



UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI



<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Helda

Middle Ear Barotraumas in Commercial Aircrew

Lindfors, Oskari H.

Aerospace Medical Association

2021-03

Lindfors, O H, Ketola, K S, Klockars, T K, Leino, T K & Sinkkonen, S T 2021, 'Middle Ear Barotraumas in Commercial Aircrew', *Aerospace medicine and human performance*, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 182-+. <https://doi.org/10.3357/AMHP.5738.2021>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/354178>

10.3357/AMHP.5738.2021

unspecified

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Middle ear barotraumas in commercial aircrew

Oskari H. Lindfors, BM¹; Kimmo S. Ketola, MD²; Tuomas K. Klockars, MD, PhD^{1,3}; Tuomo K. Leino, MD,
PhD^{4,5}; Saku T. Sinkkonen, MD, PhD¹

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery, Head and Neck Center, Helsinki University
Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

²Finnair Aeromedical Centre, Finnair Health Services, Finnair, Vantaa, Finland*

³Aava Aeromedical Centre, Aava Medical Centre, Vantaa, Finland*

⁴Aeromedical Centre, Centre for Military Medicine, Finnish Defence Forces, Helsinki, Finland*

⁵Department of Leadership and Military Pedagogy, National Defence University, Helsinki, Finland*

*The views expressed in the manuscript are solely those of the authors and do not reflect
the official policy or position of either Aava co., Finnair co. or the Finnish Defence Forces.

Short title: Middle ear barotraumas

Corresponding author:

B.M. Oskari Lindfors
Department of Otorhinolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery, Head and Neck Center, Helsinki University
Hospital
P.O.Box 263, FI-00029 HUH, Helsinki, Finland
Tel:+358-9-4711
Fax:+358-9-47175010
e-mail: oskari.lindfors@helsinki.fi

Word count for abstract: 247

Word count for main text: 2907

Number of references: 33

Number of tables: 4

Number of figures: 0

ABSTRACT

Background: Middle ear (ME) barotraumas are the most common condition in aviation medicine, sometimes seriously compromising flight safety. Considering this and the ever-increasing amount of commercial aviation, a detailed overview is warranted.

Methods: Survey study. The anonymous, electronic questionnaire was distributed to commercial aircrew of the three major commercial airlines operating in Finland ($n=3799$), covering 93% of the target population (i.e., all commercial aircrew operating in Finland, $n=4083$). Primary outcomes were self-reported prevalence, clinical characteristics, and health and occupational effects of ME barotraumas in-flight. Secondary outcomes were adjusted odds ratios (OR) for frequency of ME barotraumas with respect to possible risk factors.

Results: Response rate was 47% ($n=1789/3799$), with 85% ($n=1516$) having experienced ME barotraumas in-flight. Of those affected, 60% had used medications, 5% had undergone surgical procedures and 48% had been on sick leave due to ME barotraumas (40% during the last year). Factors associated with ME barotraumas included high number of upper respiratory tract infections (≥ 3 URTIs per year vs. 0 URTIs per year: OR, 9.02; 95% confidence interval [CI] 3.99 – 20.39) and poor subjective performance in Valsalva (“occasionally” vs. “always” successful: OR, 7.84; 95% CI 3.97 – 15.51) and Toynbee (“occasionally” vs. “always” successful: OR, 9.06; 95% CI 2.67 – 30.78) maneuvers.

Conclusion: ME barotraumas were reported by 85% of commercial aircrew. They lead to an increased need for medications, otorhinolaryngology-related surgical procedures, and sickness absence from flight duty. Possible risk factors include a high number of URTIs and poor performance in pressure equalization maneuvers.

Key words: ENT; Epidemiology; Eustachian tube; Eustachian tube dysfunction; Health surveys; Survey; Valsalva maneuver

BACKGROUND

Middle ear (ME) barotraumas in-flight are the result of inadequate Eustachian tube (ET) function during atmospheric pressure changes^{13,17}, which is generally considered to be the mildest form of ET dysfunction²⁸. This condition can cause significant discomfort for both passengers and aircrew but more importantly, pose a serious risk to flight safety. ME barotraumas can cause a variety of symptoms, including hearing loss and pressure sensations, pain, or ringing in the ears. Less frequently, facial baroparesis^{1,6,8} or inner ear damage¹⁴ can occur, sometimes causing serious incapacitation^{9,14}.

Prevalence estimations vary significantly. The lowest numbers, 1.5 – 2.4%, have been reported in pressure chamber measurements of Italian military personnel^{16,20}, while a prevalence of 4.1% has been reported in Japanese pilots²³. In contrast, 37.6 – 55.5% of Danish commercial pilots have reported at least one ME barotrauma during their career^{5,25} and in other publications, 41.0 – 84.0% of airline passengers have reported similar symptoms^{18,30}. The symptoms have, in some instances, led to permanent groundings of aviation staff^{9,14} and are in fact considered the most common medical condition encountered in all aviation medicine⁷.

Considering both the ever-increasing amount of commercial aviation^{2,3} (with the exception of the still-ongoing COVID-19 era) and the relative commonness of ME barotraumas, a detailed examination on the matter is most definitely warranted. To this end, the primary objectives of our study were to determine the frequency, clinical characteristics and both health and occupational effects of ME barotraumas in-flight. The secondary objective was to elucidate possible risk factors, the tertiary to examine whether repetitive exposure to rapid changes in atmospheric pressure might gradually lead to an increase in these problems.

METHODS

Subjects

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa ((§6164/HUS/2508/2018). The need for informed consent was waived as the study was conducted anonymously.

Questionnaire

The literature for questionnaires regarding ME barotraumas in-flight was reviewed. As none of the published questionnaires could be utilized to meet the objectives of the study, a new questionnaire was developed by the research group with the support of previous literature.

