<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Conclusions about intervention effects should not be based on
surrogate end points : [Letter]

Hemilä, Harri

2007

Hemilä , H 2007 , ' Conclusions about intervention effects should not be based on surrogate
end points : [Letter] ' , Free Radical Biology & Medicine , vol. 42 , no. 4 , pp. 578-578 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2006.11.035>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/228089>

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2006.11.035>

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Conclusions about intervention effects should not be based on surrogate end points [Letter to the Editor]

Hemilä H

Free Radical Biology and Medicine 2007; 42:578

Published version: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2006.11.035>

Pre-print version of the manuscript

Harri Hemilä

Department of Public Health, POB 41

University of Helsinki,

FIN-00014

Finland

harri.hemila@helsinki.fi

<http://www.ltdk.helsinki.fi/users/hemila>

Experts of controlled clinical trials argue that decisions on medical interventions should be based on clinically relevant outcomes and not on surrogates such as laboratory measurements. There are quite a few examples in which the effect on a surrogate end point substantially diverged from the effect on a clinically relevant outcome [1,2].

In this respect, the recent paper by Bruno *et al.* is problematic as it proposed higher vitamin E intakes for smokers on the basis of greater disappearance rate of α -tocopherol in the plasma of smokers [3]. The disappearance rate is a surrogate end point with no validated relation to any clinically relevant outcome.

In our analyses of the ATBC Study cohort, we found that smoking modifies the effect of 50 mg/day vitamin E supplementation; however, the modification takes place in the direction opposite to that proposed by Bruno *et al.* In the ≥ 72 -year-old ATBC Study participants who smoked ≥ 15 cigarettes per day at baseline, vitamin E supplementation increased common cold incidence by 42% (95% CI: +18% to +70%), whereas in those who smoked less, vitamin E reduced common cold incidence by 29% (95% CI: -9% to -46%) [4].

Similarly, smoking modified the effect of vitamin E on pneumonia incidence. In the ATBC Study participants who had initiated smoking at later age, vitamin E reduced pneumonia incidence in those who quit smoking during the follow-up by 79% (95% CI: -40% to -93%), but had no effect on those who continued smoking (95% CI: -47% to +19%) [5].

Thus, in the case of these two respiratory infections, vitamin E supplementation appeared beneficial for those who were smoking less, but it was harmful or ineffective for those who smoked heavily at baseline or continued smoking during the follow-up. These findings with clinically relevant outcomes thus contradict the surrogate-based proposal by Bruno *et al.* that smokers would benefit of higher vitamin E intakes and it would seem necessary for them to consume at least 15 mg/day of vitamin E [3]. Furthermore, the current US RDA recommendation level for vitamin E, 15 mg/day, is not based on any clinically relevant outcome either and is arbitrary [6]. The divergence in the effects of vitamin E supplementation in the ATBC Study cohort indicates that caution should be maintained in any proposals that people should increase their consumption of vitamin E until its effects are better understood.

References

- [1] Fleming, T. R.; DeMets, D. L.; Surrogate end points in clinical trials: are we being misled? *Ann. Intern. Med.* **125**:605-613; 1996. <http://www.annals.org/cgi/content/abstract/125/7/605>
- [2] Rothwell, P. M. External validity of randomised controlled trials: "To whom do the results of this trial apply?" *Lancet* **365**:82-93; 2005. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(04\)17670-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)17670-8)
- [3] Bruno, R. S.; Leonard, S. W.; Atkinson, J.; Montine, T. J.; Ramakrishnan, R.; Bray, T. M.; Traber, M. G. Faster plasma vitamin E disappearance in smokers is normalized by vitamin C supplementation. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **40**:689-697; 2006. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2005.10.051>
- [4] Hemilä, H.; Virtamo, J.; Albanes, D.; Kaprio, J. The effect of vitamin E on common cold incidence is modified by age, smoking and residential neighborhood. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* **25**:332-339; 2006. <http://dceg.cancer.gov/atbcstudy/pdfs/hemila253322006.pdf>
- [5] Hemilä, H.; Virtamo, J.; Albanes, D.; Kaprio, J. Vitamin E and beta-carotene supplementation and hospital-treated pneumonia incidence in male smokers. *Chest* **125**:557-565; 2004. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.125.2.557>
- [6] Hemilä, H. Do vitamins C and E affect respiratory infections? [Dissertation] University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland; 2006: 67. Available at: <http://ethesis.helsinki.fi/julkaisut/laa/kansa/vk/hemila/>