri Kaski, 2007, Canada

Veli Mäkinen,

Hannu Toivonen,
Best Paper Award, European Conference on Machine Learning, Hannu Toivonen, 2008
Honorary Member of TKO-ajy, Hannu Toivonen, 2010, Finland

Jorma Johannes Rissanen,
Kolmogorov medal, Jorma Johannes Rissanen, 2006
2009 IEEE Claude E. Shannon Award, Jorma Johannes Rissanen, 2009

Leena Salmela,
Award for best paper submitted to HiTSseq 2010, Leena Salmela, 10.07.2010

Petri Kontkanen,
Palkinto artikkelistä, Petri Kontkanen, 2007
Väitöskirjapalkinto, Petri Kontkanen, 2010, United States

Pauli Aleksi Miettinen,
Certificate of Recognition, ACM SIGKDD Doctoral Dissertation Award 2010, Pauli Aleksi Miettinen, 2010

Teemu Teppo Roos,
2009 Cor Baayen Award, Teemu Teppo Roos, 06.11.2009, France

Katja Astikainen,
Best student paper award, Katja Astikainen, 23.01.2010, Spain

Janne Henrik Korhonen,
Good Teacher Award for junior teachers, Janne Henrik Korhonen, 19.12.2007, Finland

Pekka Parviainen,
The Best Student Paper runner-up award (UAI'09), Pekka Parviainen, 19.06.2009, Canada

Jukka Petteri Perkiö,
International Neural Network Society Best Student Paper Award, Jukka Petteri Perkiö, 17.09.2009

Abhishek Tripathi,
Honorary mention at IEEE ICASSP 2009, Abhishek Tripathi, 20.04.2009, Taiwan

Niko Välimäki,
Nuorempien tutkijoiden Hyvä tutkija -palkinto, Niko Välimäki, 2009, Finland

Editor of research journal
Esko Ukkonen,
Member of the Board of Editors of the Journal of Universal Computer Science, Esko Ukkonen, 01.01.1999 – …, Germany
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IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Esko Ukkonen, 2004 → ..., United States

Petteri Kaski

Graphs and Combinatorics, Petteri Kaski, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Petteri Kaski, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
15th Annual International Conference on Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology (ISMB’07), Petteri Kaski, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Current Trends in Theory and Practice of Computer Science (SOFSEM’08), Petteri Kaski, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Discrete Applied Mathematics, Petteri Kaski, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Discrete Mathematics, Petteri Kaski, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine, Petteri Kaski, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Petteri Kaski, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
ACM Transactions on Algorithms, Petteri Kaski, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, United States
ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data, Petteri Kaski, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, United States
Graphs and Combinatorics, Petteri Kaski, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Japan

Samuel Kaski

Intelligent Data Analysis, Samuel Kaski, 2003 → 2010
International journal of neural systems, Samuel Kaski, 01.01.2004 → ...
Cognitive Neurodynamics, Samuel Kaski, 2007 → ...
International Journal of Knowledge Discovery in Bioinformatics, Samuel Kaski, 2009 → ...

Mikko Kolivistio

Reviewer for IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Mikko Kolivistio, 2006 → ...
Reviewer for Journal of Machine Learning Research, Mikko Kolivistio, 2006 → ...

Kjell Lemström

Member of the Editorial Board of the Musiikki Magazine, Kjell Lemström, 03.2004 → 02.2009, Finland

Petri Myllymäki

Entropy, Petri Myllymäki, 1998 → 2006
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Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research, Petri Myllymäki, 2010 → ...
Statistics and Computing, Petri Myllymäki, 2010 → ...

Valentin Polishchuk


Mikko Sillanpää

Theoretical and Applied Genetics, Mikko Sillanpää, 2006 → ...
BMC Genetics, Mikko Sillanpää, 2009 → ...
Frontiers in Livestock Genomics, Mikko Sillanpää, 2010 → ...

Hannu Toivonen

Editor: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, Hannu Toivonen, 2005 → 2009, Netherlands
Editorial Board Member: International Journal of Data Mining and Bioinformatics, Hannu Toivonen, 2006 → 2009, Switzerland
Editorial Board Member: BioData Mining, Hannu Toivonen, 2008 → 2010, United Kingdom
Associate Editor: Computational Intelligence, Hannu Toivonen, 2009 → ..., United States
Editorial Board Member: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, Hannu Toivonen, 2009 → ..., Netherlands
Editorial Board Member: Machine Learning, Hannu Toivonen, 2010 → ..., Netherlands

Huizhen Yu


Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen

ACM Transactions on Database Systems (TODS), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery (DMKD), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
Data and Knowledge Engineering (DKE), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
Fifth International Conference on Computational Science (ICCS 2005), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United States
Fourth International Workshop on Knowledge Discovery in Inductive Databases (KDID 2005), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Portugal
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering (TKDE), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
International Workshop on Dependability Aspects on Data Warehousing and Mining Applications (DAWAM 2006), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Austria
Knowledge and Information Systems (KAIS), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
Workshop on Privacy and Security Aspects of Data Mining (PSDM 2005), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United States
ACM Transactions on Database Systems (TODS), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
ACM/IEEE Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics (TCBB), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery (DMKD), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Data and Knowledge Engineering (DKE), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Discrete Applied Mathematics (DAM), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Fifth International Workshop on Knowledge Discovery in Inductive Databases (KDID 2006), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 18.09.2006 → 31.12.2006, Germany
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering (TKDE), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
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Information Sciences (INS), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
International Workshop on Dependability Aspects on Data Warehousing and Mining Applications (DAIWM 2006), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 20.04.2006 → 22.04.2006, Austria
The 17th European Conference on Machine Learning and the 10th European Conference on Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML/PKDD 2006), Berlin, Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 18.09.2006 → 22.09.2006, Germany
2007 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing (SAC 2007), Special Track on Data Mining (DM), Taneti Johannes Mielikäinen, 11.03.2007 → 15.03.2007, South Korea

Editor of research anthology/collection/conference proceedings

Samuel Kaski,
KRBI05, Symposium on Knowledge Representation in Bioinformatics, Samuel Kaski, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Petri Myllymäki,
Co-Chair of the First International Workshop on Information Theoretic Methods in Science and Engineering (WITMSE-2008), Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland
PC Chair of The Fifth European Workshop on Probabilistic Graphical Models (PGM-2010), Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland
PC Chair of the International Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI2008), Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, United States
Co-Chair of the Third International Workshop on Information Theoretic Methods in Science and Engineering (WITMSE-2010), Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2010 → 31.12.2010, Finland
Hannu Toivonen,
PC Member: ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD), Hannu Toivonen, 2005
PC Member: International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), Hannu Toivonen, 2005
PC Member: SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM), Hannu Toivonen, 2005
PC Area Chair: European Conference on Machine Learning and Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML PKDD), Hannu Toivonen, 2006
PC Member: IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), Hannu Toivonen, 2006
PC Member: International Symposium on Computational Life Science (CompLife), Hannu Toivonen, 2006
PC Member: SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM), Hannu Toivonen, 2006
Senior PC Member: ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD), Hannu Toivonen, 2006
Senior PC Member: International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), Hannu Toivonen, 2006
PC Area Chair: European Conference on Machine Learning and Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML PKDD), Hannu Toivonen, 2007
PC Member: ACM Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM), Hannu Toivonen, 2007
PC Member: ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD), Hannu Toivonen, 2007
PC Member: IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM), Hannu Toivonen, 2007
PC Member: IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), Hannu Toivonen, 2007
PC Member: IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence and Data Mining (CIDM), Hannu Toivonen, 2007
PC Member: International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE), Hannu Toivonen, 2007
PC Member: International Symposium on Intelligent Data Analysis (IDA), Hannu Toivonen, 2007
PC Member: Pacific-Asia Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (PAKDD), Hannu Toivonen, 2007
PC Member: SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM), Hannu Toivonen, 2007
PC Area Chair: Pacific-Asia Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (PAKDD), Hannu Toivonen, 2008
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PC Member: ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD), Hannu Toivonen, 2008
PC Member: ACM Symposium on Applied Computing (ACM SAC), Hannu Toivonen, 2008
PC Member: European Conference on Machine Learning and Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML PKDD), Hannu Toivonen, 2008
PC Member: IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM), Hannu Toivonen, 2008
PC Member: SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM), Hannu Toivonen, 2008
PC Member: IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM), Hannu Toivonen, 2009
PC Member: International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), Hannu Toivonen, 2009
PC Member: International Symposium on Intelligent Data Analysis (IDA), Hannu Toivonen, 2009
PC Vice Chair: IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), Hannu Toivonen, 2009
Senior PC Member: ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD), Hannu Toivonen, 2009
PC Member: AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI), Hannu Toivonen, 2010
PC Member: European Conference on Machine Learning and Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML PKDD), Hannu Toivonen, 2010
PC Member: IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM), Hannu Toivonen, 2010
PC Member: International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM), Hannu Toivonen, 2010
PC Member: International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), Hannu Toivonen, 2010
PC Member: International Symposium on Intelligent Data Analysis (IDA), Hannu Toivonen, 2010
PC Member: SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM), Hannu Toivonen, 2010
PC Vice Chair: IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), Hannu Toivonen, 2010