The questionnaire consisted of 18 - 58 questions (depending on the answers of each individual respondent) designed to best determine the respondents' aviation and medical histories as well as their frequency of ME barotraumas in-flight. Moreover, the respondents were asked about the possible pressure-chamber testing, clinical characteristics, and occupational health effects of these symptoms, such as their need for medications, otorhinolaryngology-related (ORL-related) surgical procedures, and sickness absence from flight duty. The anonymous Finnish questionnaire was twice piloted with selected aircrew personnel (English translation presented in Supplemental Digital Content 1, SDC 1).

The questionnaire was electronically sent via company e-mail to all Finnish-speaking aircrew of the three major commercial aviation companies operating in Finland. The study population was considered nationally representative as the questionnaire covered a total of 93.0% of Finnish commercial aircrew. Data acquisition was carried out between November 2018 - May 2019, consisting of the primary e-mail and repeated reminder e-mails at approximately 1-month intervals (full details of data acquisition presented in SDC 2).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25.0, released 2017 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). A two-tailed p-value of < 0.05 was interpreted to indicate statistical significance.

Descriptive statistics are presented as numbers and percentages for categorical variables and as medians and interquartile ranges for continuous variables. Categorical data were analyzed using Fisher's exact test (two-tailed) and when insufficient memory to do so, using the Chi-Square test. Continuous variables were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test or the Kruskal-Wallis test as appropriate. In order to counter the multiple comparisons, Bonferroni correction was utilized when appropriate.

Multivariable binary logistic regression analyses were performed to identify factors associated with ME barotraumas in-flight. Variables included in the models were sex, profession, number of flight years, age, body mass index (BMI), pollen allergies, smoking, number of upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs) per year, and subjective Valsalva and Toynbee performances. The results are presented as adjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) in Table II, where the frequency of ME barotraumas was dichotomized at two different cut-off points. In the left column, the cut-off point was set between "never" and at least "sporadically" suffering from ME barotraumas during one's career. In the right column, the cut-off point was set between suffering from ME barotraumas only "sporadically" and at least "occasionally". These two separate cut-off points were chosen to gain a better overall understanding of factors associated with the condition.

RESULTS

The questionnaire yielded a response rate of 47.3% (1798/3799) and after deletion of nine technically unsuccessful responses, a final response rate of 47.1% (1789/3799). An overview of the study sample is presented in Table I.

[Table I here]

In total, 38.3% of the respondents were pilots and 61.7% were cabin crew. A significant majority of pilots were male (95.2%), while females (88.6%) made up the majority of cabin crew ($p < 0.001$). Median (IQR) age was 40 (34-48) years in pilots and 44 (33-53) years in cabin crew ($p < 0.001$), while height,

weight and BMI broadly conformed to the sex distributions of the two profession groups ($p < 0.001$ for all variables, respectively). Further characteristics of the study sample are presented in Table I.

URTIs were less frequent in the pilot group. The proportion of respondents with 0 URTIs per year was the same in both groups, but a larger proportion of pilots reported having only 1 URTI per year compared to cabin crew (37.8% vs. 30.2%, $p = 0.003$). The proportion of respondents with 2 URTIs per year was the same, but a smaller proportion of pilots reported having ≥ 3 URTIs per year compared to cabin crew (23.0% vs. 31.3%, $p = 0.003$).

Subjective Valsalva and Toynbee performances also differed between the profession groups. With regard to the Valsalva maneuver, 23.9% of pilots reported succeeding in the maneuver “always” (even when having an URTI), while 11.7% of cabin crew reported the same ($p < 0.001$). Conversely, 6.7% of pilots reported succeeding in the maneuver “occasionally” or “never”, as opposed to 23.8% of cabin crew. Similar findings were observed with respect to Toynbee performance, albeit it was generally considered the harder one to succeed in of the two maneuvers.

ME barotraumas in-flight had affected 84.7% of the respondents. A total of 62.0% reported symptoms “sporadically”, another 20.7% “occasionally” and a further 2.0% “almost always” or “always” when flying. The proportion of respondents experiencing symptoms “sporadically” was significantly larger in the pilot group (70.7% vs. 56.6%) while the proportion of those who responded “occasionally” or “almost always” was significantly larger in cabin crew (14.4% vs. 27.6%, $p < 0.001$).

[Table II here]

Factors associated with the frequency of ME barotraumas in-flight are presented as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) in Table II. Both the number of URTIs per year and subjective Valsalva and Toynbee performances were strongly associated with the frequency of the symptoms, while no clear association was found with sex, profession, number of flight years, age, BMI, pollen allergies or smoking status.

Concerning URTIs, respondents with ≥ 3 URTIs per year had an adjusted OR of 5.25 (95% CI 2.99 – 9.23) for experiencing ME barotraumas at least “sporadically” compared to respondents with 0 URTIs per year, and an OR of 9.02 (95% CI 3.99 - 20.39) for experiencing them at least “occasionally”. Generally, the OR for experiencing ME barotraumas increased as the number of URTIs per year increased.

Valsalva and Toynbee performances both strongly associated with the frequency of ME barotraumas in-flight. Respondents who succeeded in Valsalva and Toynbee maneuvers only “occasionally/never” had respective adjusted ORs of 5.49 (95% CI 3.13 – 9.64) and 2.00 (95% CI 1.22 – 3.28) for experiencing ME barotraumas at least “sporadically”, and ORs of 7.84 (95% CI 3.97 – 15.51) and 9.06 (2.67 – 30.77) for experiencing them at least “occasionally”. Overall, the ORs for experiencing ME barotraumas increased as the subjective Valsalva and Toynbee performances of the respondents decreased.

Characteristics of ME barotraumas are presented in Table III. The table consists of questionnaire results from respondents affected by ME barotraumas ($n=1516$) and is divided into three categories based on the respondents’ subjective Valsalva performance (as it was shown to be highly associated with the condition in Table II).

