



UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI



<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Helda

Translocation of the cyanobacterial toxin microcystin-LR into guttation drops of *Triticum aestivum* and remaining toxicity

Pflugmacher, Stephan

Elsevier Ltd.

2019-10

Pflugmacher, S, Sulk, A, Kim, S & Esterhuizen-Londt, M 2019, 'Translocation of the cyanobacterial toxin microcystin-LR into guttation drops of *Triticum aestivum* and remaining toxicity', *Environmental Pollution*, vol. 253, pp. 61-67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.07.027>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/332351>

10.1016/j.envpol.2019.07.027

cc_by_nc_nd

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

1 Environmental Pollution: Full-length research paper

2 **Translocation of the cyanobacterial toxin microcystin-LR into**
3 **guttation drops of *Triticum aestivum* and remaining toxicity**

4 Stephan Pflugmacher^{1,2,3,*}, Amalia Sulk^{1,2}, Sanghun Kim⁴, Maranda Esterhuizen-
5 Londt^{1,2,3}

6 ¹) University of Helsinki, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Ecosystems
7 and Environment Research Programme, Aquatic Ecotoxicology in an Urban
8 Environment, Niemenkatu 73, 15140 Lahti, Finland

9 ²) Joint Laboratory of Applied Ecotoxicology, Environmental Safety Group, Korea
10 Institute of Science and Technology Europe (KIST Europe) Forschungsgesellschaft
11 mbH, Universität des Saarlandes Campus E7 1, Saarbrücken, 66123, Germany

12 ³) Helsinki Institute of Sustainability (HELSUS), Fabianinkatu 33, 00014 Helsinki

13 ⁴) Kyung-sung University, Department of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, 191,
14 Jeongjail-ro, Bundang-gu, Seongnam-si, Gyeonggi-do, 13557 Republic of Korea

15

16 *Corresponding author: Stephan Pflugmacher, University of Helsinki, Faculty of
17 Biological and Environmental Sciences, Ecosystems and Environment Research
18 Programme, Aquatic Ecotoxicology in an Urban Environment, Niemenkatu 73, 15140
19 Lahti, Finland, Tel: +35850 316 7329, Email: stephan.pflugmacher@helsinki.fi

20

21 **Highlights**

- 22 • Microcystin-LR was taken up *via* the roots of *Triticum aestivum*.
- 23 • MC-LR could be detected in the roots, stems, and leaves.
- 24 • MC-LR occurred in xylem sap, and guttation drops at the same concentration.
- 25 • MC-LR was detected in and thus is transported *via* the xylem.
- 26 • MC-LR at the concentration occurring in the guttation drops is not toxic to
- 27 daphids.

28

29 **Capsule**

30 Microcystin was taken up by *Triticum aestivum* (wheat) after exposure via irrigation,
31 transported through the roots to the stems and leaves via the xylem, and could be
32 detected in the guttation drops.

33 **Abstract**

34 Uptake of the commonly occurring cyanobacterial toxin microcystin-LR (MC-LR) into
35 crop plants *via* spray irrigation has been demonstrated. As other hazardous compounds
36 such as pesticides were shown to be transported within plants, it was essential to
37 understand the transport and fate of MC-LR in plants and the risks posed to grazers and
38 other consumers. Of specific interest was to investigate if MC-LR could be detected in
39 guttation drops and the toxicity thereof. *Triticum aestivum* (wheat) seedlings were
40 exposed to 100 µg L⁻¹ MC-LR in two separate experiments during which guttation drops
41 were collected at various time points. The plants of one experiment were sectioned to
42 investigate MC-LR distribution to the various plant appendages *via* liquid
43 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis. After exposure, MC-LR could be
44 detected in the roots, stems, leaves, and the guttation drops. However, the guttation
45 drops were not toxic to *Daphnia*. As the environmentally relevant toxin concentration
46 used was not sufficient to promote mortality in *Daphnia*, the physiological effect in
47 insects, which rely on guttation drops as a water source, remains unknown. Combined
48 with other contaminants that insects may be exposed to, the additional MC-LR exposure
49 could contribute to the overall toxicity through the “tears of death”.

50

51 **Keywords:** Cyanobacterial toxins, guttation, microcystin-LR, translocation, *Triticum*
52 *aestivum* (wheat)

53 **1. Introduction**

54 Plants are the initiation point for most food webs, thus forming a central part of our
55 global ecosystem. They are a vital resource of food for humans and animals, the latter in
56 turn again serving as human food resources. For many years our ecosystems have had to
57 face a constant input of „xenobiotics“ (gr. xen(o) – strange; biosis – way of life), which
58 are human-made compounds produced for a variety of purposes. Many of them are
59 released deliberately, such as various pesticides in agricultural systems. The uptake of
60 these organic pollutants into plants is usually a function of several chemical and
61 physical properties they exhibit such as hydrophilicity, water solubility, and vapour
62 pressure. The absorption depends on the respective pollutants and the environmental
63 conditions such as temperature, UV-light, soil properties, plant species as well as plant
64 health.

65 One of the critical factors for many physiological processes in plants is water. In plants,
66 water is a solvent and a transport medium (for example for nutrients and photosynthetic
67 products), is involved in numerous biochemical reactions and is responsible for turgor
68 pressure and stomata opening. Therefore, after being taken up by millions of root hairs,
69 which are thin-walled, slender extensions of the root epidermal cells, water moves freely
70 from soil into the capillary spaces between the cortex in the roots. The water is then
71 transported by a water gradient, with osmosis as the driving force. Once water is
72 absorbed, there are two ways to move deeper into the plant, i.e. the apoplast pathway
73 and symplast pathway (Hopkins, 1999). The apoplast pathway leads through the cell
74 walls, which are freely permeable to small molecules. Through this pathway, the plant
75 has no control over the movement of substances, as the cells are literally dead. However,
76 coming to endodermis cells, the Casparian stripe effectively blocks this pathway, so all

77 substances including water have to enter the stele and thus xylem for transport through
78 the symplast.

