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Foreword

The evaluation of research and doctoral training is being carried out in the years 2010–2012 and will end in 2012. The steering group appointed by the Rector in January 2010 set the conditions for participating in the evaluation and prepared the Terms of Reference to present the evaluation procedure and criteria. The publications and other scientific activities included in the evaluation covered the years 2005–2010.

The participating unit in the evaluation was defined as a Researcher Community (RC). To obtain a critical mass with university-level impact, the number of members was set to range from 20 to 120. The RCs were required to contain researchers in all stages of their research career, from doctoral students to principal investigators (PIs). All in all, 136 Researcher Communities participated in this voluntary evaluation, 5857 persons in total, of whom 1131 were principal investigators. PIs were allowed to participate in two communities in certain cases, and 72 of them used this opportunity and participated in two RCs.

This evaluation enabled researchers to define RCs from the “bottom up” and across disciplines. The aim of the evaluation was not to assess individual performance but a community with shared aims and researcher-training activities. The RCs were able to choose among five different categories that characterised the status and main aims of their research. The steering group considered the process of applying to participate in the evaluation to be important, which lead to the establishment of these categories. In addition, providing a service for the RCs to enable them to benchmark their research at the global level was a main goal of the evaluation.

The data for the evaluation consisted of the RCs’ answers to evaluation questions on supplied e-forms and a compilation extracted from the TUHAT – Research Information System (RIS) on 12 April 2011. The compilation covered scientific and other publications as well as certain areas of scientific activities. During the process, the RCs were asked to check the list of publications and other scientific activities and make corrections if needed. These TUHAT compilations are public and available on the evaluation project sites of each RC in the TUHAT-RIS.

In addition to the e-form and TUHAT compilation, University of Leiden (CWTS) carried out bibliometric analyses from the articles included in the Web of Science (WoS). This was done on University and RC levels. In cases where the publication forums of the RC were clearly not represented by the WoS data, the Library of the University of Helsinki conducted a separate analysis of the publications. This was done for 66 RCs representing the humanities and social sciences.

The evaluation office also carried out an enquiry targeted to the supervisors and PhD candidates about the organisation of doctoral studies at the University of Helsinki. This and other documents describing the University and the Finnish higher education system were provided to the panellists.

The panel feedback for each RC is unique and presented as an entity. The first collective evaluation reports available for the whole panel were prepared in July–August 2011. The reports were accessible to all panel members via the electronic evaluation platform in August. Scoring from 1 to 5 was used to complement written feedback in association with evaluation questions 1–4 (scientific focus and quality, doctoral training, societal impact, cooperation) and in addition to the category evaluating the fitness for participation in the evaluation. Panellists used the international level as a point of comparison in the evaluation. Scoring was not expected to go along with a preset deviation.

Each of the draft reports were discussed and dealt with by the panel in meetings in Helsinki (from 11 September to 13 September or from 18 September to 20 September 2011). In these meetings the panels also examined the deviations among the scores and finalised the draft reports together.

The current RC-specific report deals shortly with the background of the evaluation and the terms of participation. The main evaluation feedback is provided in the evaluation report, organised according to the evaluation questions. The original material provided by the RCs for the panellists has been attached to these documents.
On behalf of the evaluation steering group and office, I sincerely wish to thank you warmly for your participation in this evaluation. The effort you made in submitting the data to TUHAT-RIS is gratefully acknowledged by the University. We wish that you find this panel feedback useful in many ways. The bibliometric profiles may open a new view on your publication forums and provide a perspective for discussion on your choice of forums. We especially hope that this evaluation report will help you in setting the future goals of your research.

Johanna Björkroth  
Vice-Rector  
Chair of the Steering Group of the Evaluation
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1 Introduction to the Evaluation

1.1 RC-specific evaluation reports

The participants in the evaluation of research and doctoral training were Researcher Communities (hereafter referred to as the RC). The RC refers to the group of researchers who registered together in the evaluation of their research and doctoral training. Preconditions in forming RCs were stated in the Guidelines for the Participating Researcher Communities. The RCs defined themselves whether their compositions should be considered well-established or new.

It is essential to emphasise that the evaluation combines both meta-evaluation and traditional research assessment exercise and its focus is both on the research outcomes and procedures associated with research and doctoral training. The approach to the evaluation is enhancement-led where self-evaluation constituted the main information. The answers to the evaluation questions formed together with the information of publications and other scientific activities an entity that was to be reviewed as a whole.

The present evaluation recognizes and justifies the diversity of research practices and publication traditions. Traditional Research Assessment Exercises do not necessarily value high quality research with low volumes or research distinct from mainstream research. It is challenging to expose the diversity of research to fair comparison. To understand the essence of different research practices and to do justice to their diversity was one of the main challenges of the present evaluation method. Understanding the divergent starting points of the RCs demanded sensitivity from the evaluators.

1.2 Aims and objectives in the evaluation

The aims of the evaluation are as follows:

- to improve the level of research and doctoral training at the University of Helsinki and to raise their international profile in accordance with the University’s strategic policies. The improvement of doctoral training should be compared to the University's policy.4
- to enhance the research conducted at the University by taking into account the diversity, originality, multidisciplinary nature, success and field-specificity,
- to recognize the conditions and prerequisites under which excellent, original and high-impact research is carried out,
- to offer the academic community the opportunity to receive topical and versatile international peer feedback,
- to better recognize the University’s research potential.
- to exploit the University's TUHAT research information system to enable transparency of publishing activities and in the production of reliable, comparable data.

1.3 Evaluation method

The evaluation can be considered as an enhancement-led evaluation. Instead of ranking, the main aim is to provide useful information for the enhancement of research and doctoral training of the participating RCs. The comparison should take into account each field of science and acknowledge their special character.

---

3 The panellists did not read research reports or abstracts but instead, they evaluated answers to the evaluation questions, tables and compilations of publications, other scientific activities, bibliometrics or comparable analyses.
4 Policies on doctoral degrees and other postgraduate degrees at the University of Helsinki.
The comparison produced information about the present status and factors that have lead to success. Also challenges in the operations and outcomes were recognized.

The evaluation approach has been designed to recognize better the significance and specific nature of researcher communities and research areas in the multidisciplinary top-level university. Furthermore, one of the aims of the evaluation is to bring to light those evaluation aspects that differ from the prevalent ones. Thus the views of various fields of research can be described and research arising from various starting points understood better. The doctoral training is integrated into the evaluation as a natural component related to research. Operational processes of doctoral training are being examined in the evaluation.

**Five stages of the evaluation method were:**
1. Registration – Stage 1
2. Self-evaluation – Stage 2
3. TUHAT\(^5\) compilations on publications and other scientific activities\(^6\)
4. External evaluation
5. Public reporting

### 1.4 Implementation of the external evaluation

**Five Evaluation Panels**
Five evaluation panels consisted of independent, renowned and highly respected experts. The main domains of the panels are:
1. biological, agricultural and veterinary sciences
2. medicine, biomedicine and health sciences
3. natural sciences
4. humanities
5. social sciences

The University invited 10 renowned scientists to act as chairs or vice-chairs of the five panels based on the suggestions of faculties and independent institutes. Besides leading the work of the panel, an additional role of the chairs was to discuss with other panel chairs in order to adopt a broadly similar approach. The panel chairs and vice-chairs had a pre-meeting on 27 May 2011 in Amsterdam.

The panel compositions were nominated by the Rector of the University 27 April 2011. The participating RCs suggested the panel members. The total number of panel members was 50. The reason for a smaller number of panelists as compared to the previous evaluations was the character of the evaluation as a meta-evaluation. The panelists did not read research reports or abstracts but instead, they evaluated answers to the evaluation questions, tables and compilations of publications, other scientific activities, bibliometrics and comparable analyses.

The panel meetings were held in Helsinki:
- On 11–13 September 2011: (1) biological, agricultural and veterinary sciences, (2) medicine, biomedicine and health sciences and (3) natural sciences.
- On 18–20 September 2011: (4) humanities and (5) social sciences.

---

\(^5\) TUHAT (acronym) of Research Information System (RIS) of the University of Helsinki

\(^6\) Supervision of thesis, prizes and awards, editorial work and peer reviews, participation in committees, boards and networks and public appearances.
1.5 Evaluation material

The main material in the evaluation was the RCs’ self-evaluations that were qualitative in character and allowed the RCs to choose what was important to mention or emphasise and what was left unmentioned.

The present evaluation is exceptional at least in the Finnish context because it is based on both the evaluation documentation (self-evaluation questions, publications and other scientific activities) and the bibliometric reports. All documents were delivered to the panellists for examination.

Traditional bibliometrics can be reasonably done mainly in medicine, biosciences and natural sciences when using the Web of Science database, for example. Bibliometrics, provided by CWTS/The Centre for Science and Technology Studies, University of Leiden, cover only the publications that include WoS identification in the TUHAT-RIS.

Traditional bibliometrics are seldom relevant in humanities and social sciences because the international comparable databases do not store every type of high quality research publications, such as books and monographs and scientific journals in other languages than English. The Helsinki University Library has done analysis to the RCs, if their publications were not well represented in the Web of Science databases (RCs should have at least 50 publications and internal coverage of publications more than 40%) – it meant 58 RCs. The bibliometric material for the evaluation panels was available in June 2011. The RC-specific bibliometric reports are attached at the end of each report.

The panels were provided with the evaluation material and all other necessary background information, such as the basic information about the University of Helsinki and the Finnish higher education system.

Evaluation material
1. Registration documents of the RCs for the background information
2. Self evaluation material – answers to the evaluation questions
3. Publications and other scientific activities based on the TUHAT RIS:
   3.1. statistics of publications
   3.2. list of publications
   3.3. statistics of other scientific activities
   3.4. list of other scientific activities
4. Bibliometrics and comparable analyses:
   4.1. Analyses of publications based on the verification of TUHAT-RIS publications with the Web of Science publications (CWTS/University of Leiden)
   4.2. Publication statistics analysed by the Helsinki University Library - mainly for humanities and social sciences
5. University level survey on doctoral training (August 2011)
6. University level analysis on publications 2005–2010 (August 2011) provided by CWTS/University of Leiden

Background material

University of Helsinki
- Basic information about the University of the Helsinki
- The structure of doctoral training at the University of Helsinki
- Previous evaluations of research at the University of Helsinki – links to the reports: 1998 and 2005

The Finnish Universities/Research Institutes
- Finnish University system
- Evaluation of the Finnish National Innovation System
- The State and Quality of Scientific Research in Finland, Publication of the Academy of Finland 9/09.

The evaluation panels were provided also with other relevant material on request before the meetings in Helsinki.
1.6 Evaluation questions and material

The participating RCs answered the following evaluation questions which are presented according to the evaluation form. In addition, TUHAT RIS was used to provide the additional material as explained. For giving the feedback to the RCs, the panellists received the evaluation feedback form constructed in line with the evaluation questions:

1. **Focus and quality of the RC’s research**
   - Description of
     - the RC’s research focus.
     - the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results)
     - the scientific significance of the RC’s research in the research field(s)
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research

The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s publications, analysis of the RC’s publications data (provided by University of Leiden and the Helsinki University Library)

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

2. **Practices and quality of doctoral training**
   - Organising of the doctoral training in the RC. Description of the RC’s principles for:
     - recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates
     - supervision of doctoral candidates
     - collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes
     - good practises and quality assurance in doctoral training
   - Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities/supervision of doctoral dissertations

A written feedback from the aspects of: processes and good practices related to leadership and management
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

3. **The societal impact of research and doctoral training**
   - Description on how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).
   - Identification of the ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.

The additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities.

A written feedback from the aspects of: societal impact, national and international collaboration, innovativeness
   - Strengths
   - Areas of development
   - Other remarks
   - Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)
4. International and national (incl. intersectoral) research collaboration and researcher mobility
   • Description of
     - the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities
     - how the RC has promoted researcher mobility
   • Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, national and international collaboration
   • Strengths
   • Areas of development
   • Other remarks
   • Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

5. Operational conditions
   • Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).
   • Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

A written feedback from the aspects of: processes and good practices related to leadership and management
   • Strengths
   • Areas of development
   • Other remarks
   • Recommendations

6. Leadership and management in the researcher community
   • Description of
     - the execution and processes of leadership in the RC
     - how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC
     - how the leadership- and management-related processes support
       - high quality research
       - collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC
       - the RC’s research focus
     - strengthening of the RC’s know-how
   • Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes

7. External competitive funding of the RC
   • The RCs were asked to provide information of such external competitive funding, where:
     - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and
     - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki
   • On the e-form the RCs were asked to provide:
     1) The relevant funding source(s) from a given list (Academy of Finland/Research Council, TEKES/The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, EU, ERC, foundations, other national funding organisations, other international funding organisations), and
     2) The total sum of funding which the organisation in question had decided to allocate to the RCs members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010.

Competitive funding reported in the text is also to be considered when evaluating this point.
A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness, future significance
   • Strengths
   • Areas of development
   • Other remarks
   • Recommendations

8. The RC’s strategic action plan for 2011-2013
   • RC’s description of their future perspectives in relation to research and doctoral training.

A written feedback from the aspects of: scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, processes and good practices related to leadership and management, national and international collaboration, innovativeness, future significance
   • Strengths
   • Areas of development
9. Evaluation of the category of the RC in the context of entity of the evaluation material (1-8)

The RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category
A written feedback evaluating the RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category
- Strengths
- Areas of development
- Other remarks
- Recommendations

Numeric evaluation: OUTSTANDING (5), EXCELLENT (4), VERY GOOD (3), GOOD (2), SUFFICIENT (1)

10. Short description of how the RC members contributed the compilation of the stage 2 material
Comments on the compilation of evaluation material

11. How the UH’s focus areas are presented in the RC’s research?
Comments if applicable

12. RC-specific main recommendations based on the previous questions 1-11

13. RC-specific conclusions

1.7 Evaluation criteria

The panellists were expected to give evaluative and analytical feedback to each evaluation question according to their aspects in order to describe and justify the quality of the submitted material. In addition, the evaluation feedback was asked to be pointed out the level of the performance according to the following classifications:

- outstanding (5)
- excellent (4)
- very good (3)
- good (2)
- sufficient (1)

Evaluation according to the criteria was to be made with thorough consideration of the entire evaluation material of the RC in question. Finally, in questions 1-4 and 9, the panellists were expected to classify their written feedback into one of the provided levels (the levels included respective descriptions, ‘criteria’). Some panels used decimals in marks. The descriptive level was interpreted according to the integers and not rounding up the decimals by the editors.

Description of criteria levels

Question 1 – FOCUS AND QUALITY OF THE RC’S RESEARCH

Classification: Criteria (level of procedures and results)

Outstanding quality of procedures and results (5)

Outstandingly strong research, also from international perspective. Attracts great international interest with a wide impact, including publications in leading journals and/or monographs published by leading international publishing houses. The research has world leading qualities. The research focus, key research questions scientific significance, societal impact and innovativeness are of outstanding quality.

In cases where the research is of a national character and, in the judgement of the evaluators, should remain so, the concepts of “international attention” or “international impact” etc. in the grading criteria above may be replaced by “international comparability”.

Other remarks
Recommendations
Operations and procedures are of outstanding quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of outstanding quality.

**Excellent quality of procedures and results (4)**

Research of excellent quality. Typically published with great impact, also internationally. Without doubt, the research has a leading position in its field in Finland.

Operations and procedures are of excellent quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of excellent quality.

**Very good quality of procedures and results (3)**

The research is of such very good quality that it attracts wide national and international attention.

Operations and procedures are of very good quality, transparent and shared in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Good quality of procedures and results (2)**

Good research attracting mainly national attention but possessing international potential, extraordinarily high relevance may motivate good research.

Operations and procedures are of good quality, shared occasionally in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of good quality.

**Sufficient quality of procedures and results (1)**

In some cases the research is insufficient and reports do not gain wide circulation or do not have national or international attention. Research activities should be revised.

Operations and procedures are of sufficient quality, shared occasionally in the community. The improvement of research and other efforts are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to some extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of sufficient quality.

**Question 2 – DOCTORAL TRAINING**

**Question 3 – SOCIETAL IMPACT**

**Question 4 – COLLABORATION**

**Classification: Criteria (level of procedures and results)**

**Outstanding quality of procedures and results (5)**

Procedures are of outstanding quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of outstanding quality. The procedures and results are regularly evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.

**Excellent quality of procedures and results (4)**

Procedures are of excellent quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of excellent quality. The procedures and outcomes are evaluated and the feedback has an effect on the planning.

**Very good quality of procedures and results (3)**

Procedures are of very good quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and
management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Good quality of procedures and results (2)**

Procedures are of good quality, shared occasionally in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are documented and operations and practices are to large extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of very good quality.

**Sufficient quality of procedures and results (1)**

Procedures are of sufficient quality, transparent and shared in the community. The practices and quality of doctoral training/societal impact/international and national collaboration/leadership and management are occasionally documented and operations and practices are to some extent in alignment with the documentation. The ambition to develop the community together is of sufficient quality.

**Question 9 – CATEGORY**

**Participation category – fitness for the category chosen**

The choice and justification for the chosen category below should be reflected in the RC’s responses to the evaluation questions 1–8.

1. The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.
2. The research of the participating community is of high quality, but the community in its present composition has yet to achieve strong international recognition or a clear break-through.
3. The research of the participating community is distinct from mainstream research, and the special features of the research tradition in the field must be considered in the evaluation. The research is of high quality and has great significance and impact in its field. However, the generally used research evaluation methods do not necessarily shed sufficient light on the merits of the research.
4. The research of the participating community represents an innovative opening. A new opening can be an innovative combination of research fields, or it can be proven to have a special social, national or international demand or other significance. Even if the researcher community in its present composition has yet to obtain proof of international success, its members can produce convincing evidence of the high level of their previous research.
5. The research of the participating community has a highly significant societal impact. The participating researcher community is able to justify the high social significance of its research. The research may relate to national legislation, media visibility or participation in social debate, or other activities promoting social development and human welfare. In addition to having societal impact, the research must be of a high standard.