With regard to frequency, 53.4% of respondents had experienced ME barotraumas 1-9 times, a further 21.1% 10-19 times and the final 25.5% ≥ 20 times during their career. The number of ME barotraumas generally increased as subjective Valsalva performance decreased ($p < 0.001$).

Correlation between ME barotraumas and URTIs varied. A majority of respondents, 63.8%, had had an URTI 100% of the times they had experienced ME barotraumas, another 14.3% $> 50\%$ of the times and the remaining 19.3% $\leq 50\%$ of the times. The correlation of ME barotraumas to URTIs decreased as subjective Valsalva performance decreased ($p < 0.001$).

Symptoms predominantly appeared at the descending phase of the flight. Almost all (97.7%) respondents reported symptoms when descending, 20.3% when ascending, and smaller minorities when cruising (4.1%) or when experiencing a sudden problem with cabin pressurization (4.0%). The proportion of

respondents with symptoms at atypical flight stages (i.e. other than descending) increased as subjective Valsalva performance decreased ($p = 0.041$, $p = 0.002$ and $p = 0.001$, respectively).

Symptoms of ME barotraumas were numerous. Of the respondents, 94.1% reported pressure sensations in the ears, 56.5% pain and 12.8% ringing in the ears, a further 33.9% reporting hearing loss as a symptom. Among less frequent symptoms, 3.2% had experienced tympanic membrane perforations, 6.2% vertigo and 2.5% nausea. Generally, the frequency of all symptoms increased as subjective Valsalva performance decreased.

Symptoms were most often bilateral. Half (50.9%) of respondents reported symptoms in both ears and 13.3% in only one ear, the remaining 35.8% being unsure as to how many ears had been affected.

Symptom duration varied substantially. The symptoms lasted for ≤ 2 min in 34.7% of cases, 2min - 2h in 44.8% of cases, 2h - 2d in 15.9% of cases and > 2 d in 4.6% of cases. The duration of symptoms significantly increased as subjective Valsalva performance decreased ($p < 0.001$).

Symptom development over the years was also examined. A majority (66.2%) of the respondents reported no symptom development in any direction, while 18.4% reported having less symptoms compared to previously during their career. The final 15.4%, however, reported currently having more symptoms and as the respondents' subjective Valsalva performance decreased, the proportion of respondents with symptom progression during their career increased ($p < 0.001$).

[Table III here].

Treatment and occupational health effects of ME barotraumas are presented in Table IV. The table consists of questionnaire results from respondents affected by the ME barotraumas ($n=1516$) and is divided into three categories based on the respondents' subjective Valsalva performance.

Medication due to ME barotraumas had been used by 60.0% of the respondents. Of the respondents who reported "always" succeeding in the Valsalva maneuver, only 29.3% had needed

medication, as opposed to 62.0% of those who “almost always” succeeded and 71.6% of those who succeeded in Valsalva only “occasionally/never” ($p < 0.001$). The same general rule applied with both prescribed and nonprescribed medications: their use increased as subjective Valsalva performance decreased.

Surgical procedures due to ME barotraumas had been resorted to by 4.9% of the respondents. Of these, 4.2% had been to myringotomies, 0.7% to tympanostomies and 0.5% to balloon eustachian tuboplasties (BET). The proportion of respondents having been to procedures increased as subjective Valsalva performance decreased, reaching statistical significance in myringotomies ($p < 0.001$).

Sickness absences due to ME barotraumas are also presented. During their career, 47.6% of respondents (46.2% of pilots, 48.4% of cabin crew) had been on sick leave, the proportion increasing as subjective Valsalva performance decreased: a total of 23.0% of respondents in the best Valsalva-group had been on sick leave as opposed to 55.7% in the worst Valsalva-group ($p < 0.001$). The same general rule applied when looking at sickness absences from the previous 12 months ($p < 0.001$).

[Table IV here]

DISCUSSION

In our study, ME barotraumas highly associated with both URTIs and subjective Valsalva and Toynbee performances. While the connection to URTIs has been widely reported^{5,14,25}, no previous studies have investigated the role of URTIs as a possible risk factor (Table II) or the proportion of ME barotraumas connected to them (Table III). Surprisingly, the association to Valsalva and Toynbee performance has not been previously examined in aviation, despite the widespread use of the maneuvers as pressure equalization techniques. The association between (objective) Valsalva/Toynbee performance and (otoscopic) barotraumas has been previously reported in diving conditions³¹, but this isn't necessarily generalizable to an aviation environment. Although no clear association to smoking or pollen allergies was detected, a connection to pollen allergies has been previously demonstrated by Ohruj et al²⁴. This contrast most likely reflects the fact that while Ohruj et al. investigated the association to active, symptomatic

allergic rhinitis, we simply investigated an association to a patient-reported allergy, regardless of its activity. To the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have reported a connection to one's smoking habits.

The majority of respondents (84.7%) had suffered from ME barotraumas in-flight. These numbers broadly conform to reports given by commercial airline passengers¹⁸ and slightly exceed those reported by Boel et al., possibly reflecting the inclusion of cabin crew (who suffer from ME barotraumas more often than pilots due to more URTIs per year and poorer subjective Valsalva/Toynbee performances, see Tables I & II) to our study as well. The details regarding the symptoms, their laterality, or their duration have not been previously reported, but the flight phase in which the symptoms took place has been, aligning with our results^{5,25}. Notably, as much as 29.5% of the respondents reported symptoms of poor pressure equalization before flying, while only 2.4 – 3.2% have reported so previously^{5,25}. A majority of symptomatic respondents (60.0%) had resorted to the use of medication due to the symptoms and again, these numbers are somewhat larger than the one's reported by Boel et al^{5,25}. No previous studies have reported of surgical procedures or the amount of sickness absences caused by these symptoms.