Teemu Teppo Roos,
Proceedings of the Fifth European Workshop on Probabilistic Graphical Models, Teemu Teppo Roos, 09.2010, Finland

Peer review of manuscripts

Esko Ukkonen,
Handbook of Research on Computational and Systems Biology: Interdisciplinary Applications, Esko Ukkonen, 2010, United States

Patrik Hoyer,
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Patrik Hoyer, 2004 → ...
IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Patrik Hoyer, 2004 → ...
Neural Computation, Patrik Hoyer, 2004 → ...
IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
IEEE Transactions on Speech and Audio, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
Neurocomputing, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
Bioinformatics, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
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IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Journal of Machine Learning Research, Patrik Hoyer, 2006 → ...
Machine Learning, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Neural Computation, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Neurocomputing, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Pattern Recognition Letters, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006

IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Journal of Machine Learning Research, Patrik Hoyer, 2006 → ...
Machine Learning, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Neural Computation, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Neurocomputing, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Pattern Recognition Letters, Patrik Hoyer, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006

Aapo Hyvärinen,
Area Chair in Program Committee of NIPS2009 conference, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2009
Program Committee Member: COSYNE2010 conference, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2010

Juha Kärkkäinen,
Reviewer for Algorithmica, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2005
Reviewer for IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2005
Reviewer for Information and Computation, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2005
PC Member: 13th Symposium on String Processing and Information Retrieval, SPIRE 2006, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2006
Reviewer for Algorithmica, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2006
Reviewer for EURASIP Journal on Bioinformatics and Systems Biology, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2006
Reviewer for International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming, ICALP 2006, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2006
Reviewer for Nordic Journal of Computing, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2006
Reviewer for Software: Practice and Experience, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2006
Reviewer for Theoretical Computer Science, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2006
PC Member: 14th Symposium on String Processing and Information Retrieval, SPIRE 2007, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2007
Reviewer for ACM Journal of Experimental Algorithmics, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2007
Reviewer for Algorithmica, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2007
Reviewer for ECMLPKDD 2007, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2007
Reviewer for Mathematics in Computer Science, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2007
Reviewer for Theoretical Computer Science, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2007
Reviewer for Workshop on Algorithm Engineering & Experiments, ALENEX 2008, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2007
Reviewer for Workshop on Algorithms and Data Structures, WADS 2007, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2007
Reviewer for SOFSEM 2009, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2008
Reviewer for Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, STACS 2009, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2008
Reviewer for Workshop on Algorithm Engineering & Experiments, ALENEX 2009, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2008
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PC Member: 17th Annual European Symposium on Algorithms, ESA 2009, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2009
Reviewer for ACM Journal of Experimental Algorithmics, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2009
Reviewer for Combinatorial Pattern Matching, CPM 2009, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2009
Reviewer for Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2009
Reviewer for ISMB/ECBB 2009, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2009
Reviewer for String Processing and Information Retrieval, SPIRE 2009, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2009
Reviewer for Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, STACS 2010, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2009
Reviewer for Theoretical Computer Science, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2009
Reviewer for Workshop on Algorithms and Applications, WAA 2010, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2009
PC Member: 12th Scandinavian Symposium and Workshops on Algorithm Theory, SWAT 2010, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2010
Reviewer for European Symposium on Algorithms, ESA 2010, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2010
Reviewer for Information processing Letters, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2010
Reviewer for International Colloqium on Automata, Languages and Programming, ICALP 2010, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2010
Reviewer for String Processing and Information Retrieval, SPIRE 2010, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2010
Reviewer for Workshop on Algorithm Engineering &amp; Experiments, ALENEX 2011, Juha Kärkkäinen, 2010

Samuel Kaski ,

PC Member: International Conference on Natural Computation (ICNC'05), Samuel Kaski, 01.01.2005 – 31.12.2005, China
PC Member: WSSOM 05, 5th Workshop On Self-Organizing Maps, Samuel Kaski, 01.01.2005 – 31.12.2005, France
IEEE PAMI, Samuel Kaski, 2010
Journal for Artificial Intelligence Research, Samuel Kaski, 2010
Neural Processing Letters, Samuel Kaski, 2010
PC Chair: ICML2010 Workshop on Reinforcement learning and search in very large spaces, Samuel Kaski, 2010
PC Chair: Machine Learning for Signal Processing (MLSP 2010), Samuel Kaski, 2010
PC Member: Asia-Pacific Bioinformatics Conference (APBC 2010), Samuel Kaski, 2010
PC Member: European Conference on Machine Learning and European Conference on Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML, PKDD 2010), Samuel Kaski, 2010
PC Member: European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks (ESANN 2010), Samuel Kaski, 2010
PC Member: International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML 2010), Samuel Kaski, 2010
PC Member: International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR 2010), Samuel Kaski, 2010
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PC Member: Mining and Learning with Graphs (MLG 2010), Samuel Kaski, 2010
PC Member: the 16th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD 2010), Samuel Kaski, 2010
PC Vice Chair: 2010 IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence (W10), Samuel Kaski, 2010
Peer reviewer for several journals and conferences, Samuel Kaski, 2010
Scholarpedia, Samuel Kaski, 2010

Jyrki Kivinen,

IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United States
IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United States
Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Machine Learning, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Theoretical Computer Science, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 20, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Discrete Applied Mathematics, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Theoretical Computer Science, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Netherlands
ALT 2010 - the 21st International Conference on Algorithmic Learning Theory, Jyrki Kivinen, 31.05.2010 → 07.06.2010
IEEE Signal Processing Letters, Jyrki Kivinen, 14.05.2010, United States
IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, Jyrki Kivinen, 15.05.2010, United States
IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Jyrki Kivinen, 16.11.2010, United States
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IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Jyrki Kivinen, 16.10.2010, United States
Journal of Machine Learning Research, Jyrki Kivinen, 31.03.2010
Knowledge and Information Systems, Jyrki Kivinen, 25.06.2010
Neurocomputing, Jyrki Kivinen, 16.07.2010
Theoretical Computer Science, Jyrki Kivinen, 03.02.2010

Mikko Koivisto,
Reviewer for ECAI 2004, Mikko Koivisto, 2004 → ...
Reviewer for WABI 2004, Mikko Koivisto, 2004 → ...
Reviewer for ALT 2005, Mikko Koivisto, 2005 → ...
Reviewer for CPM 2005, Mikko Koivisto, 2005 → ...
Reviewer for PSB 2005, Mikko Koivisto, 2005 → ...
Reviewer for Bioinformatics, Mikko Koivisto, 2006 → ...
Reviewer for Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, Mikko Koivisto, 2006 → ...
Reviewer for PSM 2006, Mikko Koivisto, 2006 → ...
Reviewer for RECOMB 2006, Mikko Koivisto, 2006 → ...
Reviewer for UAI 2006, Mikko Koivisto, 2006 → ...
Reviewer for AISTATS 2007, Mikko Koivisto, 2007 → ...
Reviewer for European Journal of Human Genetics, Mikko Koivisto, 2007 → ...
Reviewer for Journal of Discrete Algorithms, Mikko Koivisto, 2007 → ...
Reviewer for RECOMB 2007, Mikko Koivisto, 2007 → ...
PC member for SWAT 2008, Mikko Koivisto, 2008 → ...
Reviewer for Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, Mikko Koivisto, 2008 → ...
Reviewer for Journal of Machine Learning Research, Mikko Koivisto, 2008 → ...
Reviewer for UAI 2008, Mikko Koivisto, 2008 → ...
Reviewer for ECAI 2008, Mikko Koivisto, 2008 → ...
Reviewer for CPM 2009, Mikko Koivisto, 2009 → ...
Reviewer for BMC Genetics, Mikko Koivisto, 2009 → ...
Reviewer for ICALP 2009, Mikko Koivisto, 2009 → ...
Reviewer for Information Processing Letters, Mikko Koivisto, 2009 → ...
Reviewer for International Journal on Artificial Intelligence Tools, Mikko Koivisto, 2009 → ...
Reviewer for Journal of Machine Learning Research, Mikko Koivisto, 2009 → ...
Reviewer for Theoretical Computer Science, Mikko Koivisto, 2009 → ...
PC member for ESA 2010, Mikko Koivisto, 2010 → ...
PC member for PGM 2010, Mikko Koivisto, 2010 → ...
Reviewer for Algorithmica, Mikko Koivisto, 2010 → ...
Reviewer for Discrete Applied Mathematics, Mikko Koivisto, 2010 → ...
Reviewer for UAI 2010, Mikko Koivisto, 2010 → ...
Reviewer for WG 2010, Mikko Koivisto, 2010 → ...

