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A B S T R A C T

The effect of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug ketoprofen on the post farrowing phase of sows was studied in a randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled trial. Ketoprofen (3 mg/kg) was administered intra-muscularly to 20 healthy sows for 3 days post-partum (p.p.). The control group (n = 20) received a saline placebo. Backfat, number of days of constipation and days before feed refusal were measured. Body condition (BCS) and shoulder sores were scored for 1 week p.p. Changes in BCS, backfat and shoulder sore scores were analysed with ANOVA. Blood was collected on days –1, 0, 5 and 14 with respect to medication. Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), creatinine kinase (CK), haptoglobin and serum amyloid A (SAA) were quantified and analysed with a Mann–Whitney U test.

BCS and backfat decreased less following ketoprofen administration than with the placebo (–0.08 ± 0.2 vs. –0.8 ± 0.2, 1.0 ± 0.8 mm vs. –2.0 ± 0.9 mm, respectively; P < 0.05 for both) during the first 2 weeks of lactation. The shoulder sore score deterioration was milder during days 4–6 post-partum than placebo (P < 0.05). Duration of constipation was shorter with ketoprofen than placebo (5.5 ± 0.3 vs. 6.4 ± 0.3 days p.p.; P < 0.05). Incidences of feed refusal occurred later in the ketoprofen group than in the placebo group (9.6 ± 0.9 vs. 3.8 ± 0.8 days p.p.; P < 0.05). AST and SAA values were higher after ketoprofen administration than placebo on day 5 p.p. (P < 0.05). It was concluded that ketoprofen appeared to benefit sows during the first 2 weeks post farrowing, but caused some tissue irritation.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

No information appears to be available in the literature on pain associated with farrowing and early lactation of sows, or on the effect of pain on health and body mass. Feed refusal has been shown to be a component of pain and sickness behaviour (McGlone et al., 1993; for review, see Weary et al., 2008) and led to deterioration in body condition. This can accelerate after 2 weeks post-partum (p.p.) (Valros et al., 2003). Sows with poor body condition score (BCS) are at increased risk of developing decubital shoulder sores (Tantasuparuk et al., 2001; Bonde et al., 2004; Maes et al., 2004). Gorecki et al. (2010) reported that human patients with a similar type of pressure ulcer experienced pain, which has not been evaluated in pigs.

Low bodyweight (BW) has been shown to indicate poor reproductive success, and is a major reason for culling sows (Tantasuparuk et al., 2001; Maes et al., 2004; Anil et al., 2008). It is therefore important to maintain sow BW during lactation. Feed refusal can lead not only to poor BW but also to constipation, which is a major cause of abdominal pain in children (Loening-Baucke and Swidsinski, 2007), and may also cause pain in sows. Oliviero et al. (2010) reported that severe constipation is linked to prolonged farrowing. Stressful delivery also delays breast filling during early lactation, contributing to nursing difficulties in women (Chen et al., 1998). Piglet growth depends on nursing (Valros et al., 2002) and problems in lactation consequently affect piglets.

Parturition can cause external symptoms of malaise with tissue breakdown and inflammation. Tissue damage can be detected as increased serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and creatinine kinase (CK) activity, which are both released from damaged muscle cells (Nogueira et al., 2000). Acute phase proteins (APPS), such as haptoglobin (Hp) and serum amyloid A (SAA) concentrations increase during the inflammatory processes (Chen et al., 2003; Heinonen et al., 2010), and during the puerperium period (Verheyen et al., 2007; Papadopoulos et al., 2009). Ketoprofen is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), and has been approved for use in pigs (EMEA, 1996). It has antipyretic, anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects, and the
recommended dose is 3 mg/kg BW (EMEA, 1996). Ketoprofen is ab-
sorbed well and reaches peak plasma concentration rapidly after
intramuscular (IM) administration (Raekallio et al., 2008; Fosse
et al., 2011a). Ketoprofen products for veterinary use are racemic
compounds but it is the (S+) isomer that is predominant in pigs
after administration (Neirinckx et al., 2011; Mustonen et al.,
2012). Ketoprofen is effective for treating pain in lame sows
(Mustonen et al., 2011) and sows with respiratory infections
(Swinkels et al., 1994).

