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An 8-Year Surveillance of the Diversity and Persistence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in a Chilled Food Processing Plant Analyzed by Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism
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**ABSTRACT**

Contamination routes of *Listeria monocytogenes* were examined in a chilled food processing plant that produced ready-to-eat and ready-to-reheat meals during an 8-year period by amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analysis. A total of 319 *L. monocytogenes* isolates were recovered from raw materials (n = 18), the environment (n = 77), equipment (n = 193), and products (n = 31), and 18 different AFLP types were identified, five of which were repeatedly found to be persistent types. The three compartments (I to III) of the plant showed markedly different contamination statuses. Compartment I, which produced cooked meals, was heavily contaminated with three persistent AFLP types. AFLP type A1 dominated, and it comprised 93% of the isolates of the compartment. Compartment II, which produced uncooked chilled food, was contaminated with four persistent and five nonpersistent AFLP types. The equipment of compartment III, which produced cooked ready-to-reheat meals, was free of contamination. In compartments that produced cooked meals, the cleaning routines, product types, and lack of compartmentalization seemed to predispose production lines to persistent contamination. The most contaminated lines harbored *L. monocytogenes* in coolers, conveyors, and packing machines. Good compartmentalization limited the flow of *L. monocytogenes* into the postheat-treatment area and prevented the undesired movement of equipment and personnel, thus protecting the production lines from contamination. In compartment II, grated cheese was shown to cause product contamination. Therefore, special attention should be paid to continuous quality control of raw ingredients when uncooked ready-to-eat foods are produced. In compartment II, reconstruction of the production line resulted in reduced prevalence rates of *L. monocytogenes* and elimination of two persistent AFLP types.

During the past decade, consumer demand for foods requiring minimal preparation time has increased the ready-to-eat and ready-to-reheat chilled food markets. For the chilled food processing industry, contamination of products with *Listeria monocytogenes* is a major safety concern because the bacterium is widely spread in the environment, can grow at refrigerated temperatures, and may cause persistent plant contamination (10, 12, 19, 29). In addition, some chilled food products are consumed without further heating or without adequate reheating to destroy *L. monocytogenes*, and therefore, these products can serve as sources of human listeriosis in outbreaks and sporadic cases.

A number of studies have shown that *L. monocytogenes* contamination of food products primarily results from postprocessing contamination from the environment and the processing environment (3, 5, 19, 24, 26), although the initial contamination may be introduced to the production plant via raw materials (17). The contamination routes of *L. monocytogenes* in the processing environment have been examined in several studies targeting meat, poultry, and fish processing plants (3, 7, 29, 31). However, despite the increased consumption of ready meals, insufficient information is available on the contamination patterns of *L. monocytogenes* in plants that produce ready-to-eat and ready-to-reheat meals. These products are composed of multiple raw ingredients, and each product type has a distinct preparation process. Therefore, the contamination pattern of *L. monocytogenes* can be hypothesized to vary between different processing lines, even within a single processing plant. Because recognition of the sources of contamination is a key focus in the control of *L. monocytogenes*, further research is needed to enable the production of *L. monocytogenes*-free chilled ready meals.

Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) is a genotyping method that is highly suited to screening large numbers of isolates and creating fingerprinting databases (13, 30). AFLP analysis has proven to be an efficient genotyping method for *L. monocytogenes* (11, 15), and it has been applied to a subset of isolates in a contamination study of fish slaughterhouses and smokehouses (31). However, the method has not been previously utilized in extensive contamination route studies of *L. monocytogenes*.

We examined the contamination routes of *L. monocytogenes* in a chilled food processing plant that produced ready-to-eat and ready-to-reheat foods, such as pizzas, pasties, and ready meals, over an 8-year period. Factors affecting the occurrence and persistence of *L. monocytogenes* in different compartments and lines of the plant were identified. In addition, we assessed possible sources of initial contamination and evaluated the effect of different inter-
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**TABLE 1. Product types manufactured in different compartments and degree of compartmentalization**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compartment</th>
<th>Processing line</th>
<th>Product type</th>
<th>Compartmentalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Uncooked products requiring cooking before consumption</td>
<td>Raw and postheat-treatment areas separated in 2000–2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ready-to-eat, Ready-to-reheat</td>
<td>No compartmentalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>G, H</td>
<td>Cooked ready-to-reheat</td>
<td>Raw and postheat-treatment areas separated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a Uncooked products were produced in line B until the year 2000.