Kjell Lemström,
Reviewer for Software-Practice &amp; Experience, Kjell Lemström, 2005
Reviewer for the 6th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval, Kjell Lemström, 2005
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Reviewer for the Computer Music Journal, Kjell Lemström, 2005
Reviewer for the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), Kjell Lemström, 2005
Program Co-Chair of the 7th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference, Kjell Lemström, 2006, Canada
Reviewer for Musicae Scientiae, Kjell Lemström, 2006
Reviewer for the Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval, Kjell Lemström, 2006
Reviewer for the IEEE Transactions on Multimedia, Kjell Lemström, 2006
Book review for Springer, Kjell Lemström, 2007
Reviewer for the IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech and Language Processing, Kjell Lemström, 2007
Reviewer for Signal Processing, Kjell Lemström, 2008
Reviewer for Algorithms and Applications, LNCS 6060, Kjell Lemström, 2009
Late Breaking and Demo Chair of the 11th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference, Kjell Lemström, 2010, Netherlands
Reviewer for the International Journal on Digital Libraries, Kjell Lemström, 2010
Reviewer for the Journal of Intelligent Information Systems, Kjell Lemström, 2010

Veli Mäkinen

ACM Transactions on Algorithms, Veli Mäkinen, 2005
Algorithmica, Veli Mäkinen, 2005
Annual Symposium on Combinatorial Pattern Matching (CPM 2005), Veli Mäkinen, 2005
IEEE Multimedia, Veli Mäkinen, 2005
IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Veli Mäkinen, 2005
Information Processing Letters, Veli Mäkinen, 2005
Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology (ISMB 2005), Veli Mäkinen, 2005
International Conference on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR 2005), Veli Mäkinen, 2005
Italian Conference on Theoretical Computer Science (ICTCS 2005), Veli Mäkinen, 2005
Journal of Discrete Algorithms, Veli Mäkinen, 2005
Pattern Recognition, Veli Mäkinen, 2005
Symposium on String Processing and Information Retrieval (SPIRE 2005), Veli Mäkinen, 2005
Annual Symposium on Combinatorial Pattern Matching (CPM 2006), Veli Mäkinen, 2006
BMC Bioinformatics, Veli Mäkinen, 2006
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Veli Mäkinen, 2006
International Workshop on Experimental Algorithms (WEA 2006), Veli Mäkinen, 2006
Symposium on String Processing and Information Retrieval (SPIRE 2006), Veli Mäkinen, 2006
Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2007), Veli Mäkinen, 2006
Tenth Annual International Conference on Research in Computational Molecular Biology (RECOMB 2006), Veli Mäkinen, 2006
Theoretical Computer Science, Veli Mäkinen, 2006
Workshop on Algorithm Engineering and Experimentation (ALENEX 2007), Veli Mäkinen, 2006
Data and Knowledge Engineering, Veli Mäkinen, 2007
Fundamenta Informaticae, Veli Mäkinen, 2007
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Veli Mäkinen, 2007
Information Processing Letters, Veli Mäkinen, 2007
Information Retrieval, Veli Mäkinen, 2007
Information and Computation, Veli Mäkinen, 2007
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Latin American Theoretical Informatics (LATIN 2008), Veli Mäkinen, 2007
Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA 2008), Veli Mäkinen, 2007
Symposium on String Processing and Information Retrieval (SPIRE 2007), Veli Mäkinen, 2007
Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2008), Veli Mäkinen, 2007
Workshop on Experimental Algorithms (WEA 2008), Veli Mäkinen, 2007
Algorithmica, Veli Mäkinen, 2008
European Symposium on Algorithms (ESA 2008), Veli Mäkinen, 2008
IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Veli Mäkinen, 2008
Information & Computation, Veli Mäkinen, 2008
International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (ICALP 2008), Veli Mäkinen, 2008
Pattern Recognition in Bioinformatics (PRIB 2008), Veli Mäkinen, 2008
Symposium on Combinatorial Pattern Matching (CPM 2008), Veli Mäkinen, 2008
Theoretical Computer Science, Veli Mäkinen, 2008
16th String Processing and Information Retrieval Symposium (SPIRE 2009), Veli Mäkinen, 2009
ACM Transactions on Database Systems, Veli Mäkinen, 2009
Algorithmica, Veli Mäkinen, 2009
Bioinformatics, Veli Mäkinen, 2009
Computational Intelligence, Veli Mäkinen, 2009
Data Compression Conference (DCC 2010), Veli Mäkinen, 2009
European Symposium on Algorithms (ESA 2009), Veli Mäkinen, 2009
IEEE/ACM Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering (TKDE), Veli Mäkinen, 2009
IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Veli Mäkinen, 2009
International Conference on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR 2009), Veli Mäkinen, 2009
Journal of Computer and System Sciences, Veli Mäkinen, 2009
Journal of Experimental Algorithmics, Veli Mäkinen, 2009
Software: Practice and Experience, Veli Mäkinen, 2009
Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2009), Veli Mäkinen, 2009
Theoretical Computer Science, Veli Mäkinen, 2009
12th Scandinavian Symposium and Workshops on Algorithm Theory (SWAT 2010), Veli Mäkinen, 2010
17th String Processing and Information Retrieval Symposium (SPIRE 2010), Veli Mäkinen, 2010
18th Annual International Conference on Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology (ISMB 2010), Veli Mäkinen, 2010
European Symposium on Algorithms (ESA 2009), Veli Mäkinen, 2010
IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Veli Mäkinen, 2010
Information & Computation, Veli Mäkinen, 2010
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International Conference on Bioinformatics Models, Methods and Algorithms (BIOINFORMATICS 2011), Veikko Mäkinen, 2010
International Conference on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR 2010), Veikko Mäkinen, 2010
Journal of Discrete Algorithms, Veikko Mäkinen, 2010
Theoretical Computer Science, Veikko Mäkinen, 2010

Petri Myllymäki ,
PC member, International and Interdisciplinary Conference on Adaptive Knowledge Representation and Reasoning, Petri Myllymäki, 15.06.2005 → 17.06.2005, Finland
PC Member of the 22nd Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI 2006), Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, United States
Reviewer for the 2006 IEEE Information Theory Workshop, Petri Myllymäki, 13.03.2006 → 17.03.2006, Uruguay
Reviewer for the 8th European Conference on Case-Based Reasoning, Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Turkey
Artificial Intelligence and Statistics 2007, Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
PC member, International Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI-2007), Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
PC member, Workshop on Cross-Lingual Information Access, Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
PC member, Workshop on Mobile User Improved Interaction, Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Reviewer for journal IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Reviewer for journal Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Reviewer for the journal IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Area chair, European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI-08), Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008
PC member, International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI-2009), Petri Myllymäki, 2009
Reviewer for the Journal of Machine Learning Research, Petri Myllymäki, 2010

Valentin Polishchuk ,
PC Member: Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG 2010), Valentin Polishchuk, 02.12.2009 → 14.02.2010

Juho Rousu ,
Bioinformatics, Juho Rousu, 22.05.2005 → 05.06.2005
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data engineering, Juho Rousu, 27.08.2005 → 14.10.2005
Data mining and Knowledge Discovery, Juho Rousu, 19.07.2005 → 11.08.2006
IEEE Transactions of Neural Networks, Juho Rousu, 12.11.2006 → 27.03.2007
Pattern Recognition, Juho Rousu, 30.08.2006 → 27.09.2006
Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, Juho Rousu, 03.01.2007 → 05.01.2007
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data engineering, Juho Rousu, 13.02.2007 → 30.03.2007
Pattern Recognition, Juho Rousu, 25.01.2007 → 27.03.2007
Pattern Recognition, Juho Rousu, 24.05.2007 → 05.07.2007
Journal of Machine Learning Research, Juho Rousu, 06.04.2008 → 04.05.2008
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data engineering, Juho Rousu, 19.06.2009 → 22.07.2009
Journal of Food Engineering, Juho Rousu, 08.08.2009 → 10.10.2009
Pattern Recognition, Juho Rousu, 25.03.2009 → 27.03.2009
Transactions of Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Juho Rousu, 07.01.2009 → 10.02.2009
Artificial Intelligence, Juho Rousu, 09.06.2010
Journal of Machine Learning Research, Juho Rousu, 08.11.2010
Journal of Machine Learning Research, Juho Rousu, 28.02.2010
Machine Learning, Juho Rousu, 28.12.2010

