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Foreword

The evaluation of research and doctoral training is being carried out in the years 2010–2012 and will end in 2012. The steering group appointed by the Rector in January 2010 set the conditions for participating in the evaluation and prepared the Terms of Reference to present the evaluation procedure and criteria. The publications and other scientific activities included in the evaluation covered the years 2005–2010.

The participating unit in the evaluation was defined as a Researcher Community (RC). To obtain a critical mass with university-level impact, the number of members was set to range from 20 to 120. The RCs were required to contain researchers in all stages of their research career, from doctoral students to principal investigators (PIs). All in all, 136 Researcher Communities participated in this voluntary evaluation, 5857 persons in total, of whom 1131 were principal investigators. PIs were allowed to participate in two communities in certain cases, and 72 of them used this opportunity and participated in two RCs.

This evaluation enabled researchers to define RCs from the “bottom up” and across disciplines. The aim of the evaluation was not to assess individual performance but a community with shared aims and researcher-training activities. The RCs were able to choose among five different categories that characterised the status and main aims of their research. The steering group considered the process of applying to participate in the evaluation to be important, which lead to the establishment of these categories. In addition, providing a service for the RCs to enable them to benchmark their research at the global level was a main goal of the evaluation.

The data for the evaluation consisted of the RCs’ answers to evaluation questions on supplied e-forms and a compilation extracted from the TUHAT – Research Information System (RIS) on 12 April 2011. The compilation covered scientific and other publications as well as certain areas of scientific activities. During the process, the RCs were asked to check the list of publications and other scientific activities and make corrections if needed. These TUHAT compilations are public and available on the evaluation project sites of each RC in the TUHAT-RIS.

In addition to the e-form and TUHAT compilation, University of Leiden (CWTS) carried out bibliometric analyses from the articles included in the Web of Science (WoS). This was done on University and RC levels. In cases where the publication forums of the RC were clearly not represented by the WoS data, the Library of the University of Helsinki conducted a separate analysis of the publications. This was done for 66 RCs representing the humanities and social sciences.

The evaluation office also carried out an enquiry targeted to the supervisors and PhD candidates about the organisation of doctoral studies at the University of Helsinki. This and other documents describing the University and the Finnish higher education system were provided to the panellists.

The panel feedback for each RC is unique and presented as an entity. The first collective evaluation reports available for the whole panel were prepared in July–August 2011. The reports were accessible to all panel members via the electronic evaluation platform in August. Scoring from 1 to 5 was used to complement written feedback in association with evaluation questions 1–4 (scientific focus and quality, doctoral training, societal impact, cooperation) and in addition to the category evaluating the fitness for participation in the evaluation. Panellists used the international level as a point of comparison in the evaluation. Scoring was not expected to go along with a preset deviation.

Each of the draft reports were discussed and dealt with by the panel in meetings in Helsinki (from 11 September to 13 September or from 18 September to 20 September 2011). In these meetings the panels also examined the deviations among the scores and finalised the draft reports together.

The current RC-specific report deals shortly with the background of the evaluation and the terms of participation. The main evaluation feedback is provided in the evaluation report, organised according to the evaluation questions. The original material provided by the RCs for the panellists has been attached to these documents.
On behalf of the evaluation steering group and office, I sincerely wish to thank you warmly for your participation in this evaluation. The effort you made in submitting the data to TUHAT-RIS is gratefully acknowledged by the University. We wish that you find this panel feedback useful in many ways. The bibliometric profiles may open a new view on your publication forums and provide a perspective for discussion on your choice of forums. We especially hope that this evaluation report will help you in setting the future goals of your research.

Johanna Björkroth
Vice-Rector
Chair of the Steering Group of the Evaluation
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1 Introduction to the Evaluation

1.1 RC-specific evaluation reports

The participants in the evaluation of research and doctoral training were Researcher Communities (hereafter referred to as the RC). The RC refers to the group of researchers who registered together in the evaluation of their research and doctoral training. Preconditions in forming RCs were stated in the Guidelines for the Participating Researcher Communities. The RCs defined themselves whether their compositions should be considered well-established or new.

It is essential to emphasise that the evaluation combines both meta-evaluation\(^1\) and traditional research assessment exercise and its focus is both on the research outcomes and procedures associated with research and doctoral training. The approach to the evaluation is enhancement-led where self-evaluation constituted the main information. The answers to the evaluation questions formed together with the information of publications and other scientific activities an entity that was to be reviewed as a whole.

The present evaluation recognizes and justifies the diversity of research practices and publication traditions. Traditional Research Assessment Exercises do not necessarily value high quality research with low volumes or research distinct from mainstream research. It is challenging to expose the diversity of research to fair comparison. To understand the essence of different research practices and to do justice to their diversity was one of the main challenges of the present evaluation method. Understanding the divergent starting points of the RCs demanded sensitivity from the evaluators.

1.2 Aims and objectives in the evaluation

The aims of the evaluation are as follows:

- to improve the level of research and doctoral training at the University of Helsinki and to raise their international profile in accordance with the University’s strategic policies. The improvement of doctoral training should be compared to the University’s policy.\(^2\)
- to enhance the research conducted at the University by taking into account the diversity, originality, multidisciplinary nature, success and field-specificity,
- to recognize the conditions and prerequisites under which excellent, original and high-impact research is carried out,
- to offer the academic community the opportunity to receive topical and versatile international peer feedback,
- to better recognize the University’s research potential.
- to exploit the University’s TUHAT research information system to enable transparency of publishing activities and in the production of reliable, comparable data.

1.3 Evaluation method

The evaluation can be considered as an enhancement-led evaluation. Instead of ranking, the main aim is to provide useful information for the enhancement of research and doctoral training of the participating RCs. The comparison should take into account each field of science and acknowledge their special character.

---

\(^1\) The panellists did not read research reports or abstracts but instead, they evaluated answers to the evaluation questions, tables and compilations of publications, other scientific activities, bibliometrics or comparable analyses.

\(^2\) Policies on doctoral degrees and other postgraduate degrees at the University of Helsinki.
The comparison produced information about the present status and factors that have lead to success. Also challenges in the operations and outcomes were recognized.

The evaluation approach has been designed to recognize better the significance and specific nature of researcher communities and research areas in the multidisciplinary top-level university. Furthermore, one of the aims of the evaluation is to bring to light those evaluation aspects that differ from the prevalent ones. Thus the views of various fields of research can be described and research arising from various starting points understood better. The doctoral training is integrated into the evaluation as a natural component related to research. Operational processes of doctoral training are being examined in the evaluation.

**Five stages of the evaluation method were:**
1. Registration – Stage 1
2. Self-evaluation – Stage 2
3. TUHAT\(^3\) compilations on publications and other scientific activities\(^4\)
4. External evaluation
5. Public reporting

### 1.4 Implementation of the external evaluation

**Five Evaluation Panels**
Five evaluation panels consisted of independent, renowned and highly respected experts. The main domains of the panels are:
1. biological, agricultural and veterinary sciences
2. medicine, biomedicine and health sciences
3. natural sciences
4. humanities
5. social sciences

The University invited 10 renowned scientists to act as chairs or vice-chairs of the five panels based on the suggestions of faculties and independent institutes. Besides leading the work of the panel, an additional role of the chairs was to discuss with other panel chairs in order to adopt a broadly similar approach. The panel chairs and vice-chairs had a pre-meeting on 27 May 2011 in Amsterdam.

The panel compositions were nominated by the Rector of the University 27 April 2011. The participating RCs suggested the panel members. The total number of panel members was 50. The reason for a smaller number of panellists as compared to the previous evaluations was the character of the evaluation as a meta-evaluation. The panellists did not read research reports or abstracts but instead, they evaluated answers to the evaluation questions, tables and compilations of publications, other scientific activities, bibliometrics and comparable analyses.

The panel meetings were held in Helsinki:

- On 11–13 September 2011: (1) biological, agricultural and veterinary sciences, (2) medicine, biomedicine and health sciences and (3) natural sciences.
- On 18–20 September 2011: (4) humanities and (5) social sciences.

---

\(^3\) TUHAT (acronym) of Research Information System (RIS) of the University of Helsinki

\(^4\) Supervision of thesis, prizes and awards, editorial work and peer reviews, participation in committees, boards and networks and public appearances.
1.5 Evaluation material

The main material in the evaluation was the RCs' self-evaluations that were qualitative in character and allowed the RCs to choose what was important to mention or emphasise and what was left unmentioned.

The present evaluation is exceptional at least in the Finnish context because it is based on both the evaluation documentation (self-evaluation questions, publications and other scientific activities) and the bibliometric reports. All documents were delivered to the panellists for examination.

Traditional bibliometrics can be reasonably done mainly in medicine, biosciences and natural sciences when using the Web of Science database, for example. Bibliometrics, provided by CWTS/The Centre for Science and Technology Studies, University of Leiden, cover only the publications that include WoS identification in the TUHAT-RIS.

Traditional bibliometrics are seldom relevant in humanities and social sciences because the international comparable databases do not store every type of high quality research publications, such as books and monographs and scientific journals in other languages than English. The Helsinki University Library has done analysis to the RCs, if their publications were not well represented in the Web of Science databases (RCs should have at least 50 publications and internal coverage of publications more than 40%) – it meant 58 RCs. The bibliometric material for the evaluation panels was available in June 2011. The RC-specific bibliometric reports are attached at the end of each report.

The panels were provided with the evaluation material and all other necessary background information, such as the basic information about the University of Helsinki and the Finnish higher education system.

Evaluation material

1. Registration documents of the RCs for the background information
2. Self evaluation material – answers to the evaluation questions
3. Publications and other scientific activities based on the TUHAT RIS:
   3.1. statistics of publications
   3.2. list of publications
   3.3. statistics of other scientific activities
   3.4. list of other scientific activities
4. Bibliometrics and comparable analyses:
   4.1. Analyses of publications based on the verification of TUHAT-RIS publications with the Web of Science publications (CWTS/University of Leiden)
   4.2. Publication statistics analysed by the Helsinki University Library - mainly for humanities and social sciences
5. University level survey on doctoral training (August 2011)
6. University level analysis on publications 2005–2010 (August 2011) provided by CWTS/University of Leiden

Background material

University of Helsinki
- Basic information about the University of the Helsinki
- The structure of doctoral training at the University of Helsinki
- Previous evaluations of research at the University of Helsinki – links to the reports: 1998 and 2005

The Finnish Universities/Research Institutes
- Finnish University system
- Evaluation of the Finnish National Innovation System
- The State and Quality of Scientific Research in Finland. Publication of the Academy of Finland 9/09.

The evaluation panels were provided also with other relevant material on request before the meetings in Helsinki.
1.6 Evaluation questions and material

The participating RCs answered the following evaluation questions which are presented according to the evaluation form. In addition, TUHAT RIS was used to provide the additional material as explained. For giving the feedback to the RCs, the panellists received the evaluation feedback form constructed in line with the evaluation questions:

1. Focus and quality of the RC’s research
   - Description of
     - the RC’s research focus.
     - the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results)
     - the scientific significance of the RC’s research in the research field(s)
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research

   The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s publications, analysis of the RC’s publications data (provided by University of Leiden and the Helsinki University Library)

   A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

   Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

2. Practises and quality of doctoral training
   - Organising of the doctoral training in the RC. Description of the RC’s principles for:
     - recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates
     - supervision of doctoral candidates
     - collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/docoral programmes
     - good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training
   - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

   The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities/supervision of doctoral dissertations

   A written feedback from the aspects of: processes and good practices related to leadership and management
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

   Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

3. The societal impact of research and doctoral training
   - Description on how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.

   The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities.

   A written feedback from the aspects of: societal impact, national and international collaboration, innovativeness
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

   Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)
4. International and national (incl. intersectoral) research collaboration and researcher mobility
   - Description of
     - the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities
     - how the RC has promoted researcher mobility
   - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, national and international collaboration
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

5. Operational conditions
   - Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).
   - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

A written feedback from the aspects of: processes and good practices related to leadership and management
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

6. Leadership and management in the researcher community
   - Description of
     - the execution and processes of leadership in the RC
     - how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC
     - how the leadership- and management-related processes support
       - high quality research
       - collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC
       - the RC’s research focus
       - strengthening of the RC’s know-how
   - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes

7. External competitive funding of the RC
   - The RCs were asked to provide information of such external competitive funding, where:
     - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010, and
     - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki
   - On the e-form the RCs were asked to provide:
     1) The relevant funding source(s) from a given list (Academy of Finland/Research Council, TEKES/The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, EU, ERC, foundations, other national funding organisations, other international funding organisations), and
     2) The total sum of funding which the organisation in question had decided to allocate to the RCs members during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010.

Competitive funding reported in the text is also to be considered when evaluating this point.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness, future significance
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

8. The RC’s strategic action plan for 2011–2013
   - RC’s description of their future perspectives in relation to research and doctoral training.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, processes and good practices related to leadership and management, national and international collaboration, innovativeness, future significance
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
9. Evaluation of the category of the RC in the context of entity of the evaluation material (1-8)

The RC's fitness to the chosen participation category
A written feedback evaluating the RC's fitness to the chosen participation category
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

10. Short description of how the RC members contributed the compilation of the stage 2 material
Comments on the compilation of evaluation material

11. How the UH's focus areas are presented in the RC's research?
Comments if applicable

12. RC-specific main recommendations based on the previous questions 1-11

13. RC-specific conclusions

1.7 Evaluation criteria

The panellists were expected to give evaluative and analytical feedback to each evaluation question according to their aspects in order to describe and justify the quality of the submitted material. In addition, the evaluation feedback was asked to be pointed out the level of the performance according to the following classifications:
- outstanding (5)
- excellent (4)
- very good (3)
- good (2)
- sufficient (1)

Evaluation according to the criteria was to be made with thorough consideration of the entire evaluation material of the RC in question. Finally, in questions 1-4 and 9, the panellists were expected to classify their written feedback into one of the provided levels (the levels included respective descriptions, 'criteria'). Some panels used decimals in marks. The descriptive level was interpreted according to the integers and not rounding up the decimals by the editors.

Description of criteria levels

Question 1 – FOCUS AND QUALITY OF THE RC’S RESEARCH

Classification: Criteria (level of procedures and results)

Outstanding quality of procedures and results (5)
Outstandingly strong research, also from international perspective. Attracts great international interest with a wide impact, including publications in leading journals and/or monographs published by leading international publishing houses. The research has world leading qualities. The research focus, key research questions scientific significance, societal impact and innovativeness are of outstanding quality.

In cases where the research is of a national character and, in the judgement of the evaluators, should remain so, the concepts of "international attention” or “international impact” etc. in the grading criteria above may be replaced by "international comparability".
Operations and procedures are of outstanding quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of outstanding quality.

**Excellent quality of procedures and results (4)**

Research of excellent quality. Typically published with great impact, also internationally. Without doubt, the research has a leading position in its field in Finland.

Operations and procedures are of excellent quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of excellent quality.

**Very good quality of procedures and results (3)**

The research is of such very good quality that it attracts wide national and international attention.

Operations and procedures are of very good quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Good quality of procedures and results (2)**

Good research attracting mainly national attention but possessing international potential, extraordinarily high relevance may motivate good research.

Operations and procedures are of good quality, shared occasionally in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of good quality.

**Sufficient quality of procedures and results (1)**

In some cases the research is insufficient and reports do not gain wide circulation or do not have national or international attention. Research activities should be revised.

Operations and procedures are of sufficient quality, shared occasionally in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to some extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of sufficient quality.

**Question 2 – DOCTORAL TRAINING**

**Question 3 – SOCIETAL IMPACT**

**Question 4 – COLLABORATION**

**Classification: Criteria (level of procedures and results)**

**Outstanding quality of procedures and results (5)**

Procedures are of outstanding quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of outstanding quality. The procedures and results are regularly evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.

**Excellent quality of procedures and results (4)**

Procedures are of excellent quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of excellent quality. The procedures and outcomes are evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.

**Very good quality of procedures and results (3)**

Procedures are of very good quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and
management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Good quality of procedures and results (2)**

Procedures are of good quality, shared occasionally in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of good quality.

**Sufficient quality of procedures and results (1)**

Procedures are of sufficient quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to some extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of sufficient quality.

**Question 9 – CATEGORY**

Participation category – fitness for the category chosen

The choice and justification for the chosen category below should be reflected in the RC's responses to the evaluation questions 1–8.

1. The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.
2. The research of the participating community is of high quality, but the community in its present composition has yet to achieve strong international recognition or a clear break-through.
3. The research of the participating community is distinct from mainstream research, and the special features of the research tradition in the field must be considered in the evaluation. The research is of high quality and has great significance and impact in its field. However, the generally used research evaluation methods do not necessarily shed sufficient light on the merits of the research.
4. The research of the participating community represents an innovative opening. A new opening can be an innovative combination of research fields, or it can be proven to have a special social, national or international demand or other significance. Even if the researcher community in its present composition has yet to obtain proof of international success, its members can produce convincing evidence of the high level of their previous research.
5. The research of the participating community has a highly significant societal impact. The participating researcher community is able to justify the high social significance of its research. The research may relate to national legislation, media visibility or participation in social debate, or other activities promoting social development and human welfare. In addition to having societal impact, the research must be of a high standard.

**An example of outstanding fitness for category choice (5)**

The RC's representation and argumentation for the chosen category were convincing. The RC recognized its real capacity and apparent outcomes in a wider context to the research communities. The specific character of the RC was well-recognized and well stated in the responses. The RC fitted optimally for the category.

- Outstanding (5)
- Excellent (4)
- Very good (3)
- Good (2)
- Sufficient (1)

The above-mentioned definition of outstanding was only an example in order to assist the panellists in the positioning of the classification. There was no exact definition for the category fitness.

---

5 The panels discussed the category fitness and made the final conclusions of the interpretation of it.
1.8 Timetable of the evaluation

The main timetable of the evaluation:

1. Registration   November 2010
3. External peer review    May–September 2011
4. Published reports    March–April 2012
   - University level public report
   - RC specific reports

The entire evaluation was implemented during the university’s strategy period 2010–2012. The preliminary results were available for the planning of the following strategy period in late autumn 2011. The evaluation reports will be published in March/April 2012. More detailed time schedule is published in the University report.