b Products were composed of various cooked and uncooked ingredients, such as meat, fish, bakery products, vegetables, and dairy products, which originated from different producers. The finished products were not cooked in the plant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Food processing plant.** The food processing plant was divided into three compartments (I, II, and III) with differing degrees of compartmentalization (Table 1). A wide variety of raw materials, including meat, fish, vegetables, dairy products, and flour, were used for the production of ready-to-eat and ready-to-reheat chilled meals as well as for meals requiring cooking before consumption. In compartment I, cooked chilled meals, such as pizzas and pasties with different fillings, were produced in five different production lines (A to E), whereas in compartment II, nonheat-treated chilled meals were produced in one processing line (F). Compartment III produced cooked ready-to-reheat meals and had two production lines (G and H). Compartment III had been used only for the production of cooked meals, while in compartment I, uncooked products requiring cooking before consumption were also produced in line B until 2000. The plant utilized job rotation between departments; however, over the course of 1 production day, assigned duties were rotated only within a single department. The cleaning services of the plant were outsourced.

**L. monocytogenes isolates and collection of sampling data.** A total of 319 L. monocytogenes isolates were obtained during an 8-year period (May 1998 to July 2006) as part of a quality control program in a chilled food processing plant. During the surveillance, the sampling scheme of the quality control program was adjusted to the prevailing situation in the plant. In general, basic sampling was carried out on each line at least weekly. If L. monocytogenes was recovered, additional sampling was performed. Sampling was especially targeted to postheating areas and sites that had in earlier samplings tested positive for L. monocytogenes or were difficult to clean because of poor hygienic design of equipment. Samples were collected during production and after cleaning and disinfection. A small-scale sampling was carried out in 1998 and 1999, but information about the total number of samples analyzed is lacking; an extensive sampling scheme was established in 2000. A total of 4,554 equipment and environmental samples and 879 product and raw material samples were collected between 1 January 2000 and 31 July 2006 (Table 2). At the beginning of 2005, the sampling procedure was modified; pooled samples were collected from equipment, and thus, the total number of samples decreased, although the same sites were targeted. Detection of L. monocytogenes was carried out in a two-step enrichment procedure according to the International Organization for Standardization guidelines (2). Identification was based on Gram staining, hemolytic activity, catalase reaction, and motility at 25°C and confirmed with an API Listeria kit (bioMérieux SA, Marcy.

**TABLE 2. Collected equipment and environmental samples; raw material and product samples; and the isolation rate of L. monocytogenes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Compartment I</th>
<th>Compartment II</th>
<th>Compartment III</th>
<th>Products and raw materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of samples</td>
<td>L. monocytogenes isolates</td>
<td>No. of samples</td>
<td>L. monocytogenes isolates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005b</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006b,c</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000–2006</td>
<td>2,540</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1,369</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a NA, no data available; ND, not detected.

b Pooled equipment and environmental samples were collected during 2005 and 2006.

c Samples were collected between 1 January 2006 and 31 July 2006.
Isolates originated from equipment (n = 193), the processing environment (n = 77), products (n = 31), and raw materials (n = 18). Sample data were obtained from the sampling records of the plant.

**DNA extraction.** DNA was extracted as described previously by Pitcher et al. (22), with slight modifications. The cells were lysed in TE (10 mM Tris-HCl and 1 mM EDTA) with lysozyme at 24.5 mg/ml (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.), mutanolysin at 245 IU/ml (Sigma), and RNase at 196 μg/ml (Sigma) at 37°C for 2 h. DNA concentrations were measured with a BioPhotometer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). DNA was stored at −20°C.

**AFLP analysis.** AFLP analysis was performed as described previously (13), with some modifications. Briefly, the purified total DNA (400 ng) was digested with 15 U of HindIII (New England Biolabs, Beverly, Mass.) and 15 U of HpyCH4IV (New England Biolabs) and ligated to restriction site–specific adapters as described earlier. Samples were stored at −20°C prior to PCR amplification.