**An example of outstanding fitness for category choice (5)**

The RC’s representation and argumentation for the chosen category were convincing. The RC recognized its real capacity and apparent outcomes in a wider context to the research communities. The specific character of the RC was well-recognized and well stated in the responses. The RC fitted optimally for the category.

- Outstanding (5)
- Excellent (4)
- Very good (3)
- Good (2)
- Sufficient (1)

The above-mentioned definition of outstanding was only an example in order to assist the panellists in the positioning of the classification. There was no exact definition for the category fitness.

---

7 The panels discussed the category fitness and made the final conclusions of the interpretation of it.
1.8 Timetable of the evaluation

The main timetable of the evaluation:

1. Registration       November 2010
3. External peer review       May–September 2011
4. Published reports
   - University level public report
   - RC specific reports

   March–April 2012

The entire evaluation was implemented during the university’s strategy period 2010–2012. The preliminary results were available for the planning of the following strategy period in late autumn 2011. The evaluation reports will be published in March/April 2012. More detailed time schedule is published in the University report.

1.9 Evaluation feedback – consensus of the entire panel

   The panellists evaluated all the RC-specific material before the meetings in Helsinki and mailed the draft reports to the evaluation office. The latest interim versions were on-line available to all the panellists on the Wiki-sites. In September 2011, in Helsinki the panels discussed the material, revised the first draft reports and decided the final numeric evaluation. After the meetings in Helsinki, the panels continued working and finalised the reports before the end of November 2011. The final RC-specific reports are the consensus of the entire panel.

   The evaluation reports were written by the panels independently. During the editing process, the evaluation office requested some clarifications from the panels when necessary. The tone and style in the reports were not harmonized in the editing process. All the reports follow the original texts written by the panels as far as it was possible.

   The original evaluation material of the RCs, provided for the panellists is attached at the end of the report. It is essential to notice that the exported lists of publications and other scientific activities depend how the data was stored in the TUHAT-RIS by the RCs.
2 Evaluation feedback

2.1 Focus and quality of the RC’s research

- **Description of**
  - the RC’s research focus
  - the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results)
  - the scientific significance of the RC’s research in the research field(s)
- **Identification of the ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research**

**ASPECTS:** Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness

**Strengths**
Building on the tradition of the Helsinki Corpus, the internationally renowned historical corpus of the English language, the VARIENG research group has developed an impressive set of diachronic corpora for English which serve as the empirical basis for much of the research. The RC has chosen to participate in category 1 (“Research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge of the field”). This self-assessment is entirely justified in view of the high international visibility of the VARIENG research group and in view of its excellent network in international collaborations.

It has attained the status of a National Center of Excellence and maintains high-calibre scientific collaborations with other international centers (Freiburg, Glasgow, Santiago de Compostela) as well as with leading scholars in the field of historical linguistics (e.g. Tony Kroch, University of Pennsylvania).

The bibliometric record shows that according to the Norwegian ranking appr. 1/3 of the journal publications and according to the Australian ranking approximately 50% were published in leading journals.

**Areas of development**
Given the special emphasis on electronic language resources, it is timely and highly appropriate that the VARIENG research group wants to establish ties with on-going standardization and eHumanities infrastructure initiatives. Some additional remarks about leading research directions pursued in each of the three current focus domains Language in Society, Language as Discourse and Linguistic Processes and Typology would be useful.

**Other remarks**
The contribution and research focus of the RC members from the U. of Jyväskylä should be described in more detail. There are no detailed remarks about the focus domain Linguistic Processes and Typology.

**Recommendations**
The overall focus and quality of the RC is very strong. Some more details about future directions would be helpful.

Publications in top ranked journals would further help maintain and increase international visibility.

**Numeric evaluation:** 5 (Outstanding)

2.2 Practises and quality of doctoral training

- **Organising of the doctoral training in the RC. Description of the RC’s principles for:**
  - recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates
  - supervision of doctoral candidates
• collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes
• good practices and quality assurance in doctoral training
• assuring of good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates
• Identification of the RC's strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.
• Additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC's other scientific activities/supervision of doctoral dissertations

ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management

Strengths
Collaboration with national and international research and PhD training institutions is strong. The benefits and synergies obtained from these collaborations is clearly stated. The system of assigning two advisors to PhD students, the early career mentoring is convincing and, judging from the job placements of PhD graduates, effective. This pertains also to the on-the-job training in general professional skills.

Areas of development
Continued funding of doctoral education after expiration of the national CoE funding is identified as crucial for continued success of the RC.

Other remarks
Relative to the number of five principal investigators, the expected output of at least of 13 PhD degrees (nine already completed; at least four reported as near completion) over a six-year period means that on average each PI produced one PhD student every three years. This does not appear to be a very large number. However, judging from their excellent job placement, the PhD students that graduated from this RC have been of a high calibre.

Some additional remarks concerning monitoring progress of PhD students supervisors would be helpful. Is there a policy of agreeing on milestones between the PhD candidates and their two advisors and are there regularly scheduled progress review meetings with the individual candidates?

Recommendations
The University of Helsinki and the members of the VARIENG RC should jointly address adequate future funding of this RC in order to ensure that this internationally renowned research area can be maintained and further developed. In particular, the University of Helsinki should take appropriate support actions once the Center of Excellence funding will expire.

Numeric evaluation: 4.5 (Excellent)

2.3 The societal impact of research and doctoral training

• Description on how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).
• Identification of the ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.
• Additional material: TUHAT compilation of the RC’s other scientific activities.

ASPECTS: Societal impact, national and international collaboration, innovativeness

Strengths
The focus on research infrastructure offers resources for humanities research that extend well beyond the RC itself. The outreach activities with special events for the interested public and a language blog related to Finnish attest to VARIENG's commitment to contributing to society at large.
Areas of development
The planned collaborations with research infrastructure initiatives provides an effective means of strengthening outreach and societal impact.

Recommendations
VARIENG should maintain and further develop its outreach activities.

Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)

2.4 International and national (incl. intersectoral) research collaboration and researcher mobility

- Description of
  - the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities
  - how the RC has promoted researcher mobility
- Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, national and international collaboration

Strengths
International and national research collaboration is clearly one of the hallmarks of this RC.

Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)

2.5 Operational conditions

- Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).
- Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.

ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management

Strengths
The activities in the areas of web service provision and data archiving follow best practises, and are to be commended as a timely, forward-looking effort. Room allocation and computer infrastructure appear to be adequate.

Areas of development
Securing adequate funding for the technical infrastructure is identified as a crucial issue.

Recommendations
The RC and the University of Helsinki need to jointly address how the operational level of this RC can be maintained at an adequate level through a mixture of institutional and external funding.

2.6 Leadership and management in the researcher community

- Description of
  - the execution and processes of leadership in the RC
  - how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC
  - how the leadership- and management-related processes support
    - high quality research
- collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC
- the RC’s research focus
- strengthening of the RC’s know-how

* Identification of the RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes

ASPECTS: Processes and good practices related to leadership and management

Strengths
VARIENG has a very informative and well-structured webpage that provides an excellent overview of its scientific mission, its digital resources and tools, and its organizational structure. The management of VARIENG is closely linked to the management structure of the National Center of Excellence. The CoE structure appears to be effective. Appropriate involvement of RC members at different stages of their career is ensured.

Other remarks
One question that is left open in the RC’s response is whether the management of the CoE would remain unchanged or whether it needs to be adjusted. This concerns for example the future of the international scientific board, for which the Academy of Finland currently provides funding.

Recommendations
In view of its high international standing and its established international network, the international advisory board should be continued after the CoE funding expires.

2.7 External competitive funding of the RC

- The RCs were asked to provide information of such external competitive funding, where:
  - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010, and
  - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki
- On the e-form the RCs were asked to provide:
  1) The relevant funding source(s) from a given list (Academy of Finland/Research Council, TEKES/The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation, EU, ERC, foundations, other national funding organisations, other international funding organizations), and
  2) The total sum of funding which the organisation in question had decided to allocate to the RCs members during 1.1.2005–31.12.2010.

Competitive funding reported in the text is also to be considered when evaluating this point.

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, innovativeness and future significance

Strengths
Two successive Center of Excellence Awards and the significant involvement in the national graduate school LANGNET attest to the scientific quality and significance of this RC.

Recommendations
Given the prominent international reputation of VARIENG and the existing international cooperations, the RC should be encouraged to apply for funding from the EU and from the ERC.
2.8 The RC’s strategic action plan for 2011–2013

- RC’s description of their future perspectives in relation to research and doctoral training.

ASPECTS: Scientific quality, scientific significance, societal impact, processes and good practices related to leadership and management, national and international collaboration, innovativeness, future significance

Strengths

The RC’s strategic action plan is quite detailed and builds upon its many year’s of experience in resource building in a very sensible manner. At the same time, the planned actions are not a mere continuation in the sense of “business as usual”. 1. The increased focus on sound and multi-modal data is timely and promising 2. The same holds for the project on combining book history and corpora. 3. The strategic alliance with infrastructure projects such as ENROLLER and CLARIN will enhance continued international visibility of the RC’s resources and will create synergies in following best practises of corpus construction and corpus maintenance.

Other remarks

The RC comments on the challenges that lie ahead for PhD and postdoctoral funding in view of the uncertain situation of future CoE funding. This may well make strategic planning in this area difficult. If some possible plans of actions can be outlined for different possible funding futures, then this would be helpful.

Recommendations

The RC’s strategic action plan regarding the research aspects should be endorsed.

2.9 Evaluation of the category of the RC in the context of entity of the evaluation material (1-8)

The RC’s fitness to the chosen participation category.

Category 1. The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.

Strengths

The RC has chosen to participate in category 1. The self-assessment is entirely justified in view of the high international visibility of the VARIENG research group and in view of its excellent network in international collaborations.

It has attained the status of a National Center of Excellence and maintains high-calibre scientific collaborations with other international centers (Freiburg, Glasgow, Santiago de Compostela) as well as with leading scholars in the field of historical linguistics (e.g. Tony Kroch, University of Pennsylvania).

Numeric evaluation: 5 (Outstanding)

2.10 Short description of how the RC members contributed the compilation of the stage 2 material

The writing process seems to have been the right mix of PI leadership and membership involvement.
2.11 How the UH's focus areas are presented in the RC's research

**Focus area 8: Language and culture**

Members of the RC belong to the Center of Excellence for the Study of Variation, Contacts and Change in English and thus contribute significantly to the focus area 7 "Language and Culture".

2.12 RC-specific main recommendations

**Summary of Recommendations in the Order of Importance/Priority**

1. The University of Helsinki and the members of the VARIENG RC should jointly address adequate future funding of this RC in order to ensure that this internationally renowned research area can be maintained and further developed. In particular, the University of Helsinki should take appropriate support actions once the Center of Excellence funding will expire. The RC and the University of Helsinki need to jointly address how the operational level of this RC can be maintained at an adequate level through a mixture of institutional and external funding.

2. Publications in top ranked journals would further help maintain and increase international visibility. Given the focus on English, the percentage of publications written English (currently 36%) could also be increased.

3. Given the prominent international reputation of VARIENG and the existing international cooperations, the RC should be encouraged to apply for funding from the EU and from the ERC.

4. In view of its high international standing and its established international network, the international advisory board should be continued after the CoE funding expires.

2.13 RC-specific conclusions

Building on the tradition of the Helsinki Corpus, the internationally renowned historical corpus of the English language, the VARIENG research group has developed an impressive set of diachronic corpora for English which serve as the empirical basis for much of the research. The RC has chosen to participate in category 1 ("Research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge of the field"). This self-assessment is entirely justified in view of the high international visibility of the VARIENG research group and in view of its excellent network in international collaborations.

It has attained the status of a National Center of Excellence and maintains high-calibre scientific collaborations with other international centers (Freiburg, Glasgow, Santiago de Compostela) as well as with leading scholars in the field of historical linguistics (e.g. Tony Kroch, University of Pennsylvania).

Collaboration with national and international research and PhD training institutions is strong. The benefits and synergies obtained from these collaborations is clearly stated. The system of assigning two advisors to PhD students, the early career mentoring is convincing and, judging from the job placements of PhD graduates, effective. This pertains also to the on-the-job training in general professional skills.

Members of the RC belong to the Center of Excellence for the Study of Variation, Contacts and Change in English and thus contribute significantly to the U of Helsinki's focus area 7 "Language and Culture". The overall focus and quality of the RC is very strong. Some more details about future directions would be helpful.
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NAME OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY:
Research Unit for the Study of Variation, Contacts and Change in English (VARIENG)

LEADER OF THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY:
Professor Terttu Nevalainen, Helsinki University, Department of Modern Languages

RC-SPECIFIC MATERIAL FOR THE PEER REVIEW:

- Material submitted by the RC at stages 1 and 2 of the evaluation
  - STAGE 1 material: RC’s registration form (incl. list of RC participants in an excel table)
  - STAGE 2 material: RC’s answers to evaluation questions
- TUHAT compilations of the RC members’ other scientific activities 1.1.2005-31.12.2010

NB! Since Web of Science(WoS)-based bibliometrics does not provide representative results for most RCs representing humanities, social sciences and computer sciences, the publications of these RCs will be analyzed by the UH Library (results available by the end of June, 2011)
Name: Nevalainen, Terttu
E-mail: 
Phone: 191 24742
Affiliation: Helsinki University, Department of Modern Languages
Street address: Vironkatu 1

Acronym for the participating RC (max. 10 characters): VARIENG

Description of the operational basis in 2005-2010 (eg. research collaboration, joint doctoral training activities) on which the RC was formed (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):
The VARIENG research unit, the flagship of the ‘Helsinki School’ in the study of English, was founded in 1995, and has been selected as one of the Academy of Finland’s National Centres of Excellence for two consecutive periods, 2000-2005 and 2006-2011.

The RC engages in innovative research into variation, contacts and change in English from multiple, tangential and complementary perspectives. Its members have been instrumental in launching historical fields of study e.g. in macro- and microsociolinguistics and pragmatics. The RC specializes in English historical corpora and is developing materials, methods and infrastructures for the wider research community in national and international cooperation.

VARIENG provides an active and truly inspiring environment for doctoral studies. As many as nine doctorates were completed within the RC during the period under assessment, and four more are in their final stages.

Main scientific field of the RC’s research: humanities
RC’s scientific subfield 1: Language and Linguistics Theory
RC’s scientific subfield 2: Humanities, Multidisciplinary
RC’s scientific subfield 3: Medieval and Renaissance Studies
RC’s scientific subfield 4: Computer Science, Interdisciplinary Applications
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC STAGE 1 MATERIAL (registration form)

Other, if not in the list: Historical linguistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 RC’S PARTICIPATION CATEGORY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation category: 1. Research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justification for the selected participation category (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): VARIENG holds the status of a National Centre of Excellence in research, 2000-2005 and 2006-2011 (consortium based on the previous Helsinki CoE and the English in Finland research team in Jyväskylä); c. 45 members in Helsinki and 15 in Jyväskylä.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Besides original research, ongoing work includes projects promoting innovative corpus-based infrastructure in both international and national collaboration, including e.g. the ENROLLER and CLARIN frameworks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VARIENG has enough postgraduate students to provide a truly inspiring environment with free exchange of ideas. The RC organizes training sessions, seminars and research planning days, and guest lectures are regularly given by leading international scholars. In addition, PhD students have the opportunity of receiving feedback and guidance from scholars and peers outside their immediate daily environment. They are also actively involved in planning these various activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 DESCRIPTION OF THE RC’S RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public description of the RC’s research and doctoral training (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): VARIENG is a National Centre of Excellence for the Study of Variation, Contacts and Change in English. It has 45 members from the University of Helsinki and 15 from the University of Jyväskylä, and is funded by these two universities and the Academy of Finland for the period 2006-2011.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VARIENG members study the English language in its social, regional and discourse contexts. In Helsinki, special attention is given to the development of English from its earliest stages up to its present-day varieties. One focus of VARIENG research is language use in contact situations, including those between English and the national languages of Finland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VARIENG members carry out empirical research. They compile and utilize electronic corpora of English, and develop tools and methods for corpus-based studies. These resources make up an essential part of the VARIENG infrastructure, and are created to benefit the research community at large.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The versatility of the research unit and its connections both nationally and internationally greatly benefit VARIENG doctoral students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VARIENG also has an important role in the Langnet national graduate school. One of its programmes (Variation, Contacts and Change) derives from it, and Irma Taavitsainen was the co-leader of the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

RC-SPECIFIC STAGE 1 MATERIAL (registration form)

programme during the whole period under assessment, conducting national seminars in international environments, in Athens, Estonia and St Petersburg, with several VARIENG students as participants.

Significance of the RC’s research and doctoral training for the University of Helsinki (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):

VARIENG historical linguists have been industry leaders when it comes to innovative and philologically informed research into past varieties of English. They have been instrumental in creating what is internationally known as the ‘Helsinki School’: innovative corpus-based research into the history of English and introduction of such new fields of study as historical sociolinguistics and historical pragmatics. These approaches now cross disciplinary boundaries and are actively pursued by researchers of languages other than English as well. VARIENG scholars are much in demand for compiling and contributing to international reference works in these fields.

VARIENG senior scholars were active in setting up a network of the Centres of Excellence in the humanities at the University of Helsinki, which resulted in the network of multidisciplinary graduate studies ‘Meaning, Language and Cultural Change’. Several VARIENG PhD students and senior scholars participate in its activities, coordinated by a VARIENG senior scholar, first by Päivi Pahta and, since 2009, by Minna Palander-Collin.