79 Plants cannot discriminate between beneficial or harmful compounds, nor can they
80 distinguish between natural or xenobiotic substances. Unfortunately, most toxic
81 compounds behave the same way as nutrients if the physicochemical properties are
82 similar (Riederer, 1990). Transport within plants takes place in xylem and phloem. In
83 mature plants, the tracheids in the xylem fibrous cells are dead, whereas in phloem sieve
84 elements that have fully functional membranes are filled with living protoplasm.
85 Movement in phloem is achieved by active processes, which generally require ATP
86 (Trapp, 1995). Therefore, the transport of hydrophobic organic compounds is limited in
87 the phloem.

88 Plants do have possibilities to excrete solutes. One of these possibilities is called
89 guttation (lat. gutta = drop), which is the formation of drops exudated *via* xylem sap
90 through hydathodes, a process linked to root pressure (Slayter, 1967) and is in some
91 ways also derived from the transpiration stream (Fig. 1). As Klepper and Kaufmann
92 (1966) discovered, guttation fluid and xylem sap have different chemical compositions,
93 i.e. guttation fluid contains different kind of amino acids, sugars, and inorganic salts
94 (Goatley and Lewis, 1966; Sheldrake and Northcote, 1968) and even some active
95 enzymes can be detected in this fluid (Tab. 1) (Biles and Abeles, 1991; Kerstetter et al.,
96 1998; Komarnytsky et al., 2000). In other words, the generation of the guttation fluid
97 appears to be quite a selective process (Coupland and Caseley, 1979). In the past years,
98 more and more reports have shown the presence of xenobiotics (Tab. 1), such as
99 glyphosate, imidacloprid, or clothianidin in the guttation fluid (Girolami et al., 2009;
100 Tapparo et al., 2011). The last two mentioned substances, belonging to the group of

101 neonicotinoids, are hazardous for insects such as the honeybee (Ratnieks and Carrek,
102 2010).

103 ***Figure 1 here.***

104 Cyanobacterial blooms in freshwater bodies are a global environmental problem due to
105 promoted eutrophication and climate change (Scholz et al., 2017). As a result, the
106 occurrence of toxic cyanobacterial blooms as well as the amount of cyanobacterial
107 toxins, such as in the case of the hepatotoxic microcystins (Omidi et al., 2018), have
108 become considerably increased. These toxins may have interactions with central
109 components of aquatic ecosystems, i.e. aquatic plants causing adverse effects and
110 disrupting the ecosystem (Pflugmacher, 2004). As some of the bodies of water are used
111 for spray irrigation, cyanotoxins can be transferred into the food chain. The uptake of
112 cyanobacterial toxins has been documented in terrestrial plants (Pflugmacher et al.,
113 2006; Pflugmacher et al., 2007a and b; Contardo-Jara et al., 2018); however, its
114 excretion during plant guttation has not been investigated. Thus, it was necessary to
115 investigate the fate and transport of a commonly occurring cyanobacterial toxin in a
116 terrestrial plant. As microcystin-LR (MC-LR) is so commonly detected and well studied
117 (Omidi et al., 2018), it was chosen for this investigation. The present study, therefore,
118 aimed to investigate the plant internal transport of the cyanobacterial toxin MC-LR after
119 uptake *via* the root system. Furthermore, the possibility of MC-LR transfer into guttation
120 drops was investigated. Guttation drops are an important water source for many insects,
121 especially bees, and could negatively affect biodiversity indirectly. Therefore, the
122 potential toxicity of the guttation drops was tested using the immobilisation test of the
123 crustacea *Daphnia magna* as a sentinel for hazards stemming from guttation drops.

124 **2. Materials and methods**

125 *2.1. Chemicals and reagents*

126 All chemicals were analytical grade and purchased from Sigma Aldrich unless stated
127 otherwise. MC-LR (HPLC-grade; purity $\geq 95\%$) isolated from *Microcystis aeruginosa*
128 was obtained from Enzo Life Sciences (Germany). The toxin was suspended and diluted
129 with HPLC-grade methanol (VWR International GmbH, France) to an MC-LR stock
130 solution of $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ before storage at -20°C .

131 *2.2. Wheat plant cultivation*

132 Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. Variant: Taifun M) seeds from a local supplier
133 (Ecocontrol, Osterrode, Germany) were left to imbibe in standard medium (900 mg L^{-1}
134 KNO_3 , 900 mg L^{-1} $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$, 360 mg L^{-1} MgSO_4 , 200 mg L^{-1} KH_2PO_4 , 40 mg L^{-1} Fe-
135 EDTA and micronutrients: 1 mg L^{-1} MnSO_4 , 0.2 mg L^{-1} CuSO_4 , 0.2 mg L^{-1} ZnSO_4 , 1.8
136 mg L^{-1} H_3BO_3 , 3.4 mg L^{-1} $(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}$, and 9 mg L^{-1} CoCl_2) (Walters and Kingham,
137 1990) for 1 h. Afterwards, the seeds were disinfected using first 0.1% Tween 80 solution
138 (w/w), 0.5% sodium hypochlorite (w/w), and finally 0.75% hydrogen peroxide solution
139 (w/w) and washed between these steps with distilled water. For germination, the seeds
140 were transferred onto filter paper (Whatman No. 1), wetted with the standard medium in
141 Petri dishes, and incubated at room temperature (21°C) in the dark for 24 h.