Considering the scope of these problems, the aviation community would greatly benefit from a tool that could be utilized in both predicting and preventing ME barotraumas in-flight. As the means currently in use for prediction, such as tympanometry, tubomanometry¹¹, the 9-step inflation/deflation test^{10,29} and others^{19,21,27} aren't applicable for everyday use in aircrew, better options to assess one's ET function before flying are needed. Moreover, preventive measures have been found either ineffective (e.g., pressure-regulating earplugs^{12,15} and external ear canal moisturization²²) or effective^{4,26,32} but unsuitable for routine use in aviation staff (e.g., nasal balloon inflation³⁰ or modified tympanostomy tubes³³), leaving the community with no tools to fight the problem. It is our suggestion that the Valsalva and/or Toynbee maneuvers might be used both in predicting the problems and, with appropriate training, preventing them as well. We suggest this to be the focus of future research on ME barotraumas in-flight.

Concerning external validity, the study population can be considered fairly representative as it covered a total of 93.0% of the target population. Questionnaire responses were obtained from 47.1% of the study

population and so a considerable nonresponse error is, in theory, a possibility. However, based on our demographic analyses, the study sample broadly conforms to the study population and can therefore be considered representative of the study and target populations (SDC 2). With caution, the results can be considered representative of all commercial aircrew operating similar aircraft, given a roughly similar demographic composition and distribution of possible risk factors.

Concerning internal validity, the results on the frequency, clinical characteristics and health and occupational effects of ME barotraumas can be considered reliable, but results on the possible risk factors are subject to several biases, predominantly confounding. To limit such errors, multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed, in which the number of URTIs per year and poor subjective Valsalva and Toynbee performances independently associated with ME barotraumas in-flight. With these precautions in place, we consider the effect size larger than the possibly remaining, undetected confounding, and therefore the association genuine. Moreover, application of the Bradford Hill guidelines broadly agrees with these hypotheses (SDC 3), further establishing the findings. Further research is, nevertheless, needed to establish the role of URTIs and poor Valsalva/Toybee performance as risk factors for ME barotraumas in-flight.

Other strengths of the study include its considerable sample size and the level of detail regarding questions submitted to the respondents: no studies to date have investigated the characteristics, progression, or health and occupational effects of ME barotraumas on such a detailed level. Furthermore, the anonymity of the questionnaire increases its reliability: eliminating the possibility of respondent identification also eliminates the reason for dishonesty when submitting one's response.

The limitations mainly include the use of patient-reported and therefore completely subjective estimations of all collected data. While this is certainly a limitation, many of the outcomes the study was intended to examine were in themselves subjective, so such a limitation could not be entirely avoided.

Overall, ME barotraumas were reported by 84.7% of the study sample and cause a significantly increased need for medications, ORL-related surgical procedures, and sickness absence from flight duty. Possible risk factors include a high number of URIs per year and poor performance in pressure equalization techniques, such as Valsalva and Toynbee maneuvers. Further research is still needed to better establish these findings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author Contributions

Concept and design: OL, KK, TK, TL, SS

Acquisition, analysis or interpretation of data: OL, KK, TK, TL, SS

Drafting of the manuscript: OL

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: KK, TK, TL, SS

Statistical analysis: OL

Obtained funding: OL, SS

Administrative, technical, or material support: OL, KK, SS

Supervision: SS

Conflict of Interest Disclosures

None to declare.

Funding/Support

This study was funded by Research Grants from the Department of Otorhinolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery, Head and Neck Center, Helsinki University Hospital, grant code Y1014KN014.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor

The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

1. Ah-See KL, Shakeel M, Maini SK, Hussain SSM. Facial paralysis during air travel: Case series and literature review. *J Laryngol Otol*. 2012;126(10):1063-1065. doi:10.1017/S0022215112001594
2. Air transport, passengers carried | Data. Accessed April 28, 2020. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/IS.AIR.PSGR?view=chart>
3. Air transport, registered carrier departures worldwide | Data. Accessed April 28, 2020. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/IS.AIR.DPRT?view=chart>
4. Basu A. Middle ear pain and trauma during air travel. *BMJ Clin Evid*. 2007;2007:1-9.
5. Boel NM, Klokke M. Upper Respiratory Infections and Barotrauma Among Commercial Pilots. *Aerosp Med Hum Perform*. 2017;88(1):17-22. doi:10.3357/amhp.4511.2017
6. Cheng TZ, Kaylie DM. Recurrent and Progressive Facial Baroparesis on Flying Relieved by Eustachian Tube Dilatation. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol*. 2019;128(8):778-781. doi:10.1177/0003489419839085
7. DeGroot DW, Devine JA, Fulco CS. Incidence of adverse reactions from 23,000 exposures to simulated terrestrial altitudes up to 8900 m. *Aviat Sp Env Med*. 2003;74(9):994-997. Accessed April 28, 2020. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14503681>
8. Grossman A, Ulanovski D, Barenboim E, Azaria B, Goldstein L. Facial nerve palsy aboard a commercial aircraft. *Aviat Sp Environ Med*. 2004;75(12):1075-1076.
9. Høva JK, Thorheim L, Wagstaff AS. Medical reasons for loss of license in norwegian professional pilots. *Aerosp Med Hum Perform*. 2017;88(2):146-149. doi:10.3357/AMHP.4551.2017
10. Hussein A, Abousetta A. Use of the nine-step inflation/deflation test and resting middle-ear pressure range as predictors of middle-ear barotrauma in aircrew members. *J Laryngol Otol*. 2014;128(7):612-617. doi:10.1017/S0022215114001467
11. Iannella G, Lucertini M, Pasquariello B, et al. Eustachian tube evaluation in aviators. *Eur Arch Oto-*