VARIENG doctoral students have also taken part in postgraduate seminars organized by the Department of English, together with literary and cultural studies. They have also benefited from the training offered by the Faculty of Arts.

Keywords: corpus linguistics, English language, genre studies, historical pragmatics, historical sociolinguistics, infrastructure, language contact, linguistic change, variation

Justified estimate of the quality of the RC’s research and doctoral training at national and international level during 2005-2010 (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces):

As a Centre of Excellence, VARIENG has attracted considerable outside funding, over half a million Euros annually during the period under assessment. Moreover, individual VARIENG members and teams have secured funding from the Academy of Finland, the University of Helsinki, and private foundations for projects and individual research work in various stages of their academic careers.

VARIENG has over 70 annual publications; the majority of them are research articles in a wide range of recognized international publications (listed on the TUHAT database).

VARIENG also actively promotes open-access publication and other e-resources. Available online are two major resources launched and run by VARIENG members in Helsinki:

- the Corpus Resource Database (CoRD)
- the multimedia publication series Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English
Considerable expertise and international recognition has been gained by the senior scholars of VARIENG in the field of corpus compilation, text editing and annotation, which has typically taken place in international cooperation (e.g. CSC, HARES, MEMT, EMEMT, PCEEC, PPCEME, Records of the Salem Witch-Hunt). The provision of adequate materials for the research in the field world-wide has meant sustained development of electronic text corpora, their annotation systems and software for data retrieval.

A special concern has been to maintain and develop a versatile team-work environment for the training of doctoral students.

Doctoral students form the largest personnel group at VARIENG, and although it is not a graduate school itself, doctoral training is a central concern. Nine doctoral students completed their PhDs during the period under assessment. Most theses received one of the highest grades, the range being from laudatur to magna cum laude. Several doctoral students are near completion and at least four more doctorates are expected before the end of 2011.

VARIENG doctors have been employed as university lecturers, also at other universities in Finland and abroad, one is a lecturer at a polytechnic and another an editor at an international newsagent’s.

Comments on how the RC’s scientific productivity and doctoral training should be evaluated (MAX. 2200 characters with spaces): We propose a site visit as the best method of assessment for our scientific productivity and doctoral training, as well as for achieving an over-all picture of the activities at VARIENG. VARIENG publications are listed on the TUHAT database and the electronic resources created by VARIENG are available online:

- the Corpus Resource Database (CoRD; http://www.helsinki.fi/varieng/CoRD/index.html)
- the multimedia publication series, Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English (http://www.helsinki.fi/varieng/journal/volumes/index.html)

This series is internationally recognized e.g. by Norway's Publications Forum.

For further details, see http://www.helsinki.fi/varieng/.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last name</th>
<th>First name</th>
<th>PI-status</th>
<th>Title of research and teaching personnel</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ben Aaron</td>
<td>Diana</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher, University lecturer</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blair Heikkinen</td>
<td>Kanerva</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fodor</td>
<td>Alexandra</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall</td>
<td>Alaric</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hintsikka</td>
<td>Marianna</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honkipohja</td>
<td>Alpo</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juuronen</td>
<td>Teo</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kahlas-Tarkka</td>
<td>Leena</td>
<td>University lecturer</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaislaniemi</td>
<td>Samuli</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerttula</td>
<td>Seija</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilpinen</td>
<td>Päivi</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate, University lecturer</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilpiö</td>
<td>Matti</td>
<td>Senior researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korhonen</td>
<td>Minna</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laatinen</td>
<td>Mikko</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate, Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehto</td>
<td>Anu</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lähdesmäki</td>
<td>Salla</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marttila</td>
<td>Ville</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauranen</td>
<td>Anna</td>
<td>x Professor</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mäkinen</td>
<td>Martti</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McConchie</td>
<td>Roderick</td>
<td>x University lecturer</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meurman-Solin</td>
<td>Anneli</td>
<td>Senior researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevala</td>
<td>Minna</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher, University lecturer</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevalainen</td>
<td>Terttu</td>
<td>x Professor, Research director</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nummi</td>
<td>Arja</td>
<td>Senior researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuutila</td>
<td>Tuija</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paananen-Porkka</td>
<td>Minna</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paatola</td>
<td>Ulla</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pahtsa</td>
<td>Päivi</td>
<td>Senior researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palander-Collin</td>
<td>Minna</td>
<td>x Senior researcher, Professor</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peitsara</td>
<td>Kirsti</td>
<td>Professor, Senior researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratia</td>
<td>Maura</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raumolin-Brunberg</td>
<td>Helena</td>
<td>Senior researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rissanen</td>
<td>Matti</td>
<td>Professor emeritus</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sairio</td>
<td>Anni</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate, Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorva</td>
<td>Elina</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suhr</td>
<td>Carla</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenvall</td>
<td>Maija</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Säily</td>
<td>Tanja</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taavitsalinen</td>
<td>Irma</td>
<td>x Professor</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timofeeva</td>
<td>Olga</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate, Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissari</td>
<td>Heli</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrrkö</td>
<td>Jukka</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vallonen</td>
<td>Irmeli</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate, Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vartiainen</td>
<td>Turo</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate, University lecturer</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasco</td>
<td>Anna-Ulisa</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate, Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Österman</td>
<td>Aune</td>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Name of the RC’s responsible person: Nevalainen, Terttu
E-mail of the RC’s responsible person:

Name and acronym of the participating RC: Research Unit for the Study of Variation, Contacts and Change in English, VARIENG

The RC’s research represents the following key focus area of UH: 8. Kieli ja kulttuuri – Language and culture

Comments for selecting/not selecting the key focus area: VARIENG activities fall under focus area (8), Language and Culture. The RC is a National Centre of Excellence for the Study of Variation, Contacts and Change in English, funded by the Academy of Finland. It studies the English language in its social, regional and discourse contexts. The time span covered ranges from the Middle Ages to contacts between English and the national languages of Finland.

As a large segment of VARIENG research is historical, a more specific description of it includes Historical Linguistics, with a focus on innovative empirical methodologies. The electronic text corpora and tools created by VARIENG teams represent one of the domains designated as focal areas of research by the Faculty of Arts of Helsinki University, i.e. Corpus Linguistics and Language Technology.

Some of these approaches involve collaboration with computer scientists. Thus the focus area of Exact Thinking (7) is relevant. Globalization and Social Change (10) also come to the fore in the work done on language contact, code-switching and the use of English as a lingua franca.

1 FOCUS AND QUALITY OF RC’S RESEARCH (MAX. 8800 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the RC’s research focus, the quality of the RC’s research (incl. key research questions and results) and the scientific significance of the RC’s research for the research field(s).

VARIENG has been selected as a National Centre of Excellence in research in Finland for two consecutive six-year terms, 2000-05 and 2006-11. The second term continues the work of the previous Helsinki CoE (45 members) in consortium with Jyväskylä researchers specializing in the use of English in Finland (15 members). This collaboration has enriched the work of both parties, broadened their vistas and enabled a certain amount of risk-taking.

World-wide, RCs such as VARIENG are rare in the humanities. Three leading RCs, in Freiburg, Glasgow and Santiago de Compostela, work on research questions similar to, but not overlapping with the fields investigated by VARIENG in Helsinki. The international reputation of the “Helsinki School” stems from its earlier achievements, notably the ground-breaking Helsinki Corpus project, and rests on the innovative research agendas of the present VARIENG researchers.

Helsinki historical linguists have been industry leaders when it comes to innovative and philologically informed research into earlier varieties of English. The Helsinki VARIENG RC specializes in the use, design and development of linguistic corpora and other empirical research tools in several interrelated areas of linguistic enquiry, in particular, in historical pragmatics and sociolinguistics, and regional and contact linguistics. A community of junior and senior scholars, VARIENG represents a unique concentration of
specializations in chronological terms alone, ranging from the use of Latin as a lingua franca in Anglo-Saxon England over a thousand years ago to that of English in the modern world.

In order to foster cross-disciplinary and cross-methodological innovation within the CoE consortium, its research organisation has been structured around three focus domains, which subdivide into theme groups. Three senior researchers co-ordinate each focus domain and are responsible for liaising between the theme groups within their domain. The focus domains are: Language in Society, Language as Discourse, and Linguistic Processes and Typology.

The leaders of the RC, its Director Terttu Nevalainen and Deputy Director Irma Taavitsainen, have been instrumental in promoting new fields of research internationally, those of historical sociolinguistics and historical pragmatics, respectively. They are much in demand for compiling and contributing to international reference works in these fields, ranging from the The Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics to the Mouton Handbooks of Pragmatics. Terttu Nevalainen edits her own monograph series Oxford Studies in the History of English (OUP), and Irma Taavitsainen is the founding co-editor of the Journal of Historical Pragmatics (Benjamins).

The research on historical sociolinguistics focuses on the social contexts of language change between the Late Middle Ages and the present. The results obtained by Terttu Nevalainen, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg and the Historical Sociolinguistics team support the idea that models of present-day sociolinguistics can be successfully applied to historical data, and, vice versa, that present-day research benefits from the real-time perspective provided by historical research. For example, Anni Sairio’s PhD research of the 18th-century Bluestocking network (2008) showed that when network ties are strong, linguistic variation seems to be essentially linked to the social variables of the informants; hence network and stratification models can usefully complement each other. A very promising new line of research integrates historical sociolinguistic and socio-pragmatic approaches (Nurmi, Nevala & Palander-Collin 2009).

The broad European approach to pragmatics is shared with research on historical pragmatics. Inventories of language use in past contexts reveal how linguistic resources were employed in English throughout its history. Speech-act realizations are a case in point: speaker illocutions are expressed by various strategic means, depending on e.g. the politeness norms of the era, thus illuminating language history from a new angle. The Scientific thought-styles project focuses on medical writing. Diachronic studies are needed to cast light on the formation of Present-day conventions. Stylistic innovations come about at the top while the great majority of writings remain in the old mode. In his PhD thesis (2010) Turo Hiltunen compared the use of grammatical features in modern scientific writing, combining sophisticated statistics with qualitative analysis. This approach can be applied to future diachronic studies as well as to teaching academic writing.

The VARIENG RC has an average of 70 annual publications. The majority are research articles in top-level international publications. VARIENG scholars are also active in editing volumes in their respective fields of study. The RC promotes open-access publication and other e-resources. Available online since 2007 are two major resources, both initiated by Helsinki VARIENG leaders: the Corpus Resource Database (CoRD) and the multimedia publication series Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English, which is rated on the scientific level 1 by the Norwegian register of scientific journals, series and publishers, and has become the publisher for the online proceedings of the conferences of the International Computer Archive of Modern and Medieval English (ICAME). The Google Scholar citation scores for the senior Helsinki VARIENG members exceed 1,000 (Professor Emeritus Matti Rissanen leading with 1,400), and many younger members reach 200.
The provision of adequate materials for the research in the field world-wide has meant sustained development of electronic text corpora, their annotation, and software for data retrieval. The historical corpus projects of the VARIENG RC are unsurpassed in this area. Half a dozen major digital corpora were released during the period of assessment (their major international collaborating partners shown in brackets): under the direction of Prof. Irma Taavitsainen, the Corpora of Middle and Early Modern English Medical Writing (CEEM; corpus software by Prof. Raymond Hickey, Essen); under the direction of Dos. Kirsti Peitsara, the Helsinki Corpus of British English Dialects (HD); under the direction of Dr. Anna-Liisa Vasko, the Cambridgeshire subcorpus of the Helsinki Archive of Regional English Speech (HARES, in consultation with Prof. Joan Beal, Sheffield); under the direction of Dos. Anneli Meurman-Solin, the Corpus of Scottish Correspondence (CSC; annotation software by Dr. Keith Williamson, Edinburg); under the direction of Prof. Terttu Nevalainen, the Parsed Corpus of Early English Correspondence (PCEEC; annotation software by Prof. Anthony Kroch, Pennsylvania, tagging by Dr Arja Nurmi, Helsinki, and parsing by Dr. Ann Taylor, York).

In 2010 VARIENG launched a project to translate the original Helsinki Corpus of English Texts (HC) into TEI-compliant XML-format. This resource, which covers 1,000 years of the history of English, has been acquired by hundreds of university departments world-wide, and has become an internationally recognized brand. Its Late Modern English sequel was completed by Prof. Kroch’s team in 2010. VARIENG corpus projects have inspired related work on English and other European languages. The work on historical sociolinguistics and the CEEC have provided models for the study of Belgian and Netherlandic Dutch and Portuguese, and the activities of the Historical Sociolinguistics Network (HiSoN). The Scientific Thought-styles project and CEEM have similarly impacted on the European research carried out in its field in Spain and the German-speaking area.

Ways to strengthen the focus and improve the quality of the RC’s research.

VARIENG can contribute to and benefit from integrated research-driven infrastructures designed for the study of language in its various contexts of use. Based on a high-impact record in empirical research, the expertise of VARIENG subject specialists can thrive in developing and extending annotation schemes, metadata standards, and quality measures for the historical materials to be included in online electronic archives (see section 8).

Another topical issue concerns harnessing for research purposes the massive electronic databases that are becoming available online. Many of the solutions, such as models for metadata standards, are language-independent but their application must be based on subject specializations, such as those commanded by VARIENG.

The RC’s future plans include collaboration with two major infrastructure projects: ENROLLER, the integrated online repository directed by Dr. Jean Anderson and Prof. Richard Sinnott in Glasgow, and FIN-CLARIN, the European Common Language Resources and Technology Infrastructure, directed by Prof. Kimmo Koskenniemi in Helsinki.
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2 PRACTICES AND QUALITY OF DOCTORAL TRAINING (MAX. 8800 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

• How is doctoral training organised in the RC? Description of the RC’s principles for recruitment and selection of doctoral candidates, supervision of doctoral candidates, collaboration with faculties, departments/institutes, and potential graduate schools/doctoral programmes, good practices and quality assurance in doctoral training, and assuring good career perspectives for the doctoral candidates/fresh doctorates.

Doctoral students form the largest personnel group at VARIENG, and although not a graduate school, doctoral training is a central concern. Nine doctoral students completed their PhDs during the period of assessment, with high grades from laudatur to magna cum laude. At least four more doctorates are expected before the end of 2011.

VARIENG recruits most of its doctoral students from among MA seminar members of English Philology; some come from abroad to take their degrees with us (Ben Aaron, Fodor, Timofeeva), and many more to study at VARIENG for a period of time. Foreign students are attracted by VARIENG’s international renown and intellectually exciting atmosphere. PhD students are admitted by the Faculty of Arts Postgraduate Admissions Board after considering the Department’s reports on their applications.

As a rule, VARIENG doctoral students have two supervisors; this system has the advantage that it also provides mentoring for early-career supervisors. Doctoral students are guided in developing their expertise in various professional capacities, like university pedagogy in teaching and planning courses, reviewing and editing books, and IT skills. To guarantee the skills and competencies needed in professional life, they are given responsibilities e.g. in organizing symposia and conferences. Doctoral students are encouraged to try their wings at international conferences from the beginning and study abroad for a period of time.

The senior scholars of the RC have supervised the work of many international PhD students visiting the RC for shorter or longer periods of time. VARIENG has hosted doctoral students from Belgium, Holland, Hungary, Lithuania, Norway, Poland, Russia, Spain and the U.K. VARIENG has enough postgraduate students to provide a truly inspiring environment with free exchange of ideas, and these contacts have led to active doctoral student networks, resulting in e.g. joint conference papers.

The versatility of the CoE and its connections both nationally and internationally greatly benefit doctoral training. A special concern has been to maintain and develop a versatile team-work environment. The RC organizes training sessions, seminars and research planning days. Together with the RC’s senior scholars, students are actively involved in planning these activities. Moreover, as VARIENG is regularly visited by leading international scholars, its PhD students have the opportunity of receiving feedback and guidance from scholars and peers outside their immediate daily environment.

During the assessment period, VARIENG postgraduate students also participated in the joint seminar sessions organized by the Helsinki English Department for its PhD students in language and literature. These activities were diversified through the Helsinki-Jyväskylä CoE consortium, which organizes both joint seminars and PhD supervision. During this collaboration, web-based resources have significantly benefited researcher training.

VARIENG has had an important role to play in Langnet, the national graduate school, funded by the Finnish Ministry of Education. One of its programmes (Variation, Contacts and Change) closely reflects the VARIENG research agenda, and Irma Taavitsainen was the co-leader of the programme during the
period under assessment, also conducting seminars abroad, e.g. in Athens, Estonia and St Petersburg, with several VARIENG students as participants. Many senior scholars of the RC supervise Langnet doctoral students. Several VARIENG students have been funded by the graduate school, and several others have been admitted to the programme as self-funded students.

Another forum for feedback and doctoral training is provided by an interdisciplinary network for postgraduate education, "Meaning, Language and Changing Cultures". VARIENG leaders were active in launching it in co-operation with five other institutions: the Renvall Institute and the Department of Arabic and Islamic Studies at the University of Helsinki, and the CoEs in Ancient and Medieval Greek Documents, Archives and Libraries; in Early Jewish and Christian Ideology; and in History of the Mind. Minna Palander-Collin took over the running of the network from Päivi Pahta in 2009; Terttu Nevalainen serves on its board and five senior VARIENG members as its supervisors. Two VARIENG doctoral students were funded by the network during the period of assessment.