1.9 Evaluation feedback – consensus of the entire panel

The panellists evaluated all the RC-specific material before the meetings in Helsinki and mailed the draft reports to the evaluation office. The latest interim versions were on-line available to all the panellists on the Wiki-sites. In September 2011, in Helsinki the panels discussed the material, revised the first draft reports and decided the final numeric evaluation. After the meetings in Helsinki, the panels continued working and finalised the reports before the end of November 2011. The final RC-specific reports are the consensus of the entire panel.

The evaluation reports were written by the panels independently. During the editing process, the evaluation office requested some clarifications from the panels when necessary. The tone and style in the reports were not harmonized in the editing process. All the reports follow the original texts written by the panels as far as it was possible.

The original evaluation material of the RCs, provided for the panellists is attached at the end of the report. It is essential to notice that the exported lists of publications and other scientific activities depend how the data was stored in the TUHAT-RIS by the RCs.
2 Evaluation feedback

2.1 Focus and quality of the RC’s research

- Description of
  - the RC’s research focus
  - the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results)
  - the scientific significance of the RC’s research in the research fields
- Identification of the ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness

This RC is comprised of the Eric Castrén Institute of International Law and Human Rights (ECI), the Centre of Excellence in Global Governance Research (CoE) and the ERC financed research project on “Between Restoration and Revolution, National Constitutions and Global Law: An Alternative View on the European Century 1815 – 1914” (ERERe). The three units are highly interconnected in terms of personnel and management. The three directors are internationally leading scholars which means that they also travel extensively and participate at other similar research centres, but also that the ECI gets international scholars visiting. The three directors and the centres share a common ethos of research which is critically and historically oriented, multidisciplinary and cutting-edge in the study of legal and institutional aspects of global modernity. The research is committed to methodological widespread reflection and historically well informed research. The research is focused on law, but is also multidisciplinary. With two highly competitive financial external fundings the research environment has been able to recruit senior and junior researchers of high international quality. The RC has demonstrated a strong ability to attract highly competitive external funding.

The RC has a very particular composition with a very high number of persons in doctoral and postdoctoral research positions (23 and 21 according to the numbers given to the panel) and relatively fewer persons in professor or senior researcher positions. The three directors are internationally well known scholars, and some of the other researchers are also internationally very active also in publishing. With the number of doctoral and postdoctoral researchers their contributions remain a vital part of the research and other activities at the RC. The doctoral program and supervision are vital parts of the RC, but they do not have a specific PhD-program of their own. Many of the doctoral candidates participate in doctoral seminars etc internationally at institutions the RC cooperate with.

According to the statistics given to the panel the RC has produced 549 publications over 6 years. Of these 295 are in refereed journals or collected volumes, and of these 182 are in refereed journals. Publications in English are ca.72 %. Ca. 19 % are in Finnish and Swedish. This reflects the number of international researchers, the themes of research and the ambitions of the RC. There are few popular publications. The articles in refereed journals are widely spread. In 8 journals there are 4 to 6 publications, the rest have 1 to 3 publications. Of the top 8 journals 2 are international, 1 is a Nordic journal in English, 1 is a Finnish journal in English, 1 is a Finnish Journal in Swedish and 3 are in Finnish. There is thus no short-list of preferred international journals. It is difficult to assess exactly how many of the publications are in top or good international journals. Many of the journals are highly specialized. Applying the ranking of journals from the Norwegian and Australian systems there may be around 50 articles over the 6 years in top or very good international journals, which is ca. 8,3 pr year. One could argue that the spreading of publications to different journals or other publication channels is too wide. The panel does not know the exact number of “full-time-staff equivalents” at the RC, but there are ca 14 senior academic staff members. The number of refereed journal articles and book contributions given over 6 years is 295. If this divided among the senior researchers, they publish 3.5 pr year. This is however an institution which also has a high number of persons in doctoral and postdoctoral positions, and which attracts good international applicants to these positions. One would expect a significant interest in international publishing also from these members of the staff. They also have their share of the publications listed, but it may take time for
contributions from the junior researchers to become actually published, and no information is given on at what point in their doctoral and postdoctoral time the junior researchers are. This makes it difficult to assess what the rate of publishing actually is from this RC, and also what should be expected in terms of the total number of good and of international publications. It is then difficult to compare this RC with other institutions in terms of bibliometric numbers. The researchers of this RC do however beyond doubt publish widely internationally and with a fair amount of publications in top journals. This is beyond doubt a research environment with high quality and internationally outstanding senior staff members with a high percentage of international publications in good and top journals. Some of the international publications from the RC are clearly outstanding and of cutting edge quality and they are some of the most interesting articles written in international law today. There are also many international publications from the doctoral and postdoctoral researchers also in refereed journals. Some of these also hold a very high quality. One could however argue that such a research environment should produce an even higher number of refereed publications, and that more publications should be in top or good journals or books with a more general thematic scope and less in highly specialized journals.

The rate of publishing is also uneven. The RC depends on its directors and some of its most active members. It remains a vital task and a challenge to develop doctoral and postdoctoral researchers into more experienced and good publishing and senior researchers. A vital challenge is to increase publication in good and top international journals for more members of the RC.

One of the main challenges of such an institution is to educate new researchers on a very high level and to recruit some of them to senior positions so that the dependency on the three directors is alleviated and to secure continuity of research on a very high level. This should be a top priority task for the ECI.

**Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)**

### 2.2 Practises and quality of doctoral training

- **Organising of the doctoral training in the RC.** Description of the RC’s principles for:
  - recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates
  - supervision of doctoral candidates
  - collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes
  - good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training
  - assuring of good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates

- **Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.**

- **Additional material:** TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities/supervision of doctoral dissertations

**ASPECTS:** Processes and good practices related to leadership and management

The academic profile and quality of the ECI undoubtedly makes it an excellent researcher community also for academic training and supervision with its visions and methods of critical and non-positivist thinking, its focus on the legitimacy of governance and thus an encouragement of highly original research.

The RC has prioritized to be part of an international network of research institutions with a high level of quality. They have thus not set up a specific doctoral program at the ECI. Instead some of the senior researchers participate widely at other well-known universities and research institutions, and the doctoral candidates of the RC have access to and participate in doctoral seminars at international institutions with high quality, including at “some of the best law schools in the world”. Being part of top and good international networks also for the doctoral training may be very wise, but it does seem a strange decision to not have a systematic doctoral program at the RC where the RC itself offers at least specific parts of a the program and which then also could include the international collaboration which the ECI is part of. The doctoral candidates are however offered participation at institutions of very high quality. The situation demonstrates the position of the ECI as part of an international network of very high quality. The ECI should offer doctoral courses themselves as they are in an extremely good position to offer such courses.
They also have many visiting doctoral candidates, and they probably would get more visiting researchers if such courses were offered.

Supervision probably has good conditions in an RC so highly focussed on quality and on access to other good international institutions. The documentation does however not specify the conditions of the supervision. From the number of employees there should be some concern that the number of senior researchers is relatively low compared to the number of doctoral and postdoctoral researchers.

The doctoral candidates also participate in consultant research for the Finnish authorities. This may also be a good idea as long as it does not take up too much of their time. It is obviously a good way to receive information and current material on international relations.

**Numeric evaluation:** 4 (Excellent)

### 2.3 The societal impact of research and doctoral training

- *Description on how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).*
- *Identification of the ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.*
- *Additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities.*

**ASPECTS:** Societal impact, national and international collaboration, innovativeness

The RC has close contact to the Finnish MFA (Ministry for Foreign Affairs) and conduct consultant research for the ministry, and also to several other institutions in and outside of Finland. The researchers are affiliated with an impressive list of institutions working with international law and relations. The RC seems to manage to combine high international level research with collaboration with other institutions resulting in many activities with societal impact. When this functions this may be both very rewarding for the research and for the societal institutions involved.

**Numeric evaluation:** 5 (Outstanding)

### 2.4 International and national (incl. intersectoral) research collaboration and researcher mobility

- *Description of*
  - the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities
  - how the RC has promoted researcher mobility
- *Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.*

**ASPECTS:** Scientific quality, national and international collaboration

International collaboration seems to be one of the main hallmarks and attractions of the RC. The three directors and some of the other senior researchers have impressive international affiliations and contacts. Some of the top researchers spend much time at other highly esteemed institutions, but this also secures access to these institutions for the younger researchers. It is probably a demanding task to organize the international collaboration and networks of the RC, but if it functions, it creates a very advantageous position for the RC.

**Numeric evaluation:** 5 (Outstanding)

### 2.5 Operational conditions

- *Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).*
Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

**ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management**

It is reported that the RC has only 3 administrative positions, with 60 to 70 high level international researchers to plan for. This does seem a very low number and requires a great deal of efficiency on the part of the three. The evaluation material does not make any complaints over this. It seems to be part of a policy to maximize resources for research positions which may be a good priority. It is however also vital that the institution functions efficiently. Certain services are covered by the Law faculty, including funding and financing. The panel wants however to voice some concern over whether this number of administrative personnel is sufficient for the large number of doctoral and postdoctoral researchers. The panel agrees with the report that consultancy work is the part of the activities which currently may be taking up too much time and which could be reduced if seen as necessary.

The permanent RC staff has some teaching duties. The panel agrees that this may be important both for recruitment purposes and for securing research-based teaching at the Law faculty.

The panel agrees that the gender balance should be improved among the permanent academic staff.

**2.6 Leadership and management in the researcher community**

- **Description of**
  - the execution and processes of leadership in the RC
  - how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC
  - how the leadership- and management-related processes support
    - high quality research
    - collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC
    - the RC’s research focus
    - strengthening of the RC’s know-how
  - **Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes**

**ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management**

The management of the three parts of the ECI is strongly interconnected. This enables the ECI to function as one entity. Given the size of the three parts this is probably quite vital for both the academic and the administrative functioning of the RC. Obviously the management of the RC depends on continuously good working relations between the members of the management of the three parts. The advisory boards of the ECI and the CoE are also probably a quite vital support for the management of an institution with so few senior researchers and so many doctoral and postdoctoral researchers. When advisory boards function, they will secure bringing in a greater variety of perspectives than the permanent staff will be able to. Such boards are greatly recommendable particularly for institutions such as this RC.

**2.7 External competitive funding of the RC**

- **The RCs were asked to provide information of such external competitive funding, where:**
  - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010, and
  - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki
- **On the e-form the RCs were asked to provide:**
  1. The relevant funding source(s) from a given list (Academy of Finland/Research Council, TEKES/The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, EU, ERC, foundations, other national funding organisations, other international funding organizations), and
  2. The total sum of funding which the organisation in question had decided to allocate to the RCs members during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010.
Competitive funding reported in the text is also to be considered when evaluating this point.  
*ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness and future significance*

The RC has been extremely successful (7,850,000 euros) in achieving highly competitive funding in currently extremely important areas of research, both in Finland and from the ERC. The ECI was previously an important research institution for international law. With the CoE and the ERC project it has expanded to include a much greater variety and depth of research on its general themes. The three directors should be able to achieve also further funding, but over time the further success of the RC will depend on the quality and the success of the doctoral and postdoctoral researchers, and of whether some of these can be more permanently affiliated.

### 2.8 The RC’s strategic action plan for 2011–2013

*RC’s description of their future perspectives in relation to research and doctoral training.*

*ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, processes and good practices related to leadership and management, national and international collaboration, innovativeness, future significance*

The present external funding and activities of the RC enables continuity for the first years to come. The plans for further external funding seem good, realistic and recommendable. With the existing staff the RC should have a very good chance of securing further funding. There are no signs to the contrary, but this will in a few years be a vital task and a challenge. The proposal to regularize the research done in relation to the MFA seems like a good idea in terms of making a more systematic plan for the research time used there. The plans for the next five years of the CoE also seem highly recommendable.

### 2.9 Evaluation of the category of the RC in the context of entity of the evaluation material (1-8)

*The RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category.*

*Category 1. The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.*

The RC has a strong portfolio of research on international law and relations. The research is also extended to cross-disciplinary fields which enrich the research on international law. The legal research is thus supported by highly relevant research both in the social sciences and the humanities. There is abundant evidence that the RC’s choice of the Participation Category 1 is well selected. The panel concurs with the RC’s category choice.

**Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)**

### 2.10 Short description of how the RC members contributed the compilation of the stage 2 material

The material presented to the panel does give an oversight over the activities of the RC. The panel would have preferred more detailed information on “full time staff equivalents” in order to be better able to assess and compare the rate of publishing with other similar research institutions. There should also have been more comprehensive information on how the doctoral training, and in particular the supervision, is managed. The panel would also have preferred to get more information on strategy and plans and on the cooperation with the Law faculty, in order to better assess the operative conditions.
2.11 How the UH’s focus areas are presented in the RC’s research

Focus area 10: Globalisation and social change

This is more difficult to assess with the information given. The RC focuses on research, but also gives ample priority to societal impact research and to teaching.

2.12 RC-specific main recommendations

This is a research-based RC with directors and senior members with internationally outstanding research credentials, as it is, and with active publishing also in top journals. The RC has achieved highly competitive external funding both in Finland and in the EU. On this basis a highly competitive and excellent research environment has been created which is also very actively part of international networks. The ECI has given priority to being part of an international network with other institutions with a high standing, to building up infrastructure in Helsinki. The ratio between senior and junior members of the staff as it is today is challenging. The documentation given to the panel does not sufficiently explain how supervision and support for the many junior researchers is secured.

One main recommendation would be to focus on support for the most promising doctoral and postdoctoral researchers to secure that their projects will be finished in time, to widen the present research agenda and to enable continuity in terms of new recruitment.

Another main recommendation would be to continue to work with more publications in top and general international journals.

A third recommendation would be to use the good research environment at HU to also create more doctoral seminars there. The panel also agrees with the plans to be more systematic in the consultancy research for the MFA and other organizations.

2.13 RC-specific conclusions

This is a research-based RC with directors and senior members with internationally outstanding research credentials, as it is. The lists of publications include some of the most academically outstanding, cutting edge and original contributions to its field internationally and at present. The RC has achieved good and highly competitive external funding both in Finland and in the EU. On this basis a highly competitive and excellent research environment has been created which is also very actively part of international networks with other institutions on a very high level. It seems that the type of international network the RC is engaged in, in many respects, is the model for international cooperation and network which many other institutions strive towards. The balance to be struck between independent research, teaching and societal impact work may be difficult to achieve, but it does seem that the RC comes very close to a good balance. Judging from the documentation given to the panel the ECI should however take measures to secure supervision and support for the junior researchers to ensure that the high number of projects are finished with success. The RC should be given more funding for operative services and infrastructure in order to ensure better conditions for further recruitment.
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NAME OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY:
Erik Castrén Institute (ECI)

LEADER OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY:
Professor Martti Koskenniemi, Faculty of Law, Erik Castrén Institute

RC-SPECIFIC MATERIAL FOR THE PEER REVIEW:

- Material submitted by the RC at stages 1 and 2 of the evaluation
  - STAGE 1 material: RC’s registration form (incl. list of RC participants in an excel table)
  - STAGE 2 material: RC’s answers to evaluation questions
- TUHAT compilations of the RC members’ other scientific activities 1.1.2005-31.12.2010

NB! Since Web of Science(WoS)-based bibliometrics does not provide representative results for most RCs representing humanities, social sciences and computer sciences, the publications of these RCs will be analyzed by the UH Library (results available by the end of June, 2011)
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC STAGE 1 MATERIAL (registration form)

1 RESPONSIBLE PERSON

Name: Koskenniemi, Martti
E-mail: 
Phone: 09-191 22469
Affiliation: Professor
Street address: yliopistonkatu 3

2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTICIPATING RESEARCHER COMMUNITY (RC)

Name of the participating RC (max. 30 characters): Erik Castrén Institute
Acronym for the participating RC (max. 10 characters): ECI
Description of the operational basis in 2005-2010 (eg. research collaboration, joint doctoral training activities) on which the RC was formed (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The Research Community consists of three multidisciplinary units: Erik Castrén Institute of International Law and Human Rights (ECI), the Centre of Excellence in Global Governance Research (CoE) and the Research Project “Between Restoration and Revolution, National Constitutions and Global Law: an Alternative View on the European Century 1815-1914” (EReRe). The units are operationally united by sharing in part the same personnel: for example, the director and co-director of ECI are simultaneously co-director of EReRe and director of the CoE. Though each has its own technical coordinator, the units share facilities, organize joint events, coordinate their publishing activities and, most importantly, are inspired by the same ethos of establishing a critically and historically oriented, multidisciplinary (or “counter-disciplinary”) research environment of cutting-edge international research in the study of the legal and institutional aspects of global modernity. The Research Community is committed to establishing a methodologically widespread and historically well-informed research tradition in Helsinki by combining existing strengths with wide participation by international scholars from a range of related research traditions. Particular emphasis is on the role of law and informal modes of governance in the historical formation and future directions of forms of global and European rule.

The wide range of research and the great number of specific research ventures, working groups and conferences within the three units means that there is a practically constant stream of events on offer for the members of the three units.

