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"The ultimate objective of this Convention and any related legal instruments that the Conference of the Parties may adopt is to achieve, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Convention, stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system (1)."

According to general circulation models (GCMs), a doubling of atmospheric CO₂ concentration would increase the global mean temperature by 1.5° to 4.5°C (2-4). This change would be dangerous in drought-prone regions and low coastal areas. Although other regions might benefit and the net global effect could be positive, some would suffer.

The United Nations Convention as quoted above tries to maintain the status quo by protecting the losers and minimizing the immense risks of global climate change. Although laudable, the specific wording of the “ultimate objective” does not express these aims correctly and realistically.

The atmospheric concentration of CO₂ was stable at 280 ± 5 parts per million (ppm) for 1000 years before the year 1800, according to ice-core records. As a result of human-made emissions, it has now increased by about 30% above this baseline (5). The current population of about 6 billion people emits about 6 billion tons of carbon into the atmosphere per year (6). A population of 10 billion people, projected for the year 2030 (7), would emit 10 billion tons if consumption patterns do not change.

The concentration of CO₂ started increasing in the 19th century according to ice-core records, although the human-made emissions were on the order of only 1 billion tons (5). If the aim is to stabilize the CO₂ concentration, annual emissions of less than 1 billion tons are therefore probably required; emissions must certainly be less than 2 billion tons per year.

With the current pattern of fossil fuel use, the population trend, and the trends in per capita emissions of CO₂ (6), a level of emissions as low as 1 to 2 billion tons annually will not be reached in the next 50 years. A mere stabilization of emissions at the current level of 6 billion tons would be an achievement (8).

To avoid danger, greenhouse gas concentrations would need to be stabilized at a level less than double the pre-industrial value. However, reasonable emission scenarios indicate that a doubling of the greenhouse gas concentrations is inevitable in the 21st century. If the GCM projections are right, the climate will change, there will be dangerous effects, and the Convention objective will be unattainable.

Although the latest analyses suggest otherwise (4), let us assume that the GCM projections are wrong. If the climate dynamics are such that strong negative feedback prevents the doubling of greenhouse gas concentrations from inducing significant changes in climate, there will be no dangerous impacts. In that case, there is no need to control the greenhouse gas emissions, and the Climate Convention is irrelevant.

As phrased, the “ultimate objective” is either unattainable or irrelevant. We can all wish that it were irrelevant. More likely, however, it is relevant but unattainable.
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