VARIENG PhDs have proved highly employable both within the academia and outside it, which testifies both to their quality as researchers and to the wide-ranging skills they have acquired during their training. Two Helsinki VARIENG members obtained professorships during the period of assessment (Päivi Pahta in Tampere and Minna Palander-Collin in Helsinki). Of those nine who finished their doctoral degrees during this period, two gained tenured positions as university lecturers (Martti Mäkinen, U. of Stavanger, Norway; tenured at the Swedish School of Economics, Helsinki; Mari Pakkala-Weckström, translation studies, U. of Helsinki), and one as a lecturer at the Metropolia Polytechnic (Minna Paananen-Porkka). Three others continue on full-time post doctoral funding (Mikko Laitinen in Jyväskylä, Anni Sairio and Olga Timofeeva in Helsinki). Diana ben Aaron became a reporter for Bloomberg News, an international news agency, Irmeli Valtonen returned to work in the private sector and, after her successful team leadership at VARIENG, Anna-Liisa Vasko took retirement at the end of 2010.

In the strategies of internationalization by the University of Helsinki and the Academy of Finland, the flow of foreign students into Finland is counted. VARIENG shows how Finnish researchers and doctoral students can also internationalize at home: its researchers contribute to remarkable international projects in the field of English language studies. The projects listed in the Attachment show the strength and extent of VARIENG members’ international collaboration. In these networks, collaborators include both senior and junior members of the RC. For example, Jukka Tyrkkö is a core member of an international (Helsinki, Bochum, and Leuven) project, European Database of Descriptors of English Electronic Texts (EUDDEET), founded in 2008. The project compiles an open-access database of descriptive metadata on publicly available electronic editions of historical texts. Martti Mäkinen is a member of the Middle English Grammar project (Stavanger-Glasgow/Edinburgh), Samuli Kaislanemi has an active network with historians editing correspondence in Britain, and Tanja Säily serves as the webmaster of the International Society for the Linguistics of English (ISLE).

Finnish society needs English language professionals at the highest level to guarantee its international success. Professional skills achieved in scholarly work and PhD projects impact on society at large both in Finland and abroad. A good example is Ville Marttila who was invited to join the International Relations Group of the Finnish Research Library Association and give a paper in an international seminar for research librarians and historical book scholars, organized in the context of the Paris Book Fair in March 2011. He will focus on the creation and use of digital editions from a historical linguist’s viewpoint and showcase some of the recent TEI XML based edition and corpus projects at VARIENG as examples of the features required in a linguistic digital resource of historical texts. Finland has gained a
reputation as a country of technological expertise, and endeavours like these illustrating VARIENG’s technical infrastructure developments lead the way to the future.

- RC’s strengths and challenges related to the practises and quality of doctoral training, and the actions planned for their development.

The strengths of the RC’s doctoral training are obvious, but after the CoE funding expires, its continuation may prove a major challenge. Besides Langnet and the “Meaning” network, the role of the Faculty of Arts of the University of Helsinki will be central. The Faculty supports its doctoral students through provision of courses on a wide range of topics such as academic writing (also in English), research ethics, philosophy of science, conference presentations, popularization of science, and teaching skills. In the period under assessment, the Faculty has reviewed its policies on PhD admission, supervision as well as examination of theses, and the work continues. Irma Taavitsainen is a member of the Faculty Board appointed for this purpose. The Faculty has also revised its PhD degree requirements in line with the Bologna process, particularly with view to employability within and outside the academia. The Department of Modern Languages has taken a similarly active role by setting a committee to promote doctoral training, with VARIENG representation (Irma Taavitsainen).

3 SOCIETAL IMPACT OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of how the RC interacts with and contributes to the society (collaboration with public, private and/or 3rd sector).

As VARIENG is engaged in basic research, a major societal impact of its activities lies in creating, maintaining and developing a cutting-edge research infrastructure and securing the competencies needed to develop it. The RC embraces the view expressed in the Ministry of Education and Culture roadmap “Putting data into use” (2011) that the strengthening of national well-being and productivity crucially relies on the competitiveness of Finnish research, which requires a strong commitment to the building of an information infrastructure at all levels of research activity. Together with the requisite knowledge and skills, it is the basis for research co-operation and equal opportunities for data usage among researchers.

Creating and releasing new digital corpora for the benefit of the wider research community has been a guiding principle throughout the existence of VARIENG. The RC introduced their corpus work in the LERU Top Science video series in 2006. To enhance access to corpora, the Helsinki VARIENG team created the Corpus Resource Database (CoRD) http://www.helsinki.fi/varieng/CoRD/index.html. To promote open-access publication in its field of study, it launched the multimedia series, Studies Variation, Contacts and Change in English (http://www.helsinki.fi/varieng/journal/volumes/index.html), which has become e.g. the peer-reviewed publication for the ICAME proceedings.

The high level of VARIENG research can be verified in the large number of publications with international publishers, with handbook articles and editions of handbooks on the increase during recent years. International textbooks and chapters in them have also been (co)authored by VARIENG senior researchers. They are consulted all over the world as they represent the current state of our knowledge in the field, thus impacting the way it is taught and understood internationally.

In contact teaching, several VARIENG senior members are professors and lecturers at the Department of Modern Languages, and regular connections are maintained e.g. with Zurich and Glasgow ERASMUS teaching exchange. The success of research- and problem-based teaching can be seen in the high numbers of students who would like to pursue doctoral studies.
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VARIENG’s outreach activities include taking part in the discussion going on in Finland about globalization and the spread of English, which can also appear as a threat to the national language e.g. in the form of potential domain loss (as has already happened with Swedish as a language of science). In 2007, the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters, with Irma Taavitsainen as the chair, organized a major event "Languages and Cultures under the Pressures of Globalization". The event attracted some 400 participants. In 2005 VARIENG gave a series of Studia Generalia lectures in Kouvola, with several doctoral students as presenters with the senior members. Developed as a joint VARIENG effort, the Survey of the Use of English in Finland was realized by the CoE’s Jyväskylä team.

The VARIENG website also houses a blog about current language issues in Finnish ("Kielen kannoilla"). According to WordPress, the blog attracted 11,757 page views in 2010, and Facebook and Google Reader suggest that it has over 100 regular readers.

- **Ways to strengthen the societal impact of the RC’s research and doctoral training.**

  In line with the VARIENG policy of wide-ranging international co-operation, research and doctoral training will continue to promote a virtual research environment with open-access resources. Multi-skilled professionals are needed in a variety of jobs requiring expertise in knowledge management in the modern information society in Finland and abroad.

  VARIENG post-docs and doctoral students are ‘digital natives’, eager to embrace the opportunities offered by innovative virtual research environments. The vision, experience, and international status of its leaders will guarantee that plans will be successfully carried out in cooperation with international research teams, such as the Glasgow-based ENROLLER.

  VARIENG members’ subject expertise is directly transferrable to teaching, e.g. analysing large corpora to construct both geographical and historical varieties and special languages of various disciplines. Teaching English will also benefit from awareness of global trends and their challenges. Specific knowledge transfer activities will be directed to the general public.

4 INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL (INCL. INTERSECTORAL) RESEARCH COLLABORATION AND RESEARCHER MOBILITY (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- **Description of the RC’s research collaborations and joint doctoral training activities and how the RC has promoted researcher mobility.**

  Research collaboration is one of the cornerstones of the VARIENG RC. Contentwise, it covers the whole research process from materials and methods to dissemination of findings. The projects tabulated in the Appendix show that VARIENG’s international collaboration extends from text editing (EETACS, Salem) and corpus design, annotation, and software development (ARCHER, CEEM, CSC, PCEEC) to statistical and data visualization tools (DAMMOC), and innovative publication projects, which include handbooks and multimedia publications (e.g. in the VARIENG eSeries). Teams range from research networks with many participating universities (ARCHER, Salem) to partnerships of a few individuals, such as editing projects with two editors-in-chief (Jucker & Taavitsainen 2010, Nevalainen & Traugott, forthc.). Typical of the humanities, most projects are funded by the collaborating institutions in their respective countries. Some intersectoral ones, such as DAMMOC, have ear-marked funding (AF MOTIVE programme).

  As shown by their publications, VARIENG scholars have long-standing collaboration with networks of colleagues in other LERU universities (notably Cambridge, Edinburgh, Freiburg, Leiden, and Zürich) and...
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other eminent English language research groups (e.g. Glasgow and Santiago). Promoting internationalization at home, VARIENG has invited numerous leading scholars to Helsinki and received a steady flow of visiting PhD students. Like all VARIENG students, they have benefited from the doctoral training opportunities offered by the CoE (see Section 2).

Innovation within VARIENG has been fostered by team work. A case in point is the DECL project of three doctoral students (Alpo Honkapohja, Samuli Kaislaniemi, and Ville Marttila), which has led the way in producing new-generation digital editions of manuscript materials. Its members have combined their subject specializations with digital know-how and active international networking.

VARIENG members, both senior and junior, regularly present their work at conferences abroad, and organize international conferences in Helsinki: ICAME 27 (2006), FINSSE4 (2007), HEL-LEX2 (2008), Dialogic Language Use 2 (2009), and Helsinki Corpus Festival (autumn 2011). VARIENG scholars have also worked abroad for longer periods of time: e.g. Samuli Kaislaniemi as a visiting scholar at the Centre for Editing Lives and Letters (CELL), University of London (12 months, 2008 and 2010), Terttu Nevalainen as a Leverhulme Visiting Professor at the University of Sheffied (4 months, 2007-8), Irma Taavitsainen as a Fellow at Huntington Library in winter 2008, and Heli Tissari as a visiting scholar at the Aichi Prefectural University, Japan (2 months, 2010).

The collaboration between the Helsinki and Jyväskylä teams in the CoE consortium (2006-11) has proved most fruitful. It has resulted not only in joint research seminars and other shared activities but also in significant researcher mobility, with several Helsinki members working in Jyväskylä (Päivi Pahta as professor, Salla Lähdesmäki as lecturer, Mikko Lahtinen as post doctoral researcher), and one Jyväskylä PhD student (Tiina Räisänen) in Helsinki. A major project undertaken in Jyväskylä with an active Helsinki input is the National Survey of the Use of English in Finland.

- RC’s strengths and challenges related to research collaboration and researcher mobility, and the actions planned for their development.

VARIENG has an outstanding track record in international research collaboration and it is the modus operandi VARIENG-internally. New internal teams with outside funding were set up by Päivi Pahta (2005-08) and Minna Palander-Collin (2009-11). Junior scholars are also encouraged to form international teams, as in Olga Timofeeva’s post-doctoral project on Anglo-Saxon Latin and Jukka Tyrkkö’s EUDDEET project.

The successful forms of collaboration described above will continue, with some such as ARCHER, CEEM and Salem scheduled to run for some time to come. New national and international projects and networks will be initiated and existing ones strengthened, notably with the CLARIN, ENROLLER and SELF initiatives. The RC will continue to organize conferences, symposia and seminars on topics connected with its research.

When the VARIENG CoE funding expires, the CoE collaboration continues as a network, setting a premium on digital resources and online communication. As noted in Section 6, funding for future activities will be sought from both national and international sources.

5 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
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• Description of the operational conditions in the RC’s research environment (e.g. research infrastructure, balance between research and teaching duties).

VARIENG owes its success to its personnel but the necessary backdrop for it is its basic infrastructure, premises and IT resources, including materials. For the last 6 years, VARIENG has had good premises, 20 offices, at Vironkatu 1. One of the larger rooms houses the unique Tauno F. Mustanoja Library, which comprises over 2,000 volumes.

VARIENG’s IT infrastructure includes 40 Windows-based workstations maintained by the University IT department. Besides university-licensed standard software, the RC has its own licenses for concordance software used in corpus research. There is one workstation equipped with a scanner suitable for both image scanning and OCR text recognition, and one offline workstation for software development.

VARIENG’s web-based resources include BNCWeb CQP, a search engine for the British National Corpus, which runs on one of the university’s virtual servers, and CEECer, an in-house search engine the CEEC team developed in collaboration with the Department of Computer Science. A shared network drive is used to make corpora and other materials available to the local members of the RC, and to allow research teams to share their project materials. The MOODLE environment is used for sharing documents between the Helsinki and Jyväskylä branches of the VARIENG CoE. The CoE’s website is maintained on a domain server provided by the University of Helsinki Communications and Community Relations office.

VARIENG has implemented its policy of shared electronic resources in many different ways. Besides the Corpus Resource Database and an open-access multimedia series, the RC has been actively involved in university-wide infrastructure initiatives. Pooling its resources with the Helsinki University Library has given VARIENG access to the EEBO and ECCO TCP-databases, and licenses for corpora such as the Dictionary of Old English Corpus. These are all available through the NELLI portal and thus benefit the research community at large.

VARIENG planning officer Jukka Tyrkkö represented the RC in a University of Helsinki network services project (2007-09), developing online repositories. The project produced the Helsinki Digital Archive (HELDA). The participation also won VARIENG the funding for the audio digitizing of the British English Dialects and HARES projects, making it possible to preserve and develop this major linguistic resource. Terttu Nevalainen represented VARIENG in the Research Data Group (2008-10), looking into the legal issues of data preservation, and her work continues as the Centre Campus representative in the University Infrastructure Committee.

Contributing to research-based teaching, VARIENG members have provided a significant input into MA teaching at the Department of Modern Languages by giving courses e.g. in corpus linguistics (Jukka Tyrkkö, Rod McConchie) and editing (DECL team) and a seminar on language variation and change (Irma Taavitsainen). The overhead teaching required of PhD students is based on their research.

Since Finnish universities do not have a sabbatical system, the research time guaranteed by VARIENG to its senior researchers working at the Department has enabled each of them to concentrate on research for 6 to 12 months in each of two 3-year funding periods of the CoE.

• RC’s strengths and challenges related to operational conditions, and the actions planned for their development.
Crossdisciplinary work has been part of the VARIENG research scenario from the beginning. Its benefits have diversified in projects like DAMMOC, which develops data-mining and visualization tools for corpus linguists (PIs: Mannila, Aalto University; Nevalainen, VARIENG; Räihä, University of Tampere).

Over the last 6 years, VARIENG has developed a strong web presence. Its online publication has become established within the scholarly community and the Corpus Resource Database is used as a standard reference in peer-reviewed articles. The challenge regarding VARIENG’s IT infrastructure and online services concern the imminent end of a steady source of funding. This will make it difficult to maintain the current services, and to answer in the affirmative to incoming queries about cooperation.

New funding will be applied for from the Academy of Finland, private foundations, and the ERC. However, at this juncture, the Department of Modern Languages plays a crucial role in securing sufficient operational conditions for VARIENG activities. Negotiations to that effect have started.

### 6 LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT IN THE RESEARCHER COMMUNITY (MAX. 4400 CHARACTERS WITH SPACES)

- Description of the execution and processes of leadership in the RC, how the management-related responsibilities and roles are distributed in the RC and how the leadership- and management-related processes support high quality research, collaboration between principal investigators and other researchers in the RC, the RC’s research focus and strengthening of the RC’s know-how.

The VARIENG Centre of Excellence has a director (Terttu Nevalainen; 2001-) and a deputy director (Irma Taavitsainen, 2001-); Sirpa Leppänen is responsible for the Jyväskylä operations in the CoE consortium (2006-11). The CoE has a steering committee representing all its personnel groups (senior and post doctoral researchers and postgraduate students). The CoE employs a co-ordinator (Heli Tissari), a part-time planning officer (Jukka Tyrkkö), a computer-support person (Mikko Alapuro), and research assistants, recruited from among undergraduate students.

In order to foster cross-disciplinary and cross-methodological innovation within the CoE, its research organisation aims at flexibility and collaboration. It is structured around three focus domains, subdivided into theme groups. Many researchers are active in more than one focus domain. Three senior researchers co-ordinate each domain and are responsible for liaising between the theme groups within their domain. The focus domains and their co-ordinators are: Language in Society (Hki: Terttu Nevalainen, Arja Nurmi, Jäk: Anne Pitkänen-Huhta), Language as Discourse (Hki: Minna Palander-Collin and Irma Taavitsainen; Jäk: Sirpa Leppänen), and Linguistic Processes and Typology (Hki: Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, Matti Rissanen and Heli Tissari).

The director of the CoE and the domain co-ordinators keep each other informed of the activities within their domain on a regular basis and promote co-operation between them. They collaborate with the Steering Committee in ensuring that all domains and theme groups have equal access to the CoE infrastructure and equal opportunities to share their work with the rest. These shared activities take the form of joint symposia, research seminars, web-based resources (incl. virtual research space and webcam meetings), researcher training, and co-authored publications. The domain co-ordinators compile the domain-specific information for the annual reports of the CoE.

The director of the CoE is responsible for the tasks specified for her by the contract with the Academy of Finland: the academic and administrative leadership of the CoE, including the responsibility for the budget and the overall finances of the CoE. The domain co-ordinators report on the research activities,
progress and results of their respective focus domains to the director. The director and deputy directors carry out the annual personal reviews and salary negotiations with their personnel.

The executive body of the CoE is the Steering Committee, whose duties include making the CoE’s annual funding decisions. The Committee meets once a month during term time; most meetings are held as video conferences. The Steering Committee discusses and makes decisions concerning funding, job applications and policy issues. It promotes the principles of good scholarly practice and ethical conduct within the CoE.

To strengthen the know-how of the CoE, the Academy of Finland has appointed a scientific advisory board, whose foreign experts, as of 2009, are Prof. Christian Mair (U. of Freiburg), Prof. Ben Rampton (King’s College, London), and Prof. Antoinette Renouf (U. of Central England, Birmingham). Over the last six years the Board has met four times, and will meet again in spring 2011.

- **RC’s strengths and challenges related to leadership and management, and the actions planned for developing the processes.**

VARIENG is aware of its responsibility for continuing its activities as an internationally recognised RC and an important element of the image of the University of Helsinki as a member of the League of European Research Universities. The hardest problem in meeting this challenge without a National Centre of Excellence status will be how VARIENG could maintain and further develop its international position and impact in co-operation with leading international partners. To this effect, VARIENG domain and group leaders will:

1. Continue ongoing international co-operation and develop new projects with distinguished researchers world-wide (cf. Appendix).
2. Apply for funding to maintain an infrastructure (staff, premises, equipment) that would ensure the added value provided by a unit consisting of projects functioning in close co-operation.
3. To ensure the continuity of good practices, continue to promote the four-tiered career-structure of the RC, now in-built in the Steering Committee, by including in their activities scholars in different stages of their careers.