Rhino-Laryngology. 2016;274(1):101-108. doi:10.1007/s00405-016-4198-8

12. Jumah MD, Schlachta M, Hoelzl M, Werner A, Sedlmaier B. Pressure regulating ear plug testing in a pressure chamber. *Aviat Sp Environ Med*. 2010;81(6):560-565. doi:10.3357/ASEM.2717.2010
13. Kanick SC. Barotrauma during air travel: predictions of a mathematical model. *J Appl Physiol*. 2005;98(5):1592-1602. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00974.2004
14. Klokker M, Vesterhauge S. Perilymphatic fistula in cabin attendants: An incapacitating consequence of flying with common cold. *Aviat Sp Environ Med*. 2005;76(1):66-68.
15. Klokker M, Vesterhauge S, Jansen EC. Pressure-equalizing earplugs do not prevent barotrauma on descent from 8000 ft cabin altitude. *Aviat Sp Environ Med*. 2005;76(11):1079-1082.
16. Landolfi A, Torchia F, Autore A, Appiani MC, Morgagni F, Appiani GC. Acute otitic barotrauma during hypobaric chamber training: Prevalence and prevention. *Aviat Sp Environ Med*. 2009;80(12):1059-1062. doi:10.3357/ASEM.2599.2009
17. Mikolajczak S, Meyer MF, Hahn M, et al. Characterizing the active opening of the eustachian tube in a hypobaric/hyperbaric pressure chamber. *Otol Neurotol*. 2015;36(1):70-75.
doi:10.1097/MAO.0000000000000575
18. Mitchell-Innes A, Young E, Vasiljevic A, Rashid M. Air travellers' awareness of the preventability of otic barotrauma. *J Laryngol Otol*. 2014;128(6):494-498. doi:10.1017/S0022215114001145
19. Morgagni F, Autore A, Landolfi A, Appiani MC, Appiani GC. Predictors of ear barotrauma in aircrews exposed to simulated high altitude. *Aviat Sp Environ Med*. 2012;83(6):594-597.
doi:10.3357/ASEM.3255.2012
20. Morgagni F, Autore A, Landolfi A, Torchia F, Appiani GC. Altitude chamber related adverse effects among 1241 airmen. *Aviat Sp Environ Med*. 2010;81(9):873-877. doi:10.3357/ASEM.2625.2010
21. Morse RP. The effect of flying and low humidity on the admittance of the tympanic membrane and

middle ear system. *JARO - J Assoc Res Otolaryngol*. 2013;14(5):623-633. doi:10.1007/s10162-013-0408-x

22. Morse RP, Mitchell-Innes A. The ineffectiveness of applying moisture to the ear on the incidence and severity of otic barotrauma for air passengers. *J Laryngol Otol*. 2018;132(9):790-795.
doi:10.1017/S0022215118001524
23. Ohrui N, Takeuchi A, Tong A, Iwata M. Ear Pain and Its Treatment in Hypobaric Chamber Training in the Japan Air Self-Defense Force. *Otol Neurotol*. 2008;29(4):518-521.
24. Ohrui N, Takeuchi A, Tong A, Iwata M, Nakamura A, Ohashi K. Allergic rhinitis and ear pain in flight. *Ann Allergy, Asthma Immunol*. 2005;95(4):350-353. doi:10.1016/S1081-1206(10)61153-2
25. Rosenkvist L, Klokke M, Katholm M. Upper respiratory infections and barotraumas in commercial pilots: A retrospective survey. *Aviat Sp Environ Med*. 2008;79(10):960-963.
doi:10.3357/ASEM.2287.2008
26. Ryan P, Treble A, Patel N, Jufas N. Prevention of Otic Barotrauma in aviation: A systematic review. *Otol Neurotol*. 2018;39(5):539-549. doi:10.1097/MAO.0000000000001779
27. Sadé J, Ar A, Fuchs C. Barotrauma vis-a-vis the "chronic otitis media syndrome": two conditions with middle ear gas deficiency Is secretory otitis media a contraindication to air travel? 2003;112(3):230-235.
28. Schilder AGM, Bhutta MF, Butler CC, et al. Eustachian tube dysfunction: Consensus statement on definition, types, clinical presentation and diagnosis. *Clin Otolaryngol*. 2015;40(5):407-411.
doi:10.1111/coa.12475
29. Sohn JH. Recurrent middle ear barotrauma in student pilots. *Aerosp Med Hum Perform*. 2019;90(8):681-687. doi:10.3357/AMHP.5254.2019
30. Stangerup SE, Klokke M, Vesterhauge S, Jayaraj S, Rea P, Harcourt J. Point prevalence of barotitis

and its prevention and treatment with nasal balloon inflation: A prospective, controlled study. *Otol Neurotol.* 2004;25(2):89-94. doi:10.1097/00129492-200403000-00001

31. Uzun C, Adali MK, Tas A, Koten M, Karasalihoglu AR, Devren M. Use of the nine-step inflation/deflation test as a predictor of middle ear barotrauma in sports scuba divers. *Br J Audiol.* 2000;34(3):153-163. doi:10.3109/03005364000000125
32. Wright T. Middle-ear pain and trauma during air travel. *BMJ Clin Evid.* 2015;2015:1-10.
33. Zhang Q, Banks C, Choroomi S, Kertesz T. A novel technique of otic barotrauma management using modified intravenous cannulae. *Eur Arch Oto-Rhino-Laryngology.* 2013;270(10):2627-2630. doi:10.1007/s00405-012-2301-3