Mikko Sillanpää
Genetics, Mikko Sillanpää, 1998 → ...
American Journal of Human Genetics, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Annals of Human Genetics, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
BMC Bioinformatics, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Bioinformatics, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Biometrical Journal, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Biometrics, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Gene, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Genetic Epidemiology, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Genetica, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Genetical Research, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Genetics, Selection, Evolution, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Heredity, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Human Genomics, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Human Heredity, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
International Statistical Review, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
JABES, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Mikko Sillanpää, 2010 → ...
Molecular Breeding, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Plant Breeding, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Statistics in Medicine, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Theoretical and Applied Genetics, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Tree Genetics and Genomics, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Trends in Plant Science, Mikko Sillanpää, 2000 → ...
Signal Processing, Mikko Sillanpää, 2010
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Statistical Modelling, Mikko Sillanpää, 2010

Hannu Toivonen,
Reviewer: Acta Informatica, Hannu Toivonen, 2005
Reviewer: Bioinformatics, Hannu Toivonen, 2005
Reviewer: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, Hannu Toivonen, 2005
Reviewer: Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Hannu Toivonen, 2005
Reviewer: IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Hannu Toivonen, 2006
Reviewer: BMC Bioinformatics, Hannu Toivonen, 2006
Reviewer: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, Hannu Toivonen, 2006
Reviewer: Data and Knowledge Engineering, Hannu Toivonen, 2006
Reviewer: Bioinformatics, Hannu Toivonen, 2007
Reviewer: BioData Mining, Hannu Toivonen, 2008
Reviewer: Human Heredity, Hannu Toivonen, 2008
Reviewer: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, Hannu Toivonen, 2010

Helena Ahonen-Mykä,
Program committee member, International ACM Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR), Helena Ahonen-Mykä, 2005
Program committee member: ACM SIGIR Workshop ELEKTRA (Methodologies and Evaluation of Lexical Cohesion Techniques in Real-world Applications), 2005, Helena Ahonen-Mykä, 2005
Reviewer for International Journal of Neural Systems, Helena Ahonen-Mykä, 2005
Reviewer for Web Intelligence and Agent Systems Journal, Helena Ahonen-Mykä, 2006
Program committee member, International ACM Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR), Helena Ahonen-Mykä, 2006
Reviewer for Data Warehousing and Knowledge Discovery conference (DaWaK 2006), Helena Ahonen-Mykä, 2006
Reviewer for Artificial Intelligence Tools, Helena Ahonen-Mykä, 2006
Program committee member, International ACM Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR), Helena Ahonen-Mykä, 2007

Ella Bingham,
PC Member: Data Warehousing and Knowledge Discovery (DaWaK) 2005, Ella Bingham, 2005 — ..., Denmark
KDD 2005, Ella Bingham, 01.01.2006 — 31.12.2006
Neurocomputing, Ella Bingham, 01.01.2006 — 31.12.2006
PC member: International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD) 2006, Ella Bingham, 2006 — ...
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Ella Bingham, 01.01.2007 — 31.12.2007
IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Ella Bingham, 01.01.2007 — 31.12.2007
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International Journal of Neural Systems, Ella Bingham, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Neurocomputing, Ella Bingham, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
PLoS Computational Biology, Ella Bingham, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
SDM 2008, Proceedings, Ella Bingham, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data, Ella Bingham, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008
Complex-Valued Neural Networks, Ella Bingham, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008
IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech and Language Processing, Ella Bingham, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008
PC member: European Conference on Machine Learning and Principles and Practices of Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML PKDD) 2008, Ella Bingham, 2008 → ...
PC member: SIAM Data Mining Conference (SDM) 2010, Ella Bingham, 2010

Matti Järvisalo,
Reviewer for AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI 2010), Matti Järvisalo, 2010, United States
Reviewer for AI Communications, Matti Järvisalo, 2010
Reviewer for Artificial Intelligence Journal, Matti Järvisalo, 2010
Reviewer for European Conference on Logics for Artificial Intelligence (JELIA 2010), Matti Järvisalo, 2010
Reviewer for International Conference on Formal Methods in Computer-Aided Design (FMCAD 2010), Matti Järvisalo, 2010
Reviewer for International Conference on Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing (SAT 2010), Matti Järvisalo, 2010
Reviewer for International Conference on Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS 2010), Matti Järvisalo, 2010

Teemu Kivioja,
Review of a manuscript for IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Teemu Kivioja, 2010 → ...

Leena Salmela,
Frontiers in Computer Science in China reviewer, Leena Salmela, 25.02.2010 → ..., Germany
IPL reviewer, Leena Salmela, 03.01.2010 → ..., Netherlands
Journal of Information Science reviewer, Leena Salmela, 22.03.2010 → ..., United Kingdom
SWAT 2010 reviewer, Leena Salmela, 21.06.2010 → 23.06.2010, Germany
TCBB reviewer, Leena Salmela, 03.01.2010 → ..., United States

Stefan Schönauer,
Pattern Recognition Letters, Stefan Schönauer, 10.03.2009

Huizhen Yu,
IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, Huizhen Yu, 2010
Journal of Machine Learning Research, Huizhen Yu, 2010
Mathematics of Operations Research, Huizhen Yu, 2010

Petri Kontkanen,
Ohjelmatoimikunnan jäsen, Petri Kontkanen, 2005 → 2010
Arviotaja, Petri Kontkanen, 2006
Arviotaja, Petri Kontkanen, 2007
Arviotaja, Petri Kontkanen, 2008
Arviotaja, Petri Kontkanen, 2009
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Arvioitsija, Petri Kontkanen, 2009
Arvioitsija, Petri Kontkanen, 2010
Arvioitsija, Petri Kontkanen, 2010
Ohjelmatiöimikunnan jäsen, Petri Kontkanen, 2010

Pauli Aleksi Miettinen ,
IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Pauli Aleksi Miettinen, 2008
Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, Pauli Aleksi Miettinen, 2009
IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Pauli Aleksi Miettinen, 2009

Teemu Teppo Roos ,
IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Teemu Teppo Roos, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, United States
Journal of Machine Learning Research, Teemu Teppo Roos, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2010, United States
Machine Learning, Teemu Teppo Roos, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Proceedings of the IEEE Information Theory Workshop 2007, Teemu Teppo Roos, 2007, United States
SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences, Teemu Teppo Roos, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, United States
Data and Knowledge Engineering, Teemu Teppo Roos, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Netherlands
IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Teemu Teppo Roos, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, United States
IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, Teemu Teppo Roos, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, United States
Journal of Information Science, Teemu Teppo Roos, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, United Kingdom
Pattern Recognition Letters, Teemu Teppo Roos, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Netherlands
Statistics Sinica, Teemu Teppo Roos, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, China
The 18th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI2008), Teemu Teppo Roos, 21.07.2008 → 25.07.2008, Greece
The First Workshop on Information Theoretic Methods in Science and Engineering (WITMISE2008), Teemu Teppo Roos, 18.08.2008 → 20.08.2008, Finland
25th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI2008), Teemu Teppo Roos, 18.06.2009 → 21.06.2009, Canada
GENETICS, Teemu Teppo Roos, 2009
IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, Teemu Teppo Roos, 2009 → …, United States
Journal of Applied Probability, Teemu Teppo Roos, 2009 → …
The European Conference on Machine Learning and Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML- PKDD2009), Teemu Teppo Roos, 07.09.2009 → 11.09.2009, Slovenia
The Second Workshop on Information Theoretic Methods in Science and Engineering (WITMISE2009), Teemu Teppo Roos, 17.08.2009 → 19.08.2009, Finland
26th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI2010), Teemu Teppo Roos, 08.07.2010 → 11.07.2010, United States
Fifth European Workshop on Probabilistic Graphical Models (PGM2010), Teemu Teppo Roos, 13.09.2010 → 15.09.2010, Finland
IEEE Wireless Communications Magazine, Teemu Teppo Roos, 2010 → …, United States
Journal of Machine Learning Research, Teemu Teppo Roos, 2010 → …, United States
Statistics and Computing, Teemu Teppo Roos, 2010 → …, Netherlands

Teppo Ahonen ,
ISMIR 2010, Teppo Ahonen, 2010
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Panu Luosto,
12th Scandinavian Symposium and Workshops on Algorithm Theory, Panu Luosto, 2010