### 7 External competitive funding of the RC

- **Listing of the RCs external competitive funding, where:**
  - the funding decisions have been made during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and
  - the administrator of the funding is/has been the University of Helsinki

- **Academy of Finland (AF)** - total amount of funding (in euros) AF has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010: €4,306,963

- **Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation (TEKES)** - total amount of funding (in euros) TEKES has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010:

- **European Union (EU)** - total amount of funding (in euros) EU has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010:

- **European Research Council (ERC)** - total amount of funding (in euros) ERC has decided to allocate to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010:
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- **International and national foundations** - names of international and national foundations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the foundations: Emil Aaltonen, Nordisk Kulturfond, Etelä-Pohjanmaan liitto, Konkordia-liitto, Cordell Scholarship, Eino Jutikkalan Säätiö, Koneen Säätiö, Leverhulme Trust, Suomen Kulttuurirahasto, Suomalais-norjalainen kulttuurirahasto, Ella ja Georg Ehrnroothin säätiö, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned foundations: 303960

- **Other international funding** - names of other international funding organizations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the funding organizations: Evelyn S. Nation Fellowship
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned funding organizations: 3000

- **Other national funding** (incl. EVO funding and Ministry of Education and Culture funded doctoral programme positions) - names of other national funding organizations which have decided to allocate funding to the RC members during 1.1.2005-31.12.2010, and the amount of their funding (in euros).
  - names of the funding organizations: Ministry of Education and Culture, University of Helsinki
  - total amount of funding (in euros) from the above-mentioned funding organizations: 2182482

- **Description of the RC’s future perspectives in respect to research and doctoral training.**

  The VARIENG RC is aware of its responsibility for maintaining its status and continuing its activity as an internationally recognized research unit, promoting Helsinki University as a research-intensive institution. In 2010, the Helsinki University Faculty of Arts came 52nd in the Times Higher Education Arts and Humanities subject ranking, well above the average of the University as a whole (102nd place). As a Centre of Excellence, VARIENG made a significant contribution to this placement: the indicators that make up over 60% of the ratings derive from research (volume, income, reputation, and influence). The RC will strive for best results in its reduced funding situation after 2011. What was achieved during its 12-year CoE period lays a solid foundation for its future work.

  VARIENG is aware that new technical solutions are needed to enable researchers to make use of the increasing resources in a virtual research environment and, to that effect, began infrastructure collaboration with the ENROLLER project at Glasgow University in 2010. Corpus Linguistics and Language Technology are two of the focus areas in the research strategy of the Helsinki Faculty of Arts. VARIENG subject specializations will benefit the Building and Use of Language Technology (BAULT) and the FIN-CLARIN initiatives. Teaching collaboration with these frameworks is also expected to intensify.

  VARIENG’s research projects will continue to make use of the expertise acquired in corpus compilation over the years with a heightened awareness of the contexts from which the data originated. New corpus projects reflect these endeavours, with multimodal features and annotation schemes that open up new research questions that were not possible before. For example, detailed planning of Late Modern English Medical Texts was begun in autumn 2010 by consulting Peter Murray Jones, a Cambridge
medical historian; also other medical historians are collaborating with the project. The new corpus will continue the multimodal approach introduced with EMEMT (2010).

Particular attention will be paid to innovations facilitating the use of sound in the study of dialects, as modelled in the HARES project (2010). Metadata standards are prioritized in the suite of Early English Correspondence Corpora, to be completed in 2011. A collaborative project with the dialectologist Liselotte Anderwald (Kiel) will extend its coverage to 1900. Based on new transcripts of the MSS, the Corpus of Records of the Salem Witch-Hunt project (2011-13) will raise corpus users' awareness of these original data sources.

Renewal is part of the VARIENG policy for 2011-13. Both junior and senior members took active part in the planning of the future of VARIENG in 2010. As a result, innovative subject areas emerged. Plurilectalism is one of them. In the new network established, the members combine resources for synchronic and diachronic study of plurilectalism, compiling a corpus of Anglo-Saxon Latin (Olga Timofeeva and Matti Kilpiö with an international team of consultants) and corpora of contemporary English as a Lingua Franca produced by Prof. Anna Mauranen and her team, who actively participated in the planning process. VARIENG members specializing in historical code-switching will also take part.

Another initiative resulting from the planning process is textual culture. Historical linguists have traditionally focused on authors of texts, while scholarship in the adjacent field of book history has shown that printers, publishers and sellers influenced the production of not only the book as an object, but also of the text itself. The concept of “genre” in linguistics needs serious re-evaluation in the light of actual texts in their sociohistorical context. This approach has inspired a new project that will combine book history with corpora and corpus linguistics (Carla Suhr, Ville Marttila, Jukka Tyrkkö, 2011-).

The RC is balanced to promote researcher careers. It currently consists of a dozen senior scholars, including three emeriti, and a number of post-doctoral researchers. Of the externally funded PhD students, five are scheduled to complete their degrees in 2012-13. Funding will be sought for post doctoral researchers. Running until 2014, Terttu Nevalainen’s Academy Professor’s project on historical sociolinguistics will employ one, and other applications are pending.

As the Director of VARIENG, Academy Professor Terttu Nevalainen was in charge of planning the process, in close consultation with the RC’s Deputy Director, Professor Irma Taavitsainen and Coordinator Dr Heli Tissari. For this document:

- Terttu Nevalainen wrote sections 1, 4 and 6, parts of 2 and 3, and most of 5 and 8.
- Irma Taavitsainen contributed to section 1, wrote most of sections 2 and 3, and parts of 8.
- VARIENG’s Planning Officer Jukka Tyrkkö and computer support person Mikko Alapuro wrote parts of section 5.
- Heli Tissari compiled the information for section 7.
- All senior scholars contributed to the Appendix, which Mikko Alapuro compiled and Irma Taavitsainen finalized.
## Attachment 1. Nevalainen / Research co-operation at VARIENG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>Organization + individuals</th>
<th>Form/purpose</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corpora</td>
<td>USA, Germany, UK, Switzerland, Spain and Sweden</td>
<td>Northern Arizona U, U of Southern Ca, U of Michigan, Albert-Ludwigs-U, Freiburg, Reuprecht-Karls-U, Heidelberg, Otto-Friedrich-U, Bamberg, U of Manchester, Lancaster U, U of Zürich, U of Santiago de Compostela, Uppsala U</td>
<td>The network completes and expands a significant computerised corpus intended for the study of the history of English. The corpus covers both British and American English from the 17th to the 20th century. ARCHER is one of the central sources for the study of Late Modern English.</td>
<td>2005-2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERES</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>U of Sheffield, Joan Bird</td>
<td>HARES is a collection of interviews gathered by Finnish fieldworkers in England in the 1970s and 1980s. HARES-CAM combines the digital audio files with orthographic transcriptions and XML-annotated metadata.</td>
<td>2009-2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus of 17th-century Letters</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>U of Kiel (Lieselotte Anderwald)</td>
<td>Corpus design and compilation, extending the Corpus of Early English Correspondence into the early 20th century.</td>
<td>2009-2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsed Corpus of Early English Correspondence</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Anthony Warner, Ann Taylor and Susan Piniak (DP of Language and Linguistic Science, U of York)</td>
<td>Tagging (Anja Nurmi) and parsing (Ann Taylor) the Corpus of Early English Correspondence: Available through the Oxford Text Archive.</td>
<td>&gt; 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Modern English Medical Texts (Kaarina, CEEM team)</td>
<td>Great Britain, Germany</td>
<td>U of Tampere (Pierri Palha), King’s College, Cambridge (Peter Murray Jones), U of Duisburg-Essen, Germany (Raymond Hickey)</td>
<td>Corpus compilation.</td>
<td>2005-2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annotation of the Corpus of Scottish Correspondence, 1500-1717 (Moran-Solna)</td>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>Institute for Historical Dialectology, U of Edinburgh, Margaret Lang, Roger Lass, Keith Williamson</td>
<td>Corpus annotation.</td>
<td>2005-2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medieval Latin from Anglo-Saxon Sources (Tuumveera, Kilpiö)</td>
<td>UK, Switzerland</td>
<td>U of Oxford (David Howlett), U of Cambridge (Michael Lapidge), U of Zurich (Philipp Kroll)</td>
<td>Corpus compilation of medieval Latin texts from insular English sources (7th-11th centuries A.D.). The corpus will be the first ever electronic resource for the study of the medieval lingua franca as used in Anglo-Saxon England.</td>
<td>2010-2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus tools</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Aalto U School of Science (Heikki Mannila), Panagiotis Papageorgiou, Jefrey Lijffijt, Tampere U, Dept of Computer Science (Kari Jouko Räihä, Harri Siirtola)</td>
<td>Developing data-mining and visualization tools and techniques for analyzing and comparing language use in different types of media. Academy of Finland MOTIVE programme.</td>
<td>2009-2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Database for Descriptors of English Electronic Texts (EUDEDEET) (Tukkila)</td>
<td>Germany, Belgium</td>
<td>U of Bielefeld (Harro-Lingen Ehlers), U of Leuven (Hendrik de Smet)</td>
<td>The network compiles a database of English historical texts from open-access repositories. The database will enhance the usefulness of primary data currently available for linguistics and literary studies and improve the coordination of descriptive metadata in historical corpus linguistics.</td>
<td>2008-2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantifying Change (Nevalainen, Raumolin-Brunberg)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Helsinki Institute for Information Technology (HIIT), (Heikki Mannila)</td>
<td>Developing adequate statistical techniques for modelling language change in its social context.</td>
<td>2015-2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dictionaries and handbooks</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>The Dictionary of Old English (DOE), Antonette diPolo Henekey, director-in-chief of the DOE</td>
<td>Drafting entries for the DOE, among them the high-frequency verb habban ‘have’.</td>
<td>2005-2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Oxford Handbook of the History of English (Nevalainen)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>U of Stanford, USA (Elizabeth Traugott)</td>
<td>Planning and editing The Oxford Handbook of the History of English, in collaboration with c. 80 contributors from all over the world (OUP 2012).</td>
<td>2009-2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Pragmatics, Handbook of Pragmatics Vol. 8 Mouton de Gruyter, (Kaarina)</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>U of Zurich, Switzerland (Andreas H. Jucker)</td>
<td>Collaboration with U of Central Lancaster (Dawn Aronson), U of Vancouver, (Leslie Amovick, Laurel Brinton), U of Groningen (Marc van Boeijen), U of Bern, Switzerland (Beatrice Basch), U of Duisburg-Essen (Claudia Chumley), U of Lancaster (Jonathan Culpeper), Humboldt State U, USA (Kathleen Dokey), Sheffield U (Susan F tiermats, U of Giessen</td>
<td>2008-2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attachment 1. Nevalainen / Research co-operation at VARIENG

| Review essays on OE syntax for Year’s Work in Old English Studies (Kilpiö) | USA and Japan | Harvard U (Daniel Donoghue), U of Tokyo (Jun Terawawa). Annual reviews. | 2010- |
| Records of the Salem Witch-Hunt (Kahlas-Tarkka) | USA and Sweden | The United States: State U of New York, Binghamton (Bernard Rosenthal), Texas Tech U (Gretchen A. Adams), St Paul’s School, Concord, New Hampshire (Margo Burns), U of Kansas, Lawrence (Peter Grund); U of Virginia (Benjamin C. Ray), Town Archive, Dartmouth, Massachusetts (Richard B. Trunk), Independent Scholar, Watertown, Massachusetts (Mariyyn K. Rouch). Uppsala U (Merja Kyttä), U of Tartu (Raivo Hiltunen, Mati Pektola). The project will expand the knowledge and contribute to the research of Early Modern English, particularly from the point of view of regional and sociolinguistic variation. The thousand-page volume, *Records of the Salem Witch-Hunt*, which consists of all extant documents of the Salem witch trials, came out in January 2009 (CUP). Future work includes compiling a corpus based on the document material of the printed volume. | 1998-2013 |
| The Codifiers and the English Language project (Sairio) | The Netherlands | Leiden U (Elin Kulling-Bos van Osuade). PhD thesis research and collaboration in project activities. Workshops and presentations. | 2006-2008 |
| Medical Writing in Early Modern English (Taavitsainen) | Finland | U of Tampere (Patrik Peltomäki). Collaboration with Northern Arizona U (Douglas Biber and Bethany Elke Gray), U of Michigan (Anne Curzan), U of Bergamo (Maurizio Gotti). | 2007-2010 |
| Speech Acts in the History of English (Taavitsainen) | Switzerland | U of Zurich, Switzerland (Andreas H. Jucker). Collaboration with U of Central Lancashire (Theos Archer), U of Zurich (Barbara Brunstedt, and Gerold Schneider), U of Ulmer (Ulrike Buse), U of Lancaster (Jonathan Cilipper), U of Florence (Gabriella del Lungo), U of Eichstätt (Joachim Gareis), U of Cologne (Thomas Kohlert). | 2006-2010 |
| Workshops / symposia | Germany | U of Munich (Ulrike Lenker) and ten scholars from Finland, Germany, the Netherlands, Poland, Spain, and Canada. Publication of the volume *Connectives in the History of English* (ed. by Lenker and Meurman-Solin) Amsterdam: Benjamins. | 2005-2007 |
| Symposium (Meurman-Solin) | Germany | U of Eichstätt (Ulrike Lenker). Publication of the volume *Connectives in synchrony and diachrony in European languages. (VARIENG e-series 2011).* | 2010-2011 |
| Workshop at ICAME 27 (Meurman-Solin, Nurmi) | UK, Germany, Norway, USA | 13 international participants. Publication of *Denoting variation and change* (VARIENG e-series 2007) http://www.helsinki.fi/varieng/journal/volumes/01/ | 2007 |
| Other | Great Britain | King’s College London (Elizabeth Eger) and U of Sheffield (Susan Fitzmaurice ). How written language is used to express social relationships and construct identities in English from the sixteenth to the nineteenth century. | 2010-2011 |
| Language and identity (Palander-Collin, Nevalainen) | Estonia, Switzerland | U of Tartu, Swiss National Center of Affective Sciences. Comparing the conceptualization of emotion words between languages and cultures. | 2008-2010 |
## 1 Analysis of publications

**Publication type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication type</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Total Count 2005 - 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 Refereed journal article</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 Review in scientific journal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3 Contribution to book/other compilations (refereed)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4 Article in conference publication (refereed)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 Unrefereed journal article</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 Contribution to book/other compilations (non-refereed)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 Published scientific monograph</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 Article in professional journal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 Article in professional hand or guide book or in a professional data system, or text book material</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5 Text book or professional handbook or guidebook or dictionary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 Popular article, newspaper article</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 Popular contribution to book/other compilations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1 Audiovisual materials</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2 ICT programs or applications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Listing of publications

A1 Refereed journal article

2005

2006
Hall, A 2006, ‘Calling the shots: The Old English remedy Gif hors ofscoten sie and Anglo-Saxon “El-Shot”, Neuphilologische Mitteilungen, vol 106, pp. 195-209.
Hall, A 2006, ‘Hygelac’s only daughter: A present, a potentate and a peaceweaver in Beowulf’, Studia Neophilologica, vol 78, pp. 81-87.

2007
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### RC-Specific TUHAT Compilations of Publications Data 2005-2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Volume Number</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Hall, A.</td>
<td>'The P-Celtic Place-Names of North-East England and South-East Scotland'</td>
<td>The Heroic Age</td>
<td>vol 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Laitinen, M</td>
<td>'Sociolinguistic patterns in grammaticalisation: he, they, and those in human indefinite reference'</td>
<td>Language Variation and Change</td>
<td>vol 20, no. 1</td>
<td>pp. 155-185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Laitinen, M</td>
<td>'Demystifying generic expressions and common-number pronouns in English'</td>
<td>Neuphilologische Mitteilungen</td>
<td>vol 108, no. 5</td>
<td>pp. 703-707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Mauranen, A</td>
<td>'Refleksivnost diskurza pri mednarodnih govorcih: raba v angles;ci; imi kot lingui franco'</td>
<td>Jezik in Slovstvo</td>
<td>vol 52, no. 3-4</td>
<td>pp. 33-51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Tyrkkö, J</td>
<td>'Making Sense of Digital Textuality'</td>
<td>European Journal of English Studies</td>
<td>vol 11, no. 2</td>
<td>pp. 147-161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Laitinen, M</td>
<td>'Social variation in intensifier use: constraint on -ly adverbialization in the past?'</td>
<td>English Language and Linguistics</td>
<td>vol 12, no. 2</td>
<td>pp. 345-359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Mauranen, A, Ranta, E</td>
<td>'English as an Academic Lingua Franca'</td>
<td>Nordic Journal of English studies</td>
<td>vol 7, no. 2</td>
<td>pp. 289-315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Rissanen, M</td>
<td>'From ‘quickly’ to ‘fairly’: On the history of rather'</td>
<td>English Language and Linguistics</td>
<td>vol 12, no. 2</td>
<td>pp. 345-359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Siano, A</td>
<td>'A social network study of eighteenth-century Bluestockings: The progressive and preposition stranding in their letters'</td>
<td>Historical Sociolinguistics and Sociohistorical Linguistics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Stenvall, MSI</td>
<td>'On emotions and the journalistic ideals of factuality and objectivity – tools for analysis'</td>
<td>Journal of Pragmatics</td>
<td>vol 40, no. 9</td>
<td>pp. 1569-1586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Stenvall, MSI</td>
<td>'Unnamed sources as rhetorical constructs in news agency reports'</td>
<td>Journalism studies</td>
<td>vol 9, no. 2</td>
<td>pp. 229-243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Taavitsainen, I, Pahta, P</td>
<td>'From global language use to local meanings: English in Finnish public discourses'</td>
<td>English today</td>
<td>vol 20, no. 3</td>
<td>pp. 25-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Mauranen, A</td>
<td>'chunking in ELF expressions for managing interaction'</td>
<td>Intercultural Pragmatics</td>
<td>vol 6, no. 2</td>
<td>pp. 217-233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Nevala, MK</td>
<td>'Altering distance and defining authority: person reference in Late Modern English'</td>
<td>Journal of historical pragmatics</td>
<td>vol 10, no. 2</td>
<td>pp. 238-259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Taavitsainen, I</td>
<td>'My brother thessu Crist that is the principal leche [...]': religious discourse in Middle English medical writing</td>
<td>Poetica</td>
<td>vol 72, pp. 59-76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Timofeeva, O</td>
<td>'Translating the texts where et verborum ordo mysterium est: Late Old English idiom vs. ablativus absolutus'</td>
<td>Journal of Medieval Latin</td>
<td>vol 18</td>
<td>pp. 217-229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Valtosen, I</td>
<td>'On descriptions and perceptions of the north in ancient and early medieval geography'</td>
<td>Nowele : North-Western European language evolutions</td>
<td>vol 56/57 (2009), pp. 53-87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A2 Review in scientific journal

2007


A3 Contribution to book/other compilations (refereed)

2005
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VARIENG/Nevalainen


2006


Tyrkkö, J (2006) 'From tokens to symptoms: 300 years of developing discourse on medical diagnosis in English medical writing', in I Taavitsainen, M Dossena (eds), Diachronic Perspectives on Domain-Specific English, Peter Lang, Bern, pp. 229-255.