DNA samples were diluted with sterile, distilled, deionized water (1:2) prior to preselective PCR amplification, which was performed in a 20-μl reaction mixture containing 4 μl of diluted template DNA, 15 μl of Amplification Core Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.), 25 nM Hind-0 primer (5′-GACTGCG TACACGCTT-3′; Oligomer, Helsinki, Finland), and 125 nM Hpy-0 primer (5′-CGATGAGTCCTGACGT-3′; Oligomer). After preselective amplification, the templates were diluted with sterile, distilled, deionized water (1:20) and amplified by selective PCR in a 10-μl reaction mixture containing 1.5 μl of diluted template, 50 nM FAM-labeled Hind-A primer (5′-GACTGCGT ACCACGTTTA-3′; Oligomer), 250 nM Hpy-A primer (5′-CGATGAGTCCTGACGT-3′; Oligomer), and 7.5 μl of Amplification Core Mix (Applied Biosystems). Preselective and selective PCR conditions were as described previously (13).

The samples were prepared for capillary electrophoresis by adding 1 μl of the selective amplification product to 11.5 μl of Hi-Di Formamide (Applied Biosystems) and 0.5 μl of the internal size standard (GS-500 LIZ, Applied Biosystems) to enable accurate band sizing. The mixture was denatured at 95°C for 2 min. Denatured fragments were electrophoresed on POP-4 polymer (Applied Biosystems) with an ABI PRISM 310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) in 1× Genetic Analyzer Buffer with EDTA (Applied Biosystems). The electrophoresis conditions were 15 kV at 60°C for 28 min. GeneScan 3.7 fragment analysis software (Applied Biosystems) was used for data preprocessing.

Reproducibility among different data sets was assessed with *L. monocytogenes* strain ATCC 15313 as an internal reference, which underwent each step of the DNA extraction and AFLP analysis a total of 46 times, thereby providing a standard for comparison.

**In situ DNA isolation and PFGE.** In situ DNA isolation and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) were performed for selected strains (n = 48) as described by Lundén et al. (19). Two restriction enzymes, ApoI and Ascl (New England Biolabs), were used.

**AFLP pattern analysis.** AFLP and PFGE patterns were analyzed by BioNumerics software 4.6 (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium). Similarity between normalized AFLP patterns (range, 75 to 500 bp) was calculated with the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient. Similarity between ApoI and Ascl macrorestriction patterns, based on band positions, was expressed as a Dice coefficient clustering. Clustering and construction of dendrograms were performed by the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic averages.

**Serotyping.** One to three strains from each AFLP type were serotyped with commercial antisera (Denka Seiken, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Strain persistence.** *L. monocytogenes* strains were considered persistent when they were found repeatedly (three times or more) in the environment or on equipment over a minimum of a 3-month period. Strains that were isolated sporadically (fewer than three times) or within a shorter time period (<3 months) were considered nonpersistent.

**RESULTS**

**Subtyping of *L. monocytogenes* isolates.** During the 8-year surveillance, a total of 319 *L. monocytogenes* isolates were collected. *L. monocytogenes* was recovered from equipment and environmental samples, which were taken both during production and after cleaning and disinfection. The isolates were divided into 18 different AFLP types (A1 through A18) and four serotypes (1/2a, 1/2b, 1/2c, and 4b) (Fig. 1). Serotype 1/2a predominated, and all equipment and environmental isolates, with the exception of one equipment isolate, belonged to this serotype. Serotype 1/2b was detected in raw material, serotype 1/2c was detected in raw material and equipment, and serotype 4b was detected in raw material and finished products. Five AFLP types were persistent (Table 3).

**L. monocytogenes** contamination patterns in compartment I. In compartment I, sampling was targeted to postcooking sites. A total of 177 isolates, which represented 66% of all environmental and equipment isolates of the plant, were recovered from the environment (n = 45) and equipment (n = 132) of this compartment (Table 4). The isolation rate of *L. monocytogenes* varied between 2.0 and 12.8% in different years (Table 2). The most contaminated lines were A and B, with 99 and 59 isolates recovered, respectively. Frequently contaminated sites were conveyors after coolers and packing machines. In line B, also, the cooler was recurrently contaminated. In lines C, D, and E, the number of *L. monocytogenes*--positive samples was 6, 6, and 7, respectively. Isolates of compartment I were divided into three different AFLP types (A1, A11, and A14), all of which were persistent. AFLP type A1 clearly dominated, was repeatedly isolated in successive months, and persisted throughout the 8-year surveillance (Table 3), and it comprised 93% of the isolates of the compartment. AFLP type A1 was not, however, recovered from finished products. The prevalence of AFLP type A1 in samples collected from compartment I was significantly higher (*P* < 0.01, chi-square test) than the prevalence in samples collected from compartments II or III. Type A1 was also significantly more common (*P* < 0.05, Fisher exact test) in lines A and B than in lines C, D, and E. AFLP type A11 was specific to compartment I, whereas AFLP type A1 was recovered from all three compartments of the plant, and A14 was isolated from compartments I and II.