| Variable | All (n=1789) | Cockpit (n=686) | Cabin (n=1103) | p-value |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Sex | | | | |
| Female | 1010 (56.5%) | 33 (4.8%) | 977 (88.6%) | <0.001 |
| Male | 779 (43.5%) | 653 (95.2%) | 126 (11.4%) | |
| Age (years) | | | | |
| | 42 (34-51) | 40 (34-48) | 44 (33-53) | <0.001 |
| Height (cm) | | | | |
| | 173 (168-180) | 180 (176-185) | 170 (166-174) | <0.001 |
| Weight* (kg) | | | | |
| | 74 (64-83) | 82 (75-89) | 67 (60-75) | <0.001 |
| BMI* (kg/m²) | | | | |
| | 24 (22-26) | 25 (23-27) | 23 (21-26) | <0.001 |
| Flight years | | | | |
| | 13 (3-24) | 13 (5-23) | 12 (3-25) | 0.360 |
| Flight times^y | | | | |
| | 3000 (1000-5500) ^y | 3000 (1200-6000) ^{y1} | 2000 (500-4400) ^{y2} | <0.001 |
| Smoking | | | | |
| Never | 1521 (85.0%) | 605 (88.2%) _a | 916 (83.0%) _b | <0.001 |
| Occasionally | 198 (11.1%) | 69 (10.1%) | 129 (11.7%) | |
| Regularly | 70 (3.9%) | 12 (1.7%) _a | 58 (5.3%) _b | |
| Allergies | | | | |
| Any allergy | 539 (30.1%) | 202 (29.4%) | 337 (30.6%) | 0.634 |
| Pollen | 384 (21.5%) | 155 (22.6%) | 229 (20.8%) | 0.375 |
| Animal | 137 (7.7%) | 59 (8.6%) | 78 (7.1%) | 0.236 |
| Food | 96 (5.4%) | 20 (2.9%) | 76 (6.9%) | <0.001 |
| Other | 93 (5.2%) | 23 (3.4%) | 70 (6.3%) | 0.006 |
| Surgical procedures (ORL-related) | | | | |
| Any procedure | 719 (40.2%) | 288 (42.0%) | 431 (39.1%) | 0.234 |
| Adenoidectomy | 505 (28.2%) | 195 (28.4%) | 310 (28.1%) | 0.914 |
| Myringotomy | 220 (12.3%) | 99 (14.4%) | 121 (11.0%) | 0.032 |
| Tympanostomy | 83 (4.6%) | 33 (4.8%) | 50 (4.5%) | 0.818 |
| BET | 7 (0.4%) | 3 (0.4%) | 4 (0.4%) | >0.99 |
| Myringoplasty | 11 (0.6%) | 5 (0.7%) | 6 (0.5%) | 0.758 |
| FESS | 91 (5.1%) | 30 (4.4%) | 61 (5.5%) | 0.320 |
| Septoplasty | 37 (2.1%) | 20 (2.9%) | 17 (1.5%) | 0.059 |
| RFA (inf. turbinates) | 14 (0.8%) | 9 (1.3%) | 5 (0.5%) | 0.055 |
| Cleft palate | 2 (0.1%) | 0 (0.0%) | 2 (0.2%) | 0.527 |
| URTI per year | | | | |
| 0 | 120 (6.7%) | 46 (6.7%) | 74 (6.7%) | 0.003 ⁺ |
| 1 | 592 (33.1%) | 259 (37.8%) _a | 333 (30.2%) _b | |
| 2 | 574 (32.1%) | 223 (32.5%) | 351 (31.8%) | |
| ≥ 3 | 503 (28.1%) | 158 (23.0%) _a | 345 (31.3%) _b | |
| Subj. Valsalva performance | | | | |
| Never/Occasionally | 308 (17.2%) | 46 (6.7%) _a | 262 (23.8%) _b | <0.001 |
| Almost always (not when URTI) | 1188 (66.4%) | 476 (69.4%) _a | 712 (64.6%) _b | |
| Always | 293 (16.4%) | 164 (23.9%) _a | 129 (11.7%) _b | |
| Subj. Toynbee performance | | | | |
| Never/Occasionally | 709 (39.6%) | 215 (31.3%) _a | 494 (44.8%) _b | <0.001 |
| Almost always (not when URTI) | 906 (50.6%) | 395 (57.6%) _a | 511 (46.3%) _b | |
| Always | 174 (9.7%) | 76 (11.1%) | 98 (8.9%) | |
| Pres. equalization test before flight | | | | |
| No | 1397 (78.1%) | 519 (75.7%) | 878 (79.6%) | 0.053 |
| Yes | 392 (21.9%) | 167 (24.3%) | 225 (20.4%) | |
| Middle ear barotraumas in-flight | | | | |
| Never | 273 (15.3%) | 101 (14.7%) | 172 (15.6%) | <0.001 |
| Sporadically | 1109 (62.0%) | 485 (70.7%) _a | 624 (56.6%) _b | |

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Occasionally | 370 (20.7%) | 92 (13.4%) _a | 278 (25.2%) _b |
| Almost always | 33 (1.8%) | 7 (1.0%) _a | 26 (2.4%) _b |
| Always | 4 (0.2%) | 1 (0.1%) | 3 (0.3%) |

Table I. Overview of the study sample and middle ear barotraumas in-flight

Data missing in $x=2$, $y=968$, $y^1=48$, $y^2=920$ cases. Categorical data presented as numbers (%) and continuous data presented as medians (IQR). Categorical data analyzed using Fisher's exact (two-tailed) or Chi-Square tests (when insufficient memory to conduct Fisher's exact test, marked as†) and continuous data analyzed using Mann-Whitney U test. Bonferroni correction was utilized when carrying out multiple comparisons. Each subscript letter denotes a subset of categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

