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Abstract
Aseptically handled Frankfurters were treated with a commercial *Lactobacillus alimentarius* biopreservate and inoculated with different cell concentrations of four ropy slime-producing *Lactobacillus sake* strains. The packages were vacuum sealed and kept at 6°C for 28 days, after which the production of ropy slime was evaluated. The inoculation test was controlled by sealing the different control packages containing either aseptically manufactured sausages without any bacterial inoculation, packages containing biopreservate only or packages inoculated only with the four different ropy slime-producing strains. Authenticity of the biopreservate strain after the cold storage period was ascertained by performing *Eco*RI restriction endonuclease analysis of 30 randomly selected isolates originating from the biopreservate control packages. All patterns were identical to the pattern of the original *L. alimentarius* biopreservate strain. The biopreservate was found to be quite ineffective against the four ropy slime-producing *L. sake* strains. The strongest slime producers inoculated with approximately 1 CFU/cm² could compete efficiently with the *L. alimentarius* having an onset concentration of $10^7$ CFU/cm² on sausage surfaces. This commercial biopreservate failed to occupy the vital niche of the four ropy slime-producing *L. sake* strains leading to spoilage in almost all packages.
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1. Introduction

Vacuum packaging is commonly used for increasing the shelf life of cooked meat products. At chill temperatures lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are the dominant spoilage bacteria in these products, as reviewed by Korkeala and Björkroth (1997). Since the growth of LAB is slower, spoilage is retarded compared to spoilage in aerobic conditions, and the products stored at 6°C are expected to retain good sensorial quality for at least three to four weeks. Certain LAB, however, have strong spoilage potential (Reuter, 1970a; 1970b; 1975; Mäkelä et al., 1992a; Dykes et al., 1994a; 1994b) and if a product becomes contaminated, sensorial defects, such as formation of sour odour and taste (Pierson, et al., 1970; Egan and Shay, 1982; Egan, 1983; Korkela et al., 1987; Schillinger and Lücke, 1987) or appearance of ropy polysaccharide compounds (Korkeala, et al., 1988, Korkeala and Björkroth, 1997), may appear before the sell-by day.

LAB associated with a meat processing environment are usually not, with the exception of Weissella viridescens (Niven, et al., 1954; 1957; Milbourne, 1983; Borch et al., 1988), thermotolerant and the contamination is mainly a post-heat-treatment issue (Mäkelä et al., 1992a; 1992b; Nerbrink and Borch, 1993). Recent studies of a vacuum-packaged cooked meat product (Björkroth and Korkeala, 1996b; Björkroth and Korkeala, 1997) have shown raw meat to be the main source of spoilage LAB. Manufacturers can deal with LAB contamination by using three different approaches or a combination thereof. Extremely high production hygiene must always be maintained leading the demands towards to clean room technology. Products can be treated also by post-packaging pasteurisation, etc. decontamination treatment. The third approach is biopreservation which means extended storage life and also the enhanced safety of foods using natural microflora, mainly LAB, and/or their antibacterial products as a method of preservation.
There are only few accepted or commercially available biopreservatives, such as nisin (Delves-Broughton, 1990) and the *FloraCarn L2* (Chr. Hansen A/S, Hørsholm, Denmark). Studies of biopreservation have mainly focused on the inhibition of pathogenic microorganisms, and little is known about the suitability of these products against specific spoilage organisms (SSO) in different types of food. This study set out to evaluate the effect of *FloraCarn L2* (Chr. Hansen), on the production of ropy slime by four previously characterised (Björkroth and Korkeala, 1996a; Björkroth et al., 1996) *Lactobacillus sake* strains. These strains since *L. sake* has been detected as a SSO in vacuum-packaged cooked meat products (Reuter et al. 1970a; 1970b; 1975; Holzapfel and Gerber, 1986; Korkeala et al., 1988; Egan et al., 1989; Mäkelä et al., 1992c; Dykes and von Holy, 1994; Dykes et al., 1994a) and the detection of ropy spoilage in sensorial tests is very accurate compared to the detection of off-odours or off-tastes.

2. **Materials and Methods**

2.1 *Description of FloraCarn L-2*

*FloraCarn L-2* (Chr. Hansen) is a pure culture of *Lactobacillus alimentarius*. The strain does not produce hydrogen peroxide and no production of bacteriocins has been detected. It is supplied in aluminium foil sachets of 50 g containing the freeze-dried strain with glucose as a carrier. The biopreservation culture, prepared for use by diluting one lyophilised package into 2 litres of water, yields a concentration of approximately $10^9$ cells/ml. Sterile water was used in this study to avoid water-mediated contamination. According to the manufacturer, both raw and cooked meat products can either be sprayed with or dipped into the solution. This treatment will result in approximately $10^7$ cells/cm$^2$ on the surface of a product.
2.2 *Lactobacillus sake* strains used for inoculation