3 SCIENTIFIC FIELDS OF THE RC

Main scientific field of the RC’s research: social sciences
RC’s scientific subfield 1: Law
RC’s scientific subfield 2: International Relations
RC’s scientific subfield 3: Political Science
RC’s scientific subfield 4: History

Other, if not in the list:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 RC’S PARTICIPATION CATEGORY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation category: 1. Research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justification for the selected participation category (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The three directors (Klabbers, Koskenniemi, Stråth) are internationally leading scholars in their fields (international institutions, international law, history of European integration). They share a critical view of the positivist orientation of present research on global and European institutions as well as the vocabularies of political and historical legitimacy invoked by those institutions. Each of the three units individually represents cutting-edge international research in its own field. ECI is well-established as a leading institution on critical and historically oriented international law research at the University of Helsinki. It provides a centre for doctoral training and post-doctoral research on theoretically challenging items (such as the &quot;fragmentation of international law&quot;) as well as more practically oriented consultant research on topical issues of international law and human rights for external institutions. The work of the over 30 doctoral students and post-doctoral researchers from all over the world with the CoE is truly multidisciplinary (or counter-disciplinary), structured through five cross-cutting ventures that range from accounts of Global Political Economy to private property in global governance. The quality of this research is based not only on the high level of individual researchers but above all on the combinations of approaches and disciplines. The six post-doctoral scholars of the EReRe examine the historical narratives of present integration by seeking to question the optimistic teleology invoked behind them. Here, too, the cutting edge nature of the research is based on a combination of the high level of individual scholars and their varied backgrounds, as well as a very wide international cooperation program. The fact that three units form a genuine research community – their directors and members sharing a critical insight into global and European institutions and constantly interacting with each other in the very wide network of meetings that are being arranged between them – makes the Research Community a unique powerhouse of research into global modernity not only in Helsinki but in the world.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 DESCRIPTION OF THE RC’S RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public description of the RC’s research and doctoral training (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The three units produce research and training as follows: A. Research in ECI is oriented in part to academically funded research projects, carried out under individual fellowships and collective research ventures, in part to externally funded consultant research to organs of the Finnish government and other entities. The type of participation by post-doctoral scholars and doctoral candidates is assessed for each project separately (though in practice, the consultant studies tend to be carried out by doctoral candidates). ECI research is also sometimes oriented towards counseling in on-going legal disputes. B. Research in the CoE is structured through five research ventures, each approaching the overarching theme of the structures of global rulership from different angles. The five ventures deal with (1) Global Political Economy and Regulation and Reform of World Trade (2) The Internationalization of Criminal Law: The Politics of Re-Drawing Boundaries and the Reconceptualization of Human Rights, (3) Non-State Actors and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Research by EReRe is wholly carried out at a post-doctoral level. Each of the six scholars is engaged in work on a monograph on a specific theme regarding the legacy of revolution and restoration in Europe’s "long 19th century", where Europe is seen in its global context. In addition, three working groups engage with top-level historians, political theorists and lawyers in the study of (1) Teleology and History: A Critical Assessment of an Enlightenment Thought, (2) Paradoxes of Peace in 19th Century Europe and (3) Constitutions and the legitimization of Power.

Significance of the RC’s research and doctoral training for the University of Helsinki (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):

The work of the research community for the research traditions and doctoral training in law, social sciences and theology in Helsinki is unprecedented. The three units form a truly multidisciplinary center that is above all identifiable by its critical and historically oriented approach to governance in global and European institutions. The significance of cooperation by high-level international scholars from such different fields contributes powerfully to the internationalization of the law, social sciences and theology in Helsinki. But it also reorients these disciplines from their national or traditional research profiles and provides them with the opportunity to raise at a truly European or indeed "global" level: to be known as research produced within a "Helsinki School" would not only be a statement about a place but above all about an ethos and an orientation. To become such, it is important to have a relatively unified research methodology and ethos. The perspective that unites the projects in Helsinki is a dissatisfaction with much present research carried out elsewhere on law, global governance and European institutions. This research is usually positivistically inclined, descriptive or geared towards the production of results with a short-term instrumental usefulness for the institutions themselves – typically by seeking to enhance their “legitimacy”. By contrast, a unifying aspect of the three units is their focus not only on the technique of global or European governance but on how the legitimacy of such governance is produced and ideologically buttressed – including by types of "normal science” around global or European institutions and governance. Its specialty and added value lie above all in its alignment with critical and non-positivist methodologies in law and social sciences. For example, in its exploration of Europe’s 19th century the EReRe unit is committed to following the method of Begiffsgeschichte (or "conceptual history) that focuses on the struggle over the meaning of key European political notions as an important part of the tensions between the revolutionary impulse and authoritarianism in the Europe of yesterday and of today.

Keywords: global governance, political philosophy, international history, global economy, conceptual history, critical approaches, multidisciplinary, counter-disciplinary, international relations, international institutions, international organizations, international law, history of European integration, ethics, theology

### 6 QUALITY OF RC’S RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING

Justified estimate of the quality of the RC’s research and doctoral training at national and international level during 2005-2010 (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): Research in the units of the research community is of the highest quality, not least for its genuinely shared critical orientation to forms of global and European governance. The directors of the three units (Klabbers, Koskenniemi,Stråth) are among the internationally recognized leaders in their respective fields, each known for their unconventional, critical
work. Scholarship within ECI was assessed at the highest level already in the research assessment of 2005; and reasons that justified this conclusion at the time have not changed as maybe seen by perusing the results of research that are published by Brill in The Netherlands in the Series “The Erik Castrén Monographs in International Law and Human Rights”. The CoE is a truly international and multidisciplinary research venture whose researchers publish in the leading journals and publishing houses in their respective disciplines. Among the most visible work of the Centre has been its work on the relationship between international legal orders, often referred to as the "fragmentation of international law". The Director of the CoE, Jan Klabbers, recently published a monograph on the relationship between international law and EU law, while Professor Martti Koskenniemi published a widely debated report in his capacity of member of the United Nations’ International Law Commission advocating the adoption of a principle of systemic integration. Researchers within ECI and the CoE contributed significantly in the production of that report. The EReRe commenced its work only in 2009. However, already in the autumn of 2011 full text drafts of six monographs will be presented and critically discussed by leading international experts at a major conference. Publications are envisaged for 2013 together with three edited volumes from the working groups.

Comments on how the RC’s scientific productivity and doctoral training should be evaluated (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): The Research Community can only have one main strategy with respect to publishing, and that is to publish in the leading journals and with the leading publishing houses: that is, so to speak, the responsibility that comes with an international status and ambition. One way to assess whether that ambition is met is to appreciate the venues through which its members publish. The above-mentioned monograph series with Brill, moreover, has become a hallmark for the quality of the doctoral work produced in the Research Community: publication in this ‘White Series’ is widely regarded as a quality-label. Furthermore, as happens with leading scholars, is that often they are invited to give talks and presentations elsewhere: this may count as a measure of their academic impact (if not, independently, as a measure of quality).

In addition to publishing with leading journals and publishers, some of the members of the Research Community are highly sought after by the media, as commentators on current events and as members of the ‘Council’ established by Finland’s leading newspaper to assess socio-political developments. Hence, some of the work also trickles down to a less specialist audience. By the same token, the CoE publishes an accessible magazine (the Helsinki Review of Global Governance), and the three entities regularly organize international conferences but also smaller scale symposia or public lectures. Finally, the research work is reflected in a number of courses offered on the undergraduate level or as doctoral seminars: one of the elements that unites the research Community is a strong sense for teaching future generations not only to become good professionals but also responsible, critical citizens.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last name</th>
<th>First name</th>
<th>Pi-status (TUHAT, 29.11.2010)</th>
<th>Title of research and teaching personnel</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afnah</td>
<td>Afia</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Björklund</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>Anja</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bräutigam</td>
<td>Tobias</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caruso</td>
<td>Giuseppe</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creutz</td>
<td>Katja</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di Muzio</td>
<td>Tim</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimitris</td>
<td>Efthimiou</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Kirsten</td>
<td>postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forsberg</td>
<td>Tuomas</td>
<td>X Professor, Research Director</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>García-Salmones</td>
<td>Monica</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grotke</td>
<td>Kelly</td>
<td>postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halme-Tuomisaaari</td>
<td>Milla</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hannikainen</td>
<td>Lauri</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heiskanen</td>
<td>Veijo</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirikkanen</td>
<td>Reea</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holappa</td>
<td>Lauri</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce</td>
<td>Daniel</td>
<td>postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kläbergs</td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>X Professor, Research Director</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knak</td>
<td>Magdalena</td>
<td>postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolivistö</td>
<td>Ida</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korhonen</td>
<td>Ouli</td>
<td>postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koskenvuo</td>
<td>Matti</td>
<td>X Professor, Research Director</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koliaho</td>
<td>Paavo</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulovesi</td>
<td>Kati</td>
<td>postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuosmanen</td>
<td>Taru</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurki</td>
<td>Milja</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leino-Sandberg</td>
<td>Paivi</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linnovia</td>
<td>Rain</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorite</td>
<td>Alejandro</td>
<td>professor</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Löytömäki</td>
<td>Stiina</td>
<td>doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makkonen</td>
<td>Timo</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martineau</td>
<td>Anne-Charlotte</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan</td>
<td>Jamie</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niemelä</td>
<td>Pekka</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nissel</td>
<td>Tzvika</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obregón</td>
<td>Liliana</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortega</td>
<td>Francisco</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pajuste</td>
<td>Tiina</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palojärvi</td>
<td>Pia</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentikäinen</td>
<td>Merja</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petman</td>
<td>Jarna</td>
<td>University Lecturer</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piparinnek</td>
<td>Touko</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piironen</td>
<td>Ossi</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piirjola</td>
<td>Jari</td>
<td>doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puurunen</td>
<td>Tapio</td>
<td>Senior Researcher</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainne</td>
<td>Juha</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saari</td>
<td>Sinikukka</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schram</td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seppäläen</td>
<td>Samuli</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikala</td>
<td>Jukka</td>
<td>X Professor, Research Director</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slottje</td>
<td>Pamela</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>James</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Law/Erik Castrén Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapio</td>
<td>Tuomas</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teivainen</td>
<td>Telvo</td>
<td>X Professor, Research Director</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolvanen</td>
<td>Reetta</td>
<td>Senior Researcher [Academy Research Fellow]</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trommer</td>
<td>Silke</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urueña</td>
<td>René</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vooglaed</td>
<td>Varro</td>
<td>Doctoral Candidate</td>
<td>Social Sciences/CoE in Global Governance Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Name of the RC’s responsible person: Koskenniemi, Martti

Name and acronym of the participating RC: Erik Castrén Institute, ECI

The RC’s research represents the following key focus area of UH: 10. Globalisaatio ja yhteiskunnan muutos – Globalisation and social change

Comments for selecting/not selecting the key focus area: The RC works essentially on social justice, and globalization and social change (items 9 and 10 of the key focus areas of the University of Helsinki). Its work explores, historically and prospectively, processes of social transformation both in Europe and at the global level with particular attention to the role of law in those processes. Moreover, it utilizes a critical approach in doing so: its methodological and substantive orientation is directed by considerations of social justice.

1. FOCUS AND QUALITY OF RC’S RESEARCH (MAX. 8800 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the RC’s research focus, the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results) and the scientific significance of the RC’s research for the research field(s).

   Earlier assessments of the quality of the research undertaken by the RC have been extremely positive. The previous UH assessment gave ECI the highest possible grade, expressing some concern about the dependence on professors Koskenniemi and Klabbers and recommending the hiring of post-doctoral scholars. CoE has come to employ more than a dozen post-doctoral scholars and the EReRe project is essentially a post-doctoral project. It should be noted that among the carefully recruited post-docs as well as the highly talented doctoral candidates there are several promising young academics developing their own approaches to their disciplines. Moreover, the RC has broadened and deepened, and has come to include other leading scholars as well (professors Stråth, Siikala and Teivainen, e.g.).

   The focus of the RC is directed into its three streams:

   1) The Erik Castrén Institute is one of world’s leading research centres in critical international law and human rights and engaged in constant consultant research for various Finnish institutions. Previous Research Assessment Exercise concluded that ECI "represents international excellence and is one of the top European institutes in its field". Consequently, it was awarded the highest grade. ECI operates as part of a wholly international network of researchers and institutions oriented in theoretical and historical aspects of international law and human rights. It accommodates several scholars from different universities from different parts of the world wishing to come to Helsinki to do critical work. ECI organises workshops and conferences – including the annual "Helsinki Summer Seminar on International Law". The researchers of the institute cooperate and publish their research with the most prestigious international publishers. The Institute’s own series of publications with Brill has now reached volume 11. The personnel of the institute act as visiting professors and visiting researchers at world’s leading universities and give keynote presentations and talks all over the world.

   Part of ECI’s work is strongly theoretical in nature. One common project resulted in three monographs that addressed the tension between human rights and cultural diversity. A current project involves two post-doctoral researchers and two doctoral candidates, working on “Human Rights: Law, Religion, Subjectivity”.

   The researchers of the institute cooperate and publish their research with the most prestigious international publishers. The Institute’s own series of publications with Brill has now reached volume 11. The personnel of the institute act as visiting professors and visiting researchers at world’s leading universities and give keynote presentations and talks all over the world.

   Part of ECI’s work is strongly theoretical in nature. One common project resulted in three monographs that addressed the tension between human rights and cultural diversity. A current project involves two post-doctoral researchers and two doctoral candidates, working on “Human Rights: Law, Religion, Subjectivity”. 
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As a counterpart to its more theoretical pursuits, ECI produces consultant studies on international law and human rights to Finnish authorities, especially to the MFA. These studies are carried out usually by one researcher and range usually from six months to a year. The research is often published in the series ECI Research Reports (the most recent being No. 29).

ECI’s personnel are also regularly consulted on international law human rights matters and sometimes give expert opinions in international conflict-management. Koskenniemi and PhD candidate Katja Creutz have, for example, provided expert assistance on the legal aspects of the Caucasus crisis (2007, 2009). Koskenniemi represented Finland before the International Court of Justice in the Kosovo advisory opinion. Since 2008, Ms Jarna Petman has worked as a member of the European Committee on the Social Charter. While carrying out these types of consultant and expert work to the Finnish government is important in itself, it is also useful for researcher training and for the receipt of funding that can then be allocated to work on more theoretical topics.

2) The research project “Europe 1815-1914 Between Restoration and Revolution, National Constitutions and Global Law: an Alternative View on the European Century 1815-1914 (EReRe) is a four-year project with a budget of 2.500.000 Euros, funded by the European Research Council (ERC). Directed by Koskenniemi and Bo Stråth (EUI, FDiPro), it seeks to develop an alternative view of the “European century” and the justifying narratives of the European Union. The project focuses on the period between the Peace of Vienna (1815) and the outbreak of the “Second European Thirty Years War” (1914) as the ground on which the peace of 1945 and our present conception of Europe were built. The project’s point of departure is that a part of the present deficit of legitimacy of European institutions emerges from a deeply ahistoric view of Europe’s past.

Within this general frame the EReRe’s six post-doc researchers carry out their own studies on aspects of 19th century history and participate in work in three areas where international “working groups” have been set up. These concern (1) the “paradoxes of peace” (the astonishing coincidences of pacific vocabularies and continuing violence both inside Europe and within the colonies), (2) the integration into European constitutionalism of both revolutionary and reactionary ideas and (3) “teleology” – the persistence in European thought of deterministic ideas about social and political progress.

3) The CoE’s focus rests upon two related questions: how is global governance exercised, and how is it legitimated. The CoE takes a consciously critical perspective: its aim is not to render global governance more effective but to understand it. In so doing, some of its research focuses on the role of social actors (such as the World Social Forum), whereas other research looks into normative conflicts, or the role of public institutions. The CoE is generally recognized as one of the leading units worldwide in its field: it is well-known for its critical approach and for its unique combination of lawyers, social anthropologists, political scientists, and scholars with yet different disciplinary backgrounds (theology, e.g., and moral philosophy). Obviously, with such diversity in the background and given the relative novelty of the field of study, the research sometimes is less than fully focused: the CoE works not only on social movements, but also on trade (and, incidentally, on how social movements influence trade negotiations); the CoE works on climate change, but also on human rights. The common focus resides in the approach (critically reflective) rather than in the substantive problem areas of global governance.

In the period under review, researchers within the CoE have produced monographs with leading publishing houses (OUP, CUP, Routledge) on topics as diverse as treaty conflict in international law on private equity finance and its effects on global governance, on International Relations methodology, and the interaction of diverse normative systems and their impact on order and stability in Africa. In order to tighten the CoE’s focus, two common projects have commenced: on normative pluralism in the global
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order, and on the role of private property in global governance. Both projects are theoretically innovative and deal with issues rarely addressed before and are scheduled to result in edited volumes.

The three streams operate in close contact and with merely notional boundaries between them. Researchers attend seminars within each of the three streams; they participate in conferences organized by each of them, co-organize symposia together, contribute to cross-cutting book projects, and even attend conferences abroad together, and such things as funding or coverage of travel expenses transgress each stream’s boundaries. Even the annual Christmas party and summer picnic are organized jointly, as is an informal coffee-hour every Friday.

- **Ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research.**

  The participation of ECI researchers in the informal “critical international law network” could be strengthened by enhancing long-term interdisciplinary collaboration between research groups in Helsinki and elsewhere. Substantively, the focus on the history and transformations of international law ought to be strengthened. With regard to consultant research, there is an urgent need to move away from the ad hoc negotiation of contracts to long-term planned research. Both objectives highlight the need for stability and continuity in personnel and financing.

  Within the CoE, the application for the period 2012-2017 has sought to strengthen the focus of research by concentrating more clearly on the underlying structures of global governance, both formal and informal, and aims to study and analyze how markets, international institutions, the informal sector, and even narratives, function to facilitate global governance. Additional focus can be achieved by employing less people, but for longer periods of time (within budgetary restraints, obviously).

### 2 PRACTISES AND QUALITY OF DOCTORAL TRAINING (MAX. 8800 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- **How is doctoral training organised in the RC?** Description of the RC’s principles for recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates, supervision of doctoral candidates, collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes, good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training, and assuring good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates.

  Owing to ECI’s leading role in critical international law research its directors teach all over the world. Professor Koskenniemi has given doctoral “master classes” and seminars on critical method in international law during 2005-2010 at e.g. NYU School of Law, Cambridge, Brown, Sciences-Po (Paris), Uppsala, European University Institute (Florence), and the Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies (Geneva).

  Professor Klabbers, likewise, has been giving classes or conducted seminars at Harvard Law School, the European University Institute, the London School of Economics, Peking University Law School, and other leading institutions of higher learning.

  ECI’s situation in a wholly international research network is a part of its operative ethos. There is no point and no plan to set up a specific doctoral training programme in Helsinki, given the well-developed possibilities for PhD students to work and study globally. Moreover, it should be noted that the second stream of the RC – EreRe – consists of post-doctoral scholars, operating a world-wide network of high-level experts benefitting all doctoral candidates at the RC.

  Instead, students are encouraged to apply to doctoral programmes at some of the world’s finest law schools (Harvard, LSE, Cambridge) while simultaneously maintaining contact with their critical “base” in Helsinki. In the course of their international law training at those universities, they are bound to come
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across critical research in which they are able to draw on their more or less formal contacts with Helsinki. It is no exaggeration to say that international law conducted in Finland is part of doctoral training at all world’s leading universities in a way that cannot be said of research coming from, say, the other Nordic countries.