BET, balloon Eustachian tuboplasty; BMI, body mass index; FESS, functional endoscopic sinus surgery; ORL, otorhinolaryngology; RFA, radiofrequency ablation; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection

| Variable | OR (95% CI) (n = 273 vs. 1516) Never vs. <u>Sporadically</u> <u>Occasionally</u> <u>Almost always</u> <u>Always</u> | OR (95% CI) (n = 1382 vs. 407) Never & Sporadically vs. <u>Occasionally</u> <u>Almost always</u> <u>Always</u> |
|--|---|--|
| Frequency of middle ear barotraumas in-flight | | |
| Age | 0.95 (0.93 – 0.98) | 1.01 (0.97 – 1.04) |
| Flight years | 1.06 (1.04 – 1.09) | 1.02 (0.99 – 1.04) |
| BMI ^x | 1.03 (0.98 – 1.09) | 1.00 (0.96 – 1.04) |
| Sex | | |
| Male | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Female | 1.05 (0.64 – 1.74) | 1.96 (1.21 – 3.17) |
| Profession | | |
| Cockpit | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Cabin crew | 0.68 (0.41 – 1.14) | 0.79 (0.49 – 1.30) |
| Allergies (pollen) | | |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | 1.17 (0.81 – 1.69) | 1.23 (0.92 – 1.66) |
| Smoking | | |
| Never | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Occasionally | 1.32 (0.81 – 2.13) | 1.06 (0.71 – 1.59) |
| Regularly | 1.15 (0.53 – 2.50) | 1.80 (1.01 – 3.21) |
| URTI per year | | |
| 0 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1 | 1.96 (1.22 – 3.15) | 2.47 (1.09 – 5.61) |
| 2 | 2.58 (1.58 – 4.22) | 3.76 (1.67 – 8.51) |
| ≥ 3 | 5.25 (2.99 – 9.23) | 9.02 (3.99 – 20.39) |
| Valsalva | | |
| Always | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Almost always (not when URTI) | 3.71 (2.50 – 5.51) | 2.32 (1.21 – 4.43) |
| Occasionally/Never | 5.49 (3.13 – 9.64) | 7.84 (3.97 – 15.51) |
| Toynbee | | |
| Always | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Almost always (not when URTI) | 1.48 (0.94 – 2.36) | 4.26 (1.25 – 14.54) |
| Occasionally/Never | 2.00 (1.22 – 3.28) | 9.06 (2.67 – 30.78) |

Table II. Multivariable logistic regression analyses of factors associated with middle ear barotraumas in-flight

Data missing in ^x=2 cases. An adjusted OR over 1 indicates an increase in the odds of experiencing middle ear barotraumas in-flight.

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection

| Variable | All (n=1516) | Subjective Valsalva performance | | | p-value |
|---|--------------|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------|
| | | Always (n=174) | Almost always (not when URTI) (n=1060) | Occasionally or never (n=282) | |
| Symptoms^x | | | | | |
| 1-9 times | 809 (53.4%) | 144 (82.8%) _a | 571 (54.0%) _b | 94 (33.3%) _c | <0.001† |
| 10-19 times | 319 (21.1%) | 19 (10.9%) _a | 236 (22.3%) _b | 64 (22.7%) _b | |
| ≥ 20 times | 386 (25.5%) | 11 (6.3%) _a | 251 (23.7%) _b | 124 (44.0%) _c | |
| % of symptomatic times related to URTI^y | | | | | |
| > 100% (=erroneous) | 38 (2.6%) | 8 (5.4%) | 23 (2.2%) | 7 (2.6%) | <0.001† |
| 100% | 923 (63.8%) | 113 (76.4%) _a | 681 (66.2%) _b | 129 (47.8%) _c | |
| 51-99% | 207 (14.3%) | 11 (7.4%) _a | 146 (14.2%) _{a,b} | 50 (18.5%) _b | |
| ≤ 50% | 279 (19.3%) | 16 (10.8%) _a | 179 (17.4%) _a | 84 (31.1%) _b | |
| Symptoms during flight | | | | | |
| When ascending | 308 (20.3%) | 25 (14.4%) _a | 215 (20.3%) _{a,b} | 68 (24.1%) _b | 0.041 |
| When cruising | 62 (4.1%) | 0 (0.0%) _a | 47 (4.4%) _b | 15 (5.3%) _b | 0.002 |
| When descending | 1481 (97.7%) | 169 (97.1%) | 1035 (97.6%) | 277 (98.2%) | 0.685 |
| Cabin pres. problem | 60 (4.0%) | 3 (1.7%) _a | 34 (3.2%) _a | 23 (8.2%) _b | 0.001 |
| Symptoms manifested as | | | | | |
| Ear pressure | 1426 (94.1%) | 149 (85.6%) _a | 1002 (94.5%) _b | 275 (97.5%) _b | <0.001 |
| Ear pain | 857 (56.5%) | 71 (40.8%) _a | 592 (55.8%) _b | 194 (68.8%) _c | <0.001 |
| Ear ringing | 194 (12.8%) | 14 (8.0%) _a | 126 (11.9%) _a | 54 (19.1%) _b | 0.001 |
| Hearing loss | 514 (33.9%) | 38 (21.8%) _a | 353 (33.3%) _b | 123 (43.6%) _c | 0.001 |
| TM perforation | 49 (3.2%) | 0 (0.0%) _a | 35 (3.3%) _b | 14 (5.0%) _b | 0.004 |
| Vertigo | 94 (6.2%) | 8 (4.6%) | 67 (6.3%) | 19 (6.7%) | 0.663 |
| Nausea | 38 (2.5%) | 2 (1.1%) | 25 (2.4%) | 11 (3.9%) | 0.177 |
| Other | 43 (2.8%) | 5 (2.9%) | 30 (2.8%) | 8 (2.8%) | 1.000 |
| Symptoms manifested in | | | | | |
| One ear | 202 (13.3%) | 17 (9.8%) | 147 (13.9%) | 38 (13.5%) | <0.001† |
| Both ears | 772 (50.9%) | 50 (28.7%) _a | 543 (51.2%) _b | 179 (63.5%) _c | |
| Not sure | 542 (35.8%) | 107 (61.5%) _a | 370 (34.9%) _b | 65 (23.0%) _c | |
| Symptoms lasted for | | | | | |
| ≤ 2min | 526 (34.7%) | 114 (65.5%) _a | 356 (33.6%) _b | 56 (19.9%) _c | <0.001† |
| ≤ 2h | 679 (44.8%) | 47 (27.0%) _a | 494 (46.6%) _b | 138 (48.9%) _b | |
| ≤ 2d | 241 (15.9%) | 10 (5.7%) _a | 162 (15.3%) _b | 69 (24.5%) _c | |
| > 2d | 70 (4.6%) | 3 (1.7%) _a | 48 (4.5%) _{a,b} | 19 (6.7%) _b | |
| Symptoms before flight | | | | | |
| Yes | 447 (29.5%) | 33 (19.0%) _a | 342 (32.3%) _b | 72 (25.5%) _{a,b} | <0.001 |
| No | 1069 (70.5%) | 141 (81.0%) _a | 718 (67.7%) _b | 210 (74.5%) _{a,b} | |
| Symptom progression over the years | | | | | |
| Less symptoms | 279 (18.4%) | 35 (20.1%) | 201 (19.0%) | 43 (15.2%) | <0.001† |
| Same amount of symp. | 1004 (66.2%) | 130 (74.7%) _a | 712 (67.2%) _a | 162 (57.4%) _b | |
| More symptoms | 233 (15.4%) | 9 (5.2%) _a | 147 (13.9%) _b | 77 (27.3%) _c | |