2007


Lahtinen, M 2007, 'The development of English indefinite pronouns and anaphoric he and they in 1500-1800', in M Rissanen, M Hintikka, L Kahlas-Tarkka, R McConchie (eds), Change in Meaning and the meaning of change, Mémoires de la Société Neophilologique de Helsinki, no. 72, Société Neophilologique, Helsinki, pp. 279-311.


Mauranen, A 2007, 'Why translate if you can read the original?', Text, processes, and corpora, Kansainvälinen viestinnän laitoksen julkaisuja, vol. 5, Joensuu yliopisto, Joensuu, pp. 3-21.


Meurman-Solin, A 2007, Annotating variational space over time: Annotating variation and change, Studies in variation, contacts and change in English, The Research Unit for Variation, Contacts and Change in English (VARIENG), Helsinki.

Meurman-Solin, A, Nurmi, A 2007, 'Introduction', Annotating variation and change, Studies in variation, contacts and change in English, The Research Unit for Variation, Contacts and Change in English (VARIENG), Helsinki.

Meurman-Solin, A 2007, 'The manuscript-based diachronic Corpus of Scottish Correspondence', Creating and digitizing language corpora, Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke, pp. 127-147.


Nurmi, A 2007, 'Employing and elaborating annotation for the study of modality', Annotating variation and change, Studies in variation, contacts and change in English, The Research Unit for Variation, Contacts and Change in English (VARIENG), Helsinki.


Palander-Collin, M 2007, 'What kind of corpus annotation is needed in sociopragmatic research?', Annotating variation and change, Studies in variation, contacts and change in English, The Research Unit for Variation, Contacts and Change in English (VARIENG), Helsinki.


2008

2010


Sairio, A. 2010. ‘If you think me obstinate I can’t help it’: Exploring the epistolary styles and social roles of Elizabeth Montagu and Sarah Scott, in M. Nevala, A. Nurmi, P. Pahta, M. Palander-Collin (eds), Social Roles and Language Practices in Late Modern English, John Benjamins, pp. 87-109.


Tyrkkö, J. 2010. 'Hyperlinks: Keywords or Key Words?', in M Bondi, S Mike (eds), Keyness in Texts: Corpus linguistic investigations, Studies in Corpus Linguistics, John Benjamins, Amsterdam, pp. 79-91.


A4 Article in conference publication (refereed)

2006


2007


2009
Tisari, H, Chezek, T 2009, Linguists to engineers: Explaining the meaning of service,.

2010

B1 Unrefereed journal article

2005
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2007


2008
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VARIENG/Nevalainen


2009
Tissari, H 2009, ‘“For fear or hope of reward” : On Prepositions Occurring with Nouns for Emotions’, Helsinki English studies, vol 5.

2010

B2 Contribution to book/other compilations (non-refereed)

2005

2006

15
2007

2008

2009

2010

C1 Published scientific monograph

2005

2006

2007
Meurman-Solin, A 2007, Manual to the Corpus of Scottish Correspondence (CSC), University of Helsinki, Helsinki.

2009

2010

C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal

2005
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2008

2009
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RC-SPECIFIC TUHAT COMPILATIONS OF PUBLICATIONS DATA 2005-2010

VARIENG/Nevalainen

Tissari, H (ed.) 2009, Approaches to Language and Cognition, Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English, no. 3, Research Unit for Variation, Contacts and Change in English, University of Helsinki, Helsinki.

2010
Mauranen, A, Hynninen, N (eds) 2010, Helsinki English Studies: Special Issue on English as a Lingua Franca, Helsinki English Studies: Special Issue on English as a Lingua Franca, vol. 6, Department of Modern Languages, English Unit, University of Helsinki.
Vasko, A 2010, The Openen-Vasko Cambridgeshire Corpus, University of Helsinki, Department of Modern Languages, Research Unit for Variation, Contacts and Change in English.

D1 Article in professional journal

2010

D2 Article in professional hand or guide book or in a professional data system, or text book material

2005

D5 Text book or professional handbook or guidebook or dictionary
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VARIENG/Nevalainen

2006

**E1 Popular article, newspaper article**

2005
Pahta, P 2005, 'Threat or opportunity?', University of Helsinki Digital Communications.

2006

2008

2009

2010

**E1 Popular contribution to book/other compilations**

2007
Hall, A 2007, 'Contributions to Wikipedia', Wikipedia.

**I1 Audiovisual materials**

2006
Helsinki Corpus of British English Dialects

2010
Helsinki Archive of Regional English Speech (HARES): Cambridgeshire sampler (HARES-CAM)

**I2 ICT programs or applications**

2010
Early Modern English Medical Texts: Corpus, CD-ROM
1 Analysis of activities 2005-2010

Associated person is one of Diana ben-Aaron, Kanerva Heikkinen, Aino Hornqvist, Samuli Kasilanen, Mikko Latinen, Anu Lehto, Anna Maunonen, Anna Maukkanen-Solem, Anja Nevalainen, Karin Pelkonen, Maura Ratia, Anna Sarjo, Kaija Tynkkilä, Irina Tsaikova, Jukka Tykkilä, Imari Vuorenmaa, Martti Vahvanen, Turo Vartiainen, Anna-Liisa Vasko, Aune Osterman

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity type</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prizes and awards</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of research journal</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of research anthology/collection/conference proceedings</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer review of manuscripts</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of series</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor of special theme number</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of candidates for academic posts</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in review committee</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in research network</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in national/international committees, council, board</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role in public Finnish or international organization</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in interview for written media</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in TV programme</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in interview for web based media</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Listing of activities 2005-2010

Supervisor or co-supervisor of doctoral thesis

Leena Kahlas-Tarkka,
Supervisor, Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 2009 → 2010, Finland

Matti Kilpiö,
Supervision of doctoral thesis, Matti Kilpiö, 01.01.2007 → 30.06.2007, United States

Mikko Laitinen
PhD thesis supervision, Mikko Laitinen, 2009 → ..., Finland

Terttu Nevalainen,
Supervisor and steering committee member, Interdisciplinary network for postgraduate studies "Meaning, Language and Changing Cultures", Terttu Nevalainen, 2000 → ..., Finland
PhD thesis supervisor, Terttu Nevalainen, 2002 → 2007, Finland
PhD thesis supervisor, Terttu Nevalainen, 2002 → 2009, Finland
PhD thesis supervisor, Terttu Nevalainen, 2004 → 2008, Finland
PhD thesis supervisor, Terttu Nevalainen, 2005 → ..., Finland
PhD thesis supervisor, Terttu Nevalainen, 2005 → ..., Finland
PhD thesis supervisor, Terttu Nevalainen, 2005 → ..., Finland
PhD thesis supervisor, Terttu Nevalainen, 2007 → ..., Finland
PhD thesis supervisor, Terttu Nevalainen, 2009 → ..., Finland
PhD thesis supervisor, Terttu Nevalainen, 2010 → ..., Finland
PhD thesis supervisor, Terttu Nevalainen, 2010 → ..., Finland

Minna Palander-Collin,
PhD Thesis Supervision, Minna Palander-Collin, 2005 → ...
PhD Thesis Supervision, Minna Palander-Collin, 05.2008 → ...
PhD Thesis Supervision, Minna Palander-Collin, 03.2009, Finland
PhD Thesis Supervision, Minna Palander-Collin, 01.2010 → ...

Helena Raumolin-Brunberg,
(Co-)supervisor of Mikko Laitinen’s doctoral thesis, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2005 → 2007, Finland
Supervisor of Turo Vartiainen’s doctoral thesis, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2006 → 2010, Finland
(Co-)supervisor of Teo Juvonen’s doctoral thesis, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2007 → 2010, Finland

Matti Rissanen,
Supervisor: The North in the Old English Orosius, Matti Rissanen, 2000 → 2008
PhD thesis, second supervisor, Matti Rissanen, 2005 → ...
PhD thesis second supervisor, Matti Rissanen, 2007 → ...

Irma Taavitsainen,
PhD thesis supervision, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2005 → ..., Finland
PhD thesis supervision, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2005 → ..., Finland
PhD thesis supervision, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2005 → ..., Finland
PhD thesis supervision, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2006 → ..., Finland
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PhD thesis supervision, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2007 → ...
PhD thesis supervision, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2007 → ...
PhD thesis supervision, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2008 → ..., Finland
PhD thesis supervision, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2009 → ..., Finland
PhD thesis supervision, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2010 → 19.11.2010

Heli Tissari,

Anna-Liisa Vasko,
thesis supervision, Anna-Liisa Vasko, 2009 → 2010, Finland

Prizes and awards

Matti Kilpiö,
Recipient (on 10 September) of the biennial prize Valoisus keskiaika ('The Luminous Middle Ages') conferred by the Glossa society., Matti Kilpiö, 10.09.2010

Ville Marttila,

Terttu Nevalainen,
Member of the Finnish Academy of Sciences and Letters, Terttu Nevalainen, 2001 → ..., Finland
City of Helsinki Research Award, Terttu Nevalainen, 22.05.2006, Finland
Leverhulme Visiting Professorship, Terttu Nevalainen, 09.2007 → 12.2007, United Kingdom
Japan Society for the Promotion of Science fellowship, Terttu Nevalainen, 05.2008, Japan

Matti Rissanen,
Honorary Member, Modern Language Society, Matti Rissanen, 2005, Finland
Honorary Member, The Japan Association for English Corpus Studies, Matti Rissanen, 22.04.2006, Japan
Honorary Award, Finnish Academy of Science and Letters, Matti Rissanen, 2007

Irma Taavitsainen,
Member of the Finnish Academy of Sciences and Letters, Irma Taavitsainen, 2003 → ..., Finland
Fellowship at the Huntington, San Marino, California, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.2008 → 03.2008

Heli Tissari,
Visiting JSPS researcher at the Aichi Prefectural University, Japan January-February 2010 (JSPS = Japan Society for the Promotion of Science), Heli Tissari, 02.01.2010 → 04.03.2010, Japan

Editor of research journal

Alaric Hall
Editorial advisory board member, eSharp, Alaric Hall, 2005 → 2007, United Kingdom

Matti Kilpiö,
Anglo-Saxons and the North, Matti Kilpiö, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, United States
Journal of Historical Pragmatics, Matti Kilpiö, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Mirator, Matti Kilpiö, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
Neuphilologische Mitteilungen, Matti Kilpiö, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Anneli Meurman-Solin,
Publications of the Forum for Research into the Languages of Scotland and Ulster, Anneli Meurman-Solin, 01.01.2007 → ..., United Kingdom
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Publications of the Forum for Research into the Languages of Scotland and Ulster, Anneli Meurman-Solin, 01.01.2008 → ..., United Kingdom

Terttu Nevalainen,
Member of editorial board, Journal of Historical Pragmatics, Terttu Nevalainen, 2000 → ..., Netherlands
Member of editorial board, International Journal of English Studies, Terttu Nevalainen, 2002 → ..., Spain
Member of editorial board, NOWELE, Terttu Nevalainen, 2002 → ..., Denmark
Member of editorial board, Historical Sociolinguistics and Sociohistorical Linguistics, Terttu Nevalainen, 2003 → ..., Netherlands
Editor, Neuphilologische Mitteilungen, Terttu Nevalainen, 2004 → ..., Finland
Member of editorial board, English Language and Linguistics, Terttu Nevalainen, 2007 → ..., United Kingdom
Member of editorial board, Studia Anglica Poznaniensia, Terttu Nevalainen, 2007 → ..., Poland
Member of editorial board, Transactions of the Philological Society, Terttu Nevalainen, 2008 → ..., United Kingdom

Helena Raumolin-Brunberg,

Matti Rissanen,
Member of Editorial Board, Nowele, Matti Rissanen, 01.01.2000 → 31.12.2005
Member of Editorial Board, Studia Anglica Posnaniensia, Matti Rissanen, 01.01.2000 → ...
Member of the Advisory Board: English Language and Linguistics, Matti Rissanen, 2000 → 2005, United Kingdom

Irma Taavitsainen,
Journal of Historical Pragmatics, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2000 → ..., Netherlands
Corpora: Member of the editorial board, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2007 → ..., United Kingdom
European Journal of English Studies (EJES): Member of editorial board, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2010
Textus, Italian Journal of English Studies: Member of the editorial board, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2008 → ..., Italy

Heli Tissari,
SKY Journal of Linguistics, Heli Tissari, 2008 → 2010, Finland

Editor of research anthology/collection/conference proceedings

Alexandra Fodor,

Leena Kahlas-Tarkka,
Editor of the volume "Anglo-Saxons and the North", Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2008, United States
Editor of "Change in Meaning and the Meaning of Change: Studies in Semantics and Grammar from Old to Present-Day English, Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 2007 → ..., Finland
Mémoires de la Société Néophilologique, nr 72 Change in Meaning and the Meaning of Change, Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Mikko Laitinen
Types of Variation, Mikko Laitinen, 2006

Ville Marttila,
Martti Sarkki Mäkinen,
Middle English Medical Text 1375-1500s. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins. CD-ROM., Martti Sarkki Mäkinen, 2000 → 2005

Anneli Meurman-Solin,
Ihmistieteet tänään, Anneli Meurman-Solin, 2005, Finland
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Annotating variation and change, Anneli Meurman-Solin, 2007, Finland

Connectives in the History of English, Anneli Meurman-Solin, 2007, Netherlands


Nevalainen, Terttu & Sanna-Kaisa Taaski, eds. Letter Writing (Benjamins Current Topics 1). Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, Terttu Nevalainen, 2007, Finland

Pahta, Päivi, Jukka Tytkkö, Terttu Nevalainen & Irma Taavitsainen, eds. Towards Multimedia in Corpus Studies (Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English 2). Terttu Nevalainen, 2007, Finland


Päivi I Pahta


Middle English Medical Text 1375-1500s. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins. CD-ROM, Päivi I Pahta, 2000 → 2005

Minna Palander-Collin


Social Roles and Language Practices in Late Modern English, Minna Palander-Collin, 2010


Maura Ratia


Matti Rissanen

Records of the Salem Witch-Hunt, Matti Rissanen, 1999 → 2009

Corpus-based studies of diachronic English, Matti Rissanen, 2005 → 2006

Carla Suhr


Tanja Säily

Co-editor of the HEL-LEX 1 proceedings, Tanja Säily, 2005 → 2006

Co-editor of a Festschrift for Rod McConchie, Tanja Säily, 2009 → 2011

Irma Taavitsainen


Middle English Medical Text 1375-1500s. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins. CD-ROM, Irma Taavitsainen, 2000 → 2005

Medical and Scientific Writing in English 1375-1500, Irma Taavitsainen, 2004 → ..., United Kingdom

Diachronic Perspectives on Domain-specific English, Irma Taavitsainen, 2005 → 2006, Switzerland

Dialogic Language Use: Address in Focus, Irma Taavitsainen, 2005 → 2006

Methods in Historical Pragmatics, Irma Taavitsainen, 2005 → 2007, Germany


The Dynamics of Language Variation. Corpus evidence on English past and present, Irma Taavitsainen, 2007 → 2008, Netherlands

Towards Multimedia in Corpus Studies. Edited by Päivi Pahta, Irma Taavitsainen, Terttu Nevalainen and Jukka Tytkkö. Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English Volume 2, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2007, Finland

Historical Pragmatics, Irma Taavitsainen, 2008 → 2010, Germany

Medical Writing in Early Modern English, Irma Taavitsainen, 2008 → 2011, United Kingdom
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Early Modern English Medical Texts: Corpus Description and Studies, Irma Taavitsainen, 2009 → 2010

Olga Timofeeva,
Selected Papers from HEL-LEX, Olga Timofeeva, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland
Anglo-Saxons and the North, Olga Timofeeva, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, United States
Methods and the Medievalist, Olga Timofeeva, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, United Kingdom