142 Seeds, from which the primary root protruded after 48 h, were transferred in single to
143 reagent tubes filled two thirds with washed, sterilised (20 min, 121°C) sand in semi-
144 hydroponic culture using the standard medium. Seeds were placed 0.5 – 1.0 cm deep
145 into the sand for further growth and incubated at 25°C with a day/night cycle of 14 h:10

146 h ($100 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) in a commercially available greenhouse (4.6 m² size, Bauhaus, Berlin,
147 Germany) made of polycarbonate plates and aluminium frame.

148 *2.3. Collection of guttation liquid*

149 To initiate guttation of the seedlings, the reagent tubes were placed in trays with water to
150 maintain a high humidity of 95%. When the seedling shoots were up to 3 cm tall after 6
151 d, guttation liquid was collected twice daily for the following 3 d, drop by drop from the
152 hydathodes around of the primary leaf using a glass Pasteur pipette before the exposures
153 commenced. Droplets had an average size 1.35 ± 0.14 mm ($n = 800$) and the average
154 volume per wheat hydathode per night was $0.8 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-7}$ L. After sampling the
155 guttation drops collected were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -
156 80°C. Guttation liquid exhibit a pH of 5.1 ± 0.1 .

157 *2.4. Exposure scenario*

158 2.4.1. Transfer of toxin into plant sections

159 After 6 d of growth, a total of 1000 seedlings, separated in five independent batches
160 with 200 seedlings each, were obtained. These batches were again separated into five
161 independent batches with 25 seedlings each. Each seedling was irrigated daily for 7 d
162 with water (1000 μL per seedling) containing $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ MC-LR (0.7 μg MC-LR per
163 seedling). As an independent control, 100 seedlings were irrigated with water without
164 toxin. The seedlings were collected after 0 h, 2 h, 4 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, 96 h, 120 h, 144
165 h, and 168 h. The seedlings were washed with methanol and water in three successions
166 to remove any possible surface bound MC-LR on the roots and then separated into root,
167 stem, and leaf sections. Guttation drops were sampled twice a day using glass Pasteur
168 pipettes for 7 d. Guttation drop samples were combined from each batch from exposure

169 as well as the controls separately for liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry
170 (LC-MS/MS) analysis (described in section 2.5).

171 2.4.2. Toxin content in guttation drops and xylem sap

172 After 6 d of growth, a total of 500 seedlings (divided into 5 batches) were each exposed
173 to 1000 μL of water containing 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ MC-LR (0.1 μg) for 96 h and 168 h
174 respectively before sampling. As a control, 100 seedlings in total were irrigated with
175 water without toxin. For xylem sap sampling, the seedlings were cut 1.0 cm above the
176 sand surface using a sharp scalpel to collect xylem sap coming from the root system
177 directly. Xylem sap was collected using a glass Pasteur pipette and combined from all
178 seedlings of one batch in exposure as well as control samples, separately.

179 2.4.3. Toxicity of guttation drops

180 In order to investigate the potential toxicity of the guttation drops, the *Daphnia* sp. acute
181 immobilisation test according to ISO 6341:2012 (2012) was performed. *Daphnia magna*
182 Strauss from an established laboratory culture was used. To set up the test, young *D.*
183 *magna* aged less than 24 h were exposed to the guttation drops in various concentrations
184 for a period of 48 h. The immobilisation was recorded after 48 h and compared with
185 control values. The dilutions were prepared in standard water (294 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ $\text{CaCl}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$,
186 123 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ $\text{MgSO}_4\cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 63.0 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ NaHCO_3 , and 5.50 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ KCl) at a pH of 7.0.
187 For each concentration tested, in total 20 animals were divided into four groups, i.e. five
188 animals were used per treatment replicate, the control and positive control. The exposure
189 temperature was $19 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ and a 16 h:8 h light/dark cycle was applied. Test vessels were
190 not aerated during the test, nor was any food supplied to the *D. magna* during the test.

191

192 2.5. *Microcystin-LR analysis*

193 To reach detectable MC-LR concentrations for toxin analysis *via* LC-MS/MS, a pre-
194 concentration of MC-LR *via* solid-phase-extraction (SPE) was done using reversed-
195 phase cartridges (Sep-Pak[®] tC18, 400 mg sorbent, Waters, Ireland). The sample was
196 passed through the SPE tube for toxin enrichment followed by eluting with 5 mL of
197 99% methanol (Carl Roth, Germany). Subsequently, all methanol was removed in a
198 vacuum centrifuge (Concentrator plus/Vacufuge[®] plus, Eppendorf AG, Germany) at a
199 temperature of 30°C and finally resuspended in 500 µL HPLC-grade methanol
200 (Spengler et al., 2015).

201 MC-LR quantification was performed by LC-MS/MS (Alliance 2695 UHPLC combined
202 with a Micromass Quattro microTM, Waters) using the reverse phase column KinetexTM
203 C18 (2.1 mm × 50 mm, 2.6 µm pore size, Phenomenex, USA). The column oven
204 temperature was set at 40°C with an injection volume of 20 µL. The mobile phase
205 consisted of solution A (Milli-Q water containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and
206 5% acetonitrile (ACN)) and solution B (ACN containing 0.1% TFA) at a flow rate of
207 0.2 mL min⁻¹. A gradient was generated between both solutions. The gradient conditions
208 (solution A: solution B) were 65:35 at 3 min, 35:65 from 3.75 to 7 min and 0:100 from
209 7.8 to 12 min. An elution peak for MC-LR was observed at 7.44 min. Mass spectral data
210 analyses were performed using electrospray ionisation (ESI) in a positive ion mode with
211 a collision energy of 65 V. Desolvation gas N₂ was set as trigger gas and argon as the
212 collision gas. For the subsequent MS/MS detection, the MRM mode was used with a
213 mass transfer of 995.5 (Q1) and 107.3, 135.1, 213.2 and 357.2 (Q3) for MC-LR.
214 Calibration was linear ($r^2 = 0.999$) between 5 and 500 µg L⁻¹. The LOD was 1 µg L⁻¹,
215 and LOQ was 2 µg L⁻¹ (Contardo-Jara et al., 2015).