Pekka Parviainen,
Journal of Machine Learning Research, Pekka Parviainen, 2009
12th Scandinavian Symposium and Workshops on Algorithm Theory (SWAT), Pekka Parviainen, 2010
International Journal of AI Tools (IJAIT), Pekka Parviainen, 2010
The European Conference on Machine Learning and Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML PKDD), Pekka Parviainen, 2010

Jouni Sirén,
Reviewer: 18th Annual Symposium on Combinatorial Pattern Matching, Jouni Sirén, 2008
Reviewer: 16th International Symposium on String Processing and Information Retrieval, Jouni Sirén, 2009
Reviewer: Bioinformatics, Jouni Sirén, 2009
Reviewer: Journal on Experimental Algorithms, Jouni Sirén, 2009
Reviewer: 12th Scandinavian Symposium and Workshops on Algorithm Theory, Jouni Sirén, 2010
Reviewer: 17th International Symposium on String Processing and Information Retrieval, Jouni Sirén, 2010
Reviewer: 24th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Jouni Sirén, 2010
Reviewer: EURASIP Journal on Bioinformatics and Systems Biology, Jouni Sirén, 2010

Abhishek Tripathi,
Reviewer, Abhishek Tripathi, 04.01.2010 → ..., United States

Niko Välimäki,
CPM 2008, Niko Välimäki, 2008
PCIM 2008, Niko Välimäki, 2008
STACS 2008, Niko Välimäki, 2008
FOCS 2009, Niko Välimäki, 2009
SPIRE 2009, Niko Välimäki, 2009
Algorithms and Applications (Ukkonen Festschrift), Niko Välimäki, 2010, Finland
Information Processing Letters, Niko Välimäki, 2010
Journal of Discrete Algorithms, Niko Välimäki, 2010
SPIRE 2010, Niko Välimäki, 2010

Editor of series
Aapo Hyvärinen,
Action Editor in J of Machine Learning Research, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2004 → ...
Action Editor in Neural Computation, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2005 → ...
Contributing Faculty Member of the Faculty of 1000, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2006 → ...
Member of Editorial Board of Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2007 → ...

Editor of special theme number
Petri Myllymäki,
EURASIP Journal on Bioinformatics and Systems Biology, special issue on Information-Theoretic Methods for Bioinformatics, Petri Myllymäki, 2006 → 2007
Juho Rousu,
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Information Theoretic Methods for Bioinformatics, Juho Rousu, 01.01.2007 → 01.01.2008

Hannu Toivonen,
Guest Editor: Journal of Machine Learning Research, Hannu Toivonen, 2008, United States

Assessment of candidates for academic posts

**Esko Ukkonen**, Assessment for a professorship, Esko Ukkonen, 2005, Israel
Assessment committee for a professorship, Esko Ukkonen, 2007, Norway
Assessment for a professorship, Esko Ukkonen, 2007, Germany
Assessment for a tenured Associate Professorship, Esko Ukkonen, 2007, United States
Appointment committee for an associate professorship, Esko Ukkonen, 2008, Sweden
Assessment for a position of Research Director, Esko Ukkonen, 2008, France
Assessment for a position of Senior Lecturer, Esko Ukkonen, 2008, Israel
Assessment of a Research Scientist, Esko Ukkonen, 2009, Norway
Assessment for a position of Senior Lecturer, Esko Ukkonen, 2010, Israel

**Aapo Hyvärinen**, Statement regarding promotion to full professor, POSTECH, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2007
Statement for filling a position of associate professor at NYU, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2008
Statement regarding promotion to full professor, UC Berkeley, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2009
Statement regarding filling a position of associate professor, Aapo Hyvärinen, 2010

**Petri Myllymäki**, Assessment for a senior position, Petri Myllymäki, 2006, Australia
Assessment for a professorship, Petri Myllymäki, 2006, New Zealand
Assessment for a senior position, Petri Myllymäki, 2007, United Kingdom
Assessment for a docentship, Petri Myllymäki, 2009, Finland
Assessment for a docentship, Petri Myllymäki, 2009, Finland
Assessment for a professorship, Petri Myllymäki, 2009, Finland
Assessment for a senior position, Petri Myllymäki, 2009, France

**Juho Rousu**, Assessment for Adjunct Professor position, Juho Rousu, 29.02.2008, Finland

**Mikko Sillanpää**, Reviewer of a Wellcome Trust Senior Fellowship application, Mikko Sillanpää, 08.2006 → 09.2006, United Kingdom

**Hannu Toivonen**, External reviewer for Full Professor promotion in the University of Texas at Arlington, USA, Hannu Toivonen, 2007, United States
External reviewer for Full Professor promotion in the University of Texas at Arlington, USA, Hannu Toivonen, 2008, United States


Membership or other role in review committee

**Esko Ukkonen**, Evaluation of research proposals, Esko Ukkonen, 2005, Netherlands
Evaluation of a Senior Fellowship application, Esko Ukkonen, 2006, United Kingdom
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Evaluation of a research proposal, Esko Ukkonen, 2007, Germany
Evaluation panel of Bioinformatics Initiative, Esko Ukkonen, 2007, Germany
Expert evaluator for European Research Council, Esko Ukkonen, 2007
Evaluation of a research proposal, Esko Ukkonen, 2008, Israel
Evaluation of a postdoctoral project proposal, Esko Ukkonen, 2009, France
Review of academic educational program (TU München), Esko Ukkonen, 2009, Germany
Evaluation of a grant proposal, Esko Ukkonen, 2010, Canada
Evaluation of research proposals, Esko Ukkonen, 2010, Austria

Mikko Koivisto
Reviewer for the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research, Mikko Koivisto, 2010 → ...

Petri Myllymäki
European Commission, review panel for the IST programme, Petri Myllymäki, 18.04.2005 → 27.04.2005, Belgium
NWO, Petri Myllymäki, 01.11.2005 → 30.11.2005, Netherlands
European Commission, Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Expert reviewer for the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Petri Myllymäki, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Austria

Valentin Polishchuk
sovg, Valentin Polishchuk, 03.12.2009 → 14.02.2010

Juho Rousu
Research project review, Juho Rousu, 2005 → 2010, United Kingdom
Board Member of Graduate School, Juho Rousu, 01.01.2007 → ..., Finland
Research project review, Juho Rousu, 2007, Austria
Research project review, Juho Rousu, 2009, Switzerland
Research project review, Juho Rousu, 2010, Belgium
Research project review, Juho Rousu, 2010, United Kingdom

Mikko Sillanpää
JRED Reviewer for inner evaluation purposes of the New Zealand Forest Research Institute, Mikko Sillanpää, 08.2006 → ..., New Zealand
Reviewer of a Equipment, Technology Development & Biomedical Resources Grant application, Mikko Sillanpää, 04.2007 → 06.2007, United Kingdom
Reviewer of a Welcome Trust Research Grant application, Mikko Sillanpää, 08.2007 → 09.2007, United Kingdom
Reviewer of a Research Grant Application, Mikko Sillanpää, 05.2008 → 06.2008, Israel
Reviewer of a Research Grant Applications for Postdoctoral Researchers, Mikko Sillanpää, 08.2008 → ..., Finland
Reviewer of MRC Career Development Award, Mikko Sillanpää, 2010 → ..., United Kingdom

Hannu Toivonen
Reviewer for The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), Hannu Toivonen, 2005, Netherlands
Reviewer for European Young Investigators Awards (EURYI)/EUROHORCS, Hannu Toivonen, 2007, Finland
Reviewer for Health Science & Technology (HST, The Netherlands), Hannu Toivonen, 2007, Netherlands
Reviewer for The National Fund for Scientific Research (FWO, Belgium), Hannu Toivonen, 2007, Belgium
Member of SIGKDD Dissertation Award Committee, Hannu Toivonen, 2008 → ...
Member of the Computer Science panel of the Swedish Science Council, Hannu Toivonen, 2009, Sweden
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF OTHER SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES 2005-2010

ALKO/Ukkonen

Reviewer for European Research Council (ERC): ERC Starting Grants, Hannu Toivonen, 2009
Reviewer for the Netherlands Genomics Initiative, Horizon programme, Hannu Toivonen, 2008, Netherlands
Member of ERC Starting Grants evaluation panel, European Research Council (ERC), Hannu Toivonen, 2010 → 2011
Reviewer for The National Fund for Scientific Research (FWO, Belgium), Hannu Toivonen, 2010, Belgium

Helena Ahonen-Myka

Reviewer for the University of Antwerp, Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2006, Belgium
Reviewer for an Advanced Study Institute program, The Croucher Foundation, Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2007, Hong Kong
Reviewer for Swiss National Science Foundation, Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2008, Switzerland

Membership or other role in research network

Esko Ukkonen

Director of National Center-of-Excellence "From Data to Knowledge" (Academy of Finland, 2002–2007), Esko Ukkonen, 2002 → 2007, Finland
Director of National Center-of-Excellence in Algorithmic Data Analysis research (Academy of Finland, 2008–2013), Esko Ukkonen, 2008 → 2013, Finland

Samuel Kaski

Steering Committee Member, Samuel Kaski, 2008 → ...
Member, Samuel Kaski, 2010 → ...