Moreover, graduate students at ECI are frequently used in the preparation of ECI’s consultant research for Finnish authorities. Out of the so far published 29 pieces of individual research, nearly all have been conducted by graduate students in international law and human rights, under the supervision of the directors of ECI, often in cooperation with the commissioning body or a steering group. It is hard to think of better ways of doctoral training (or, indeed, training generally) than having students prepare consultant works on matters of current interest to assist governmental bodies in their planning and decision-making. Many of those themes have inspired the graduate students to further develop their project into a PhD proposal. In addition, quite a few doctoral students are active members of the editorial team of the Finnish Yearbook of International Law. This enables them to be in touch with leading scholars worldwide, and develops the capacity to make proper references and spot a variety of common academic mistakes. In this way, editorial work will be part of their doctoral training.

Researchers and doctoral students alike are also asked regularly to review submissions for the leading journals (European Journal of International Law, Leiden Journal of International Law, and the Review of International Studies, among many others) and publish in those reviews themselves.

At the same time, ECI operates as a base for students from all over the world who, as part of their doctoral training either at the University of Helsinki or another university come to Helsinki to work with professors and colleagues well-versed in critical methods. These students come with their own background funding and stay shorter or longer periods in Helsinki, receiving instruction from Professor Klabbers and Koskenniemi and engaging in the many activities of the ECI. A special system of this type is the French co-tutelle that allows French doctoral students to submit their thesis at two universities (French and foreign). In the period in question, professor Koskenniemi has been formal supervisor to two such students, whereas Klabbers has been and is involved in supervision (either solo or joint) at Uppsala University. The directors of ECI also regularly act as readers and examiners for PhD theses abroad.

ECI, EReRe and the CoE also act as hosts for post-doctoral and professorial scholars, who are expected to participate in seminars. This in turn allows doctoral students to be exposed not just to their own professors, but also to visitors from elsewhere. Thus, visiting professors and post-doctoral fellows from Australia (Joyce), the UK (Gills, Kurki), Spain (Garrido), Brazil (Galindos), Egypt (Lorite) and China (Chen) have certainly enlivened the atmosphere and expose doctoral candidates to a broad and cosmopolitan audience.

ECI also hosts two or three young human rights scholars each year. These come from the Venice-based European Master’s Program in Human Rights and Democratization, an inter-disciplinary program in which ECI has participated for a decade.

For the above reasons, ECI has no programme for doctoral training of its own. It is, however, one of the three national participants organising the Finnish Graduate School on Human Rights Research, administered by the Institute of Human Rights at the Åbo Akademi. The director of ECI (Professor Koskenniemi) is also a member of the Nordic Executive Committee of the Graduate School and several ECI researchers have prepared or are preparing their doctoral dissertations within the Graduate School. Professor Klabbers, by the same token, is a member of the governing board of the nationwide legal graduate school OMM (‘Law in a Changing World’).
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ECI and the CoE have no doctoral program of their own: their PhD students are embedded within the wider Faculties comprising them (in particular the Law Faculty and the Faculty of Social Sciences). Still, some doctoral students are working for, or located within, ECI and the CoE. These doctoral candidates are selected, by and large, in two ways. Sometimes talents are identified in the classroom, and groomed towards doing a PhD. This is probably the most successful way, since observing people as undergraduates or LLM students, for periods of an academic year or more, provides excellent insights into their strengths and weaknesses. Sometimes candidates are hired through an open call. This is more risky, but potentially also very rewarding, since potentially it broadens the circle of candidates.

Doctoral students are located, in administrative terms, within their own disciplines, and thus also subjected to the doctoral programs of those disciplines: a PhD student with the CoE doing international law work still has to meet with the demands the Law Faculty sets to PhD work, whereas those in the social sciences have to meet the requirements of the Faculty of Social Sciences. These typically include some compulsory course work and some literature exams, and the attending of some seminars (for lawyers, e.g., legal theory is compulsory). Most, perhaps all, of the PhD students working for the CoE have also been affiliated with the various doctoral schools active in Finland: OMY or OMM for lawyers, the Human Rights Graduate School, and the Political Science Graduate School. Collaboration, thus, is well-established. In addition, CoE-affiliated PhD students also present their work in seminars within the CoE, and do so to an interdisciplinary audience.

The CoE abides by such general quality assurance schemes and systems of best practices as are in existence in Finland, for instance in the form of the guidelines of Helsinki Law School.

- RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

While career perspectives can never, literally, be guaranteed, the RC opens its wide network for its scholars during and after doctoral studies. Staff can fairly easily spend time at leading institutions abroad: afterwards, contacts and the RC’s academic reputation may make it just a little bit easier to get employed. Former graduates have typically found employment with Finland’s public authorities or continued their academic careers at universities worldwide. Some have also made it to the private sector, e.g., as legal expert with Nokia.

The main strength is the recognized expertise of the staff and the exposure of doctoral students to a variety of approaches, research cultures, and opinions; the main weakness resides in the circumstance that most regular professors also have PhD students outside the RC context: since faculties are rewarded for successful PhDs, there is quite an incentive to accept as many as possible. That means that professors need to supervise too many people: somewhere the bottleneck manifests itself.

3 SOCIETAL IMPACT OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).

ECI directors are often consulted by e.g. the MFA. In 2006 Koskenniemi, then a member of the UN International Law Commission prepared, with the help of ECI researchers a Report on “Fragmentation Of International Law: Difficulties Arising From The Diversification And Expansion Of International Law” Its impact on debates in the international legal world has been and continues to be huge.

Professor Klabbers, for his part, has been instrumental in setting up a highly innovatively structured international organization (the European Forest Institute). This also feeds into his academic work. His
work on international institutions, on the constitutionalization of international law and on the interface and conflicts between EU law and international law is followed and cited the world over.

Social impact is also channeled through the RC’s contacts with Finnish governmental authorities, above all the MFA. The approximately two consultant studies per year to the ministry constitute one such channel. But Institute directors (Koskenniemi, Klabbers and Petman) are regularly consulted in respect of many other international law matters that arise in the course of daily diplomacy, also by law firms. Both through the MFA and as member of the Governing Board of Ahlisaari’s Conflict Management Initiative (CMI) Koskenniemi has also engaged ECI personnel to participate in international conflict management operations as well as in giving expert advice in an investment treaty arbitration. In the spring of 2010 ECI helped organize a training session for MFA officials having to do with certain aspects of Finland’s prospective membership in the UN Security Council, and ECI has organized on-line training for judges on behalf of the International Organization for Migration. Several members have taught at the civilian crisis management courses.

Traditionally, the RC has also been involved in teaching and training activities and institutional advice in the Global South: Ethiopia and Uganda (as well as post-conflict Kosovo) and Laos and the Philippines.

ECI research will also have impact in the way its representatives operate in various international bodies Ms Jarna Petman works as member of the Council of Europe Committee on the Social Charter and Petman and Koskenniemi regularly visit the committees of the Finnish parliament, giving expert opinions on domestic legislation touching upon international law and human rights matters. Klabbers has been a member of the Study Group on Human Security, convened by professor Kaldor (LSE) and advising the (then) EU High Commissioner for Foreign Affairs, Javier Solana. He has also been involved in reporting to the European Parliament on the UN’s newly established Human Rights Council.

ECI researchers are also active in various non-governmental organizations e.g. the Advisory Council of the Finnish Institute of International Affairs, Finnish International Studies Association and the Finnish League for Human Rights, the Working Group of Legal Experts on Criminalizing Torture and the Centre for Human Rights of Persons with Disabilities. ECI and CoE staff also write op ed pieces in Finnish newspapers (such as Helsingin Sanomat) and give expert views on international law and global governance issues on TV and radio.

- Ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.

Koskenniemi and Klabbers are both leading scholars who not only contribute to scholarship but help shape debates and discussions. The high visibility of its researchers, publications, summer seminar and other activities ensure that critical research of the Research Community will continue to make a difference.

The CoE’s visibility has been enhanced through the organization of public lectures by public intellectuals and statesmen like (leading author Naomi Klein, President of Bolivia, Evo Morales, Immanuel Wallerstein and President Halonen. The CoE organized and hosted the first ever joint event of the European Society of International Law and the American Society of International Law, in 2009. More of these types of events will naturally strengthen the societal impact in the form of public discussion. Another way to strengthen societal impact is by consciously addressing a wider audience: the recent creation of the Helsinki Review of Global Governance is a first step in that direction.
Description of the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities and how the RC has promoted researcher mobility.

The RC is a leading participant in a worldwide network of critical legal scholarship. Everything ECI does or plans is situated in a wholly international network of contacts. For example, ECI’s directors have been cooperating with colleagues at Harvard, Koskenniemi is co-director of the Programme of History and Theory of the Institute of International Law and Justice at NYU Law School and professeur-rechercheur associé at the Centre de droit international (CERDIN) of the universities of Paris I-II. Koskenniemi and Klabbers have co-authored and edited scientific volumes with colleagues from many universities and are members of a wide number of advisory boards and scientific committees of scientific journals and book series. Klabbers is an advisory editor at the newly established Oxford Bibliographies Online and one of the founders, and book review editor, of the International Organizations Law Review, and sits on the advisory board of the Centre for Global Constitutionalism at St. Andrews University. Both are, moreover, regularly asked to review submissions by the leading publishing houses in the field as well as for the leading journals.

Klabbers was elected to the Executive Council of the European Society of International Law, as Petman was earlier, and participates in a number of formalized scholarly networks: the European-American Consortium for Legal Education the European Master’s Program on Human Rights and Democratization, the Project on International Law in Domestic Courts, and a trans-disciplinary project on Constitutionalism and Fragmentation.

Similar things can be said about other senior CoE staff. Professors Teivainen and Siikala, lecture widely in different universities all over the globe, and Teivainen in particular enjoys a high degree of visibility amongst critical international relations scholars. He has involved with the establishment and direction of the World Social Forum. Dr Slotte is an award-winning theologian who works on global ethics and human rights. She has spent time as visiting fellow at an Institute for Ethics, Germany (Münster) and Denmark (Roskilde), and is an adviser in human rights issues for the Finland’s Lutheran Church.

One of the key activities of EReRe research has been to invite well-known historians, lawyers and political scientists from all over the world to give seminars for EReRe scholars and larger audiences and to participate in its three working groups. As a result, ECI, EReRe and CoE researchers have easy access to academic institutions around the world and PhD students at the CoE have also been able to spend time working with NGOs in their field of research.

Within Finland, there are particularly close contacts with the University of Helsinki’s Collegium of Advanced Studies. Klabbers and Teivainen are (or have been) involved in the Collegium’s main decision-making body and some of the researchers have been able to move back and forth. National research cooperation is done more formally with the Institute for Human Rights at Åbo Akademy through the Graduate School in Human Rights Research and more ad hoc and on individual bases with e.g. the Universities of Joensuu, Tampere and Lapland. The CoE was during its first three years formally connected to the University of Turku.
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- RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

Perhaps the biggest challenges when it comes to researcher mobility are logistical, which, in turn, is closely connected to funding. The RC receives requests from aspiring visitors on a weekly basis; it cannot possibly accommodate all applicants within its premises and given its infrastructure: it simply lacks the resources, in terms of personnel, financing, and premises, to accommodate all requests. Even accounting for the circumstance that not all applicant-visitors are of the same level, there is little room for further growth.

Likewise, sending ECI and CoE staff abroad is easy to organize in terms of finding suitable places, but to make the most of the possibilities additional funding would be needed.

5 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g., research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).

The three streams of the RC each occupy well-furnished premises: ECI and the CoE are physically located within in the Law School, whereas EReRe is located around the corner. Each of the three has one person employed as coordinator or planning officer; these individuals are tasked with the daily management of the participating streams, mainly making it possible for researchers to spend their time doing research. Those individuals provide an invaluable service, and have traditionally been funded by the streams themselves: their salaries have come from the incoming overheads rather than from general Faculty funds. Considering the total number of some 60-70 high-level international researchers, the large variety of activities, the productivity of the RC with only three administrative personnel, it should be noted that the RC is run very efficiently: minimum administrative input for maximum academic output.

Generally speaking, permanent RC staff has regular teaching duties, on top of administering the three streams. This has advantages in terms of, e.g., identifying talent, and it facilitates the symbiosis between teaching and research. There are, however, opportunity costs, too: teaching takes time away from research, and this applies in particular to the administration of teaching.

Other staff members, not permanently employed by one of the faculties, have a limited teaching obligation, which helps them to structure their thoughts and provides them with teaching experience. This, in turn, will help improve labour market prospects. It is worth emphasizing though that the teaching obligation is limited, both in duration (typically a single course per academic year) and topic-wise: people are expected to teach on the topics of their research; they are not used for general teaching purposes. In addition, the CoE has started to teach a common course (Introducing Global Governance: A Transdisciplinary Excursion), which has been enthusiastically received by students. Within the Social Sciences Faculty, likewise CoE focal points have been utilized for courses and lecture series. Moreover, much of the RC will be involved in teaching in the Law Faculty’s new English-language Master’s Program in Public International Law.

The CoE has facilitated the field work typically expected from anthropologists by offering financial support, and by utilizing its network of contacts abroad.

The RC has made a point of taking gender equality seriously. Among the post-doctoral researchers, women and men are almost equally represented: the balance is roughly 60/40, with a small preponderance of men. Among doctoral candidates employed by the RC, on the other hand, women...
form a majority. This conforms to the more general pattern that women increasingly study law and have started to outnumber male undergraduate students. The professorial level is still very much male-dominated – this is very much a function of the University of Helsinki’s past hiring practices. That said, both ECI and the CoE have female deputy directors (Petman and Slotte, respectively).

6 LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT IN THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the execution and processes of leadership in the RC, how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC and how the leadership- and management-related processes support high quality research, collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC, the RC’s research focus and strengthening of the RC’s know-how.

1) ECI is directed by professor Koskenniemi, assisted by two deputy directors (professor Klabbers, and Ms Petman). The three of them together set up ECI, in 1998, and have been instrumental in running the Institute ever since. The day-to-day management is in the hands of Ms Sanna Villikka.

The work of ECI is further supervised by an advisory board comprising representatives from the research community, from the University’s central administration, from the Faculty of Law, and from the Foreign Ministry. The board meets once a year, and decides on ECI’s future direction, in broad outline. Also once a year a formal meeting of the research staff takes place, where the past year is evaluated and concrete future plans (for projects or conferences) are discussed.

2) EReRe is co-directed by Professor Bo Stråth (EUI and Academy of Finland Distinguished Professor in Nordic, European and World History at University of Helsinki) and Professor Koskenniemi who presently is on a leave of absence from his regular professorship so as to participate full-time in EReRe research. It operates under the auspices of the European Research Council (ERC) that supervises and surveys its operation.

3) The CoE is academically run by a steering committee comprising representatives from the main participating academic disciplines as well as a member of the research staff: professors Klabbers (law), Teivainen (political science) and Sikala (social anthropology), and Dr Pamela Slotte, a post-doctoral researcher with a background in theology and the ethics and philosophy of religion.
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Everyday management in the CoE is in the hands of the director (professor Klabbers) and deputy-director (Dr Slotte), supported by a planning officer. These do most of the planning and preparation and meet at least once a day, albeit usually not very formally. Important decisions (e.g., which projects to focus on, which scholars to hire) are taken by the steering committee. The system is thus democratic in the sense that all major disciplines as well as the researchers are represented. Invariably, this entails compromising, which sometimes runs the risk of diluting the focus, but which helps to keep the CoE vibrant and multi-faceted. It prevents that a single perspective or discipline comes to dominate the CoE, and helps ensure that the focus rests squarely on quality.

The CoE is supported by a Scientific Advisory Board, comprising of renowned scholars from the participating disciplines: professors Friedmann (anthropology, University of California at San Diego), Mittelman (political science, American University, Washington DC), and Judge Bruno Simma (international law, International Court of Justice, the Hague, and University of Michigan). The CoE and this board formally meet once every two years; informally, there is regular contact between the director and the individual board members in-between meetings.

- RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes.

As the above suggests, communication lines within the RC are very short, exemplified by Klabbers being director of the CoE and deputy director of ECI, and Koskenniemi being director of ECI and co-director of EReRe. Moreover, the circumstance that most of the staff (with the exception of EReRe staff) works in close proximity, sharing two floors and sometimes even sharing offices in the same building, ensures a lively intersection between the members of the RC, both in terms of academic discussions and in terms of the RC’s policies and strategies. Practically speaking, it is often easier to informally consult with staff or inform staff of developments than it is to organize a formal meeting: with such an active RC, it has proved difficult to find a suitable time-slot. The administrative structures are thus kept fairly light.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7 EXTERNAL COMPETITIVE FUNDING OF THE RC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Listing of the RCs external competitive funding, where:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Academy of Finland (AF) - total amount of funding (in euros) AF has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: 3440000

- Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation (TEKES) - total amount of funding (in euros) TEKES has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: 660000

- European Union (EU) - total amount of funding (in euros) EU has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: 2500000

- European Research Council (ERC) - total amount of funding (in euros) ERC has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: 2500000

- International and national foundations – names of international and national foundations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
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- names of the foundations: Ministry for Foreign Affairs
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned foundations: 370000

- Other international funding - names of other international funding organizations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the funding organizations: International Organisation for Migration, European Society of International Law, American Society of International Law
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned funding organizations: 100000

- Other national funding (incl. EVO funding and Ministry of Education and Culture funded doctoral programme positions) - names of other national funding organizations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the funding organizations: Ministry of Education, CIMO, Federation of Finnish Learned Societies (TSV), University of Tampere
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned funding organizations: 510000

- Description of the RC’s future perspectives in respect to research and doctoral training.

Future research within ECI will continue to be directed in part to producing cutting-edge critical work on the history and theory of international law as well as on law and global transformations. Participation will be strengthened in the various international networks of critical scholarship, for example through collaboration with Harvard’s Institute of Global Law and Policy where several of ECI’s younger researchers participated in 2010 and will take part in 2011 and where Professor Koskenniemi will be one of the teachers in 2011. Several applications for funding are under way with the Finnish Academy and elsewhere with planned priority areas having to do with (1) international law and religion and (2) international law and empire. On the latter, a large inaugural workshop will be organized in Helsinki in the autumn of 2011.