216

217 2.6. *Statistical Tests*

218 SPSS was used to perform a descriptive analysis based on the mean of toxin content
219 (Arbuckle, 2010). Results are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Data were
220 submitted to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). When the overall F statistic was
221 significant, pair-wise comparisons were performed by Tukey–Kramer test (Sokal and
222 Rohlf, 1997). An alpha value of 0.05 level was set for significance.

223

224 **3. Results and Discussion**

225 Exposure of agricultural plants *via* spray irrigation to water containing cyanobacterial
226 toxins have severe effects on plant physiology and therefore also have economic
227 consequences (Codd et al., 1999; McElhiney et al., 2001). Accumulation of cyanotoxins
228 in plants *via* spray irrigation as the source has been reported previously (Pflugmacher et
229 al., 2007a and b; Peuthert et al., 2007; Lahrouni et al., 2015; Contardo-Jara et al., 2018).
230 However, the fate of these toxins within the plant remains poorly understood. As seen
231 for *Phragmites australis*, the MC-LR is taken up by plant root systems and internally
232 transported into stem and leaves (Pflugmacher et al., 2001). Based on this result, long-
233 distance transport of the polar molecule MC-LR *via* the xylem becomes a plausible
234 scenario and was thus investigated. For the pesticide imidacloprid, an acropetal xylem
235 transport was shown in *Citrus sinensis*, after application of the pesticide to the tree bark
236 (Mendel et al., 2000). According to Rudolph-Böhmer et al. (1994), MC-LR contains two
237 ionizable carboxyl groups and one ionizable amino group outside the cyclic structure
238 formed by peptide bonds. The pKa values of those groups ranged between 2.09 and
239 12.48 (Rudolph-Böhner et al., 1994). The pKa is one determinant for the ability to

240 penetrate biomembranes and responsibility for root uptake and translocation into the
241 xylem of a molecule (Sur and Stork, 2003).

242 3.1. Transfer of toxin into plant sections

243 In the present study, exposure of the seedlings to MC-LR led to an uptake of the toxin
244 into the plant (Fig. 2). As the only parts exposed to MC-LR, uptake occurred *via* the root
245 pathway. Uptake was time-dependent, starting with the detection of $1.4 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ MC-
246 LR in the roots as soon as 2 h after exposure commenced, increasing exponentially ($r^2 =$
247 0.976) to a maximum concentration of $69.6 \pm 7.4 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ after 168 h (Fig. 2A). As MC-
248 LR is a water-soluble polar compound, it can be assumed it entered the root dissolved in
249 water *via* the apoplastic pathway. However, it is likely that the hydrophobicity of the
250 Casparian stripe forced the dissolved MC-LR to transverse the plasma membrane to
251 enter the symplast where it likely entered, according to its chemical properties, the
252 xylem as it was detected in the stems (Fig 2B).

253 During the exposure period, the toxin moves through the stem (max. concentration after
254 168 h $40.9 \pm 2.8 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) (Fig. 2B) into the leaves of the seedlings (max. concentration of
255 toxin after 168 h $55.6 \pm 6.6 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) (Fig. 2C). Detecting MC-LR in the leaves of plants
256 of which only the roots were exposed to MC-LR, supports the hypothesis that MC-LR is
257 transported *via* the xylem. No toxin could be detected in the control plants that were
258 watered only with toxin-free water.

259 ***Figure 2 here.***

260 In a semi-field experiment using *T. aestivum* exposed to MC-LR and -RR as well as a
261 cell-free cyanobacterial crude extract individually for three months ($0.5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$), the
262 effects on root growth could be clearly shown (Pflugmacher et al., 2007b). However, in
263 the current study during which a substantially lower exposure concentration was used

264 (100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) for a shorter period (7 d), no adverse effects on root growth could be
265 observed.

266 ***Figure 3 here.***

267 In the guttation drops, MC-LR could already be detected after 48 h at a concentration of
268 $4.6 \pm 1.6 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ (Fig. 3). The toxin concentration in the guttation drops increased
269 exponentially with time ($r^2 = 0.979$) to $60.0 \pm 2.8 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ after 168 h of exposure. The
270 detection of MC-LR in the guttation drops indicate an active transport of the toxin *via*
271 the stems into the leaves and the guttation drops. When calculating the amount of MC-
272 LR detected in the guttation liquid per seedling after 7 d, a total of 2.4 μg per seedling
273 was reached. A similar calculation by Riebe (2009) showed that the amount of
274 neonicotinoids in the guttation liquid per seedling was in the range of 0.5 - 1.3 mg.
275 Those values refer to a whole growing season and not only to a 7 d growth experiment.
276 Taking into account the effect of pooling all the guttation drops from the leaves of *T.*
277 *aestivum* seedlings; this also may have led to a kind of dilution.