Petri Myllymäki

Member of the PASCAL Steering Committee, Programme Director, Node Director, Petri Myllymäki, 2003 → 2012

Valentin Polishchuk

complexWorld.eu, Valentin Polishchuk, 26.04.2010 → ...
hala!, Valentin Polishchuk, 26.04.2010 → ...

Juho Rousu

Member of EU FP6 NoE PASCAL, Juho Rousu, 01.01.2004 → 28.02.2008
Coordinator of thematic programme in EU network, Juho Rousu, 01.12.2005 → 30.06.2006, United Kingdom
Member of EU FP7 NoE PASCAL2, Juho Rousu, 01.03.2008 → 28.12.2013

Mikko Sillanpää

Substitute of the EU COST TD0801 Management Committee in Finland, Mikko Sillanpää, 08.2009 → 12.2012

Hannu Toivonen

Member of PASCAL 2 (EU Network of Excellence), Hannu Toivonen, 2008 → 2013

Membership or other role in national/international committee, council, board

Esko Ukkonen

Member of the international Steering Committee of the bi-annual conference series Scandinavian Workshop on Algorithm Theory (SWAT), Esko Ukkonen, 1991 → ...
International steering committee of the Bioinformatics Research and Education Workshops (BREW), Esko Ukkonen, 2002 → ...
Panel chairman of the Publication Forum Project of the FEDERATION OF FINNISH LEARNED SOCIETIES, Esko Ukkonen, 2010 → 2012, Finland

Samuel Kaski

Member, Samuel Kaski, 2009 → ...
Member, Samuel Kaski, 2009 → ..., Finland
Member of Governing Board, Samuel Kaski, 2010 → ...

Jyrki Kivinen
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Association for Computational Learning, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, United States
Association for Computational Learning, Jyrki Kivinen, 01.01.2006 → 24.06.2006

Kjell Lemström

Steering Committee member of ISMIR (International Society for Music Information Retrieval), Kjell Lemström, 01.2002 → 10.2009
Member of the board of the Finnish Musicological Society, Kjell Lemström, 03.2004 → 03.2009, Finland
Member of the Faculty Council, Kjell Lemström, 01.2007 → 11.2008, Finland
Member of the University Collegium, Kjell Lemström, 03.2007 → 11.2008, Finland

Veli Mäkinen

Tietojenkäsittelytieteet laitoksen johtoryhmä, Veli Mäkinen, 2007 → 2009, Finland
Board of Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering (HeCse), Veli Mäkinen, 2008 → ..., Finland
Matemaattis-luonnontieteellisen tiedekunnan tiedekuntaneuvosto, Veli Mäkinen, 2009, Finland
Bionformatikan masteriohjelman ohjausryhmä, Veli Mäkinen, 2010 → ..., Finland
Steering group for Finnish Doctoral Programme in Computational Sciences, FICS, Veli Mäkinen, 2010, Finland

Petri Myllymäki

Steering Committee of the Pascal EU Network of Excellence, Petri Myllymäki, 2003 → 2012, United Kingdom

Mikko Sillanpää

A member in Complex Trait Consortium (CTC), Mikko Sillanpää, 2004 → ...

Hannu Toivonen

Board Member and Steering Committee Member, Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering (HeCse), Hannu Toivonen, 01.2003 → ..., Finland
Director, Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering (HeCse), Hannu Toivonen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2011
Member: Committee for Development of Service Centers at Campuses, Hannu Toivonen, 04.12.2008 → 27.02.2009, Finland
Member: Committee for structural development of the university, Hannu Toivonen, 01.04.2008 → 31.12.2009, Finland
Member: Expert Committee on Academic Leadership, Hannu Toivonen, 11.2008 → 12.2009, Finland
Member: Scientific Expert Committee of the Faculty of Science, Hannu Toivonen, 01.10.2008 → 31.12.2013, Finland
Steering Group Member: Development of Research Information System at the University of Helsinki, Hannu Toivonen, 09.09.2008 → 31.12.2009, Finland
Strategic Committee Member of Finnish Doctoral Programme in Computational Sciences, FICS, Hannu Toivonen, 2009 → 2010, Finland
Board Member: Helsinki Institute for Information Technology HIIT, Hannu Toivonen, 01.10.2010 → 31.03.2014, Finland
Chairman of the board of Master's programme in Bioinformatics (MBI), Hannu Toivonen, 03.2010 → ..., Finland
Management Committee Member of COST Action IC1002, "MUMIA", Hannu Toivonen, 2010 → 2013
Member: Doctoral Education Committee at the University of Helsinki, Hannu Toivonen, 01.01.2010 → 31.12.2012, Finland
Member: Doctoral Education Committee of the Faculty of Science, Hannu Toivonen, 01.12.2010 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Helena Ahonen-Myka

Board member of the Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering (HeCSE), Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2005, Finland
Board member of the national graduate school for human language technology, Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2005, Finland
Board member of the national language technology education network (KIT), Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2005, Finland
Board member of the Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering (HeCSE), Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2006, Finland
Board member of the national graduate school for human language technology, Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2006, Finland
Board member of the national language technology education network (KIT), Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2006, Finland
Board member of the national graduate school for human language technology, Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2007, Finland
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Board member of the national language technology education network (KIT), Helena Ahonen-Myka, 2007, Finland

Ella Bingham

ACM SIGKDD (konferenssi KDD 2006), Ella Bingham, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006

Taneli Johannes Mielikäinen


Membership or other role in public Finnish or international organization

Esko Ukkonen

Member of the board of Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering, Esko Ukkonen, 1996 → ..., Finland

Member of the Board of the Institute of Biotechnology (University of Helsinki), Esko Ukkonen, 2002 → 2009, Finland

Member of the Board of Graduate School in Computational Biology, Bioinformatics, and Biometry, Esko Ukkonen, 2003 → 2009, Finland

Chairman of the Board of the Institute of Biotechnology (University of Helsinki), Esko Ukkonen, 2009 → ..., Finland

Samuel Kaski

Vice director, Samuel Kaski, 2006 → ..., Finland

Vice head of department, Samuel Kaski, 2008 → 2010, Finland

Director, Samuel Kaski, 2009 → ..., Finland

Director, Samuel Kaski, 2010 → ..., Finland

Petri Myllymäki

Helsinki Institute for Information Technology HIIT, member of the Board of Directors, Petri Myllymäki, 2002 → 2006, Finland

Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering, member of the Board of Directors, Petri Myllymäki, 2004 → ..., Finland

Steering Committee of the Department of Computer Science, University of Helsinki, Petri Myllymäki, 2007 → ..., Finland

Steering Committee of the Kumpula science library, Petri Myllymäki, 2007 → 2010, Finland

Hannu Toivonen

Member of the Council of Faculty of Science, Hannu Toivonen, 10.2004 → 12.2013, Finland

Vice Chair of the Council of Department of Computer Science, Hannu Toivonen, 01.2004 → 12.2006, Finland

Head of Department of Computer Science, Hannu Toivonen, 01.2007 → 12.2009, Finland

Vice Member of the Council of Department of Computer Science, Hannu Toivonen, 01.2010 → 12.2013, Finland

Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation

Kjell Lemström

Board member of Hecse (Helsinki Graduate School in Computer Science and Engineering, Kjell Lemström, 2002 → ..., Finland

Board member of Hidtenkivi comprehensive school, Kjell Lemström, 2009 → 2012

Petri Myllymäki

Cloud'N'Sci Inc., member of the Board of Directors, Petri Myllymäki, 2010 → ..., Finland

Other tasks of an expert in private sector

Hannu Toivonen

Referee for the Imagine Cup, Software Design, Finnish semifinal, Hannu Toivonen, 2009

Advisory Board member of Finsor Ltd, Hannu Toivonen, 01.2010 → ..., Finland

Scientific Advisory Board Member of STACC, Hannu Toivonen, 01.2010 → ..., Estonia

Participation in interview for written media
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF OTHER SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES 2005-2010

ALKO/Ukkonen

Esko Ukkonen,
Interview for Perspektiivi, Esko Ukkonen, 2008
Interview for Tieteen tietotekniikka (ICT for Science), Esko Ukkonen, 2009