During the surveillance period, a new packing machine was purchased for lines A (in 2005) and B (in 2003). Soon
after the new packing machines were used, they were found to be contaminated with the predominant AFLP type, A1. The original packing machine of line A was dismantled, cleaned, and disinfected and then relocated to line E at the beginning of 2006. No *L. monocytogenes* was recovered from the packing machine during the final 7 months of the surveillance.

**L. monocytogenes contamination patterns in compartment II.** A total of 92 *L. monocytogenes*-positive samples were detected in compartment II (Table 4). The isolation rate varied between 2.3 and 13.7% in different years (Table 2). The *L. monocytogenes* prevalence did not differ significantly (*P* = 0.85, chi-square test) between compartments I and II. AFLP analysis divided isolates into nine different AFLP types, of which four were persistent (A1, A7, A10, and A14), and five were nonpersistent (A3, A5, A8, A9, and A13). All nonpersistent AFLP types and persistent AFLP types A7 and A10 were specific to this compartment. AFLP type A7 dominated in compartment II and was repeatedly isolated in successive months. The most contaminated sites were conveyor before cooler, cooler, and packing machine.

**L. monocytogenes contamination pattern in compartment III.** During the 8-year surveillance, only one *L. monocytogenes* isolate was recovered from compartment III (Table 4), with the isolation rate varying between 0 and 2.6% in different years (Table 2). The organism was isolated from a movable container and belonged to persistent AFLP type A1. The *L. monocytogenes* prevalence in samples collected from compartment III was significantly lower (*P* < 0.01, chi-square test) than in samples collected from compartment I or II.

**L. monocytogenes in raw materials and products.** Raw materials and finished products were positive for *L. monocytogenes* 18 and 31 times, respectively. The isolation rate varied between 0 and 9.9% in different years (Table 2). The contaminated raw ingredients were grated cheese (*n* = 10), sweet pepper (capsicum) (*n* = 7), and a cooked chicken product (*n* = 1). Raw material isolates belonged to eight different AFLP types, of which seven were nonpersistent, and one was persistent (Table 3). In finished products, four nonpersistent (A2, A12, A13, and A18) and three persistent (A7, A10, and A14) AFLP types were observed. All isolates were recovered from finished products that were nonheat treated and produced in compartment II.

AFLP type A18 was isolated from both raw materials (grated cheese) and finished products produced in compartment II a total of 13 times over a 3-month period (from December 2000 to March 2001). The supplier of the cheese...
TABLE 3. Listeria monocytogenes AFLP types and serotypes recovered from the food processing plant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AFLP type</th>
<th>Serotype</th>
<th>Total no. of isolates</th>
<th>Contaminated processing line</th>
<th>P or NP</th>
<th>Contamination time span (mo/yr)</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Raw material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>I, II, III A, B, C, D, E, F, G</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>09/98–07/06</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II ND</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>10/01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II F</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>11/00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>1/2c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DR ND</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>03/04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>1/2c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II F</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>07/06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DR ND</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>09/00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>II F</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>06/00–04/05</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>II F</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>06/00–08/04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II F</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>11/03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>II F</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>05/98–03/05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>I A, B, D</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>01/03–11/04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II ND</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>03/02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>II ND</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>10/01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>I, II A, C, D, F</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>09/00–10/05</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15</td>
<td>1/2a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DR ND</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>08/00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A16</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DR ND</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>06/00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A17</td>
<td>1/2b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DR ND</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>04/02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A18</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>II ND</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>12/00–08/02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* DR, detected only in raw materials.
* ND, not detected in the processing line.
* Persistent (P) or nonpersistent (NP) AFLP type.

was replaced, after which AFLP type A18 was detected only once, when a sample batch of grated cheese was purchased from a different supplier 17 months later. This L. monocytogenes–positive batch was discarded, i.e., it was not used for production.

Only one persistent AFLP type (A7) was recovered from environmental and equipment samples as well as from raw materials and finished products; the L. monocytogenes–positive raw materials were sweet pepper (n = 3) and grated cheese (n = 1). Visual examination of the AFLP banding patterns of sweet pepper revealed two- to three-fragment differences compared with AFLP profiles of equipment, environmental, or product isolates, whereas grated cheese shared an identical AFLP profile with other isolates of AFLP type A7. A subset of 48 isolates belonging to AFLP type A7 were further analyzed by PFGE. PFGE divided these isolates into two groups; the first group consisted of sweet pepper isolates, and the second group consisted of all other isolates, including an isolate from grated cheese.