278 *3.2. Toxin content in guttation drops and xylem sap*

279 When comparing the toxin concentration of the xylem sap and guttation drop (Fig. 4),
280 the transport is manifested. Guttation drops are exudates of the xylem sap. At night,
281 stomata of plants are usually closed. Therefore no transpiration occurs. Root pressure
282 builds up because water from soil moisture will force water to enter the roots. This root
283 pressure forces water to exude through the hydathodes, forming guttation drops (Wilson,
284 1923). After 96 h of exposure, $19.3 \pm 6.9 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ MC-LR could be measured in the
285 xylem sap samples (Fig. 4). The toxin concentration in the guttation drops at the same
286 time was $11.6 \pm 2.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, resembling 60 % of the xylem sap concentration. After 168
287 h of exposure, the MC-LR concentration in xylem sap reached $56.6 \pm 9.1 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, and

288 the concentration in the guttation drops reached $52.4 \pm 7.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$. Typically, the
289 dissolved content of salts, sugars, amino acids, or even whole enzymes (catalase,
290 peroxidase) in the guttation drops (Wilson, 1923; Biles and Abeles, 1991) is very low
291 and usually below 1% (Pistorius et al., 2011). The amount of MC-LR detected in the
292 guttation drop after 168 h exposure was 0.024% of the total applied toxin amount of 100
293 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$.

294 ***Figure 4 here.***

295 Comparing the molecular sizes of compounds such as galactose ($180.16 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$),
296 inositol ($180.16 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$), asparagine ($132.12 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$), glutamine ($146.14 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$), and
297 methionine ($149.21 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$) (Goatly and Lewis, 1966) or some pesticides such as
298 clothianidin ($249.68 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$), diuron ($233.10 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$) or chloramben ($206.02 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$)
299 (Stroller, 1970), previously detected in guttation drops, MC-LR ($995.16 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$) is
300 significantly larger. However, as even larger biomolecules such as the enzymes catalase
301 ($240,000 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$) or peroxidases ($44,000 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$) are secreted into guttation drops, the
302 hepatotoxin is within the lower range. However, these enzymes are synthesised within
303 the plant cell, and MC-LR is taken up *via* the root from outside. This means that the
304 toxin has to overcome the Casparian strip barrier in order to enter the xylem sap. As
305 MC-LR could be detected in roots, stem, and leaf, the uptake mechanisms (transporters)
306 might be similar to amino acid and protein taken up into root cells (Lonhienne et al.,
307 2014). MC-LR consists of a ring of seven amino acids, so it can be speculated that
308 similar transporters are involved such as proton-amino acid symporters (Eriksson et al.,
309 1990). In addition, the uptake of MC-LR *via* pinocytotic processes has been discussed as a
310 possible uptake route (Vestervik and Meriluoto, 2003).

311 According to Ortiz-Lopez et al. (2000), more than two dozen amino acid transporters in
312 plants are known, some of which might be active in bringing MC-LR into the root cells.

313 The concentration of toxin in the guttation drops was visually but not statistically lower
314 than that detected on xylem sap after both 96 and 168 h of exposure ($p > 0.05$);
315 nevertheless, the small difference indicated the removal of the toxin from the xylem sap
316 during the movement through the epithelium. This removal might be due to possible
317 biotransformation *via* conjugation by glutathione S-transferases as seen already in
318 different plants (Pflugmacher et al., 1998; 2001).

319 3.3. Toxicity of guttation drops

320 Detection of MC-LR in the guttation drops led to the question of whether this poses any
321 risk to insects using guttation drops as a water source. Guttation drops are for many
322 insects, including honeybees (Riebe, 2009), a potential source of water, even though this
323 source is available the whole day, but only in the morning and evenings, and even not
324 daily. Honeybees usually need water in the hive to regulate air humidity, to cool down
325 the temperature, and for the production of larval food (Johansson and Johansson, 1978);
326 however, there is no water storage in the hive. As the water collecting worker bees
327 might choose water sources near (around 50 m) the hive to avoid energy consuming
328 long-distance flights, an agricultural field nearby might present as the closest water
329 source (Kuehnholz and Seeley, 1997; Shawki et al., 2006).

330 To test the potential toxicity of guttation drops, the well-established ecotoxicological
331 test using *D. magna* immobilisation was performed. LC_{50} was calculated according to
332 Finney (1952) to be 1.48 ± 0.29 mg L⁻¹ for the guttation drops. For MC-LR, the 48 h
333 LC_{50} reported in literature ranged from 1.78 to 6.86 mg L⁻¹ (Sieroslawska, 2013; Piontek
334 and Czyzewska, 2017). Therefore, the toxicity of MC-LR secreted into the guttation
335 drops is still on the lower range of pure MC-LR tested. MC-LR was reported to be toxic
336 to terrestrial insects such as *Plutella xylostella* (diamond-backed moth), *Spodoptera*
337 *littoralis* (cotton leafworm), *Pieris brassicae* (cabbage white butterfly), and *Musca*

338 *domestica* (housefly). For *P. xylostella*, an LC₅₀ 24 h of 1.02 µg toxin per cm² treated
339 leaf surface was detected (Delaney and Wilkins, 1995). Other insects such as
340 *Periplaneta americana* (American cockroach), *Tenebrio molitor* (Yellow mealworm),
341 and *Gryllus bimaculatus bimaculatus* (common cricket) showed greater sensitivity
342 towards MC-LR, which is toxic to them (Oberholster et al., 2009). However, further,
343 more thorough toxicological testing of the guttation drops is necessary to evaluate any
344 threats posed to insects.