Four representatives, A 210, C1, R51 and R 152, from the main groups of ropy slime-producing *L. sake* previously characterised by carbohydrate patterns, ribotyping, RAPD and macrorestriction patterns (Björkroth and Korkeala, 1996a; Björkroth et al., 1996) were inoculated. Strains were grown overnight in MRS broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) at 30°C. The next morning, the cultures were serially diluted 10-fold (-1 to -6) into 0.1% peptone water to provide inocula with different cell concentrations. *L. sake* cells in the four cultures were also enumerated on MRS agar (Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) in order to provide the true inoculation concentrations CFU/cm² and CFU/g (Table 1). All plates were incubated anaerobically at 25°C for 5 days using a H₂ and CO₂ gas generating kit (Oxoid) in anaerobic jars.

2.3 Aseptic manufacture of Frankfurters and application of the biopreservation culture

Frankfurter mass, containing 80 ppm sodium nitrite and about 1.8% sodium chloride, and stuffing into natural casings were done in line with normal industrial procedure. The Frankfurters were steam cooked until the core temperature reached 68-70°C. Immediately after, they were transferred aseptically into sterile plastic packages using sterile gloves, and cooled to 4°C before being inoculated. Preparation of the biopreservative was performed as per the manufacturer’s instructions ( Chr. Hansen A/S, Hørsholm, Denmark). Treatment with the biopreservative was done by pouring a vast amount of the culture into the plastic packages containing the aseptically manufactured sausages. Closed packages were shaken to ensure even distribution of the protective culture. Using sterile gloves, the Frankfurters were aseptically removed from the plastic packages and divided further into smaller sterile plastic packages containing three sausages each. A control batch was left without the biopreservative.
Each package weighed approximately 120 g. The length of one Frankfurter was approximately 14 cm and the radius 1 cm. The superficial content, 88 cm$^2$, of one Frankfurter was estimated by adding the area of a 12 cm long cylinder to the area of a 1 cm radius ball representing both ends of the Frankfurter. Using this estimation, the total superficial content of three sausages is approximately 264 cm$^2$. These figures together with the results from enumeration of L. sake cultures were used to evaluate the of final inoculation concentrations CFU/g and CFU/cm$^2$ presented in Table 1.

2.4 Inoculation with Lactobacillus sake and controlling the inoculation test

One hundred μl from four undiluted L. sake cultures and each of the dilution were used as inocula. All L. sake strains were inoculated into two parallel packages. Three negative control packages were left without any bacterial inoculation, and three biopreservative treated packages were left without L. sake inoculation. The ability of four L. sake strains to produce ropy slime characteristically (Björkroth et al., 1996) was confirmed by inoculating sterile, non-treated sausages. All inoculations were done aseptically using sterile equipment. The packages were vacuum sealed (-990 mbar) and kept at 6°C for 28 days, which is the normal shelf-life of this Frankfurter type.

After 28 days, the production of ropy slime was evaluated on a scale ranging from negative (-) to abundant (++++) by two trained judges as previously described by Björkroth et al. (1996). The drip from three negative control packages and three packages treated only with the biopreservative were enumerated for lactic acid bacteria. One hundred μl of the drip and 10-fold dilutions were plated on MRS (Oxoid) agar. The authenticity of isolates in three biopreservative control packages after 28 days was ascertained by performing EcoRI restriction endonuclease analysis (REA) of 30 randomly selected isolates. Isolation of DNA, restriction digestion and agarose gel electrophoresis were performed as previously described by
Björkroth and Korkeala (1996a; 1996b). The patterns of the isolates were compared to the pattern of the original *L. alimentarius* strain cultured from the biopreservation solution used.

### 3. Results and discussion

The four *L. sake* strains produced ropy slime in the control packages without the biopreservative characteristically for each strain (Björkroth et al., 1996). The production of ropy slime by the different inoculations of *Lactobacillus sake* in aseptically packaged Frankfurters treated with the biopreservative is shown in Table 1. Parallel inoculations yielded similar slime production results. No lactic acid bacteria could be isolated from the drip of the three negative control packages. Those packages treated with biopreservate only showed growth of $1.2 \text{ to } 4.9 \times 10^{10} \text{ CFU/ml}$ of drip. The REA (Fig. 1) of 30 isolates from the control packages showed the same pattern as the original *L. alimentarius* strain, thus confirming the vitality of the biopreservative during testing.