Reproducibility. In reproducibility testing, the internal reference L. monocytogenes ATCC 15313 showed identical AFLP banding patterns, based on fragment sizes, during each run. However, small variation in peak heights was observed. Therefore, on the basis of a visual examination of the banding patterns of all strains studied, an 89% cutoff value was selected to define the AFLP type of L. monocytogenes strains.

**DISCUSSION**

The three processing compartments of the chilled food plant had notably different contamination statuses. The
equipment and environment of compartment I were heavily contaminated with persistent *L. monocytogenes* strains, but the highest number of different AFLP types was detected in compartment II; in compartment III, no contamination of equipment or products was observed.

In compartment I, the cooking step seemed to limit the flow of sporadic *L. monocytogenes* strains into the postheat-treatment area, because only persistent strains were recovered. Both product type and cleaning routines may have predisposed the most contaminated lines, A and B, to persistent contamination. These lines were used for the production of pizza, and the conveyors were frequently contaminated with pizza fillings, whereas in lines C, D, and E, the fillings of various bakery products did not come into contact with the conveyors. During high season, pizza was also produced on night shifts, and lines A and B were cleaned only every other day. Because of the attached food particles, the mechanical cleaning of lines A and B was more difficult, which may have favored the persistence of *L. monocytogenes*. Furthermore, all environmental and equipment isolates of the plant belonged to either serotype 1/2a or 1/2c, both of which have shown increased biofilm formation compared with other serotypes (6, 20), and hence, isolates of these serotypes may more often colonize surfaces and resist sanitizing agents. Because lines A and B were situated next to each other, it is also possible that inadequate washing procedures caused splashes, which spread the contamination to the adjacent line. In addition, the new packing machines purchased for these two lines were contaminated with *L. monocytogenes* soon after implementation, while the new packing machine of line E remained free of the organism throughout the surveillance. Unexpectedly, none of the products produced in compartment I tested positive for *L. monocytogenes*, although some of the contamination sites, such as conveyors, were in direct contact with the products. However, the occasional presence of the organism in finished products cannot be excluded because of the relatively limited number of product samples studied.

The lack of compartmentalization until 2000 may have contributed to the *L. monocytogenes* contamination status of compartment I. AFLP type A1 was recovered as early as 1998, when an uncooked product was manufactured in line B, suggesting that the initial contamination may have been introduced to the compartment through raw materials. Although raw and postheat-treatment areas were later separated, the existing *L. monocytogenes* contamination could not be eliminated. On the other hand, AFLP type A14 was first detected in compartment II and, 3 years later, was observed in compartment I, indicating that contamination by this type may have spread between compartments either via personnel or equipment. Therefore, in compartments that produce cooked meals, special attention should be paid to the separation of raw and postheat-treatment areas. In addition, undesired movement of personnel and equipment between different compartments must be limited by proper compartmentalization and effective hygiene barriers (19).

Compartment II, which produced uncooked chilled food, was contaminated with several persistent and non-persistent strains. The high diversity of strains may result from the range of different raw materials, such as vegetables, meat products, and dairy products, used in production. These ingredients might harbor *L. monocytogenes* (1, 10, 27, 29), thus introducing the organism to the processing environment. Furthermore, the lack of heat treatment may allow the passage of *L. monocytogenes* into finished products.

Three grated cheese lots originating from different producers were contaminated with *L. monocytogenes*, suggesting that grating may cause postprocessing contamination of cheese. In compartment II, AFLP type A18 was repeatedly recovered from grated cheese and finished products, indicating that the products were contaminated via raw materials. This is supported by the finding that after the replacement of the cheese supplier, type A18 was no longer detected in the products. However, the same AFLP type was recovered later from a cheese sample of a different producer. This finding is in accordance with Autio et al. (4), who detected identical pulsotypes of *L. monocytogenes* in food products of several producers. AFLP type A7 was first recovered from the uncooked finished products and the cooler of compartment II in September 2000. In December 2000, the same AFLP type was detected in grated cheese. Because the raw materials were not tested for *L. monocytogenes* regularly, the finding raises the question of whether the initial contamination had already been introduced to the plant via grated cheese in September. In addition to cheese, sweet pepper was found to be contaminated with *L. monocytogenes* several times in the year 2000. To avoid the contamination of finished products, the plant began to cook the sweet pepper before its use in production.