Samuel Kaski,
Apropos 1/2005, magazine of the Academy of Finland, Samuel Kaski, 2005, Finland
Informaatiotekniikan laboratorion 40-vuotisjuhla, Samuel Kaski, 2005, Finland
ProAcademia, News from the Academy of Finland 2/2005, Samuel Kaski, 2005, Finland
Proaktiivisen tietotekniikan kollokio, Samuel Kaski, 2005, Finland

Kjell Lemström,
Interview in LUOVA (Nuorten luonnontieteidenverkkolehti): “Mikä se bisi nyt oli ... ja missä?”, Kjell Lemström, 05.08.2007, Finland
Interview in Forum för ekonomi och teknik: “Sökmotor som hittar låten du nynnar”. Kjell Lemström, 2008, Finland

Petri Myllymäki,
Interview on the Tietokone magazine, Petri Myllymäki, 18.10.2007, United Kingdom

Hannu Toivonen,
Portaalit 1/2005, p. 16, Hannu Toivonen, 2005, Finland
Puhelin, 2/2005, p. 32-33, Hannu Toivonen, 2005, Finland
Tietoyhteys 1/2005, s. 3, Hannu Toivonen, 2005, Finland
The New York Times, 6 July 2006, Hannu Toivonen, 06.07.2006, United States
Tieteen Kuvalahi 6/2006, Hannu Toivonen, 2006, Finland
Valitut Palat, June 2007, Hannu Toivonen, 06.2007, Finland
Helsingin Sanomat 28.8.2008, Hannu Toivonen, 28.08.2008, Finland
Helsingin Sanomat 29.8.2008, Hannu Toivonen, 29.08.2008, Finland
Hufvudstadsbladet 28.8.2008, Hannu Toivonen, 28.08.2008, Finland
Polyteekkari 15/2008, Hannu Toivonen, 2008, Finland
Tekniikan maalima 19/2008, Hannu Toivonen, 2008, Finland
Ylioppilastelehti 11/08, Hannu Toivonen, 2008, Finland
Helsingin Sanomat 4.2.2009, Hannu Toivonen, 04.02.2009, Finland
Helsinki University Bulletin 2/10, Hannu Toivonen, 2010, Finland
Ylioppilastelehti 2010, Hannu Toivonen, 12.2010, Finland

Henry Tirri,
Euroopan eden- ja teknologialiiikko, Henry Tirri, 01.11.2000 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Fincon tieteismessut, Henry Tirri, 01.08.2000 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Helsingin Sanomat, Henry Tirri, 01.01.2000 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Tekniikka ja talous, Henry Tirri, 01.09.2000 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Tietovikko, Henry Tirri, 01.05.2000 → 31.12.2011, Finland
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YLE, Henry Tirri, 01.03.2000 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Yliopisto, Henry Tirri, 01.11.2000 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Helsingin Sanomat, Henry Tirri, 11.11.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Ideapolis, Henry Tirri, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Profile, Henry Tirri, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Puhelin, Henry Tirri, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Tatto, Henry Tirri, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Tekniikka & Talous, Henry Tirri, 12.03.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Tiede 03-tiedekatselmus, Henry Tirri, 07.11.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Tieteen päivät 2003, Henry Tirri, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Tietopalvelu, Henry Tirri, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Tietoviikko, Henry Tirri, 21.08.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
YLE - IT-udiset, Henry Tirri, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Participation in radio programme

Petri Myllymäki,
Interview on the Finnish radio (YleX), Petri Myllymäki, 21.11.2006, Finland
Radio-ohjelma YleX-kanavalla, Petri Myllymäki, 21.11.2006, Finland

Juho Rousu,
Radio Suomi 17.3.2005, Hannu Toivonen, 17.03.2005, Finland
Radio Suomi 8.7.2008, Hannu Toivonen, 08.07.2008, Finland

Hannu Toivonen,

Participation in TV programme

Petri Myllymäki,
Haastattelu Verkossa-ohjelmassa, MTV3, Petri Myllymäki, 02.04.2007, United Kingdom

Teemu Teppo Roos,
Interview on Prisma Studio, TV1, Teemu Teppo Roos, 14.11.2006, Finland
Research Group: Ukkonen E

**Basic statistics**
- Number of publications (P): 180
- Number of citations (TCS): 748
- Number of citations per publication (MCS): 4.21
- Percentage of uncited publications: 42%
- Field-normalized number of citations per publication (MNCS): 1.12
- Field-normalized average journal impact (MNJS): 1.43
- Field-normalized proportion highly cited publications (top 10%): 1.06
- Internal coverage: 0.64

**Trend analyses**

**Collaboration**

**Performance (MNCS) by collaboration type**
Appendix B.b.

Maria Forsman, Chief Information Specialist, DSocSc
Helsinki University Library 7.7.2011

The bibliometric analyses by Helsinki University Library (HULib)

Background: The bibliometric analyses – especially citation analyses – have raised a lot of discussion and critics among researchers in social sciences and humanities. Researchers view that bibliometric analyses are often unfair to these fields of sciences because they do not give a good enough picture of the publishing. Citation databases – Web of Science and Scopus – cover only weakly the main publications in these fields. Also, in humanities and social sciences monograph is still the main form of publishing, and it does not include in these article databases.

At the University of Helsinki, the above mentioned concerns have been taken into account in the evaluation. The Evaluation Office has ordered analyses from the Helsinki University Library (HULib) for the participating researcher communities that are weakly represented in Web of Science. The database for the HULib analyses is TUHAT (https://tuhat.halvi.helsinki.fi/portal/en/) including all the publications that the researchers have considered important.

Based on this data, information specialists at HULib have carried out the following analyses:

1) Number of authors/publication/year as a table; a pie of authors/publication in the period 2005-2010;
2) Language of publication/year; a pie of language of publication in the period 2005-2010;
3) Articles/journal/year; journals have been compared by ISSN with the Norwegian, Australian and ERIH (2007-2008) journal ranking lists; number of articles in ranked journals;
4) Publisher/monograph type (according to TUHAT database); monographs have been compared with the Norwegian publisher ranking list. According to this, it has been counted how many monographs are published by a leading scientific publisher (2) or a scientific publisher (1).
5) Conference publications (from TUHAT database) especially in computer sciences; compared with the Australian conference ranking list.

Where relevant, some additional analyses and notes concerning the publication culture of a scientific field have been added. Overall, these analyses complement the other evaluation material and lists of the publications of the participating researcher communities.

If the publications of the RCs were less than 50 or and the internal coverage less than 40 percentage, the WoS analyses were considered not reliable. These RCs were 58 altogether.

In addition, both Leiden and Library analyses were done to the RCs if WoS analyses covered less than 40 per cent of the peer review (A+C) publications of the RC. These RCs were 8 altogether.

The appendix includes the analyses of the RC under discussion.
Analysis of publications by Helsinki University Library – 66 RCs altogether

Biological, Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences
Luukkanen, Olavi – VITRI
Valsta, Lauri – SUVALUE

Natural Sciences
Abrahamsson, Pekka – SOFTSYS
Kangasharju, Jussi – NODENodes
Ukkonen, Esko – ALKO
Väänänen, Jouko – HLG

Humanities
Aejmelaeus, Anneli – CSTT
Anttonen, Pertti – CMVG
Dunderberg, Ismo – FC
Havu, Eva – CoCoLoCaC
Heikkinen, Markku – RCSP
Heinämaa, Sara – SHC
Henriksson, Markku – CITa
Janhunen, Juha – LDHFTA
Kajava Mika, – AMNE
Klippi, Anu – Interaction
Knuuttila, Simo – PPMP
Koskenniemi, Kimmo – BAULT
Lauha, Aila – CECH
Lavento, Mika – ARCH-HU
Lukkarinen, Ville – AHCI
Lyytikäinen, Pirjo – GLW
Mauranen, Anna – LFP
Meinander, Henrik – HIST
Nevalainen, Terttu – VARIENG
Pettersson, Bo – ILLC
Pulkkinen, Tuija – Gender Studies
Pyrhönen, Heta – ART
Ruokanen, Miikka – RELDIAL
Saarinen, Risto – RELSOC
Sandu, Gabriel – LMPS
Tarasti, Eero – MusSig
Vehmas-Lehto, Inkeri – TraST
Östman, Jan-Ola – LMS

Social Sciences
Airaksinen, Timo – PPH
Engeström, Yrjö – CRADLE
Granberg, Leo – TRANSRURBAN
Haila, Anne – Sociopolis
Hautamäki, Jarkko – CEA
Heinonen, Visa – KUMU
Helén, Iipo – STS
Hukkinen, Janne – GENU
Jallinoja, Riitta – SBII
Kaartinen, Timo – SCA
Kettunen, Pauli – NordSoc
Kivinen, Markku – FCRES
Koponen, Juhan – DEVERELE
Koskenniemi, Martti – ECI
Kultti, Klaus – EAT
Lahtela, Elina – KUFE
Lanne, Markku – TSEM
Lavonen, Jari – RCMSER
Lehtonen, Risto – SocStats
Lindblom-Yläne, Sari – EdPsychHE
Nieminen, Hannu – MECOL
Nuotio, Kimmo – Law
Nyman, Göte – METEORI
Ollikainen, Markku – ENFIFO
Pirttilä-Backman, Anna-Maija – DYNASOBIC
Rahkonen, Keijo – CulCap
Roos, J P – HELPS
Simola, Hannu – SOCE-DGI
Sulkunen, Pekka – PosPus
Sumelius, John – AG ECON
Vaattovaara, Mari – STRUTSI
Vainio, Martti – SigMe

The next appendix includes the analyses of the RC under discussion.
ALKO / Ukkonen

Category 1. The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.