345

346 **4. Conclusion**

347 After exposure, MC-LR could be detected in all three tested plant sections of *T.*
348 *aestivum* seedlings and the guttation drops. As the environmentally relevant
349 concentration of the toxin used in the present experiment was not sufficient to promote
350 mortality in *D. magna*, it is still unknown how insects which rely on guttation drops as a
351 source of water will react physiologically in nature. Combined with other contaminants
352 that insects may be exposed to, this route of MC-LR exposure contributes to the overall
353 toxicity through the guttation as the plant “tears of death”.

354 **Author contributions**

355 SP, AS, SK and MEL designed the research; SP, AS performed the research; SP
356 analysed the data, and SP and MEL wrote the paper. All authors read, gave comments,
357 and approved the manuscript.

358

359 **Funding**

360 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
361 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

362

363 **Declaration of interest**

364 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

365

366 **ORCID**

367 Stephan Pflugmacher: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1052-2905>

368 Amalia Sulk: N/A

369 Sanghun Kim: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2936-5084>

370 Maranda Esterhuizen-Londt: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2342-3941>

371 **5. References**

372 Arbuckle, J.L., 2010, IBM SPSS 19 Users guide. Amos Development Corporation,
373 Crawfordville.

374 Biles, C.L., Abeles, F.B., 1991. Xylem sap proteins. *Plant Physiol.* 96, 597–601.

375 Chang, R., 1981. *Physical chemistry with applications to biological systems.* MacMillan
376 Publishing Co. Inc, New York.

377 Codd, G.A., Metcalf, J.S., Beattie, K.A., 1999. Retention of *Microcystis aeruginosa* and
378 microcystin by salad lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) after spray irrigation with water containing
379 cyanobacteria, *Toxicon* 37, 1181-1185.

380 Contardo-Jara, V., Schwanemann, T., Esterhuizen-Londt, M., Pflugmacher, S., 2018.
381 Protein association of β -N-methylamino-L-alanine in *Triticum aestivum* via irrigation.
382 *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 35, 731-739.

383 Contardo-Jara, V., Kuehn, S., Pflugmacher, S., 2015. Single and combined exposure to
384 MC-LR and BMAA confirm suitability of *Aegagropila linnaei* for use in green liver
385 systems - a case study with cyanobacterial toxins. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 165, 101-108.

386 Coupland, D., Caseley, J.C., 1979. Presence of ^{14}C activity in root exudates and
387 guttation fluid from *Agropyron repens* treated with ^{14}C -labelled glyphosate. *New Phytol.*
388 83, 17-22.

389 Delaney, J.M., Wilkins, R.M., 1995. Toxicity of microcystin-LR isolated from
390 *Microcystis aeruginosa* against various insect species. *Toxicon* 33, 771-778.

391 Eriksson, J.E., Grönberg, L., Nygard, S., Slotte, J.P., Meriluoto, J.A.O., 1990.
392 Hepatocellular uptake of ³H-dihydromicrocystin- LR, a cyclic peptide toxin. *Biochim.*
393 *Biophys. Acta* 1025, 60–66.

394 Finney, D.J., 1952. On the precision of biological assays. *Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 8,
395 55-70.

396 Frommberger, M., Pistorius, J., Schier, A., Joachimsmeier, I., Schenke, D., 2011.
397 Guttation and the risk for honey bee colonies (*Apis mellifera* L.): a worst case semi-field
398 scenario in maize with special consideration of impact on bee brood and brood
399 development. 11th International symposium of the ICP-BR Bee Protection group
400 (ICPBR), Wageningen, Netherlands. DOI: 10.5073/jka.2012.437.015

401 Girolami, V., Mazzon, L., Squartini, A., Mori, N., Marzaro, M., Di Bernardo, A.,
402 Greatti, M., Giorio, C., Tapparo, A., 2009. Translocation of neonicotinoid insecticides
403 from coated seeds to seedlings guttation drops: A novel way of intoxication for bees. *J.*
404 *Econ. Entomol.* 102, 1808-1815.

405 Goatley, J.L., Lewis, R.W., 1966. Composition of guttation fluid from rye, wheat, and
406 barley seedlings. *Plant Physiol.* 41, 373–375.

407 Hoffmann, E.J., Castle, S.J., 2012. Imidacloprid in melon guttation fluid: a potential
408 mode of exposure for pest and beneficial organisms. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 105, 67-71.

409 Hopkins, W.G., 1999. The physiology of plants under stress, in: Hopkins, W., Huener,
410 N.P.A. (Eds.), *Introduction to plant physiology* 2nd edn, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New
411 York, pp. 451-475.

412 ISO 6341:2012. Water Quality - Determination of the inhibition of the mobility of
413 *Daphnia magna* Strauss (Cladocera, Crustacea) - Acute toxicity test. Fourth edition,
414 International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.

415 Johansson, T.S.K., Johansson, M.P., 1978. Providing honeybees with water, *Bee World*
416 59, 11-17.

417 Kerstetter, R.E., Zepp, R.G., Carreira, L.H., 1998. Peroxidases in grass dew from
418 guttation: possible role in polymerization of soil organic matter. *Biogeochemistry* 42,
419 311-323.

420 Klepper, B., Kaufmann, M.R., 1966. Removal of salt from the xylem sap by leaves and
421 stem of guttating plants. *Plant Physiol.* 41, 1743-1747.

422 Komarnytsky, S., Borisjuk, N.V., Borisjuk, L.G., Alam, M.Z., Raskin, I., 2000.
423 Production of recombinant proteins in tobacco guttation fluids. *Plant Physiol.* 124, 927-
424 933.

425 Kuehnholz, S., Seeley, T.D., 1997. The control of water collection in honey bee
426 colonies. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 41, 407-422.