Most of the AFLP types detected in raw materials were sporadic and were not recovered from environmental or equipment samples. Sporadic AFLP types were also detected in uncooked finished products, suggesting that the likely source of contamination of the particular products was raw materials rather than postprocessing contamination by persistent strains on equipment. In compartments that produce uncooked ready meals, special attention should therefore be paid to continuous quality control of raw ingredients, and high-risk raw materials should be heat treated before use in production. Furthermore, consistent high-level production hygiene must be maintained to avoid the emergence of persistent strains.

Although total elimination of *L. monocytogenes* from the processing environment may be impossible, comprehensive eradication programs can significantly reduce the level of contamination (3, 5). In addition to efficient cleaning, the contamination status can be improved by structural adjustments to the production line. In compartment II, extensive reconstruction of the processing line was conducted in 2005. The line was straightened and made shorter by, for instance, the removal of conveyors. Furthermore, the cooler, which had repeatedly been contaminated with *L. monocytogenes*, was removed from the line. These adjustments resulted in reduced prevalence rates of *L. monocytogenes*. Moreover, persistent AFLP types A7 and A10
were not recovered in either environmental or equipment samples after the implementation of structural changes. In compartment III, only one environmental sample tested positive for *L. monocytogenes*. The routes by which the organism could have been introduced to the compartment were limited; *L. monocytogenes* is rarely present in the main raw ingredients (flour and egg) used in this compartment, raw and posttreatment areas were clearly separated, and uncooked products had never been produced in the area. The only positive sample contained persistent AFLP type A1 and was detected on a movable container that had been transferred to the compartment without cleaning. Movable containers may thus carry contamination from one compartment to another, and although job rotation was not used between different compartments over the course of a single working day, personnel can indirectly spread the contamination. The transfer of persistent *L. monocytogenes* contamination between food processing plants with a dicing machine has also been reported (18). Thorough cleaning and disinfecting of all machines and equipment before relocation to another compartment or processing plant are therefore essential.

The three most contaminated lines (A, B, and F) of the plant harbored *L. monocytogenes*, particularly in coolers, conveyors, and packing machines. Machines with poor hygienic design and complex structure are known to favor the persistence of *L. monocytogenes* (3, 18, 19, 21, 29). Previous studies have also shown that poor cleaning of conveyors is often cumbersome, and therefore, they may harbor *Listeria* contamination (21, 23, 25). Because *L. monocytogenes* was also recovered from surfaces after cleaning and disinfection, the sanitizing practice of the outsourced cleaning service was deemed insufficient to eliminate the organism from equipment. Thus, to improve the level of sanitization, regular monitoring of cleaning service operations is crucial.

AFLP analysis proved to be a fast and reproducible method with high throughput and was thus deemed suitable for contamination route studies. The slight variation seen in band intensities may be a result of differences in the effectiveness of digestion-ligation or PCR amplification reactions (16). The 89% cutoff value, which served to define the AFLP type, is in accordance with earlier AFLP studies (8, 9, 14). However, the visual examination of banding patterns of AFLP type A7 revealed that three isolates showed minor fragment differences, and further analysis by PFGE subdivided isolates of type A7 into two distinct clusters. Therefore, the visual examination of banding patterns must not be neglected, although the AFLP analysis can be partly automated. When maximum type differentiation is needed, for instance in outbreak studies, it is also necessary to use a combination of different typing methods (11), to employ more than one primary enrichment medium, and to pick a sufficient number of colonies (28).

In conclusion, long-lasting surveillance and utilization of genotyping methods are essential to get an extensive overview of the contamination status of a food processing plant. In this study, AFLP analysis proved to be an efficient genotyping tool for contamination route studies. We showed that each compartment of the chilled food processing plant had a unique contamination pattern. To prevent the contamination of finished products, identifying contamination sites and initial sources of *L. monocytogenes* contamination in each compartment separately is necessary. Cleaning routines, product type, and lack of proper compartmentalization appeared to predispose production lines of cooked meals to persistent contamination. In the compartment that produced uncooked foods, the products were also contaminated via raw materials, and hence, the microbial quality of raw ingredients needs to be given more attention. The present study also showed that structural adjustment of the production line may facilitate the eradication of *L. monocytogenes*.
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