All members of the RC are encouraged to apply project funding. The post-doctoral staff has already managed to attract funding from the Finnish Academy and, in the case of Dr Reetta Toivanen (an internationally recognized minority rights expert) twice from the European Union. The Academy has provided considerable personal research funding to several individuals; Martti Koskenniemi (Academy Professor), Dr. Toivanen (Senior Academy Researcher) and to Dr. Pamela Slotte (Academy Post-Doctoral Researcher). Also the Academy has funded two 4-year research projects first on Particularity as Universality - The Politics of Human Rights in Europe and Human Rights: Law, Religion and Subjectivity. The first resulted in two PhD theses and one publication and the latter project will produce a monograph and two PhD theses.

As regards consultant work, the aim is (1) to try to regularize planning of research and the conclusion of contracts with the Ministry for Foreign Affairs and (2) to expand the range of commissioning institutions. For the former purpose, the intention is to suggest the item of the “rule of law” in international institutions as one long-term focus of research (this is also a government priority). As regards the latter, focus will be shifted to continuing and if possible expanding work on the broad theme of “corporate social responsibility” on which ECI has already carried out work and cooperated with, for example, the...
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Canadian government. This also opens up prospects of national research cooperation with the University of Turku as well as Aalto University.

EReRe will terminate at the end of 2013. However, plans are already underway to utilize the very extensive international network constituted under its three working groups for further work in the field.

The CoE has submitted a funding application for the period 2012-2017 with the Finnish Academy, under the title Centre of Excellence in Global Governance Structures Research; the application has passed a first round of external peer review with flying colours, and will be decided on later in 2011. As the title suggests, the idea is to focus not so much on how and by whom global governance is exercised and legitimated (the focus of the current CoE), but rather on the structures through which global governance is exercised and legitimated. The core team consists of five scholars (professors Klabbers, Koskenniemi, Siikala and Teivainen, and Dr Slotte), who will all be responsible for a specific project, approaching global governance from different angles but all with a view to examining the underlying structures. For Klabbers, the focus will rest on governance through institutions; Koskenniemi will study governance through (historical) narratives, Siikala will analyze governance through informal mechanisms; Teivainen will address privatization as a global governance structures, and Slotte will look at global governance through human rights.

In comparison to the current CoE, the focus is markedly more concentrated on structures, and more focused on key areas. Another difference is that fewer researchers will be hired, but those will be hired for longer periods of time (within the obvious budgetary limits). A third difference and improvement, arguably, is that the interdependence of the individual projects is more closely visible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHORT DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE RC MEMBERS HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO THE COMPILED MATERIALS (MAX. 1100 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

As noted earlier, the lines of communication in the RC are short – very short. At any given moment in time, the directors have a fairly decent idea what staff are doing, where they are travelling, which conferences they present their papers at, and in which journals they publish. In addition to therewith being closely informed on a day-to-day basis, some of the staff members have been asked to provide specific input, or to provide specific feedback on a draft of this document. The entire RC moreover has been asked to comment generally.
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1 Analysis of publications


### Publication Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication type</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Total Count 2005 - 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 Refereed journal article</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 Review in scientific journal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3 Contribution to book/other compilations (refereed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4 Article in conference publication (refereed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 Unrefereed journal article</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 Contribution to book/other compilations (non-refereed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 Unrefereed article in conference proceedings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 Published scientific monograph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 Article in professional journal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 Article in professional hand or guide book or in a professional data system, or text book material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4 Published development or research report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5 Text book or professional handbook or guidebook or dictionary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 Popular article, newspaper article</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 Popular monograph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2 Listing of publications

A1 Refereed journal article

2005
Koskenniemi, M 2005, 'The empire(s) of international law: system change and legal transformation', Austrian Review of International and European Law, vol 8, pp. 61-68.
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2006


Morgan, J, Nielsen, P 2006, 'From mainstream economics to the boundaries of Marxism', Capital & Class, vol 2006, no. 2, pp. 91-120.
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2007
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2008
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2009


ECI/Koskenniemi M


2010
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A2 Review in scientific journal

2005

2006
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF PUBLICATIONS DATA 2005-2010

ECI/Koskenniemi M


2007


2008


2009


2010


A3 Contribution to book/other compilations (refereed)

2005


2006

Björklund, M 2006, 'Perustamissopimus, organisaatio ja päätöksenteko', Maaillon kauppajärjestö WTO, Taloustieto, Helsinki, pp. 53-64.


2007

Panier, KJ 2007, Demanding Accountability: Judgment and Punishment in Ugandan 'Restorative’ Mechanisms', in A Singh, D McDonough (eds), Defence to Development. Resolving Threats to Global Security., Centre for Foreign Policy Studies, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada.
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Brautigam, T 2008, 'Encyclopedia entry on Jacob Söderman', in U Morell, P Craveri, G Guaglianelli (eds), Dizionario dell'Integrazione Europea.


2009


ECI/Koskenniemi M


Koskenniemi, M 2010, "Colonization of the 'Indies': The Origin of International Law?", in Yalanda Gamarra (ed.), La idea de la América en el pensamiento los internacionalistas del siglo XIX I: La idea de la América en el pensamiento los internacionalistas del siglo XIX I, Institución Fernando el Católico, Zaragoza, pp. 43-63.


Ortega, FA 2010, 'José Luis e Independencia en la Nueva Granada', in P Chinchilla (ed.), Los Jesuitas formadores de ciudadanos. La educación dentro y fuera de sus colegios (siglo XVI-XXI), Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico.


Tavanne, R 2010, 'Mehrwert durch Minderheiten und die Konstruktion von Ethnizität', in M Lindfelt, P Slotte, M Björkgren (eds), Mot bättre vetande. Festskrift till Tage Kurtén på 60-årsdagen., Åbo Akademis förlag, Åbo, pp. 213-266.


Tavanne, R 2010, 'Mehrwert durch Minderheiten und die Konstruktion von Ethnizität', in M Lindfelt, P Slotte, M Björkgren (eds), Mot bättre vetande. Festskrift till Tage Kurtén på 60-årsdagen., Åbo Akademis förlag, Åbo, pp. 213-266.

Tavanne, R 2010, 'Mehrwert durch Minderheiten und die Konstruktion von Ethnizität', in M Lindfelt, P Slotte, M Björkgren (eds), Mot bättre vetande. Festskrift till Tage Kurtén på 60-årsdagen., Åbo Akademis förlag, Åbo, pp. 213-266.

A4 Article in conference publication (referred)

2008

2009
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B1 Unrefereed journal article

2005

2006
Forsberg, T 2006, 'Finnish-Russian security relations: is Russia still seen as a threat?', The two-level game:ussia’s relations with Great Britain, Finland and the European Union / Hanna Smith (edited by), pp. 141-153.
ECI/Koskenniemi M


Valli, J., Teivainen, T. 2006. ‘La gente tiene que crear democracia global, ésta no va a caer del cielo: Entrevista con Noam Chomsky’, Revista de Universidad y Sociedad del Conocimiento, no. 8, pp. 53-54.

2007


2008


2009


2010


Halmi-Tuomisaari, M 2010, ‘Ihmisoikeusasiantuntijuus ja ’toinen sukupuoli‘’, Naistutkimus, no. 4.


B2 Contribution to book/other compilations (non-refereed)

2005


2006


Slotte, P 2006, 'Ett globalt ethos för en globaliserad värld?: Om rätt, moral, vapen och fisk', in KÅ Modéer, M Sunnqvist (eds), Rättshistoria som senmodern rättsvetenskap, Från Schlyters lustgård. Rättshistoriska uppsatser, no. 5, Corpus Iuris Förlag, Lund, pp. 87-100.

2007


2008
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2009


2010


ECI/Koskenniemi M


B3 Unrefereed article in conference proceedings

2005

2007
Hannikainen, L 2007, 'The Case of Western Sahara from the Perspective of Jus Cogens', in International Law and the Question of Western Sahara, pp. 59-77.

2009

2010
Kulovesi, K 2010, 'Legality of the Cancun Agreement, Guest commentary in Carbon Market Europal.',

C1 Published scientific monograph

2005
Siikala, A, Siikala, J 2005, Return to culture: oral tradition and society in the Southern Cook Islands, FF communications, no. no. 287, Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia, Helsinki.

2006
Creutz, K 2006, Transnational privatised security and the international protection of human rights, The Erik Castren Institute research reports, no. 19, Erik Castren Institute, Helsinki.

2007

2008
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C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal

2005


2006


2007


2008
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2009


2010


D1 Article in professional journal

2009


2010

D2 Article in professional hand or guide book or in a professional data system, or text book material

2009

D4 Published development or research report

2007

Makkonen, T 2007. Good as far as it goes, but does it go far enough? A report on Norway’s anti-discrimination law and policy, Migration Policy Group.


2008
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2009

2010


D5 Text book or professional handbook or guidebook or dictionary

2007
Makkonen, T 2007, European Handbook on Equality Data: why and how to build a national knowledge base on equality and discrimination on the grounds of racial and ethnic origin, religion and belief, disability, age and sexual orientation, European Commission, Office of the Official Publications of the EC.

2009

E1 Popular article, newspaper article

2005

2006
Slotte, P 2006, 'Vad är en juridisk mänsklig rättighet?', Ihmisöikeusrapportti, vol 14, no. 2, pp. 4-5.

2007
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2008

2009

2010
Holappa, L, Ahokas, J 2010, 'Valtion rahoitusvaje on poliittinen valinta', Helsingin Sanomat.

E1 Popular contribution to book/other compilations 2000

2009

E2 Popular monograph 2006
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1 Analysis of activities 2005-2010

- Associated person is one of Afia Asiraa Afenah, Tobias Bråtäng, Giuseppe Caruso, Turunen Forsberg, Mia Helme-Tuomisaari, Pekka Harras, Tobias Bräutigam, Giuseppe Caruso, Katja Creutz, Monica García-Sánchez, Ritvanen, Lauri Hilppänen, Daniel Joyce, Johanna Kääboja, Anja Carita Lindroos, Tiina Pajuste, Jarna Maria Petman, Tuomas Forsberg, Monica Garcia-Salmones Rovira, Katja Creutz, Timo Di Muho, Kelly Grothe, Veijo Helskala, Reza Hekmati, Timo Makkonen, Jamie Morgan, Pekka Harras, Turunen Forsberg, Reeta Toivanen, Thomas Strong, Tiina Pajuste, Jarna Maria Petman, Pablo Hernandez, Teivo Teivainen, Reetta Toivanen, Silke Trommer, Rene Uruena Hernández, Varro Vooglaid

Activities where the period is between 2005 and 2010

- Activity type
  - Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis
  - Prizes and awards
  - Editor of research journal
  - Editor of research anthology/collection/conference proceedings
  - Peer review of manuscripts
  - Editor of communication journal
  - Assessment of candidates for academic posts
  - Membership or other role in review committee
  - Membership or other role in research network
  - Membership or other role in national/international committee, council, board
  - Membership or other role in public Finnish or international organization
  - Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation
  - Other tasks of an expert in private sector
  - Participation in interview for written media
  - Participation in radio programme
  - Participation in TV programme
  - Participation in interview for web based media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity type</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prizes and awards</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of research journal</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of research anthology/collection/conference proceedings</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer review of manuscripts</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of communication journal</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of candidates for academic posts</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in review committee</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in research network</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in national/international committee, council, board</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in public Finnish or international organization</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other tasks of an expert in private sector</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in interview for written media</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in radio programme</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in TV programme</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in interview for web based media</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Listing of activities 2005-2010

**Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis**

**Lauri Hannikainen**,  
Supervisor for Pablo Iglesias’s Dissertation, Lauri Hannikainen, 2010  
Supervisor for Pia Jääskeläinen’s Dissertation, Lauri Hannikainen, 2010

**Johannes Klabbers**,  
Supervisor for Magid Tafreshi Seyed's Doctoral thesis, Johannes Klabbers, 2004  
Supervisor for Erkki Holmila's Doctoral thesis, Johannes Klabbers, 2005  
Supervisor for Katja Crootz's Doctoral thesis, Johannes Klabbers, 2005  
Supervisor for Manuel Jimenez Fonseca's Doctoral thesis, Johannes Klabbers, 2005  
Supervisor for Rain Liivoja's Doctoral thesis, Johannes Klabbers, 2005  
Supervisor for Tapio Puurunen in *Dispute Resolution in International Electronic Commerce* (Doctoral Dissertation), Johannes Klabbers, 2005  
Supervisor for Thordis Ingadottir's Doctoral thesis, Johannes Klabbers, 2005  
Supervisor for Varro Voogel's Doctoral thesis, Johannes Klabbers, 2005  
Supervisor for René Uruena's Doctoral thesis, Johannes Klabbers, 2006  
PhD co-supervisor (external) for Didier Pacquée, Johannes Klabbers, 2007  
PhD supervisor (external) for Marie-Hélène Boccara, Johannes Klabbers, 2007  
Supervisor for Maria Pohjanpalo's Doctoral thesis, Johannes Klabbers, 2007  
Supervisor for Paavo Kotiaho's Doctoral thesis, Johannes Klabbers, 2008  
Supervisor for Ilona Nieminen's Doctoral thesis, Johannes Klabbers, 2009  
PhD co-supervisor (external) for Didier Pacquée, Johannes Klabbers, 2007, Belgium

**Martti Koskenniemi**,  
Supervisor for Anne-Charlotte Martineau's Doctoral thesis, Martti Koskenniemi, 08.12.2005  
Supervisor for Päivi Leino-Sandberg's Doctoral thesis, Martti Koskenniemi, 2005  
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Supervisor for Shakira Bedoya Sanchez's Doctoral thesis, Martti Koskenniemi, 10.10.2006, Finland
Supervisor for Tan Kuosmanen's Doctoral thesis, Martti Koskenniemi, 10.10.2006, Finland
Supervisor for Pekka Niemelä's Doctoral thesis, Martti Koskenniemi, 15.11.2007, Finland
Supervisor for Katja Keinänen's Doctoral thesis, Martti Koskenniemi, 13.03.2008, Finland

Milja Kurki

Co-supervisor of 'Becoming Better 'Men' and 'Women': Negotiating Normativity through Gender Mainstreaming in Post-Tsunami Reconstruction Initiatives in Aceh, Indonesia', Milja Kurki, 2010

Jukka Siikala

Supervisor of Value and cultural change in Fiji, Jukka Siikala, 2004
Supervisor of Making a communal World: English Merchants in Imperial St. Petersburg, Jukka Siikala, 2005
Supervisor of How Kings are Made, How Kingship Changes. A Study of Ritual and Ritual Change in Pre-Colonial Owamboland, Namibia, Jukka Siikala, 2006
Supervisor of Human Rights in Action, Jukka Siikala, 2008
Supervisor of Memory Meanders. Place, Home and Commemoration in an Ex-Rhodesian Diaspora Community, Jukka Siikala, 2008
Supervisor of Women and Marital Breakdown in South India. Reconstructing Homes, Bonds and Persons., Jukka Siikala, 2010
Supervisor of The Chapayekas: change, continuity and meaning in ritual clown practice., Jukka Siikala, 2010

Teivo Teivainen


Prizes and awards

Martti Koskenniemi
Recognition for Scientific Activity, Finnish Cultural Foundation (Suomen kulttuurirahasto), Martti Koskenniemi, 2007
University of Uppsala, Doctor honoris causa, Martti Koskenniemi, 2008

Milja Kurki
British International Political Association Best thesis Prize, Milja Kurki, 2005
Political Science Association Lont Bryce Dissertations Prize, Milja Kurki, 2006

Jarna Maria Petman
Best International Teacher Award, Jarna Maria Petman, 16.11.2006, Finland
Teacher of the Year Award, Jarna Maria Petman, 14.04.2007, Finland

Jukka Siikala
Fellow of Association of Social Anthropology, Jukka Siikala, 2008

Pamela Slotte
Harry Elvings legat, Pamela Slotte, 06.09.2006, Finland
Statsrådet Mauritz Hallbergs pris, Pamela Slotte, 16.05.2008, Finland

Teivo Teivainen
Academy of Finland Recognition Award, Teivo Teivainen, 24.11.2006, Finland
Pro Feminism Award, Teivo Teivainen, 15.12.2008, Finland
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Rene Uruena Hernandez,
Juan Celaya grant for exceptional young researchers in law and globalization, Rene Uruena Hernandez, 2008

Editor of research journal

Tobias Bräutigam
Associate Editor, *Finnish Yearbook of International Law*, Tobias Bräutigam, 2004 → 2008, Finland

Katja Creutz,
*Finnish Yearbook of International Law* / Executive Editor, Katja Creutz, 01.11.2005 → 31.12.2008

Tuomas Forsberg,
*Journal of International Relations and Development*, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
*Idantutkimus*, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
*Journal of International Relations and Development*, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
*Kosmopolis*, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
*Terra*, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Peer review for *Historiallinen aikakauskirja*, Tuomas Forsberg, 2009
Peer review for *Australian and New Zealand Journal of European Studies*, Tuomas Forsberg, 2010
Peer review for *European Journal of International Relations*, Tuomas Forsberg, 2010
Peer review for *Security Dialogue*, Tuomas Forsberg, 2010

Miia Halme-Tuomisaari,

Lauri Hannikainen,
Member of editorial board, *Journal on Ethnopolitics and Minority Issues*, Lauri Hannikainen, 2005 → …
Member of editorial board, *Nordic Journal on International Law*, Lauri Hannikainen, 2005 → …
Member of editorial board, *Polar Law Yearbook*, Lauri Hannikainen, 2005 → …

Martti Koskenniemi,
*Finnish Yearbook of International Law*, Editor-in-Chief, Martti Koskenniemi, 1993 → …
Co-Editor of *Nordic Journal of International Law*, Martti Koskenniemi, 1995 → …
*Chinese Journal of International Law*, Member of the Board of Honorary Editors, Martti Koskenniemi, 2001 → …
*Humanity: An International Journal of Human Rights*, Member of Editorial Board, Martti Koskenniemi, 2010 → …
*Transnational Legal Theory*, Member of Editorial Board, Martti Koskenniemi, 2010 → …

Paavo Kotiaho,
*Finnish Yearbook of International Law*, Paavo Kotiaho, 01.11.2007 → 31.08.2010
*Finnish Yearbook of International Law*, Paavo Kotiaho, 01.09.2010 → …

Milja Kurki
Associate Editor of International Relations, Milja Kurki, 2007 → …
Member of Editorial Board: *International Studies Review*, Milja Kurki, 2010 → …
Member of Editorial Board: *Review of International Studies*, Milja Kurki, 2010 → …
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Päivi Leino-Sandberg
Member of the Editorial Board of <em>Oikeus</em>, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2003 → 2007, Finland
Editor and Book Review Editor, <em>Finland Yearbook of International Law</em>, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2004 → 2008
Editor and Book Review Editor, <em>Finland Yearbook of International Law</em>, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2004 → 2008

Rain Liivoja,
Acta Societatis Martensia, Rain Liivoja, 2005 → ...
Finnish Yearbook of International Law, Rain Liivoja, 01.01.2005 → ...
Proceedings of the Estonian National Defence College, Rain Liivoja, 2006 → ...