427 Lahrouni, M., Oufdou, K., El Khalloufi, F., Pajuelo, E., 2016. Microcystin-tolerant
428 *Rhizobium* protects plants and improves nitrogen assimilation in *Vicia faba* irrigated
429 with microcystin-containing waters. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 23, 10037-10049.

430 Larson, J.L., Redmond, C.T., Potter, D.A., 2015. Mowing mitigates bioactivity of
431 neonicotinoid insecticides in nectar of flowering lawn weeds and turfgrass guttation.
432 *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 34, 127-132.

433 Lonhienne, T.G.A., Trusov, Y., Young, A., Rentsch, D., Näsholm, T., Schmidt, S.,
434 Paungfoo-Lonhienne, C., 2014. Effects of externally supplied protein on root
435 morphology and biomass allocation in *Arabidopsis*. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 5005.

436 McElhiney, J., Lawton, L.A., Leifert, C., 2001. Investigations into the inhibitory effects
437 of microcystins on plant growth, and the toxicity of plant tissues following exposure.
438 *Toxicon* 39, 1411-1420.

439 Mendel, R.M., Reckmann, U., Führ, F., 2000. Xylem transport of the pesticide
440 imidacloprid in Citrus. *ISHS Acta Horticulture* 531, 129-134. DOI:
441 10.17660/ActaHortic.2000.531.18

442 Oberholster, P.J., Mthethwa, B.L.S., Botha, A.M., 2009. Development of a rapid and
443 sensitive battery of bioassays for risk assessment of cyanobacterial microcystin-LR in
444 drinking water of rural water treatment plants, South Africa. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 8, 4562-
445 4571.

446 Omid, A., Esterhuizen-Londt, M., Pflugmacher, S., 2018. Still challenging: The
447 ecological function of the cyanobacterial toxin microcystin—What we know so far. *Toxin*
448 *Rev.* 37, 87-105.

449 Ortiz-Lopez, A., Chang, H.C., Bush, D.R., 2000. Amino acid transporters in plants.
450 *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1465, 275-280.

451 Peuthert, A., Chakrabarti, S., Pflugmacher, S., 2007. Uptake of microcystins-LR and -
452 LF (cyanobacterial toxins) in seedlings of several important agricultural plant species
453 and the correlation with cellular damage (lipid peroxidation). *Environ. Toxicol.* 22, 436-
454 442.

455 Pflugmacher, S., 2004. Promotion of oxidative stress in the aquatic macrophyte
456 *Ceratophyllum demersum* during biotransformation of cyanobacterial toxin microcystin-
457 LR. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 70, 169-178.

458 Pflugmacher, S., Aulhorn, M., Grimm, B., 2007. Influence of a cyanobacterial crude
459 extract containing microcystin-LR on the physiology and antioxidative defence systems
460 of different spinach variants. *New Phytol.* 175, 482-489.

461 Pflugmacher, S., Hoffmann, J., Hübner, B., 2007. Effects on growth and physiological
462 parameters in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown in soil and irrigated with
463 cyanobacterial toxin contaminated water. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 26, 2710-2716.

464 Pflugmacher, S., Jung, K., Lundvall, L., Neumann, S., Peuthert, A., 2006. Effects of
465 cyanobacterial toxins and cyanobacterial cell-free crude extract on germination of alfalfa
466 (*Medicago sativa*) and induction of oxidative stress. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 25, 2381-
467 2387.

468 Pflugmacher, S., Wiegand, C., Oberemm, A., Beattie, K.A., Krause, E., Codd, G.A.,
469 Steinberg, C.E.W., 1998. Identification of an enzymatically formed glutathione
470 conjugate of the cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin-LR: the first step of
471 detoxication. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 27, 527-533.

472 Pflugmacher, S., Wiegand, C., Beattie, K.A., Krause, E., Steinberg, C.E.W. Codd, G.A.,
473 2001. Uptake, effects and metabolism of cyanobacterial toxins in the emergent reed
474 plant *Phragmites australis* (cav.) trin. ex steud. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 20, 846-852.

475 Piontek, M., Czyzewska, W., 2017. Influence of cyanobacterial bloom on freshwater
476 biocoenosis. Use of Bioassays for cyanobacterial microcystins toxicity assessment. *Civil*
477 *Environ. Eng. Rep.* 24, 47-68.

478 Pistorius, J., Brobyn, T., Campbell, P., Forster, R., Lortsch, J.-A., Marolleau, F., Maus,
479 C., Lückmann, J., Suzuki, H., Wallner K., et al., 2011. Assessment of risks to honey
480 bees posed by guttation. 11th International Symposium of the ICP-BR Bee Protection
481 Group (ICPBR), Wageningen, Netherlands. DOI: 10.5073/jka.2012.437.056

482 Ratnieks, F.L.W., Carreck, N.L., 2010. Clarity on honey bee collapse? *Science* 327,
483 152-153.

484 Riebe, E., 2009. Exposition Paths of Neonicotinoids. DBIB, Paris.
485 <http://www.bitsandbees.nl/Expo-Paths-Paris.pdf>.

486 Riederer, M., 1990. Estimating partitioning and transport of organic chemicals in the
487 foliage/atmosphere systems: discussion of a fugacity-based model. *Environ. Sci.*
488 *Technol.* 24, 829-837.

489 Rudolph-Böhner, S., Mierke, D.F., Moroder, L., 1994. Molecular structure of the
490 cyanobacterial tumor-promoting microcystin. *FEBS Letters* 349, 319-323.