Field observations suggest that sows that have farrowed within
24 h benefit from the use of analgesics. Our study investigated the
effect of post-partum (p.p.) administration of ketoprofen on sow
feeding, BCS, appearance of shoulder sores and duration of con-
stipation. In addition, we studied the effect of ketoprofen on AST, CK,
SAA and Hp. Our hypothesis was that when administered p.p. to
sows ketoprofen helps maintain good body condition, reduces risk
of shoulder sores, decreases duration of constipation, reduces
inflammation and improves piglet performance.

Materials and methods

The experimental procedures were approved by the National Animal
Experiment Board (ESAV-2010-0947/Ym-23, PH22A) and FIMEA, the Finnish
Medicines Agency (Verk-ino 02/10).

Animals and housing

We performed a double blind, placebo-controlled clinical field trial on 40 sows
in a commercial piglet producing farm in Western Finland. The sows were moved to
farrowing crates approximately 7 days prior to expected farrowing. The crates had
fully slatted floors with a heat plate and a heat lamp for the piglets. The sows re-
ceived a handful of fresh straw every day. Lactating sows were given a commercial
liquid feed five times daily, with an energy content starting from 17.6 MJ on day 1 of
lactation, and increasing up to 128.0 MJ by day 18. Water was available freely from
a water nipple. Farrowings were monitored during piggery working hours (from
06:00 to 15:00 h) and assistance was provided when needed during working
hours.

When all piglets had been born and placenta s expelled, the piglets were isolated
from their mothers by a low fence either for approximately 1.5 h as soon as farrow-
ing was considered over, or in the following morning at 06:00 h if farrowing had ta-
ken place at night. During that separation period the sow received an injection of 7–
10 IU oxytocin to improve colostrum excretion. Litters were adjusted during the
first day of life by merging equal sized piglets into a litter. A routine health check
was performed daily by the carers from farrowing to weaning. Any obvious signs
of disease or injury were recorded.

Medication

The sows were randomly allocated to two groups both containing 20 sows. The
treatment group (KET) received 3 mg/kg ketoprofen (Ketivet 100 mg/ml, Richter
Pharma) IM and the placebo group (PLAC) received an equal volume of isotonic sal-
ine. The first administration was given when the piglets were isolated by the fence,
and was repeated once daily for a further 2 consecutive days.

Body condition score, backfat thickness and assessment of shoulder sores

BCS was assessed and backfat thickness measured for each sow at farrowing, on
day 14 p.p. and at weaning (average 23 days). A scale of 1–5 (1 = thin, 2 = decent,
3 = good, 4 = very good, and 5 = fat) (Bonde et al., 2004) was used to gauge BCS.
Backfat was measured with a digital backfat indicator (Renco Leanometer) at
70 mm behind the ribcage and from the spine. Shoulders were scored daily from
day 0 to day 6 p.p. The severity of the shoulder lesions was classified on a scale
of 0–3 according to Rolandsdotter et al. (2009): 0 = no mark of lesion, 1 = redness
on the skin, 2 = redness of the skin and a small wound, 3 = deep and large wound,
surrounding skin darkened.

Feeding behaviour and constipation

Each trough was checked every morning from farrowing to weaning. Feed refu-
sal was recorded when the sow had left approximately half or more of her feed in
the trough. The first day of feed refusal was recorded. Faeces of all sows were as-
sessed daily from day 0 to day 7 p.p. and scored according to Oliviero et al.
(2010): 0 = absence of faeces, 1 = dry, small number of unformed and pelleted
faeces, 2 = pelleted but shaped dry faeces, 3 = normal, firm and soft faeces, 4 = nor-
mal but not firm soft faeces, 5 = watery, unformed faeces. Faeces scores of 0 and 1
indicated constipation.

Piglet performance

Piglets were weighed by litter on the day of farrowing (day 0) after litter adjust-
ment, on day 14 and at weaning. The number of piglets was recorded at each weigh-
ning and average daily weight gains (ADG) were calculated.