Table III. Characteristics of middle ear barotraumas in-flight and the effect of subjective Valsalva performance

Data missing in ^x=2, ^y=69 cases. Categorical data presented as numbers (%) and continuous data presented as medians (IQR). Categorical data analyzed using Fisher's exact (two-tailed) or Chi-Square tests (when insufficient memory to conduct Fisher's exact test, marked as†). Bonferroni correction was utilized when carrying out multiple comparisons.

Each subscript letter denotes a subset of categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

TM, tympanic membrane; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection

| Variable | All (n=1516) | Subjective Valsalva performance | | | p-value |
|---|--------------|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Always (n=174) | Almost always (not when URTI) (n=1060) | Occasionally or never (n=282) | |
| <u>Medication due to symptoms</u> | | | | | |
| All medication | | | | | |
| All | 910 (60.0%) | 51 (29.3%) _a | 657 (62.0%) _b | 202 (71.6%) _c | <0.001 |
| All, last 12 months | 644 (42.5%) | 28 (16.1%) _a | 467 (44.1%) _b | 149 (52.8%) _c | <0.001 [†] |
| All, earlier | 382 (25.2%) | 26 (14.9%) _a | 279 (26.3%) _b | 77 (27.3%) _b | 0.004 [†] |
| Prescribed | | | | | |
| Prescribed, all | 664 (43.8%) | 31 (17.8%) _a | 480 (45.3%) _b | 153 (54.3%) _c | <0.001 |
| Prescribed, last 12 months | 449 (29.6%) | 19 (10.9%) _a | 321 (30.3%) _b | 109 (38.7%) _c | <0.001 |
| Prescribed, earlier | 254 (16.8%) | 14 (8.0%) | 186 (17.5%) | 54 (19.1%) | 0.002 |
| Nonprescribed | | | | | |
| Nonprescribed, all | 699 (46.1%) | 37 (21.3%) _a | 495 (46.7%) _b | 167 (59.2%) _c | <0.001 |
| Nonprescribed, last 12 months | 489 (32.3%) | 19 (10.9%) _a | 347 (32.7%) _b | 123 (43.6%) _c | <0.001 |
| Nonprescribed, earlier | 255 (16.8%) | 21 (12.1%) | 176 (16.6%) | 58 (20.6%) | 0.059 |
| <u>Surgical procedures due to symptoms</u> | | | | | |
| All procedures | 74 (4.9%) | 1 (0.6%) _a | 45 (4.2%) _a | 28 (9.9%) _b | 0.001 |
| Myringotomy | 64 (4.2%) | 1 (0.6%) _a | 36 (3.4%) _a | 27 (9.6%) _b | <0.001 |
| Tympanostomy | 10 (0.7%) | 0 (0.0%) | 8 (0.8%) | 2 (0.7%) | 0.772 |
| BET | 7 (0.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 5 (0.5%) | 2 (0.7%) | 0.697 |
| <u>Sick leave due to symptoms</u> | | | | | |
| During career | | | | | |
| Yes | 721 (47.6%) | 40 (23.0%) _a | 534 (49.4%) _b | 157 (55.7%) _b | <0.001 |
| No | 795 (52.4%) | 134 (77.0%) _a | 536 (50.6%) _b | 125 (44.3%) _b | |
| During last 12 months | | | | | |
| 0 days | 912 (60.2%) | 142 (81.6%) _a | 622 (58.7%) _b | 148 (52.5%) _b | <0.001 [†] |
| 1-5 days | 370 (24.4%) | 28 (16.1%) _a | 258 (24.3%) _{a,b} | 84 (29.8%) _b | |
| 6-10 days | 148 (9.8%) | 2 (1.1%) _a | 115 (10.8%) _b | 31 (11.0%) _b | |
| ≥ 11 days | 86 (5.7%) | 2 (1.1%) _a | 65 (6.1%) _b | 19 (6.7%) _b | |

Table IV. Treatment and occupational health effects of middle ear barotraumas in-flight and the effect of subjective Valsalva performance

Categorical data presented as numbers (%) and analyzed using Fisher's exact (two-tailed) or Chi-Square tests (when insufficient memory to conduct Fisher's exact test, marked as[†]). Bonferroni correction was utilized when carrying out multiple comparisons. Each subscript letter denotes a subset of categories whose column proportions do not differ significantly from each other at the .05 level.

BET, balloon eustachian tuboplasty

FIGURE LEGENDSSupplemental Digital Content

SDC 1. English translation of the questionnaire.doc

SDC 2. Details of data acquisition.doc

SDC 3. Application of the Bradford Hill guidelines for observational data: middle ear barotraumas in-flight and the condition's possible risk factors.doc