491 Scholz, S.N., Esterhuizen-Londt, M., Pflugmacher, S., 2017. Rise of toxic
492 cyanobacterial blooms in temperate freshwater lakes: Causes, correlations and possible
493 countermeasures. *Toxicol. Environ. Chem.* 99, 543-577.

494 Shawki, M.A.A., Titera, D., Kazda, J., Kohoutkova, J., Taborsky, V., 2006. Toxicity to
495 honeybees of water guttation and dew collected from winter rape treated with Nurelle
496 D[®]. *Plant Prot. Sci.* 42, 9–14.

497 Sheldrake, A.R., Northcote, D.H., 1968. Some constituents of xylem sap and their
498 possible relationship to xylem differentiation. *J. Exp. Bot.* 19, 681-689.

499 Sieroslawska, A., 2013. Evaluation of the sensitivity of organisms used in commercially
500 available tox-kits to selected cyanotoxins. *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.* 22, 1817-1823.

501 Slayter, R.O., 1967. *Plant-water relationships*, Academic Press, New York.

502 Sokal, R.R., Rohlf, F.J., 1997. *Biometry: The principles and practice of statistics in*
503 *biological research*. WH Freeman and Company, New York.

504 Spengler, A., Contardo-Jara, V., Pflugmacher, S., 2015. Potential role of engineered
505 nanoparticles as contaminant carriers in aquatic ecosystems: Estimating sorption
506 processes of the cyanobacterial toxin microcystin-LR by TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Colloids*
507 *Surf. A* 481, 460-467.

508 Stevens, A.B.P., 1956. The structure and development of the hydathodes of *Caltha*
509 *palustris* L. *New Phytol.* 55, 339-345. Stoller, E.W., 1970. Mechanism for the
510 differential translocation of amiben in plants. *Plant Physiol.* 46, 732-737.

511 Sur, R., Stork, A., 2003. Uptake, translocation and metabolism of imidacloprid in plants.
512 *B. Insectol.* 56, 35-40.

513 Tapparo, A., Giorio, C., Marzaro, M., Marton, D., Sold, L., Girolami, V., 2011. Rapid
514 analysis of neonicotinoid insecticides in guttation drops of corn seedlings obtained from
515 coated seeds. *J. Environ. Monit.* 13, 1564-1568.

516 Trapp, S., 1995. Model for uptake of xenobiotics into plants, in: Trapp, S., McFarlane,
517 J.C. (Eds.), *Plant Contamination: modelling and simulation of organic chemical*
518 *processes*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 107-150.


519 Vesterkvist, P.S.M., Meriluoto, J.A.O., 2003. Interactions between microcystins of
520 different hydrophobicities and lipid monolayers. *Toxicon* 41, 349-355.

- 521 Walters, D.R., Kingham, G., 1990. Biosynthesis inhibitors by barley seedlings: Effects
522 of mildew infections. *New Phytol.* 114, 659-665.
- 523 Wilson, J.K., 1923. The nature and reaction of water from hydathodes. Cornell
524 Agricultural Experiment Station Memoir 65, 3–11.

525 **Table 1:** Different compounds previously detected in guttation drops of different plants.
 526 For pesticides, the concentrations were in the mg L⁻¹ range for a more extended vegetation
 527 period.


Compound/protein	Plant species	Concentration*	Reference
Clothianidin	<i>Zea mays</i>	8-102 mg L ⁻¹	Frommberger et al., 2011 Tapparo et al., 2011 Girolami et al., 2009
Imidacloprid	<i>Zea mays</i>	346 mg L ⁻¹	Tapparo et al., 2011 Girolami et al., 2009
	<i>Cucumis melo</i>	48 mg L ⁻¹	Hoffmann and Castle, 2012
	<i>Agrostis stolonifera</i>	37 mg L ⁻¹	Larson et al., 2015
Thiamethoxam	<i>Zea mays</i>	12-146 mg L ⁻¹	Tapparo et al., 2011 Girolami et al., 2009
Glyphosate	<i>Agropyron repens</i>	NR	Coupland and Caseley (1979)
Catalase	<i>Zea mays</i>	NR	Wilson (1923)
	<i>Avena sativa</i>	NR	Biles and Abeles (1991) Komarnytsky et al. (2000)
Peroxidase	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	NR	Wilson (1923)
	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	NR	Biles and Abeles (1991) Komarnytsky et al. (2000)

528 *NR: not reported



530

531 **Fig. 1:** Schematic drawing of the proposed uptake possibilities of water and dissolved
 532 compounds including microcystin-LR *via* (B) root hair into the root system of plants
 533 transporting water through cell walls and cells into the xylem. Arriving at the xylem, the
 534 root pressure will facilitate the transport up into stem and leaf. At the (A) leaf tip, the
 535 hydathode structure (redrawn from Stevens (1956)) will allow the water and solved
 536 compounds to be excreted from the leaf tip as guttation drop.




537

538 **Fig. 2:** MC-LR concentration detected in (A) the root system (B) the stem, and (C) leaves

539 of the *T. aestivum* seedlings. Data represent the mean concentration of MC-LR in

540 microgram per gram of plant fresh weight \pm the standard deviation ($n = 200$).

541




542

543 **Fig. 3:** MC-LR concentration in the guttation drops of *T. aestivum* after 48 h of exposure.

544 Data represent the mean concentration of MC-LR in milligram per litre guttation collected

545 \pm the standard deviation ($n = 200$).



546

547 **Fig. 4:** Comparison of MC-LR concentration in xylem sap and guttation drops after 96 h
 548 and 168 h of exposure. Data represent the mean concentration of MC-LR in milligram per
 549 litre guttation collected \pm standard deviation ($n = 200$).