Blood sampling

Using an 18 G needle blood (10 mL, uncoated tubes) was collected via the
saphenous, coccygeal or medial auricular veins from each sow for analysis of AST,
CK, SAA and Hp on four occasions: 1 or 2 days prior to expected farrowing, at day
0 (after farrowing) and at days 5 and 14 p.p. The serum was separated by centrifu-
gation (1900 g for 15 min), and stored at −20 °C until analysed.

Laboratory analyses

Serum Hp was analysed using a haemoglobin-binding assay developed for cows
(Makimura and Suzuki, 1982) with modifications, in which tetramethylbenzidine
was selected as a substrate (Abreu et al., 1994) and 5 µg of sample volume
were used (originally 20 µg). The assay was adapted for microtitration plates and
optical densities (OD) of the wells were read at 450 nm using a spectrophotometer
(Multiskan MS, Labsystems). Pooled and lyophilized aliquots of porcine acute phase
serum were used to create standard curves by serial dilutions. The standard curve
range was 254–4060 mg/L. The assay was calibrated using a porcine serum sample
of known Hp concentration provided by the European Commission Concerted Ac-
tion Project (number QLKS-CT-1999-0153).

Serum concentrations of SAA were measured using a commercially available
ELISA kit (Phase SAA Assay, Tridelta Development), according to the manufacturer’s
instructions for pigs. Initially a serum dilution of 1:500 was used for all samples.
The activities of CK and AST were assessed using a clinical chemistry analyser
(Kon-

Statistics

Changes in backfat and BCS were calculated between farrowing (day 0) and day
14 (0–14), day 14 and weaning (14–WEA), and from farrowing to weaning (0–
WEA). Shoulder sore scores were calculated separately for changes between day 0
and all 5 consecutive days. Sows were classified according to their parity as belong-
ing to either parity 2–5 (n = 26) or parity 6–9 (n = 14). ADG was also calculated 0–
14, 14–WEA and 0–WEA.

The effect of treatment (KET and PLAC) and parity on ADG and changes in BCS,
backfat and shoulder sore score, total days of constipation and first appearance
(days p.p.) of feed refusal, were analysed using a two-way ANOVA. Fixed factors
were treatment, parity and their interaction. Total piglet mass growth was used as
a covariate for the analysis of changes in BCS and backfat, and total piglet mass
growth 0–14 was used as a covariate in the models analysing the number of consti-
pation days and the first day of feed refusal. Backfat on day 0 was used as a covari-
ate in the models for shoulder sore score change, and BCS on day 0 in the model for
analysing treatment effect on ADG.

The normality and homogeneity assumptions of the models were checked with
a normal probability plot of residuals and scatter plot residuals against fitted values.
Residuals in blood parameters failed to conform to a normal distribution and a
Mann–Whitney U test was used to analyse the overall effect of treatment on blood
parameters, separately for each sampling day, and for both parity classes. All the
ANOVA results are presented as model estimates and standard errors of means
(mean ± SEM), and results for non-parametric Mann–Whitney U tests as medians
(range). The upper limit for the statistically significant effect was set to P < 0.05.
All statistical analyses were conducted using PASW Statistics 18.0.1.

Results

Changes in BCS between sampling points was smaller in the KET
sows than in the PLAC sows in period 0–14 (Fig. 1) but not at any
other interval. However, there was an interaction between treat-
ment and parity (P = 0.002). No difference was detected in parity
2–5 sows for BCS loss between treatments, but parity 6–9 KET
sows had lower losses in BCS 0–14 than PLAC sows from the same
parity group (Fig. 2). KET sows gained thickness in backfat, but
PLAC sows lost thickness (Fig. 3). Interaction between treatment
and parity showed no effect (P = 0.08) for changes in backfat 0–14.

Treatment affected shoulder sore score changes between days
0–3 and 0–4 (P = 0.006 for both) and 0–5 (P = 0.02, Fig. 4). Treat-
ment by parity interaction pointed to an effect on shoulder sore score changes between days 0–5 (P = 0.045) with parity 6–9 sows characterised by higher change in scores in the PLAC group than in the KET group (1.4 ± 0.3 vs. 0.2 ± 0.3 respectively, P = 0.01).

All sows were affected by constipation for at least 1 day after farrowing. KET sows had fewer days of constipation than PLAC sows. Eleven sows (5 KET and 6 PLAC) refused feed during lactation and this was significantly later in KET compared to PLAC sows (Fig. 5). No KET sow showed feed refusal during treatment but two PLAC sows refused feed during the treatment period.