The principles used in evaluating Computer Science are stated in Research Evaluation for Computer Science: An Informatics Europe report (2008)
http://www.informatics-europe.org/docs/research_evaluation.pdf

Basic Statistics

The group is fairly large, with 676 publications in TUHAT, showing a peak in A4 conference publications, typical for Computer Science, but also a large amount of A1 refereed papers, as shown in a chart with publication counts per classification:

![Publication chart]

The papers have on average 3.3 authors per publication, as shown in the following table with breakdown of papers with 1..22 authors:
The following chart shows the breakdown of the number of authors for each year:

There are 184 papers in Web of Science, which makes a quantitative analysis possible. However, as conference publications have a very central role in Computer Science, WoS analysis gives only a partial view on the situation.

**Collaboration**

The CWTS research performance profile provides adequate information about the performance of the group by collaboration type (national and international collaboration), thus the analysis has not been duplicated here for conference publications.

The preliminary CWTS analysis graph is shown below.
ARC Conference Rankings

As could be expected, conference publications cover 47% of the output of this group. Conference data are not clearly indicated in TUHAT records, thus they were examined separately to find out matches with the Australian Research Council’s (ARC) ranked conference list (2010): http://www.arc.gov.au/era/era_journal_list.htm#2

The output of conference publications is large and heavily oriented towards A-ranked conferences. The non-ranked conferences were too new, local, or interdisciplinary (bioinformatics, musicology, etc.) to be found on the ARC list. The rankings found (or the lack of rankings) are listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARC Rank</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>none found</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A list of conference acronyms with (and without) ARC ranks is given below.

**A-ranked:** ACSAC, ALENEX (2), CIKM, COLING/CLIA, COLT (2), DCC, DISC, ECAI (2), ECML (4), ECML/PKDD (7), EDBT, EMNLP, ESA (2), FOCS (3), HICSS, HLT/EMNLP, ICALP (2), ICDE (2), ICDM (6), ICML (6), ICML/LWS, ICONIP (2), IDA, IFIP SEC/TC11, IJCAI (3), IJCNN (3), IPDPS, KR, LPAR (2), MFCS, NIPS (6), PAKDD, PKDD (4), SAT, SCG (3), SDM (5), SIGIR (4), SIGIR/ELECTRA, SIGIR/OSIR (2), SIGKDD (6), SIGMOD, SODA, SPAA, SPIRE, STOC, TACAS, UAI (13)

**B-ranked:** ADHOC-NOW, AISTATS (6), ANALCO, CIAA (2), CPM (6), EDOC/VORTE/MOST, EPJA, ESANN (2), FUN, ICANN (5), ICPR, ISITA (2), ITW (3), LATIN, MobileHCI, RECOMB (2), SEA, SECON, SIGSPATIAL, SPIRE (3), STACS, WAB (3)

**C-ranked:** Algoseensors (3), BIBE (2), CMSB, IASTED, ICCSA, ICMLA, IEEE ADPRL, INEX (3), ISI, ISMIS, IWDM, LOCALALGOS, MACIS, Mobile, PSC, RANLP, WI-AT, WI-IAT (3), WKDD (3)
No rank listed: ACML, AIAA GN&C (2), AKRR (2), AMICT (2), ASWAM, ASWC, BIOINFORMATICS, Biomag, BIRD, CAMDA, CAPS (4), CLEF (2), CMRR (4), DIALM-POMC, DrMED/MIE, DS (3), DX, ECC, ECDL (2), EuroCogSci (2), GCB (2), GiScience, IBC, IBGS, ICA (6), ICASSP (2), ICCC-X, ICMPC, ICOS (2), ICRAT, ICWN, ICWSM, IJIA none, IMED, ISA (IADIS), ISMIR (3), ISTL, ITA (2), KDIR, KRBIIO (3), LNLA, Louhi, LTC, LWS, MCKESS, MINES, MINUCS, MLG, MLMTA, MLSB, MML (3), NBBC, NCPW, NETTAB, NODALIDA, OSWIR, PCI, PGM (6), PRIB, SCIA, SMI, SoCG, SPP, STANS, UM, WCGALP, WIMSE (2), WITMSE (5), WSOM (2), WSW

Publish or Perish (Google Scholar) data

A Publish or Perish (PoP) search with names of the ALKO team members shows that the publication data from TUHAT seems incomplete. Several refereed papers are missing from the group’s list of publications. On the other hand, PoP is missing some of the listed publications.

We included 348 papers/titles that are in TUHAT and can also be found with PoP. The following charts summarize the findings (citation count date: May 24, 2011):

The PoP publication counts per class match the TUHAT publications distribution quite well:

Out of 483 PoP publications, 17.5% are uncited. As is to be expected, refereed A1 papers are the most cited in PoP. A4 conference papers which dominate the publication counts are not very far behind:
Four publication types have a significant amount of citations, broken down by year as follows:

**ACM**

The ACM database at [http://portal.acm.org](http://portal.acm.org) includes citations, but only a part of the papers can be found in the database. Bibliometric ACM summaries of the PIs including both citation and download counts (for ACM publications available for download) are listed below. Note that in the ACM database, one cannot choose the appropriate time range for the analysis.

- Ukkonen: [http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81100574701](http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81100574701)
- Kärkkäinen: [http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81100071822](http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81100071822)
- Kaski P: [http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81100348743](http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81100348743)
- Kaski S: [http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81100348810](http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81100348810)
- Kivinen: [http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81339509885](http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81339509885)
- Kolivisto: [http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81309511472](http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81309511472)
- Mannila: [http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81100086722](http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81100086722)
- Polishchuk: [http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81331501995](http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81331501995)
- Rousu: [http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81100389431](http://portal.acm.org/author_page.cfm?id=81100389431)

Note that Lemström, Mäkinen and Sillanpää were not listed in the ACM database. Some key data for the PIs are summarized in the following table.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PI</th>
<th>Years in ACM</th>
<th>Publication Count</th>
<th>Citation Count</th>
<th>Docs for download</th>
<th>Downloads 6 weeks</th>
<th>Downloads 12 months</th>
<th>Colleagues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ukkonen</td>
<td>1979-2011</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoyer</td>
<td>1999-2010</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyvärinen</td>
<td>1996-2010</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kärkkäinen</td>
<td>1994-2010</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaski P</td>
<td>2002-2010</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaski S</td>
<td>1994-2011</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kivinen</td>
<td>1989-2010</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koivisto</td>
<td>2004-2010</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannila</td>
<td>1982-2010</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>2854</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>2998</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myllymäki</td>
<td>1990-2010</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polishchuk</td>
<td>2007-2010</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rousu</td>
<td>1996-2010</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toivonen</td>
<td>1990-2010</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yangarber</td>
<td>1996-2010</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to co-author lists ("Collaborative colleagues", linked to author’s personal summaries), this group has several very well established PIs with a large network of "collaborative colleagues".

**CiteSeer**

As suggested by the Informatics Europe report, CiteSeer database at [http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu](http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu) was checked for PIs, but the database seems not to be up to date. The following PIs were found on the CiteSeer most cited authors list:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PI</th>
<th>Placement</th>
<th>Cites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mannila</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>4547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toivonen</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>3032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukkonen</td>
<td>2823</td>
<td>1701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyvärinen</td>
<td>4088</td>
<td>1355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaski S</td>
<td>4485</td>
<td>1265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoyer</td>
<td>8479</td>
<td>776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kivinen</td>
<td>8648</td>
<td>764</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>