*FloraCarn L2* has been reported as suppressing the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Brochotrix thermosphacta*, gram-negative flora and indigenous LAB producing gas or slime (Jelle 1987; 1991; Andersen, 1996). However, in this study the biopreservate did not suppress the growth of the ropy slime-producing *L. sake* strains. LAB cause slimy spoilage of meat products either by accumulating on product surfaces or by producing slimy substances. The *Lactobacillus sake* strains tested here excrete a ropy exopolysaccharide compound (Korkeala et al., 1988) and this form of slimy spoilage may be more difficult to prevent. *L. sake* has also previously been reported as being very competitive in a biopreservation test (Schillinger and Lücke, 1987) and as a very common organism in vacuum-packaged meat products (Reuter et al. 1970a; 1970b; 1975; Shaw and Harding 1984; Mäkelä et al., 1992c; Vogel et al., 1993;
Dykes and von Holy, 1994; Dykes et al., 1994), L. sake strains may cause considerable problems in biopreservation.

The cooking of meat products inactivates LAB (Allen and Foster, 1960; Mäkelä and Korkeala, 1987; Mäkelä et al., 1992a) and shortly after packaging LAB populations are under the normal detection limit, <10 CFU/g (Nerbrink and Borch, 1993; Björkroth and Korkeala, 1996b). According to our results (Björkroth and Korkeala, 1996b; Björkroth and Korkeala, 1997; Björkroth, 1997), LAB spoilage problems may occur during shelf life even when the product shows good microbiological quality immediately after packaging. In this study also remarkably low doses (Table 1) of ropy slime-producing L. sake were able to generate the spoilage changes. Exception for Type VIII and X lowest inoculation concentration, no biopreservation effect was noticed. When an inoculation study is performed in order to evaluate the effect of a biopreservative on spoilage LAB, very low spoilage strain concentrations must be tested if testing is supposed to simulate a normal production situation.

Since clean room technology demands huge material investments and post-package-heat-treatment has been shown to increase the portion of Clostridia and Bacillus in the packages (Franz and von Holy, 1996a; 1996b), biopreservation is a promising technique. However, the wide variety of both spoilage and pathogenic microbes really challenges it. Many problematic factors are known to be associated with the food matrix and bacteriocins (Schillinger and Lücke, 1991; Degnan and Luchansky, 1992; Crandall and Montville, 1993; Vandenbergh, 1993; Abee et al., 1995; Hugas et al. 1995; Holzapfel et al., 1995; Leisner et al., 1996; Stiles, 1996), and this experiment showed how difficult it may be for a biopreservative to occupy vital niches in a product. Fears have been expressed towards clean room production lowering the natural contaminant level in the product and leading perhaps to more vulnerable products. Our study showed the differences between two different LAB in a situation of competition indicating that higher LAB contamination level is not necessarily a guarantee to inhibit growth of all harmful microbes.
Despite of extensive research conducted in the field of biopreservation, many factors affecting niche occupation in different foods are still unknown. In order to search for or develop new biopreservatives, the microbial ecology of different spoilage types should be studied further.
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Table 1.

Production of ropy slime by the different inoculations of *Lactobacillus sake* in aseptically packaged Frankfurters treated with a commercial a protective culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotypic characterisation b</th>
<th>Characteristic c, d production of ropy slime</th>
<th>Inoculation level</th>
<th>Production of ropy slime in biopreservation c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CFU/g</td>
<td>CFU/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 210</td>
<td>Group 1, Type I ++</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7×10²</td>
<td>0.8×10²</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7×10⁴</td>
<td>0.8×10⁴</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7×10⁵</td>
<td>0.8×10⁵</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 1</td>
<td>Group 2, Type VIII +++</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5×10²</td>
<td>0.7×10²</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5×10⁴</td>
<td>0.7×10⁴</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5×10⁵</td>
<td>0.7×10⁵</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 51</td>
<td>Group 3, Type IX +</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7×10²</td>
<td>0.3×10²</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7×10⁴</td>
<td>0.3×10⁴</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7×10⁵</td>
<td>0.3×10⁵</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 152</td>
<td>Group 4, Type X ++</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3×10²</td>
<td>0.2×10²</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3×10⁴</td>
<td>0.2×10⁴</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3×10⁵</td>
<td>0.2×10⁵</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a*FloraCarn L2* (Chr. Hansen A/S, Hørsholm, Denmark) used in a concentration of approximately 10⁷ CFU/cm² as recommended by the manufacturer.

bDivision of different types of *L. sake* based on previous genotypic characterisation using macrorestriction patterns together with characteristic slime-producing ability (Björkroth et al., 1996).

cProduction of ropy slime categorised from negative (-), slight (+), moderate (++) to abundant (+++) (Björkroth and Korkeala, 1996).
Fig. 1. *Eco*RI digests of chromosomal DNA. Lanes 2 to 16 randomly collected isolates from the biopreservative control packages, lane 17 original *Lactobacillus alimentarius* strain cultured from the biopreservation solution. Lanes 1 and 18 phage lambda DNA cleaved with *Hind*III as a fragment size marker.