No treatment effect was detected for ADG 0–14 (P = 0.3), 14–WEA (P = 0.6) and 0–WEA (P = 0.4) and there was no interaction between treatment and parity (P = 0.06). Parity 2–5 sows did not differ for KET and PLAC in ADG 0–14 (231 ± 16 vs. 254 ± 17 g/day), and neither did parity 6–9 sows between KET and PLAC groups (285 ± 26 vs. 220 ± 30 g/day respectively, P = 0.09).

No treatment effect was found for serum CK activity across all parities at any sampling point (Table 1). Serum CK differed between treatments on day 5 p.p. in parity 2–5 sows (KET 1085.0 (259–2596) U/L vs. PLAC 508.0 (343–802) U/L, P = 0.02, respectively), but not at other sampling points. There were no differences in serum CK at any sampling point for parity 6–9 sows. A treatment effect was found for AST on day 5 p.p. (Table 1). Treatment differed for parity 2–5 sows on day 5 p.p. (KET 37.0 [15–244] U/L vs. PLAC 24 [14–29] U/L, P = 0.008), but not for parity 6–9 sows.

Treatment had no overall effect on serum Hp concentration at any sampling point (Table 1). KET and PLAC differed only for parity 2–5 sows on day C1/C0 (2.0(1.6–2.6) g/L vs. 2.2(1.6–3.9) g/L respectively, P = 0.04). No other sampling point in either parity group indicated any difference. Treatment affected serum SAA concentration only on day 5 p.p. (Table 1). Sows in parity 2–5 were not differentially affected by treatments for SAA concentrations at any sampling point, but parity 6–9 sows differed on day 5 p.p. (KET 31.2 [12.4–490.6] mg/L, PLAC 16.6 [8.1–24.1] mg/L, P = 0.04).

Discussion

In accordance with our hypothesis, sows treated with ketoprofen maintained their body condition and back fat better during lactation and consequently suffered from shoulder sores later after farrowing than control sows. However, the ketoprofen effect was different between parities, with parity 6–9 sows benefitting from the ketoprofen treatment most, as their body condition deteriorated least.

Ketoprofen given p.p. supported the maintenance of a healthy state of body mass for the first 2 weeks of lactation; it also helped maintain BCS but with a gain in backfat thickness. The different treatment effects on BCS and backfat may reflect that backfat is not well correlated with BCS (Maes et al., 2004). Our assessment of BCS was visual and did not measure actual fat layer thickness, but other aspects such as muscle mass (Maes et al., 2004). Treat-
ment effects lasted only for 2 weeks after farrowing and since no effect was recorded during the latter half of lactation, the benefit of ketoprofen on sow body condition appears limited. However the emergence of shoulder sores is unsurprisingly linked to poor cushioning over the bony prominences (Bouten et al., 2003). Ketoprofen treatment delayed shoulder sore appearance by a few days, presumably as a consequence of a thicker fat layer and so severe pressure ulcers appeared later. It is possible that we failed to observe subcutaneous chronic soft tissue damage (Jensen, 2009) and we may therefore have underestimated the severity of shoulder sores.

Higher parity sows in our study may have benefited from ketoprofen treatment because of subclinical conditions, such as histopathological changes in their mammary glands (Baer and Bilkei, 2005), which would have played an important role in reduced nursing behaviour, udder massage and milk yield (Auldist et al., 2005), which would have played an important role in reduced nursing behaviour, udder massage and milk yield (Auldist et al., 2005). The animals may also have been affected by undetected discomfort, such as orthopaedic pain (Heinonen et al., 2006; Mustonen et al., 2011), or subclinical subcutaneous chronic soft tissue damage (Jensen, 2009). Nevertheless, we found that ketoprofen had no significant effect on piglet weight gain, in agreement with a study by Mainau et al. (2012) who also reported no effect on piglet weight gain using another NSAID, meloxicam. In our study the parity 6–9 group was smaller who also reported no effect on piglet weight gain using another NSAID, meloxicam. In our study the parity 6–9 group was smaller than the placebo across all parities. Ease in passing faeces and probably arose for reasons other than farrowing and early lactation. Later incidences of feed refusal following ketoprofen use appeared after the action of the NSAID had waned. Increase in these enzymes was most likely due to loosening risk of excessive bacterial growth in the large intestine and translocation of bacteria from the gut to the bloodstream. Ketoprofen has been shown to increase plasma CK activity in cattle (Pyorälä et al., 1999; Nathwani et al., 2005; Verheyen et al., 2013) due to muscle cell degradation. Irritation appeared temporarily, and by day 14 p.p. no difference was evident. As the oral ketoprofen treatment delayed shoulder sore appearance by a few days, presumably as a consequence of a thicker fat layer and so severe pressure ulcers appeared later. It is possible that we failed to observe subcutaneous chronic soft tissue damage (Jensen, 2009) and we may therefore have underestimated the severity of shoulder sores.