Jarna Maria Petman,
Finnish Yearbook of International Law, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Ihmisoikeusraportti, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Member of the Editorial Board for No Foundations: Journal of Extreme Legal Positivism, Jarna Maria Petman, 2005 → ...
Finnish Yearbook of International Law, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Ihmisoikeusraportti, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
No Foundations: Journal of Extreme Legal Positivism, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

Jukka Siikala,
Member of Editorial Board, Anthropological Theory, Jukka Siikala, 2004 → ...
Anthropological Theory, Jukka Siikala, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
Anthropological Theory, Jukka Siikala, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, United Kingdom

Pamela Slotte,
Finnish Yearbook of International Law, Pamela Slotte, 2006 → ...

Thomas Strong
Editor, Suomen Antropologi (Helsinki); 2006-08, Thomas Strong, 2006 → 2008

Teivo Teivainen,
Colección Transformación Global, Teivo Teivainen, 01.08.2006 → 31.12.2006, Peru
El Norte, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2006 → 31.01.2006, Finland
Globalizations, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, United Kingdom
Pensares y Quehaceres, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Mexico
Political Research Quarterly, Teivo Teivainen, 01.11.2006 → 30.11.2006, United States
Globalizations, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008
Pensares y Quehaceres, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008

Reetta Toivanen,

Silke Trommer,
Finnish Yearbook of International Law, Silke Trommer, 2007 → ..., Finland

Editor of research anthology/collection/conference proceedings

Kelly Grotke,
Constitutionalism, Legitimacy and Power: Nineteenth-Century Lessons, Kelly Grotke, 26.05.2010 → 31.08.2012
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF OTHER SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES 2005-2010

ECI/Koskenniemi M

Miia Halme-Tuomisaari,
Revisiting the Origins of Human Rights: Genealogy of a European Idea, Miia Halme-Tuomisaari, 2010 → ...

Rene Uruena Hernandez,
Member of the Board of Review. 2007 Telders Moot Court Competition, Rene Uruena Hernandez, 2007

Peer review of manuscripts
Katja Creutz,

Tuomas Forsberg,
Peer review, Manuscript, Tuomas Forsberg, 2008 → 2009
Peer review, Manuscript, Tuomas Forsberg, 2009 → 2010
Peer review, Manuscript, Tuomas Forsberg, 2010

Monica Garcia-Salmones Rovira,
Revista de Derecho Publico de la Universidad de los Andes, Monica Garcia-Salmones Rovira, 03.02.2010, Colombia

Johannes Klabbers,
Manuscript Review for OUP, Marius Emberland et al. (eds.), The New International Law, Johannes Klabbers, 2007
Manuscript Review for OUP, Yuval Shany & .. (eds.), Johannes Klabbers, 2007
Manuscript review for Review of International Studies, Johannes Klabbers, 2007
Manuscript review for Revue Quebecois de Droit International, Johannes Klabbers, 2007
Proposal review for Norwegian Research Council (Emberland), Johannes Klabbers, 2007
Proposal review for Swedish Rikstagbank (Osterdahl), Johannes Klabbers, 2007
Article conceptualizing Chapter VII decisions, Nordic Journal of International Law, Johannes Klabbers, 2008
Article on crimes against humanity, Leiden Journal of International Law, Johannes Klabbers, 2008
Article on interdisciplinarity, Journal of International Law &amp; International Relations, Johannes Klabbers, 2008
Article on norm erosion, International Relations, Johannes Klabbers, 2008
Article on science and WTO, Leiden Journal of International Law, Johannes Klabbers, 2008
Article on soft law, Law &amp; Policy, Johannes Klabbers, 2008
Book manuscript on Interpol, Johannes Klabbers, 2008
Book manuscript, Joakim Nergelius, EU’s Constitutional Dilemma, Johannes Klabbers, 2008
Book manuscript, Larry May &amp; Zach Hoskins (eds.), Philosophy and International Criminal Law (CUP, 2008), Johannes Klabbers, 2008
Book proposal on EU export of values and Russia (Edward Elgar), Johannes Klabbers, 2008
Book proposal on climate change after Copenhagen (OUP), Johannes Klabbers, 2008
Reviewing the Funding application, Antje Wiener, UK Economic and Social Research Council, Johannes Klabbers, 02.2008
Tenure review, Julian Ku, Hofstra University School of Law, Johannes Klabbers, 01.2008, United States

Milja Kurki
Peer review for European Journal of International Relations, Milja Kurki, 2009
Peer review for International Studies Quarterly, Milja Kurki, 2009
Peer review for International Theory, Milja Kurki, 2009
Peer review for Journal of International Relations and Development, Milja Kurki, 2009
Peer review for American Political Science Review, Milja Kurki, 2010 → …
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Peer review for Democratization, Milja Kurki, 2010 → ...
Peer review for International Studies Review, Milja Kurki, 2010 → ...
Peer review for Millennium, Milja Kurki, 2010 → ...
Peer review for Review of International Studies, Milja Kurki, 2010 → ...

Päivi Leino-Sandberg

Referee for <em>Oikeus</em>, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2005
Referee, <em>Juridica International</em>, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2005
Peer reviewer for the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research, Social Sciences department, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2006 → 2007

Timo Makkonen

Referee for the <em>Naisututkimus</em> journal, Timo Makkonen, 2001 → ..., Finland

Jamie Morgan

Book Reviews Editor for Journal of Critical Realism, Jamie Morgan, 2003 → 2010
Peer review for Cambridge Journal of Economics, Jamie Morgan, 2007 → ...
Peer review for Globalizations, Jamie Morgan, 2009 → ...
Peer review for New Political Economy, Jamie Morgan, 2009
Peer review for British Journal of Politics and International Relations, Jamie Morgan, 2010
Peer review for Capital & Class, Jamie Morgan, 2010
Peer review for International Studies Quarterly, Jamie Morgan, 2010

Jarna Maria Petman

Referee of manuscripts for Cambridge University Press, Jarna Maria Petman, 2007 → ..., United Kingdom
Referee of manuscripts for Oxford University Press, Jarna Maria Petman, 2007 → ..., United Kingdom
Referee of manuscripts for Berghahn Books Inc., Jarna Maria Petman, 2010 → ..., United States

Jukka Siikala

Peer review for Anthropological Theory, Jukka Siikala, 2004 → 2008
Peer review for Contemporary Pacific, Jukka Siikala, 2006
Peer review for The Journal of the Polynesian Society, Jukka Siikala, 2006 → 2010

Thomas Strong

Manuscript reviewer: University of Hawaii Press, Thomas Strong, 2010 → ...

Editor of communication journal

Afia Asiras Afenah

Helsinki Review of Global Governance, Afia Asiras Afenah, 26.05.2010, Finland

Rain Liivoja

Helsinki Review of Global Governance, Rain Liivoja, 2009 → ...

Jarna Maria Petman

Member of the Editorial Board for Ihmisolkeletalasunta/Human Rights Report, Jarna Maria Petman, 12.2005 → 03.2009, Finland

Pamela Slotte

Helsinki Review of Global Governance, Pamela Slotte, 2010 → ...

Silke Trommer

Helsinki Review of Global Governance, Silke Trommer, 2010 → ..., Finland
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Assessment of candidates for academic posts

Tuomas Forsberg,
Lausunto strategian tutkimuksen professorin viran täytöstä, Maanpuolustuskorkeakoulu, Tuomas Forsberg, 10.09.2008
Lausunto kansainvälisen teorian professorin viran täytöstä, Tuomas Forsberg, 05.01.2009
Lausunto VTT Kimmo Elon pätevyydestä dosentiksi, Tuomas Forsberg, 10.06.2009
Lausunto Helsingin yliopiston tutkijatohtorien tehtävien täytöstä, Tuomas Forsberg, 07.09.2010
Lausunto VTT Jyr Raitasalon pätevyydestä dosentiksi, Tuomas Forsberg, 20.01.2010

Johannes Klabbers,
Panelist, British Scholarships, Johannes Klabbers, 14.05.2007, United Kingdom
Member, selection board, British Council scholarships, Johannes Klabbers, 17.04.2009, United Kingdom

Membership or other role in review committee

Johannes Klabbers,
Panelist, Isman award jury, Johannes Klabbers, 09.2007
Telders Moot Court Competition, Member Board of Review, Johannes Klabbers, 2008, Netherlands

Päivi Leino-Sandberg
Member of the International Evaluation Board, Telders International Law Moot Court Competition, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2001 – 2005

Jukka Siikala,
Review committee to evaluate The Australian National University, Jukka Siikala, 2008, Australia

Membership or other role in research network

Anja Carita Lindroos,

Katja Creutz,
Graduate School in Human Rights Research, Katja Creutz, 01.07.2006 – ...
Member of Centre of Excellence in Global Governance Research, Katja Creutz, 01.07.2006 – ...

Tuomas Forsberg,
Board Member, Venäjän ja Itä-Euroopan tutkijakoulu, Tuomas Forsberg, 2007 – 2010
Board Member, Politikan tutkimuksen tutkijakoulu, Tuomas Forsberg, 2008 – 2010
Director, National Graduate School of Political Studies, Tuomas Forsberg, 2009 – ...

Lauri Holappa,
Systemic Risks, Financial Crises and Credit, Lauri Holappa, 01.04.2010 – ...

Martti Koskenniemi,
Austrian Review of International and European Law, Member of the Advisory Board, Martti Koskenniemi, 1996 – ...
Peace and Security, The IIP Research Quarterly, Member of the Advisory Board, Martti Koskenniemi, 1996 – ...
Journal of the History of International Law, Member of the International Advisory Board, Martti Koskenniemi, 1999 – ...
European Journal of International Relations, Member of the International Advisory Board, Martti Koskenniemi, 2000 – ...
Anuario de derechos humanos (Madrid) Member of the International Advisory Board, Martti Koskenniemi, 2001 – ..., Spain
European Journal of International Law, Member of the Advisory Board, Martti Koskenniemi, 2001 – ...

Päivi Leino-Sandberg
Participant in the Nordic Network of Human Rights, organised by the Åbo Akademi Institute for Human Rights, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2002 – ...
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Rain Liivoja,
Member, Rain Liivoja, 20.04.2007 → ..., Netherlands

Timo Makkonen
Finnish member of the European network of independent experts in the area of non-discrimination, Timo Makkonen, 2001 → ...

Jarna Maria Petman,
Member of the Executive Committee of the Nordic Network in Law and Literature [ret og litteratur: netværk i Norden], Jarna Maria Petman, 2003 → 2007
Member of the Executive Board of Ius Gentium Association, Jarna Maria Petman, 2004 → 2007, Finland

Pamela Slotte,
Member, Pamela Slotte, 2002 → ...
Member, Pamela Slotte, 2004 → 2008
Member, Pamela Slotte, 2006 → ...
Member, Pamela Slotte, 2007 → ..., Germany

Thomas Strong
Membership of the American Anthropological Association, Thomas Strong, 1994 → ...
Membership of the American Ethnological Society, Thomas Strong, 1994 → ...
Membership of the Society for Cultural Anthropology, Thomas Strong, 1994 → ...
Membership of the Society of Lesbian and Gay Anthropologists, Thomas Strong, 1994 → ...
Membership of the Association for the Social Anthropology of Oceania, Thomas Strong, 1998 → ...
Executive Committee: Anthropological Association of Ireland, Thomas Strong, 2009 → ...
Membership of the European Association of Oceanists, Thomas Strong, 2009 → ...
Membership of the Anthropological Association of Ireland, Thomas Strong, 2010 → ...
Membership of the European Association of Social Anthropologists, Thomas Strong, 2010 → ...
Membership of the Society for Medical Anthropology, Thomas Strong, 2010 → ...
Secretary: Anthropological Association of Ireland, Thomas Strong, 2010 → ..., Ireland

Reetta Toivanen,
Finnish Expert for the European Commission on Network of socio-economic experts in the Anti-discrimination field, Reetta Toivanen, 2009 → ..., Netherlands

Membership or other role in national/international committee, council, board

Martin Björklund,
International Law Association, Finnish Branch, Martin Björklund, 01.11.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Ius Gentium r.f., Martin Björklund, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland
Rättsam (samarbetsorgan för koordinering av juridisk undervisning på juridiska fakulteten vid HU, Stockom samt Hanken), Martin Björklund, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Katja Creutz,
Board Member of Ius Gentium Association, Katja Creutz, 01.01.2005 → ..., Finland
Deputy Member of the Postgraduate Studies Committee, Katja Creutz, 23.10.2007 → 16.04.2009, Finland
Steering Group of the Erik Castrén Institute of International Law and Human Rights, Katja Creutz, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2009, Finland
Deputy Board Member of the Steering Group of the Erik Castrén Institute for International Law and Human Rights, Katja Creutz, 01.01.2010 → ...
Executive Board of the Programme in East Central European, Balkan and Baltic Studies (ECEBB), Katja Creutz, 01.01.2010 → ..., Finland
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Tuomas Forsberg,
Advisory Board on Social Sciences and Humanities, Euroopan komissio, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
Aleksanteri-instituutin johtokunta, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Aleksanteri-instituutin johtokunta, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Aleksanteri-instituutin johtokunta, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Member, Ulkopolitiittinen instituutti, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2009
Board Member, Aleksanteri-instituutti, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2010
Consultant, Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Finland, Tuomas Forsberg, 11.11.2008
Expert, Maanpuolustusvaliokunnan tieteellinen neuvottelukunta, Tuomas Forsberg, 18.09.2008
Expert, Ministry of Defence, Tuomas Forsberg, 28.11.2008 → 09.01.2009
Expert, Ulkopolitiittinen instituutti, Tuomas Forsberg, 14.10.2008
Expert, Valkeakosken Pääkaupunkiseurakunnan tieteellinen neuvottelukunta, Tuomas Forsberg, 27.11.2008
Member, Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Finland, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.08.2008 → 28.02.2009
Expert, Eduskunnan puolustusvaliokunta, Tuomas Forsberg, 31.03.2009
Expert, Nordic Council Conservative Group, Tuomas Forsberg, 03.06.2010
Jäsen, Maanpuolustusvaliokunnan tieteellinen neuvottelukunta, Tuomas Forsberg, 2010

Lauri Hannikainen,
Member of the European Commission against Racism and Intolerance, Lauri Hannikainen, 2000

Johannes Klabbers,
Chair, presentation by Janice Boddy, Johannes Klabbers, 10.09.2007, Finland
Chair, presentation by Ole Spiermann, Johannes Klabbers, 09.11.2007, Finland
Expert opinion on Andreas Zimmermann, Johannes Klabbers, 26.01.2009, Germany
Memorial Judge, Telders Moot Court Competition, Johannes Klabbers, 01.04.2009, Netherlands

Martti Koskenniemi,
Finnish International Studies Association (FISA), Member of the board, Martti Koskenniemi, 1991 → ... Finland
Harvard Law School, European Law Research Center, Member of the Academic Council, Martti Koskenniemi, 1991 → ...
Tampere Peace Research Institute (TAPRI), International Advisory Council, Martti Koskenniemi, 1999 → ... Finland
Member of the United Nations’ Institute de droit international, Martti Koskenniemi, 2002 → 2006
Member of the Committee of Experts on the International Law of the Sea, Martti Koskenniemi, 2003 → ...
Member of the Conflict Management Initiative, Martti Koskenniemi, 2004 → ...
Chairman of the Study Group: Fragmentation of International Law, Martti Koskenniemi, 2004 → 2006
Harvard University: Institute for Global Law and Policy, member of advisory board, Martti Koskenniemi, 2008 → ...
International Court of Justice, Counsel of Finland in Advisory Opinion Concerning the Legality of the Unilateral Declaration of Independence of Kosovo (2009), Martti Koskenniemi, 2009

Päivi Leino-Sandberg
Alternate member of the Governing Council of the E.MA. Program in Human Rights and Democratization, Lido, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2001 → 2005
Consultancy work for the Office of President Alttisaari – Crisis Management Initiative, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2005
Member of the Board of the Erik Castrén Institute for International Law and Human Rights, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2005 → ...