Heli Tissari,
Co-editor of Selected Proceedings of the 2005 Symposium on New Approaches in English Historical Lexis (HEL-LEX), Heli Tissari, 2005
Editor of Approaches to Language and Cognition, Heli Tissari, 2005 → 2009, Finland
Editor of "Kahdeksan näkökulmaa hyveeseen kirjallisuudessa" (Eight views on virtue in literature), Heli Tissari, 2007 → ...
Editor of Historical Cognitive Linguistics, Heli Tissari, 2007 → 2010

Jukka Tyrkkö,
Editor, Jukka Tyrkkö, 28.04.2008 → 01.05.2009, United States

Peer review of manuscripts

Alaric Hall
Neuphilologische Mitteilungen, Alaric Hall, 2006 → ..., Finland

Alpo Honkapohja
Routledge Annotated Bibliography of English Studies, Alpo Honkapohja, 2007 → 2011, United Kingdom
Neuphilologische Mitteilungen, Alpo Honkapohja, 2010, Finland

Leena Kahlas-Tarkka
Member of the scientific advisory board of Neuphilologische Mitteilungen (English Philology), Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 2005 → ..., Finland
Referees of articles for the SKY Journal, Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 01.01.2007 → ..., Finland

Minna Karita Korhonen
Reviewer for the ICAME27 proceedings (2 articles), Minna Karita Korhonen, 2007

Mikko Laitinen
ICAME 27 proceedings, Mikko Laitinen, 2007
Language Variation and Change, Mikko Laitinen, 2009

Roderick McConchie
Neuphilologische Mitteilungen, Roderick McConchie, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
Folia Linguistica Historica: "The Limits of my Language are the Limits of my World: The Scientific Lexicon from 1350 to 1640", Roderick McConchie, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
ICAME27 proceedings, Roderick McConchie, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, United Kingdom
Neuphilologische Mitteilungen: Traditional English? Chaucerian Methods of Word-formation, Roderick McConchie, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland
Text type and productivity in Middle English word-formation: The case of dis-, in-, mis-, and un-, Roderick McConchie, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007
"The Nomenclature of some French and Italian Fireworks in Eighteenth-Century London", Roderick McConchie, 2010 → ..., United Kingdom
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Anneli Meurman-Solin,
Peer review of manuscripts for Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English, Anneli Meurman-Solin, 2006 → ..., Finland

Minna Kristiina Nevala,
Journal of Historical Pragmatics, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2005, Netherlands
Journal of Historical Pragmatics, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2006, Netherlands
Pragmatics and Beyond NS, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2007
Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English Volume 2: Towards Multimedia in Corpus Studies, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2007, Finland
Historical Linguistics of English: An International Handbook, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2008, Germany
Intercultural Pragmatics, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2008
Journal of Historical Pragmatics, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2009
Arviolta, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2010
Arviolta, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2010
Arviolta, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2010

Terttu Nevalainen,
Neuphilologische Mitteilungen, Terttu Nevalainen, 1999 → ..., Finland
Language Variation and Change (6), Terttu Nevalainen, 2000 → 2005
Diachronica (4), Terttu Nevalainen, 2005 → 2010, Netherlands
Folia Linguistica (1), Terttu Nevalainen, 2006
Historical Sociolinguistics/Socio-historical Linguistics (3), Terttu Nevalainen, 2006 → 2009, Netherlands
Language (2), Terttu Nevalainen, 2006 → 2010, United States
Review of a book proposal (Edinburgh University Press), Terttu Nevalainen, 2006, United Kingdom
Review of book proposals (Blackwell; 2), Terttu Nevalainen, 2006 → 2007, United Kingdom
Review of submissions for the annual Richard M. Hogg Prize, Terttu Nevalainen, 2008 → 2011, United Kingdom
English Language and Linguistics (1), Terttu Nevalainen, 2009, United Kingdom
Journal of Sociolinguistics (1), Terttu Nevalainen, 2009, United Kingdom
Linguistics (1), Terttu Nevalainen, 2009, Germany

Arja Nurmi,
English Language and Linguistics, Arja Nurmi, 2006
Neuphilologische Mitteilungen, Arja Nurmi, 2006 → 2009
English Language and Linguistics, Arja Nurmi, 2008
Journal of Historical Pragmatics, Arja Nurmi, 2008
Historical Linguistics of English (Handbooks of Linguistics and Communication Science), Arja Nurmi, 2009
Grammaticalisation Handbook, Arja Nurmi, 2010

Minna Palander-Collin,
SKY Journal of Linguistics, Minna Palander-Collin, 01.06.2007 → 30.06.2007, Finland
VARIENG/Nevalainen

Handbook of Grammaticalization, Minna Palander-Collin, 2010, United Kingdom
Handbook on the History of English: Rethinking and Extending Approaches and Methods, Minna Palander-Collin, 2010, United Kingdom
Journal of Historical Pragmatics, Minna Palander-Collin, 2010
Poznan Studies in Contemporary Linguistics, Minna Palander-Collin, 2010

Helena Raumolin-Brunberg,
Review of an article for the journal English Language and Linguistics, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2005
Peer review of an article for English Language and Linguistics, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2006
Peer review of an article for Folia Linguistica, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2006
Peer review of an article for Journal of Historical Pragmatics, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2006
Peer review of article for Neuphilologische Mitteilungen, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2006
Peer review of article for Nevalainen, Klemola &amp; Latinen (eds): Types of Variation (Benjamins), Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2006
Review of an article for Historical Sociolinguistics / Sociohistorical Linguistics, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2006
Reviewer of an article for NOWELE, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2006, Denmark
Peer review of an article for Journal of Historical Pragmatics, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2007
Peer review of article for SKY Journal of Linguistics, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2007, Finland
Peer reviewer of an article in a Varingen collection of articles, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2007
Peer review of an article for Grammaticalization, subjectification, and intersubjectification, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2008
Peer review of an article for Journal of Historical Pragmatics, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2008
Peer review of an article for Language and Linguistics Compass, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2008
Peer review of an article for New Approaches in English Historical Lexis, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2008
Reviewer for English Language and Linguistics (journal), Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2010

Matti Rissanen,
Neuphilologische Mitteilungen, Matti Rissanen, 1999 → 2011

Tanja Säily,
Reviewer for the ICAME 27 proceedings (1 article), Tanja Säily, 01.2007
Reviewer for Neuphilologische Mitteilungen (3 articles), Tanja Säily, 2008 → …

Irma Taavitsainen,
Journal of Historical Pragmatics, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2000 → …
Middle English Texts, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2005, Belgium
Neuphilologische Mitteilungen: Peer review of manuscripts, Irma Taavitsainen, 2005 → …, Finland
Finnish Literary Society: Statement on a manuscript, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2008, Finland
Routledge kustantamo: lausunto, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, United Kingdom
Cambridge University Press reviews of book manuscripts, Irma Taavitsainen, 2010 → …, United Kingdom
Reviews scholarly book chapters for Mouton de Gruyter, Irma Taavitsainen, 2010 → …, Germany
Textus (English Studies in Italy): Peer review of manuscripts, Irma Taavitsainen, 2010 → …, Italy

Heli Tissari,
Mouton De Gruyter: "Corpus Linguistics and Linguistic Theory" (series), Heli Tissari, 06.2006, Germany
Proceedings of the ICAME 27 Conference, Heli Tissari, 2006, Finland
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SKY Journal of Linguistics, Heli Tissari, 2006, Finland
SKY Journal of Linguistics, Heli Tissari, 2007, Finland
Jukka Tyrkkö,
Studies in Variation, Contacts, and Change in English, Jukka Tyrkkö, 01.09.2006 → 31.12.2008, Finland
COLLeGIUM, Jukka Tyrkkö, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Editor of series
Leena Kahlas-Tarkka,
Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English (e-series): Member of editorial board, Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 2009 → ..., Finland
Anneli Meurman-Solin, COLLeGIUM e-series: Member of editorial board, Anneli Meurman-Solin, 2005, Finland
Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English, Anneli Meurman-Solin, 01.01.2007 → ..., Finland
Terttu Nevalainen,
Editor, Mémoires de la Société Néophilologique, Terttu Nevalainen, 2004 → ..., Finland
Editor-in-chief, Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English, Terttu Nevalainen, 2007 → ..., Finland
Editor-in-chief, Oxford Studies in the History of English, Terttu Nevalainen, 2010 → ..., United States
Matti Rissanen,
Member of Advisory Board, Studies in English Medieval Language and Literature, Matti Rissanen, 2005 → ...
Irma Taavitsainen,
Contributor: ABES Annotated Bibliography for English Studies (database), Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2002 → 31.12.2008, United Kingdom
Palgrave Studies in Language History and Language: Member of the editorial board, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2002 → 31.12.2010, United Kingdom
Textes Vernaculaires de Moyen Age: Member of the editorial board, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2002 → ..., Belgium
Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English (refereed open-access series), Research Unit for Variation, Contacts and Change in English, University of Helsinki (http://www.helsinki.fi/varien), Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2007 → ..., Finland
Warsaw Studies in English Language and Literature: Member of the editorial board, Irma Taavitsainen, 2010 → ..., Poland
Jukka Tyrkkö,
Studies in Variation, Contacts, and Change in English (e-series), Jukka Tyrkkö, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Editor of special theme number
Minna Kristiina Nevala,
Letters and Letter-Writing, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2005
Minna Palander-Collin,
EJES-European Journal of English Studies, Minna Palander-Collin, 2005
Jukka Tyrkkö,
Editor, Jukka Tyrkkö, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Assessment of candidates for academic posts
Terttu Nevalainen,
Assessment of a candidate for a professorial position (United Kingdom), Terttu Nevalainen, 2005, United Kingdom
Assessment of a candidate for a lectureship (United Kingdom), Terttu Nevalainen, 2006, United Kingdom
Assessment of a candidate for professorial promotion (Canada; 2), Terttu Nevalainen, 2007 → 2008, Canada
Member of a search committee for a professorial post, Terttu Nevalainen, 2007, Finland
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Assessment of an application for a research fellowship (Leverhulme Trust), Terttu Nevalainen, 2008, United Kingdom
Assessment of a candidate for a postdoctoral fellowship (United Kingdom), Terttu Nevalainen, 2009, United Kingdom
Assessment of a candidate for professional promotion (Sweden), Terttu Nevalainen, 2009, Sweden
Assessment of a candidate for a docentship (Finland), Terttu Nevalainen, 2010, Finland
Assessment of a candidate for a professorial position (Belgium), Terttu Nevalainen, 2010, Belgium
Assessment of an application for a research fellowship (Leverhulme Trust), Terttu Nevalainen, 2010, United Kingdom

Irma Taavitsainen

Assessment of candidates for a professorial post, Irma Taavitsainen, 2008, Switzerland
Assessment of candidates for a professorial post, Irma Taavitsainen, 2009, Switzerland
Evaluation (a personal chair), Irma Taavitsainen, 2010, United Kingdom
Evaluation for Literacy Studies associate professor's position, Irma Taavitsainen, 07.05.2010, Norway
Member of a professorial search committee, Irma Taavitsainen, 2010, Finland
Member of a professorial search committee, Irma Taavitsainen, 2010, Finland

Membership or other role in review committee

Samuli Kaislaniemi


Terttu Nevalainen

Member of the accreditation panel for the curricula of higher education of Estonia, Terttu Nevalainen, 02.2006, Estonia
Review of a research project for the University of Leuven, Terttu Nevalainen, 2006, Belgium
Review of a research project for the National Science Foundation, Terttu Nevalainen, 2009, United States

Matti Rissanen

Member of the team assessing the English departments at Swedish universities and colleges, Matti Rissanen, 2005
Assessor for the award Ann-Kersti och Carl-Hakon Svenssons Pris för humanistisk och oc samhällsvetenskaplig forskning, Matti Rissanen, 2006
Member of a workshop discussing the Centre of Excellence proposal "Society and Linguistic Change" by Norwegian universities, Matti Rissanen, 26.06.2006 → 27.06.2006
Assessing the English and French Master programmes at the University of Latvia, Matti Rissanen, 2008
Assessing the English departments at Lithuanian universities and colleges, Matti Rissanen, 03.2008 → 04.2008

Irma Taavitsainen

External examiner of Master's theses/Stavanger, Norway, Irma Taavitsainen, 2008, Norway
External examiner of Master's thesis/University of Oslo, Irma Taavitsainen, 27.06.2010, Norway

Membership or other role in research network

Leena Kahlas-Tarkka

Meaning, Language and Changing Cultures, Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 2007 → 2011, Finland
Council of the Department of Modern Languages, Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 2010 → 2012, Finland

Anu Lehto

Meaning, Language and Changing Cultures: Postgraduate studies network in the Humanities, Anu Lehto, 2009 → ...
Member of The Finnish Graduate School for Language Studies (Langnet), Anu Lehto, 2010 → 2013
Ville Marttila

Self-funded member of LANGNET doctoral programme in language studies, Ville Marttila, 2009 → ..., Finland
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Minna Kristiina Nevala,
Tutkijatohtorijäsen, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2007
Tilintarkastaja, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2009
Varajäsen, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2009

Terttu Nevalainen,
Meaning, Language and Changing Cultures, postgraduate studies network, Terttu Nevalainen, 2003 → ..., Finland
The “Rethinking of the History of English” network, Terttu Nevalainen, 2009 → 2012, United States
The ENROLLER network, Terttu Nevalainen, 2010 → ..., United Kingdom

Arja Nurmi,
Uusfilologinen yhdistys, Arja Nurmi, 1992 → ...
Societas Linguistica Europaea, Arja Nurmi, 1995 → ...
Finnish Society for the Study of English, FINSE, Arja Nurmi, 2001 → ..., Finland
Suomen kieltieteilijöiden yhdistys, Arja Nurmi, 2003 → ...
A Representative Corpus of English Historical Registers (ARCHER), Arja Nurmi, 2008 → ...

Minna Palander-Collin,
Board Member, Minna Palander-Collin, 09.2009 → ...
Chair of the Board, Minna Palander-Collin, 01.2009 → ...

Maura Ratia,
FINSE, Maura Ratia, 2003 → ..., Finland
Langnet, Maura Ratia, 2003 → 2010, Finland
Meaning, Language and Changing Cultures, Maura Ratia, 2003 → ..., Finland
RSA, Maura Ratia, 2010 → ..., United States

Matti Rissanen,
A Representative Corpus of English Historical Registers (ARCHER), Matti Rissanen, 1997 → ...

Anni Sairio,
post doc representative in the VARIENG steering committee, Anni Sairio, 2010 → 2011

Carla Suhr,
Self-financed doctoral student, Carla Suhr, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2010, Finland
Self-financed doctoral student, Carla Suhr, 01.08.2003 → ..., Finland

Tanja Säily,
Member of Langnet, the Finnish Graduate School in Language Studies, Tanja Säily, 01.01.2009 → 31.12.2012, Finland

Heli Tissari,
GRID study on the Semantics of Emotion in Languages, Heli Tissari, 2008 → 2010, Switzerland

Jukka Tyykkö,
Core member of research network, Jukka Tyykkö, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2011

Membership or other role in national/international committee, council, board

Kanerva Heikkinen,
Suomen keittofilologi seura, Kanerva Heikkinen, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland

Alaric Hall
Finnish Society for Celtic Studies, Vice Chair, Alaric Hall, 2006 → 2007, Finland
GLOSSA, the Finnish Medieval Association, Alaric Hall, 2006 → ..., Finland
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Member of the Anglo-Saxon Plant-Name Survey, Alaric Hall, 2006 → 2007, United Kingdom

Leena Kahlas-Tarkka

Member of the Advisory Board of the International Society of Anglo-Saxonists, Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 2002 → 2005

Member of the advisory board, Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 01.01.2003 → 31.12.2005, United States

Member of the steering committee, Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 2003 → 2005, Finland

Mikko Laitinen

Board member, Mikko Laitinen, 2003 → 2006, Finland

The Linguistic Association of Finland: Board member, Mikko Laitinen, 2003 → 2006, Finland

Ville Marttila

Member of the Modern Language Society, Ville Marttila, 2007 → ..., Finland

Member of The Association for Documentary Editing (ADE), Ville Marttila, 2010 → ..., Finland

Member of the Association for Literary and Linguistic Computing (ALLC), Ville Marttila, 2010 → ..., Finland

Roderick McConchie


Early Book Society, Roderick McConchie, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007

FINNSEE, Roderick McConchie, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Anneli Meurman-Solin

Member of the Modern Language Society in Helsinki (Uusfilologinen yhdistys), Anneli Meurman-Solin, 2005 → 2010, Finland

Minna Kristiina Nevala

Tilintarkastaja, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Tilintarkastaja, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Tilintarkastaja, Minna Kristiina Nevala, 2010 → ...

Terttu Nevalainen

Member of the Kotkielen Seura (Society for the Study of Finnish), Terttu Nevalainen, 1989 → ..., Finland

Member of the Societas Linguistica Europaea, Terttu Nevalainen, 1989 → ..., United Kingdom

Member of the European Society for the Study of English (ESSE), Terttu Nevalainen, 1991 → ..., Switzerland

Member of the Finnish Linguistic Society (Suomen kielitieteellinen yhdistys), Terttu Nevalainen, 1995 → ..., Finland

Vice-President (1998-2002) and Board member of the Finnish Society for the Study of English (FINNSEE), Terttu Nevalainen, 1998 → 2006, Finland

Member of the Advisory Board of the International Computer Archive of Modern and Medieval English (ICAME), Terttu Nevalainen, 2004 → ..., Norway

Vice President of the Modern Language Society, Helsinki, Terttu Nevalainen, 2004 → ..., Finland

Member of the Philological Society, Terttu Nevalainen, 2005 → ..., United Kingdom

Member of Advisory Board of the Lexicons of Early Modern English (LEME), Terttu Nevalainen, 2006 → ..., Canada

Vice President (Research) of the Executive Committee of the International Society for the Linguistics of English (ISLE), Terttu Nevalainen, 2007 → ..., United Kingdom

Chair of the Linguistics Panel of the Finnish Publications Forum, Terttu Nevalainen, 2010 → 2011, Finland

Minna Palander-Collin

Board member, Minna Palander-Collin, 01.01.2008 → ...