An absence of refused feed and shorter duration of constipation during ketoprofen treatment supported the concept of good health in early lactation. Later incidences of feed refusal following ketoprofen use appeared after the action of the NSAID had waned and probably arose for reasons other than farrowing and early lactation. The duration of constipation was shorter with ketoprofen than with the placebo across all parities. Ease in passing faeces may promote health of these sows due to the diminished risk of excessive bacterial growth in the large intestine and translocation that can lead to endotoxaemia (Khalif et al., 2005).

Parturition itself increases serum AST and CK activities (Nogueria et al., 2000; Nathwani et al., 2005). AST increased with ketoprofen use across all parities on day 5 p.p. and CK for parity 2–5 sows on day 5 p.p. Increase in these enzymes was most likely due to local tissue irritation caused by the ketoprofen injections as IM ketoprofen has been shown to increase plasma CK activity in cattle (Pyorälä et al., 1999; Nathwani et al., 2005; Verheyen et al., 2007) due to muscle cell degradation. Irritation appeared temporarily, and by day 14 p.p. no difference was evident. As the oral administration of ketoprofen causes no injection site tissue irritation and is well absorbed (RaeKallio et al., 2008; Fosse et al., 2011; Mustonen, 2011), this could be a useful alternative route to use.

Concentrations of Hp indicate overall health status (Chen et al., 2003; Oravainen et al., 2006) but in the present study the APP did not seem to react to repetitive ketoprofen injections. Despite an increase in SAA after parturition (Papadopoulos et al., 2009) we noticed that parity 6–9 sows reacted more strongly to ketoprofen injections and the SAA levels were higher on day 5 p.p. but did not differ on other days from control sows, and so we may conclude that tissue irritation seemed to be transient. Elderly people have an altered inflammatory response that is seen as a stronger reaction in C-reactive protein to inflammatory stimuli (Krabbe et al., 2001) and this was reflected in our findings.

Conclusions

Ketoprofen supported good body condition in older sows during the first 2 weeks of lactation. The sows on the NSAID maintained their BCS and back fat better than untreated control sows. Further studies are needed to investigate painful conditions affecting old sows and to identify those individual animals that may benefit from ketoprofen treatment.
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Table 1

Serum parameters expressed as median (range) of farrowed sows (parity 2–9) that received either ketoprofen (n = 20) or placebo (n = 20) for 3 consecutive days after farrowing. Serum was collected on days –1, 0, 5 and 14 with respect to farrowing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Day –1</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 5</th>
<th>Day 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ketoprofen</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Ketoprofen</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)</td>
<td>21.0 (10–670)</td>
<td>22.0 (12–53)</td>
<td>47.0 (22–121)</td>
<td>40.0 (22–73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine kinase (U/L)</td>
<td>448.0 (232–2981)</td>
<td>562.0 (258–2241)</td>
<td>1119.0 (229–5972)</td>
<td>866.0 (343–7685)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haptoglobin (g/L)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.8–2.6)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.5–3.9)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.8–2.8)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.7–3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum amyloid A (mg/mL)</td>
<td>11.0 (1.7–56.1)</td>
<td>10.1 (3.6–1063)</td>
<td>109.5 (11.6–385.6)</td>
<td>62.8 (29.6–373.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant difference between effect of ketoprofen and placebo at P < 0.05.