Timo Makkonen
Deputy Member of the Equality Tribunal founded by the Finnish Government, Timo Makkonen, 2004 → 2008, Finland
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General Secretary for the Equality Committee founded by the Finnish Ministry of Justice, Timo Maikonen, 2009 → 2010, Finland

Jarna Maria Petman,
Member of the Executive Board of the Finnish International Studies Association, Jarna Maria Petman, 1995 → 2007, Finland
Chair of the Editorial Advisory Board of the Finnish Yearbook of International Law, Jarna Maria Petman, 2003 → ...
Member of the Executive Board of the European Society of International Law, Jarna Maria Petman, 05.2004 → 09.2008
Member of the Teaching Evaluation Committee for Tenure and Promotions, Faculty of Law, University of Helsinki, Jarna Maria Petman, 08.2004 → 12.2009, Finland

European Society of International Law, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
European-American Consortium for Legal Education (EACLE), Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
Helsingin yliopiston oikeustieteellisen tiedekunnan opetustaitotoimikunta, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Ius Gentium ry, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Kansainvälisten suhteiden tutkimuksen seura ry, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Member of the Executive Board of the Finnish League for Human Rights, Jarna Maria Petman, 04.2005 → 05.2008, Finland

Rot og litteratur: netværk i Norden, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005
Chair of the Working Group on Quality Assurance in Societal Interaction, Faculty of Law, University of Helsinki, Jarna Maria Petman, 05.2006 → 12.2007, Finland

European Society of International Law, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
European-American Consortium for Legal Education (EACLE), Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Helsingin yliopiston oikeustieteellisen tiedekunnan opetustaitotoimikunta, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Helsingin yliopiston oikeustieteellisen tiedekunnan yhteiskunnallisen vuoroavainten työryhmä, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Ius Gentium ry, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Kansainvälisten suhteiden tutkimuksen seura ry, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

Rot og litteratur: netværk i Norden, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Member of the Academic Council, Helsinki Law Review, Faculty of Law, University of Helsinki, Jarna Maria Petman, 2007 → ...
Member of the LL.M. Steering Committee, Faculty of Law, University of Helsinki, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.2007 → 08.2009, Finland
Member of the Scientific Advisory Board of the Centre for Human Rights of Persons with Disabilities, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.2007 → 31.2008, Finland

Jukka Siikala,
Member of the International Professoral Board, Globalism Research Centre, RMIT University, Melbourne, Jukka Siikala, 2002 → ...
Member of International Advisory Board, Local – Global Journal, Jukka Siikala, 2004 → ..., Australia
Globalism Research Institute RMIT University, Melbourne, Jukka Siikala, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Australia
Globalism Institute, RMIT University Melbourne, Jukka Siikala, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Australia

Pamela Slotte,
Member, Pamela Slotte, 2001 → ..., Finland
Deputy Board Member, Pamela Slotte, 2006 → ..., Finland
Member of the Advisory board, Pamela Slotte, 13.08.2009 → 31.05.2012, Finland

Teivo Teivainen,
Advisory Board Member, Teivo Teivainen, 2004 → ..., United States
International Political Economy Section of the ISA, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2006 → 31.03.2006, United States
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International Political Economy Section of the ISA, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, United States
International Studies Association, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2006 → 31.03.2006, United States
Network Institute for Global Democratization, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006
Peru Section of the Latin American Studies Association, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, United States

Reetta Toivanen ,
European Association for Social Anthropology, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Finnish Research Network on Ethnicity (ETMU), Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
International Human Rights Education Consortium, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Ius Gentium, Finnish International Law Association, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Poga language survival network, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
The Society for the Study of Ethnic Relations and International Migration, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland
Non-resident Senior Fellow, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2010 → ..., Germany

Membership or other role in public Finnish or international organization

Tobias Bräutigam
Internationalization group of Helsinki University, Tobias Bräutigam, 2007 → 2008, Finland

Tuomas Forsberg ,
Ulkosalla, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Crisis Management Initiative, ohjelmatyöryhmä, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Crisis Management Initiative, ohjelmatyöryhmä, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Ulkosalla ministeriöä STYX-johtoryhmä, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Ida Koivisto ,
Oikeusministeriö: Hallintolainkäyttötoimikunta ja sen alaiset työryhmät., Ida Koivisto, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Sivutoiminen sihteeri, hallintolainkäyttötoimikunta, oikeusministeriö, Ida Koivisto, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2010, Finland
Oikeusministeriö: Hallintolainkäyttötoimikunta ja sen alaiset työryhmät., Ida Koivisto, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Martti Koskenniemi ,
Counselor for the American Society of International Law, Martti Koskenniemi, 2000 → ...

Jarna Maria Petman ,
a consultant for the Ministry of Justice of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia (as funded by the Dutch Ministry for Foreign Affairs via the Ethiopia Project Coordination Office of the University of Amsterdam), Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Ethiopia
Member of the Working Group of Legal Experts on Criminalizing Torture (preparing the draft law), Ministry of Justice, Finland, Jarna Maria Petman, 14.06.2007 → 13.05.2008, Finland
Chair of the LL.M. Steering Committee, Faculty of Law, University of Helsinki, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.08.2009 → 01.01.2010, Finland
Legal Expert before the Constitutional Law Committee, Parliament of Finland, Jarna Maria Petman, 2009 → ..., Finland
Member of the European Committee of Social Rights, Council of Europe, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.02.2009 → ..., France

Teivo Teivainen ,
Maailman sosiaalifoorumin kansainvälinen neuvoosto, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008

Reetta Toivanen ,
Export panel supporting the mandate of United Nations Special Rapporteur on the protection and promotion of human rights while countering terrorism, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Finnish Ministry of Education, project on global education, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
International Organisation for Migration, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
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International Human Rights Education Consortium, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, United States
Ius Gentium Association, Finland, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland
The Irmgard Coninx Foundation, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Germany

Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation

Martin Björklund,
Gentium ry., Martin Björklund, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Tuomas Forsberg,
Euroopan turvallisuuden forumit, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Euroopan turvallisuuden forumit, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Haltituksen varapuheenjohtaja, Ulkopolitiikan tutkimusasiantuntija, Tuomas Forsberg, 2007 → 2009
Ulkopolitiikan tutkimusasiantuntija, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Rain Liivoja,
Member of the Board, Rain Liivoja, 20.10.2004 → 31.12.2010, Estonia

Jarna Maria Petman,
Ihmisoikeusliitto, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Ethiopia
Ihmisoikeusliitto, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland

Reetta Toivanen,
Irmgard Coninx Foundation, Reetta Toivanen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Other tasks of an expert in private sector

Tuomas Forsberg,
Expert, Centrum Balticum, Tuomas Forsberg, 17.04.2009
Expert, Kadettikunta, Suomen Reserviupeälaitos, Tuomas Forsberg, 05.03.2009
Expert, Vihreä liitto, Tuomas Forsberg, 27.02.2009

Johannes Klabbers,
The NIB in International Law, Johannes Klabbers, 14.05.2008, Finland

Participation in interview for written media

Martin Björklund,
Finsk-Ryska Handelskammaren, Martin Björklund, 11.10.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Tuomas Forsberg,
EU 25 -seminaari, Tuomas Forsberg, 11.05.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Euroopan turvallisuuden forumi, Tuomas Forsberg, 27.09.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Maanpuolustustiedotuslaitoksen suunnitteluperheen seminaari, Tuomas Forsberg, 11.05.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Ylen A-talk, Tuomas Forsberg, 08.12.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Eduskunnan kansalaisfoorumi, Tuomas Forsberg, 06.10.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Euroopan turvallisuuden forumi, Tuomas Forsberg, 11.05.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
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Helsingin Sanomat, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Helsingin sotaveteraanit ry., Tuomas Forsberg, 15.03.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kaleva, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Seura, Tuomas Forsberg, 10.11.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Suomen kuvalehti, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Turun Sanomat, Tuomas Forsberg, 27.11.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Yliopisto-lehti, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Atrian tulevaisuusseminaari, Tuomas Forsberg, 13.01.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Karjalan tulevaisuus, Etelä-Karjala insituutti, Lappeenranta, Tuomas Forsberg, 16.01.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Korkeaokulujen kansainvälisten asioiden hallinnon kevätpäivät, Lappeenranta, Tuomas Forsberg, 17.05.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Krisihallinnon johtaminen, Hamina, Tuomas Forsberg, 10.11.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kylmästä sodasta uuteen maailmanjärjestykseen, Kadettikunnan ja Reserviupseeriliiton 160-vuotisjuhileirin, Tuomas Forsberg, 30.03.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
ONKO EUROOPASSA TURVALLISTA? - Suomi ja EU:n turvallisuuspolitiikka, Pori, Tuomas Forsberg, 11.03.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Suomi suurvaltojen maailmassa, Ulkopoliittinen instituutti, Tuomas Forsberg, 02.03.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Tampereen Paasikivi-seura, Tuomas Forsberg, 27.04.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Turun Sanomat, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Ylen ykkösaamu, Tuomas Forsberg, 02.03.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kalevan ulkopoliittinen tietoisku, Tuomas Forsberg, 12.01.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kansallisen sivistystilong Eurooppa-akademia, Tuomas Forsberg, 06.05.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kauppalehti Press, Tuomas Forsberg, 12.05.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Keskisuomalainen, Tuomas Forsberg, 07.01.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Puolustussuurlaitoksen ydhistyksien kesäpäivä, Tuomas Forsberg, 23.08.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Seminari Ruoitin suurlähetystissä, Tuomas Forsberg, 26.09.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Turun Sanomat, Tuomas Forsberg, 28.02.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Turun Sanomat, Tuomas Forsberg, 21.09.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Valtio viehalla, Yle, Tuomas Forsberg, 16.11.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Ykkösaamu/ yle, Tuomas Forsberg, 12.04.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Ykkösaamu/ yle, Tuomas Forsberg, 30.04.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Asiantuntija-haastattelu, Die Zeit, Tuomas Forsberg, 15.08.2008
Asiantuntija-haastattelu, Hämeen Sanomat, Tuomas Forsberg, 07.12.2008
Asiantuntija-haastattelu, Ilta-Sanomat, Tuomas Forsberg, 23.08.2008
Asiantuntija-haastattelu, Ulkopoliittika-ilehti, Tuomas Forsberg, 30.04.2009

Lauri Holappa,
Vihreä lanka, ”Lisää velkaa ja menoksi?”, Lauri Holappa, 16.12.2010, Finland
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

ECI/Koskenniemi M

Johannes Klabbers,
Helsingin Sanomain Vioraskynä, Johannes Klabbers, 19.02.2003 → 31.12.2011, Germany
Interviewed by Professor Stephen McBride, Johannes Klabbers, 02.05.2008, Switzerland
Newspaper Interview on Piracy and Somalia, Johannes Klabbers, 29.04.2009
Helsingan Sanomat, on WikiLeaks and war crimes in Afghanistan, Johannes Klabbers, 26.07.2010, Finland
Helsingin Sanomat, on the ICJ’s Kosovo Opinion, Johannes Klabbers, 22.07.2010, Finland

Päivi Leino-Sandberg
One of three debaters in “Railoja maailmankartalla”, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2005
"Sanonista on matkaa tekoihin", interview on the doctoral thesis, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2005
"Power to Speak" interview by Virve Pohjanpalo, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2005, Finland
"Valta puhua", interview by Virve Pohjanpalo, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2005, Finland
"Doddstraffink område", Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 26.08.2006 → 27.08.2006
Uusi virasto suojelee perusoikeuksia", interview by Katja Palhus, Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 2007, Finland
"EU:n uudistettu perussopimus lisää parlamentin valtaa", Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 27.06.2007, Finland
"Finland ber Tyskland om åländsk EU-plats", Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 14.07.2007
"Hon kan EU-fördraget", Päivi Leino-Sandberg, 04.10.2007, Finland

Jarna Maria Petman,
Lakimiesuutiset, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.1998 → 31.12.2011, Netherlands
Suomen lehtotutkimus, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Ikäihmisten yliopisto- Ikäihmisten tiedeliikko tiedekeskus Heurekassa, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Turun Sanomat, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2011, Finland
360 grader (FST), Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2011, Ethiopia
DocPoint - Helsingin dokumentelukufestivaali, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2011, Ethiopia
Yliopistasilehti, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2011, Ethiopia
Amnesty, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Ethiopia
Helsingin Sanomat, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Ethiopia

Interview: Historian paino /The weight of history, Jarna Maria Petman, 18.04.2006, Finland
Interview: Huippututkimuksele tukeva asema /A firm position for top-level research], Jarna Maria Petman, 07.2006
Interview: Tähtäimessä laittomat vihollistaistelijat /Taking a sight at unlawful enemy combatants, Jarna Maria Petman, 27.11.2006, Finland

Interview: Vaarallinen viesti /Dangerous message, Jarna Maria Petman, 18.12.2006

Lakimiesuutiset, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.07.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Rauhan Puolesta, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.04.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

ECI/Koskenniemi M

Interview: Awarded: Jarna Petman: The Trouble with Human Rights, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.07.2007, Finland
Interview: Kansainvälisesti kateederilla /An international lectern, Jarna Maria Petman, 30.01.2007, Finland
Interview: Kidutuksen kriminalisoimista /Criminalizing torture, Jarna Maria Petman, 11.07.2007, Finland
Interview: Kidutus puuttuu Suomen rikoslaista /Torture missing from the Finnish criminal code, Jarna Maria Petman, 04.04.2007, Finland
Interview: Vuoden opettaja /Teacher of the Year, Jarna Maria Petman, 04.2007, Finland
Interview: Politiikkaa naisten oikeuksilla /Playing politics with women’s rights, Jarna Maria Petman, 24.11.2009, Finland

Teivo Teivainen

Aamutelevisio, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2002 → 31.12.2011, Ecuador
Caros Amigos, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2002 → 31.12.2011, Ecuador
Die Zeit, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2002 → 31.12.2011, Ecuador
Helsingin Sanomat, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Helsingin Sanomat, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2002 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kansan uutisten vikkoilehti, Teivo Teivainen, 01.01.2002 → 31.12.2011, Ecuador
Amnesty vuosikokouksen vierailuasitelmä, Teivo Teivainen, 27.09.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Artikkeli Helsingin Sanomissa, Teivo Teivainen, 06.06.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Esiintymä Maanantai-kubilla, Teivo Teivainen, 04.02.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Esiinty Helsingin Sanomissa, Teivo Teivainen, 06.07.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Haastattelu (kansikuvaustu) Ajjassa-lehteen (Nordsee asialista), Teivo Teivainen, 05.06.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Haastattelu Amnestystä-lehteen, Teivo Teivainen, 15.06.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Haastattelu Amnestystä-lehteen, Teivo Teivainen, 15.06.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Haastattelu Helsingin Sanomissa, Teivo Teivainen, 09.03.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Haastattelu Helsingin Sanomissa, Teivo Teivainen, 08.08.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Haastattelu Kansan Uutisissa, Teivo Teivainen, 08.07.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Haastattelu Kouvolan Sanomissa, Teivo Teivainen, 09.08.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
KU:n viikkoilehteessä, Teivo Teivainen, 13.06.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kansan uutisten vikkoilehdessä haastattelu, Teivo Teivainen, 07.11.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kommentti Helsingin Sanomissa, Teivo Teivainen, 13.11.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Kommentti Helsingin Sanomissa, Teivo Teivainen, 05.09.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
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STTK:n AY-seminaari, Teivo Teivainen, 27.08.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Seminari Perunan historiasta, Teivo Teivainen, 02.10.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Reetta Toivanen,
Hufvudstadsbladet, Reetta Toivanen, 23.09.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Participation in radio programme

Lauri Holappa,
Markku Heikkinen, Yle Radio 1, Lauri Holappa, 18.05.2010, Finland
Taustapeili, Yle Radio Suomi, Lauri Holappa, 06.05.2010, Finland

Johannes Klabbers,
Radio Interview, on prosecuting George W. Bush, Johannes Klabbers, 21.01.2009

Jarna Maria Petman,
Pannunmet (radio X3M/Extrem), Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Ethiopia
Radio Interview: Om ondskan /On evil, Jarna Maria Petman, 20.10.2005, Finland
Radio Interview: YK:n ruokaa öljystä -ohjelman väärinkäytöstä /On the UN Food-for-Oil Programme abuses, Jarna Maria Petman, 19.10.2005, Finland
YLE-radioudsset, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Ethiopia
Aamun peli, YLE Radio 1 ja YLE Radio Suomi, Jarna Maria Petman, 22.08.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Maailmanpolitiikan aikapäivä, YLE Radio 1 ja YLE Radio Suomi, Jarna Maria Petman, 08.01.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Nyhet/aktuellt, FST, Radio Vega, Jarna Maria Petman, 30.06.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Radio Interview (live): Rankaisemattomuuden kulttuuri ja Afrikka /The culture of impunity and Africa, Jarna Maria Petman, 27.06.2006
Radio Interview (live): Tuoko YK:n päätöslauselma rauhan Lähi-Itälän? /Will the UN resolution bring peace to the Middle East?, Jarna Maria Petman, 09.08.2006, Finland
Radio Interview: Onko terroristista epäillyllä ihmisoikeuksia? /Do terrorist suspects have human rights?, Jarna Maria Petman, 08.01.2006, Finland
Radio Interview: Sotarikoksia Libanonin konfliktissa? /War crimes in the Lebanon conflict?, Jarna Maria Petman, 22.08.2006, Finland
Radio Interview: U.S. Court Gives New Support To Geneva Conventions, Jarna Maria Petman, 30.06.2006
Radio Interview: Vad säger folkrätten om konflikten i Gaza? /What does international law say about the conflict in Gaza?, Jarna Maria Petman, 30.06.2006, Finland
Ykkösaamu, YLE Radio 1 ja YLE Radio Suomi (suora /live), Jarna Maria Petman, 09.08.2006, Finland
Ykkösaamu, YLE Radio 1 ja YLE Radio Suomi (suora /live), Jarna Maria Petman, 22.08.2006, Finland
Radio Interview: Om Carla Del Pontes karriär /On the career of Carla Del Ponte, Jarna Maria Petman, 13.12.2007, Finland
Radio Interview (live): Karadžićin oikeudenkäynti alkaa Haagissa /The Karadžić trial begins at The Hague, Jarna Maria Petman, 26.10.2009, Finland
Radio Interview: Guantánamosta, terrorismista ja kansainvälisestä oikeudesta /On Guantánamo, terrorism and international law, Jarna Maria Petman, 13.02.2009, Finland
Jukka Siikala,
Radiohaastattelu, Jukka Siikala, 17.11.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Teivo Teivainen,
Radiohaastattelu/Oínhiradio, Teivo Teivainen, 30.08.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Ruoottikielisten radio-uutisten haastattelu, Teivo Teivainen, 06.10.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
ECI/Koskenniemi M

Suomenkielisten radio-uutisten haastattelu, Teivo Teivainen, 10.10.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Participation in TV programme

Katja Creutz,
The International Criminal Court's Arrest Warrant on Sudan's President Al Bashir, Katja Creutz, 05.03.2009

Tuomas Forsberg,
MTV3 Huomenta Suomi, Tuomas Forsberg, 22.09.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
MTV3 Uutiset, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
TV1 Aamu-iv, Tuomas Forsberg, 19.09.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Tv-Nytt, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Yle TV1 Aamu-iv, Tuomas Forsberg, 25.08.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Yle TV1 Uutiset, Tuomas Forsberg, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Huomenta Suomi, MTV3, Tuomas Forsberg, 25.08.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Ylen aamu-iv, Tuomas Forsberg, 29.11.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Asiantuntijahaastattelu, MTV3 Huomenta Suomi, Tuomas Forsberg, 15.08.2008
Asiantuntijahaastattelu, Yleisradio, aamu-iv, Tuomas Forsberg, 03.04.2009

Johannes Klabbers,
Interview on Kosovo and self-determination, Johannes Klabbers, 2007
MTV3, on WikiLeaks and war crimes in Afghanistan, Johannes Klabbers, 27.07.2010, Finland
YLE, on WikiLeaks and war crimes in Afghanistan, Johannes Klabbers, 27.07.2010, Finland

Jarna Maria Petman,
Aamu-TV (suora), Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Ethiopia
TV Interview (live): Irak pottiopistessa: kansa äänesti perustuslaista, Saddam-oikeudenkäynti alkamassa /Focus on Iraq: the people voted on the Constitution, Saddam’s trial to start, Jarna Maria Petman, 17.10.2005, Finland
TV Interview (live): Saddam Husseinin oikeuskäytelyä lykättiin — kurdit raivoissaan /The Saddam Hussein Trial Adjourned — Kurds are enraged, Jarna Maria Petman, 19.10.2005, Finland
TV Interview, Herculesplan i Finland 2003 /A Hercules Plane in Finland 2003, Jarna Maria Petman, 23.11.2005, Finland
TV-nytt, Jarna Maria Petman, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2011, Ethiopia
OB5, FST (FST5 och YLE TV2), Jarna Maria Petman, 15.12.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
TV Interview (live): Guantanamo 4 vuotta /Guantanamo 4 years, Jarna Maria Petman, 12.01.2006, Finland
TV Interview: Hur kan man ställa tyranner och diktatorer inför rätta? /How can tyrants and dictators be brought to justice?, Jarna Maria Petman, 15.12.2006, Finland
Ykkösen Aamu-TV (suora), Jarna Maria Petman, 12.01.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland
TV Interview (live): Kansannurhaajia Suomeen käräjille /Genocide suspects to be tried in Finland, Jarna Maria Petman, 14.04.2007, Finland
TV Interview (live): Miten jakaa oikautta kansannurhasta? /How to distribute justice for genocide, Jarna Maria Petman, 02.06.2009, Finland
TV Interview: Tanken om Högläsaren /Thoughts on The Reader, Jarna Maria Petman, 21.03.2009, Finland

Teivo Teivainen,
A-Studion haastattelu (Tv), Teivo Teivainen, 09.10.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Dokumentti Teivo Teivaisesta Teema-kanavalla (Tv), Teivo Teivainen, 13.10.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
TV uutisten haastattelu (Tv Nytt), Teivo Teivainen, 09.10.2008 → 31.12.2011, Finland
ECI/Koskenniemi M

**Participation in interview for web based media**

Lauri Holappa,
Taloussanomat, "Miksi Suomi pelkää velkaa?", Lauri Holappa, 06.08.2010, Finland

Johannes Klabbers,
Blog on 'Constitutionalization and International Law-making' in <em>EJIL Talk!</em>, Johannes Klabbers, 13.07.2010

Blog on 'The Genre of Constitutionalization' in <em>EJIL Talk!</em>, Johannes Klabbers, 10.08.2010

UNU Video Interviews, on global governance and international organizations, Johannes Klabbers, 16.06.2010
Appendix B.b.