Helena Raumolin-Brunberg

Member of Suomen Kielitieteellinen Yhdistys SKY (The Linguistic Association of Finland), Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2005 → 2010, Finland

Member of the Finnish Association for the Study of English FINNSEE, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2005 → 2010, Finland
VARIENG/Nevalainen

Member of the Modern Language Society in Helsinki, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2005 → 2010, Finland
Member of the Societas Linguistica Europaea, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2005 → 2010, United Kingdom
International Corpus Archive of Modern and Medieval English (ICAME), Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006

Matti Rissanen,

Member, Philological Society, Great Britain, Matti Rissanen, 2010 → ...

Carla Suhr,

FINSE, Carla Suhr, 01.01.2002 → ..., Finland
RSA, Carla Suhr, 01.01.2010 → ...
SHARP, Carla Suhr, 01.07.2010 → ...

Tanja Säily,

Member of the Finnish Society for the Study of English (FINSE), Tanja Säily, 01.2009 → ...
Webmaster of the International Society for the Linguistics of English (ISLE), Tanja Säily, 19.01.2010 → ...

Irma Taavitsainen,

ESSE board (European Society of Studies in English), Irma Taavitsainen, 2005 → 2009
Finnish Association of English Studies FINSE: Board member, Irma Taavitsainen, 2005 → 2006, Finland
Finnish Society for the Study of English (FINSE), Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2006, Finland
FINSE (the Finnish Association of English Studies): Member of the board, Irma Taavitsainen, 2008 → 2009, United States
Member of the board and vice-chair of FINSE (Finnish Society for the Study of English), Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2010 → 31.12.2010
Member of the executive committee of IAUPE (International Association of University Professors of English), Irma Taavitsainen, 2010 → ..., Switzerland

Leena Kahlas-Tarkka,

Member of the board of the Research Unit for Variation, Contacts and Change in English, Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 2009 → 2011, Finland

Mikko Laitinen

Member of ISLE, Mikko Laitinen, 2008 → ...

Anneli Meurman-Solin,
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Helsingin yliopiston tutkijakollegiumin Visitors Committee, Anneli Meurman-Solin, 01.01.2005 → 31.12.2005, Finland

Minna Kristiina Nevala, Member of Varieg steering committee (post-doc), Minna Kristiina Nevala, 01.01.2008 → 31.12.2008, Finland

Terttu Nevalainen, Director of the National Centre of Excellence for the Study of Variation and Change in English (VARIENG), Terttu Nevalainen, 2001 → 2006, Finland

Member (2001-03) and Deputy Member (2004-06) of the Faculty Council, University of Helsinki, Faculty of Arts, Terttu Nevalainen, 2001 → 2006, Finland

Member of the Helsinki University Scientific Council, Terttu Nevalainen, 2001 → 2006, Finland

Member (2004-06) and Deputy Member (2007-09) of the Steering Committee of the Department of English, University of Helsinki, Terttu Nevalainen, 2004 → 2009, Finland

Member of the Helsinki University Research Grants Committee, Terttu Nevalainen, 2004 → 2006, Finland

Member of the Faculty of Arts Postgraduate Admissions Committee, University of Helsinki, Terttu Nevalainen, 2005 → 2006, Finland

Director of the National Centre of Excellence for the Study of Variation, Contacts and Change in English (VARIENG), Terttu Nevalainen, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Member of the Faculty of Arts Research and Postgraduate Studies Committee, University of Helsinki, Terttu Nevalainen, 2007 → 2008, Finland

Member of the Finnish advisory group for the Ideas Programme, 7th EU Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development, Terttu Nevalainen, 2007 → 2013

Member of the Helsinki University Reform Project Group on Research, Terttu Nevalainen, 2008 → 2009, Finland

Member of the Helsinki University Research Data Group, Terttu Nevalainen, 2008 → 2010, Finland

Member of the Faculty of Arts Research Committee, University of Helsinki, Terttu Nevalainen, 2010 → ..., Finland

Member of the Helsinki University Infrastructure Committee, Terttu Nevalainen, 2010 → ..., Finland

Irma Taavitsainen, Board member and programme co-leader of the Finnish graduate school Langnet, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2010 → 10.09.2010, Finland

Vice-director and member of the board of Varieg (Variation, contacts and change in English) Research Unit, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2010 → 31.12.2010, Finland


Membership or other role of body in private company/organisation


Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, Chairman of the board, Brunbergin stiftelse / Brunnbergin säätiö, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 2005 → 2010, Finland

Irma Taavitsainen, Chair of the organizing committee of a publicity event in honour of the 100th anniversary of the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters 29.11.2007, Irma Taavitsainen, 01.01.2007 → 31.12.2007, Finland

Participation in interview for written media
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Kanerva Heikkinen,
Helsingin yliopiston englannin kielen laitoksen seminaari, Kanerva Heikkinen, 03.04.2001 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Renvall-instituutin Irlanti-seminaari, Kanerva Heikkinen, 02.02.2001 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Suomen keltologisen seuran (SFKS ry) II keltilläinen puheenvuoro, Kanerva Heikkinen, 10.11.2001 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Leena Kahlas-Tarkka,
Interview for an article "Ikkuna 1600-luvun amerikkalaiseen yhteiskuntaan", Leena Kahlas-Tarkka, 2010, Finland

Matti Kilpiö,
Universitas Helsingiensis interview by Carey Toane: The singer of lost songs, Matti Kilpiö, 05.2005, Finland

Anneli Meurman-Solin,
Studia Generalia luento "Englannin aika", Anneli Meurman-Solin, 01.01.2004 → 31.12.2011, Italy

Terttu Nevalainen,
Consultant for a Helsingin Sanomat Sunday column, Terttu Nevalainen, 2005 → 2008, Finland
Interview for University Communications 7/2007, Terttu Nevalainen, 2007, Finland
Interview for Helsinki University Bulletin, Terttu Nevalainen, 2009, Finland
Interview for Satakunnan Kansa, Terttu Nevalainen, 12.12.2010, Finland

Minna Palander-Collin,
Englannin aika -luento, Minna Palander-Collin, 16.03.2004 → 31.12.2011, Finland
Alumni-opettajien opettajien ilta, Minna Palander-Collin, 15.03.2005 → 31.12.2011, Finland

Helena Raumolin-Brunberg,
Helsingin yliopiston verkkosivujen Perustietoa yliopistosta -osasto, Helena Raumolin-Brunberg, 01.01.2006 → 31.12.2011, Spain

Participation in TV programme
Terttu Nevalainen,
TV-programme: Could Finnish be a world language?, Terttu Nevalainen, 12.10.2005, Finland

Participation in interview for web based media
Terttu Nevalainen,
Interview for "Research at the University of Helsinki", Terttu Nevalainen, 2005, Finland
Top Science video of VARIENG for the League of European of Research Universities (eLERU) website, Terttu Nevalainen, 2006
Visiting columnist, Helsinki University on the web, Terttu Nevalainen, 12.2006, Finland
Blogs, VARIENG, Terttu Nevalainen, 2009 → 2010, Finland
Interview for the Faculty of Arts "Humanist of the Month" column, Terttu Nevalainen, 06.2009, Finland
Blog, Academy of Finland, Terttu Nevalainen, 2010, Finland
Interview for A Propce, the online journal of the Academy of Finland, Terttu Nevalainen, 04.11.2010, Finland
Appendix B.b.

Maria Forsman, Chief Information Specialist, DSocSc
Helsinki University Library 7.7.2011

The bibliometric analyses by Helsinki University Library (HULib)

Background: The bibliometric analyses – especially citation analyses – have raised a lot of discussion and critics among researchers in social sciences and humanities. Researchers view that bibliometric analyses are often unfair to these fields of sciences because they do not give a good enough picture of the publishing. Citation databases – Web of Science and Scopus – cover only weakly the main publications in these fields. Also, in humanities and social sciences monograph is still the main form of publishing, and it does not include in these article databases.

At the University of Helsinki, the above mentioned concerns have been taken into account in the evaluation. The Evaluation Office has ordered analyses from the Helsinki University Library (HULib) for the participating researcher communities that are weakly represented in Web of Science. The database for the HULib analyses is TUHAT (https://tuhat.halvi.helsinki.fi/portal/en/) including all the publications that the researchers have considered important.

Based on this data, information specialists at HULib have carried out the following analyses:

1) Number of authors/publication/year as a table; a pie of authors/publication in the period 2005-2010;
2) Language of publication/year; a pie of language of publication in the period 2005-2010;
3) Articles/journal/year; journals have been compared by ISSN with the Norwegian, Australian and ERIH (2007-2008) journal ranking lists; number of articles in ranked journals;
4) Publisher/monograph type (according to TUHAT database); monographs have been compared with the Norwegian publisher ranking list. According to this, it has been counted how many monographs are published by a leading scientific publisher (2) or a scientific publisher (1).
5) Conference publications (from TUHAT database) especially in computer sciences; compared with the Australian conference ranking list.

Where relevant, some additional analyses and notes concerning the publication culture of a scientific field have been added. Overall, these analyses complement the other evaluation material and lists of the publications of the participating researcher communities.

If the publications of the RCs were less than 50 or the internal coverage less than 40 percentage, the WoS analyses were considered not reliable. These RCs were 58 altogether.

In addition, both Leiden and Library analyses were done to the RCs if WoS analyses covered less than 40 per cent of the peer review (A+C) publications of the RC. These RCs were 8 altogether.

The appendix includes the analyses of the RC under discussion.
### Analysis of publications by Helsinki University Library – 66 RCs altogether

#### Biological, Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences
- Luukkanen, Olavi – VITRI
- Valsta, Lauri – SUVALUE

#### Natural Sciences
- Abrahamsson, Pekka – SOFTSYS
- Kangasharju, Jussi – NODES
- Ukkonen, Esko – ALKO
- Väänänen, Jouko – HLG

#### Humanities
- Aejmelaeus, Anneli – CSTT
- Anttonen, Pertti – CMVG
- Dunderberg, Ismo – FC
- Heikkinen, Markku – RCSP
- Heinämäa, Sara – SHC
- Henriksson, Markku – CITTA
- Jahnunen, Juha – LDHFTA
- Kaja Mika, – AMNE
- Klippi, Anu – Interaction
- Knuuttila, Simo – PPMP
- Koskenniemi, Kimmo – BAULT
- Lauha, Aila – CECH
- Lavento, Mika – ARCH-HU
- Lukkarinen, Ville – AHCI
- Lyytikäläinen, Pirjo – GLW
- Mauranen, Anna – LFP
- Meinander, Henrik – HIST
- Nevalainen, Terttu – VARIENG
- Pettersson, Bo – ILLC
- Pulkkinen, Tuija – Gender Studies
- Pyrhönen, Heta – ART
- Ruokanen, Miikka – RELDIAL
- Saarinen, Risto – RELSOC
- Sandu, Gabriel – LMPS
- Tarasti, Eero – MusSig
- Vehmas-Lehto, Inkeri – TraST
- Östman, Jan-Ola – LMS

#### Social Sciences
- Airaksinen, Timo – PPH
- Engeström, Yrjö – CRADLE
- Granberg, Leo – TRANSRURBAN
- Haila, Anne – Sociopolis
- Hautamäki, Jarkko – CEA
- Heinonen, Visa – KUMU
- Helén, Ilpo – STS
- Hukkinen, Janne – GENU
- Jallinoja, Riitta – SBII
- Kaartinne, Timo – SCA
- Kettunen, Pauli – NordSoc
- Kivinen, Markku – FCRES
- Koponen, Juhan – DEVERELE
- Koskenniemi, Martti – ECI
- Kullti, Klaus – EAT
- Lahelma, Elina – KUFE
- Lanne, Markku – TSEM
- Lavonen, Jari – RCMSER
- Lehtonen, Risto – SocStats
- Lindblom-Ylänne, Sari – EdPsychHE
- Nieminen, Hannu – MECOL
- Nuotio, Kimmo – Law
- Nyman, Göte – METEORI
- Ollikainen, Markku – ENFIFO
- Pirttilä-Backman, Anna-Maija – DYNASOBIC
- Rahkonen, Keijo – CulCap
- Roos, J P – HELPS
- Simola, Hannu – SOCE-DGI
- Sulkkunen, Pekka – PosPus
- Sumelius, John – AG ECON
- Vaattovaara, Mari – STRUTSI
- Vainio, Martti – SigMe

The next appendix includes the analyses of the RC under discussion.
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH AND DOCTORAL TRAINING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

23.6.2011/EN / 19.4.2012 MF

PUBLICATION DATA 2005-2010

RC/VARIENG/Nevalainen

Category 1. The research of the participating community represents the international cutting edge in its field.

Number of authors in publications/year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of authors</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Grand total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand total</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most of the publications have only one author.

% of au in publications 2005-2010
Almost all of the publications are written in English.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal / Year / Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuphilologische Mitteilungen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of historical pragmatics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKY Journal of Linguistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of English Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic journal of English studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki English studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Sociolinguistics and Sociohistorical Linguistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of English Linguistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language : Journal of the Linguistic Society of America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Language and Linguistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of the Sociology of Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAME journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virittäjä</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercultural Pragmatics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Variation and Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poetica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word (Worcester)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suomalainen Tiedeakatemian Vuosikirja</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiroshima Studies in English Language and Literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Queries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historiallinen Aikakauskirja</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studia Linguistici e Filologici Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English for Specific Purposes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Helsinki Digital Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auraica Scripta a Societate Porthan edita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nomina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novoe literaturnoe obozrenie.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of English Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavian Studies (Provo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English today.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studia Neophilologica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jezik in Slovstvo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA (The bulletin of the English Research Association of Hiroshima) New Series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingin Sanomat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eSharp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Corpus Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Medieval Latin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Journal ranking (Norway, ERIH, Australia)

Norway ranking

Level 2 = highest scientific, Level 1= scientific

Australian ranking

A*

Typically an A* journal would be one of the best in its field or subfield in which to publish and would typically cover the entire field/subfield. Virtually all papers they publish will be of a very high quality. These are journals where most of the work is important (it will really shape the field) and where researchers boast about getting accepted. Acceptance rates would typically be low and the editorial board would be dominated by field leaders, including many from top institutions.
A
The majority of papers in a Tier A journal will be of very high quality. Publishing in an A journal would enhance the author’s standing, showing they have real engagement with the global research community and that they have something to say about problems of some significance. Typical signs of an A journal are lowish acceptance rates and an editorial board which includes a reasonable fraction of well known researchers from top institutions.

B
Tier B covers journals with a solid, though not outstanding, reputation. Generally, in a Tier B journal, one would expect only a few papers of very high quality. They are often important outlets for the work of PhD students and early career researchers. Typical examples would be regional journals with high acceptance rates, and editorial boards that have few leading researchers from top international institutions.

C
Tier C includes quality, peer reviewed, journals that do not meet the criteria of the higher tiers.

ERIH ranking 2007-2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neuphilologische Mitteilungen</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of historical pragmatics</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKY Journal of Linguistics</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Journal of English Studies</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic Journal of English Studies</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of English Linguistics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language: journal of the Linguistic Society of America</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Language and Linguistics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of the Sociology of Language</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAME journal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virittäjä</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercultural Pragmatics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Variation and Change</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word (Worcester)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Queries</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Title</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historiallinen Aikakauskirja</td>
<td>1 B 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English for Specific Purposes</td>
<td>1 B 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nomina</td>
<td>1 B</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novoe literaturnoe obozrenie.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of English Studies</td>
<td>1 B</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavian Studies (Provo)</td>
<td>1 B 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English today</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studia Neophilologica</td>
<td>1 C 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jezik in Slovstvo</td>
<td>1 C 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eSharp</td>
<td>1 C 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Medieval Latin</td>
<td>1 B 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folia Linguistica</td>
<td>1 B 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Pragmatics</td>
<td>1 A 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>A B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nowele : North-Western European language evolution</td>
<td>1 C 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journalism studies</td>
<td>1 A 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European English messenger.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language in Society</td>
<td>1 A 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Languages in contrast</td>
<td>1 B 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literary and Linguistic Computing</td>
<td>1 B 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiethnica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilingua</td>
<td>1 A</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neophilologus</td>
<td>1 B 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Linguistics</td>
<td>1 A 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

About 77% (89/116) of the articles has been published in ranked journals.

**Amount of ranked articles (Norway)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Journal articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amount of ranked articles (Australian)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Journal articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level A</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level B</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level C</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Book publishers

Publisher ranking based on Norwegian ranking list

2 = leading scientific
1 = scientific
no = non-scientific or not ranked

C1 Published scientific monograph
C2 Edited book, compilation, conference proceeding or special issue of journal
D5 Text book or professional handbook or guidebook or dictionary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publisher</th>
<th>C1 scientific</th>
<th>C2 edited</th>
<th>D5 Textbook</th>
<th>Grand total</th>
<th>Publisher ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Center for Medieval and Renaissance Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boydell Press</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Scholars</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascadilla Press</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of English, University of Helsinki</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Literature... University of Oslo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Modern Languages, English Unit, University of Helsinki</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edinburgh University Press</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaudemus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gothenburg University</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingin yliopisto, tutkijakollegium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Benjamins</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOUTON DE GRUYTER</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otava</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Lang</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIC (pragmatics, ideology and contacts)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Unit for Variation, Contacts and Change in English (VARIENG)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Société Néophilologique</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suomalaisen Kirjallisuuden Seura</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suomen kielitieteellinen yhdistys</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampere University Press</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor &amp; Francis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Helsinki</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Helsinki, Department of Modern Languages</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25 books of 55 have been published by a high ranked leading scientific publisher, 10 by a ranked scientific publisher.