Maria Forsman, Chief Information Specialist, DSocSc
Helsinki University Library 7.7.2011

The bibliometric analyses by Helsinki University Library (HULib)

Background: The bibliometric analyses – especially citation analyses – have raised a lot of discussion and critics among researchers in social sciences and humanities. Researchers view that bibliometric analyses are often unfair to these fields of sciences because they do not give a good enough picture of the publishing. Citation databases – Web of Science and Scopus – cover only weakly the main publications in these fields. Also, in humanities and social sciences monograph is still the main form of publishing, and it does not include in these article databases.

At the University of Helsinki, the above mentioned concerns have been taken into account in the evaluation. The Evaluation Office has ordered analyses from the Helsinki University Library (HULib) for the participating researcher communities that are weakly represented in Web of Science. The database for the HULib analyses is TUHAT (https://tuhat.halvi.helsinki.fi/portal/en/) including all the publications that the researchers have considered important.

Based on this data, information specialists at HULib have carried out the following analyses:

1) Number of authors/publication/year as a table; a pie of authors/publication in the period 2005-2010;
2) Language of publication/year; a pie of language of publication in the period 2005-2010;
3) Articles/journal/year; journals have been compared by ISSN with the Norwegian, Australian and ERIH (2007-2008) journal ranking lists; number of articles in ranked journals;
4) Publisher/monograph type (according to TUHAT database); monographs have been compared with the Norwegian publisher ranking list. According to this, it has been counted how many monographs are published by a leading scientific publisher (2) or a scientific publisher (1).
5) Conference publications (from TUHAT database) especially in computer sciences; compared with the Australian conference ranking list.

Where relevant, some additional analyses and notes concerning the publication culture of a scientific field have been added. Overall, these analyses complement the other evaluation material and lists of the publications of the participating researcher communities.

If the publications of the RCs were less than 50 or and the internal coverage less than 40 percentage, the WoS analyses were considered not reliable. These RCs were 58 altogether.

In addition, both Leiden and Library analyses were done to the RCs if WoS analyses covered less than 40 per cent of the peer review (A+C) publications of the RC. These RCs were 8 altogether.

The appendix includes the analyses of the RC under discussion.
Analysis of publications by Helsinki University Library – 66 RCs altogether

**Biological, Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences**
Luukkanen, Olavi – VITRI
Valsta, Lauri – SUVALUE

**Natural Sciences**
Abrahamsson, Pekka – SOFTSYS
Kangasharju, Jussi – NODES
Ukkonen, Esko – ALKO
Väänänen, Jouko – HLG

**Humanities**
Aejmelaeus, Anneli – CSTT
Anttonen, Pertti – CMVG
Dunderberg, Ismo – FC
Havu, Eva – CoCoLaC
Heikkiälä, Markku – RCSP
Heinämäa, Sara – SHC
Henriksson, Markku – CITA
Janhunen, Juha – LDHFTA
Kajava Mika, – AMNE
Klippi, Anu – Interaction
Knuutila, Simo – PPMP
Koskenniemi, Kimmo – BAULT
Lauha, Aila – CECH
Lavento, Mika – ARCH-HU
Lukkarinen, Ville – AHCI
Lyytikäinen, Pirjo – GLW
Mauranen, Anna – LFP
Meinander, Henrik – HIST
Nevalainen, Terttu – VARIENG
Pettersson, Bo – ILLC
Puikkonen, Tuja – Gender Studies
Pyrhönen, Heta – ART
Ruokanen, Miikka – RELDIAL
Saarinen, Risto – RELSOC
Sandu, Gabriel – LMPS
Tarasti, Eero – MusSig
Vehmas-Lehto, Inkeri – TraST
Östman, Jan-Ola – LMS

**Social Sciences**
Airaksinen, Timo – PPH
Engeström, Yrjö – CRADLE
Granberg, Leo – TRANSRURBAN
Haila, Anne – Sociopolis
Hautamäki, Jarkko – CEA
Heinonen, Visa – KUMU
Helén, Ilpo – STS
Hukkinen, Janne – GENU
Jallinoja, Riitta – SBII
Kaartinen, Timo – SCA
Kettunen, Pauli – NordSoc
Kivinen, Markku – FCRES
Koponen, Juhani – DEVERELE
Koskenniemi, Martti – ECI
Kullti, Klaus – EAT
Laherma, Elina – KUFE
Lanne, Markku – TSEM
Lavonen, Jari – RCMSER
Lehtonen, Risto – SocStats
Lindblom-Ylänne, Sari – EdPsychHE
Nieminen, Hannu – MECOL
Nuoito, Kimmo – Law
Nyman, Göte – METEORI
Ollikainen, Markku – ENFIFO
Pirttilä-Backman, Anna-Maija – DYNASOBIC
Rahkonen, Keijo – CulCap
Roos, J P – HELPS
Simola, Hannu – SOCE-DGI
Sulkunen, Pekka – PosPus
Sumelius, John – AG ECON
Vaattovaara, Mari – STRUTSI
Vainio, Martti – SigMe

The next appendix includes the analyses of the RC under discussion.
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

PUBLICATION DATA 2005-2010

MK/PJK/A-KH

RC/ECI/ Koskenniemi M

Category 1. The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.

Number of authors in publications/year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of authors</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The publications have mostly only one author (78%).
### Language of publication / Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>en_GB</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fi_FI</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sv_SE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>es_ES</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>et_EE</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de_DE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>it_IT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fr_FR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nl_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>und</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>88</strong></td>
<td><strong>81</strong></td>
<td><strong>96</strong></td>
<td><strong>91</strong></td>
<td><strong>93</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>549</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The language of publications is mostly English (72%), 16% of publications are in Finnish.

Journal / Year / Total

Most of the publications have published in *International organizations law review* (ERA ranked C) and *Finnish yearbook of international law* (Norway ranked 1, ERA ranked C). The third largest amount of publications was found in *Suomen Antropologi* (Norway ranked 1, ERA ranked B) and *European Journal of International Law*. 11 articles were published in *Lakimies* and *Oikeus*, which were not ranked in the lists but are two leading Finnish law journals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International organizations law review.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finnish yearbook of international law</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suomen Antropologi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of International Law</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingin Sanomat</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakimies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language of Publications 2005-2010

- en_GB 72%
- fi_FI 16%
- sv_SE 4%
- de_DE 2%
- et_EE 2%
- fr_FR 0%
- nl_NL 0%
- und 0%
- es_ES 3%
- it_IT 1%
- fr_FR 0%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voima.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No foundations : journal of extreme legal positivism.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oikeus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yliopisto : Helsingin yliopiston tiedelehti</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finsk Tidskrift</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Relations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic Journal of International Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of European Community and international environmental law (RECIEL)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hufvudstadsbladet</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ihmisoikeusraportti</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Politics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of international criminal justice.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leiden Journal of International Law</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Õiguskeel : valitsuse õigusterminoloogiakomisjonibületään</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arbitration International</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge review of international affairs.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Policy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Market Law Review</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperation and Conflict</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of International Relations</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF Network</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Governance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Law Books</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haaste</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki Review of Global Governance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Net Reviews</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Law – Revista Colombiana de Derecho Internacional</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Peacekeeping</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosmopolis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passerelles entre le commerce et le developpement durable</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politiikka</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of International Studies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revista de Derecho Público</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revista internacional de pensamiento politico</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security dialogue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical inquiries in law</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiede &amp; edistys</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tieteessä tapahtuu</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeitschrift für Gemeinschaftsprivatrecht</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uluslararası suçlar ve tarih</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acta Societatis Martensis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Journal of Criminology and Justice Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aleksanteri series</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Ethnologist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amnesty : Suomen osaston jäsenlehti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amnesty tiedote</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropology and Humanism</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthrophos</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anuario Mexicano de Derecho Internacional</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA Bulletin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrian Review of International and European Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic Yearbook of International Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body &amp; Society</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British yearbook of international law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Journal of Economics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Journal of Political Science</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital &amp; Class</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon and Climate Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constellations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contemporary Pacific</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contemporary Political Theory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Law Forum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Studies on Terrorism</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deutsches Verwaltungsblatt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development dialogue : a journal of international development cooperation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Die öffentliche Verwaltung</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodecim</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECM I Journal on Ethnopolitics and Minority Issues in Europe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy and Society</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edinburgh Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsa-news : Elsa Helsinki ry:n jäsenlehti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental research letters</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnobiology Letters</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etusivu opetusministeriön verkolehti.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUI Working Papers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurooppatiedotuksen tietosivut</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europa ethnica : Zeitschrift der Föderalistischen Union</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Issues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>europäischer Volksgruppen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe - Asia Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Human Rights Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European journal of legal studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Law Journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European law review.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Times</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fordham Intellectual Property, Media &amp; Entertainment Law Journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framework : the finnish art review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Författningsrättslig tidskrift.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German law journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Yearbook of International Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Media and Communication</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Society</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glocal Revue Africaine sur le Commerce et le Développement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallinto : Valtiovarainministeriön ja sisäasiainministeriön julkaisu.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>helsinki.fi - vieraskynä</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historia y Grafia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human rights law review.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Rights Quarterly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International and Comparative Law Quarterly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Arbitration Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Community Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal for Law and Policy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International political sociology</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Review of Sociology</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Studies Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International theory : a journal of international politics, law and philosophy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Trade Law and Regulation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Journal of Anthropology</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ius gentium.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JILIR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Cold War Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Communist Studies and Transition Politics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Conflict and Security Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Developing Societies (Print Edition)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Development Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Genocide Research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Homosexuality</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Intervention and Statebuilding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Legal Education</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Linguistic Anthropology</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of the history of international law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of World Trade</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulttuurintutkimus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Källan : Svenska litteraturföreningen</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakimiesuutiset</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law and Humanities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maanpuolustus : turvallisuuspoliitissia tiedonantoja</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Journal of International Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melbourne Journal of International Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millennium : journal of international studies.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscelanea poliana - Instituto de Estudios Filosóficos Leonardo Polo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naistutkimus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Political Economy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York University Journal of International Law and Politics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter of the International Political Economy section of the International Studies Association</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ord &amp; Bild</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papeles de relaciones ecosociales y cambio global.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partecipazione e Conflitto</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peruste</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P—lémés: Rivista semestrale di diritto, politica e cultura</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political and Legal Anthropology Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political Science Quarterly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIV-WAR Report - European Commission</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion and Human Rights</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion and Society: Advances in Research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research in Political Economy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of Radical Political Economics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revista Acodal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revista de Universidad y Sociedad del Conocimiento</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revue Belge de Droit International</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigikogu Toimetised.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select proceedings of the European Society of International Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studia Theologica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk Transnational Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Journal ranking (Norway, Australia, ERIH)

Norway ranking
Level 2 = highest scientific, Level 1 = scientific

Australian ranking
A*

Typically an A* journal would be one of the best in its field or subfield in which to publish and would typically cover the entire field/subfield. Virtually all papers they publish will be of very high quality. These are journals where most of the work is important (it will really shape the field) and where researchers boast about getting accepted. Acceptance rates would typically be low and the editorial board would be dominated by field leaders, including many from top institutions.

A

The majority of papers in a Tier A journal will be of very high quality. Publishing in an A journal would enhance the author’s standing, showing they have real engagement with the global research community and that they have something to say about problems of some significance. Typical signs of an A journal are lowish acceptance rates and an editorial board which includes a reasonable fraction of well known researchers from top institutions.

B

Tier B covers journals with a solid, though not outstanding, reputation. Generally, in a Tier B journal, one would expect only a few papers of very high quality. They are often important outlets for the work of PhD students and early career researchers. Typical examples would be regional journals with high acceptance rates, and editorial boards that have few leading researchers from top international institutions.
Tier C includes quality, peer reviewed, journals that do not meet the criteria of the higher tiers.

**ERIH ranking 2007-2008**

Purpose of The European Reference Index for the Humanities (ERIH) is to develop and to maintain an impact assessment tool for European research journals. Journal classification processes are conducted by discipline-specific expert panels. In the ERIH 2007 Initial List there are three categories:

A = international publications, both European and non-European, with high visibility and influence among researchers in the various research domains in different countries, regularly cited all over the world.

B = international publications, both European and non-European, with significant visibility and influence in the various research domains in different countries.

C = European publications with a recognized scholarly significance among researchers in the respective research domains in a particular readership group in Europe; occasionally cited outside the publishing country, though the main target group is the domestic academic community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International organizations law review</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finnish yearbook of international law</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suomen Antropologi</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of International Law</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakimies</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Relations</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic Journal of International Law</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of European</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Name</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community and international environmental law (RECIEL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Politics</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of international criminal justice.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leiden Journal of International Law</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arbitration International</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge review of international affairs.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Policy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Market Law Review</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperation and Conflict</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of International Relations</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Governance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Peacekeeping</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security dialogue.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical inquiries in law</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acta Societatis Martensis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Journal of Criminology and Justice Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Ethnologist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropology and Humanism</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropos</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anuario Mexicano de Derecho Internacional</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrian Review of International and European Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body &amp; Society</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British yearbook of international law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Journal of Economics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Journal of Political Science</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital &amp; Class</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon and Climate Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constellations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Name</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contemporary Pacific</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contemporary Political Theory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Law Forum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Studies on Terrorism</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECM I Journal on Ethnopolitics and Minority Issues in Europe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy and Society</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edinburgh Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental research letters</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe - Asia Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Human Rights Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Law Journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European law review.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fordham Intellectual Property, Media &amp; Entertainment Law Journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Förvaltningsrättslig tidsskrift</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German law journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Yearbook of International Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Media and Communication</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Society</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human rights law review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Rights Quarterly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International and Comparative Law Quarterly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Arbitration Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Community Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International political sociology</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Review of Sociology</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Studies Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International theory : a journal of international politics, law and philosophy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Trade Law and Regulation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Journal of Anthropology</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Title</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Cold War Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Communist Studies and Transition Politics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Conflict and Security Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Development Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Genocide Research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Homosexuality</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Intervention and Statebuilding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Legal Education</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Linguistic Anthropology</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of the history of international law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of World Trade</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulttuurintutkimus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melbourne Journal of International Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millennium: Journal of international studies.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naistutkimus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Political Economy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York University Journal of International Law and Politics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>A*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ord &amp; Bild</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political and Legal Anthropology Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political Science Quarterly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIV-WAR Report - European Commission</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion and Human Rights</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research in Political Economy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of Radical Political Economics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revue Belge de Droit International</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studia Theologica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk Transnational Law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Journal of World Investment &amp; Trade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third World Quarterly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transnational Dispute Management</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US - China Law Review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin international law journal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearbook of European law</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This title was removed from the table (a book, not a journal):

The Wisdom of Bat Sheva: The Dr. Beth Samuels Memorial Volume

### Amount of ranked articles (Norway)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Journal articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Amount of ranked articles (Australian)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Journal articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level A*</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level A</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level B</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level C</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Book publishers

**Publisher ranking (based on Norwegian ranking list)**

2 = leading scientific  
1 = scientific  
no = non-scientific or not ranked
C1 Published scientific monograph (22)
C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal (17)
D5 Text book or professional handbook or guidebook or dictionary (2)
E2 Popular monograph (2)

16 books of 43 have been published by a high ranked leading scientific publisher, 4 by a ranked scientific publisher.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publisher</th>
<th>c1_scientific_monograph</th>
<th>c2_editored_book_compilation_conference_proceeding_special_issue_of_journal</th>
<th>d5_textbook_professional_handbook_guidebook_dictionary</th>
<th>e2_popular_monograph</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
<th>Publisher ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brill</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erik Castren Institute</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erik Castren Institute of International Law and Human Rights</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hakapaino Oy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARTINUS NIJHOFF</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Erik Castren Institute Research Reports</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Markaz al-Qaumi?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
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