Correction to: Postnatal imaging of prenatally detected hydronephrosis—when is voiding cystourethrogram necessary?

Sofia Visuri^{1,4} · Reetta Kivisaari³ · Timo Jahnukainen² · Seppo Taskinen⁴

Published online: 15 June 2018
© IPNA 2018

Correction to: Pediatric Nephrology

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-018-3938-y>

The original publication contained an erroneous version of Table 3 with incorrect data regarding ureteral visibility. The authors apologize for any inconvenience caused by their mistake and are pleased to present the corrected table here.

Variable	Beta	Categories	Points
Renal length	0.98	>53 mm**	0
		46–53 mm	1
		<46 mm	2
Ureteral visibility	2.54	Visible**	3
		Invisible	0

Table 3 The study population categorized into three risk groups for high-grade vesicoureteral reflux

Risk Group	Score*	VUR 0–3 (n = 246)	VUR 4–5 (n = 29)
Low, n (%)	0–1	201 (82)	6 (21)
Intermediate, n (%)	2–3	34 (14)	11 (38)
High, n (%)	4–5	11 (4)	12 (41)

VUR; vesicoureteral reflux

*The risk score table is created by using a logistic multivariate regression model for the following parameters: 1) renal length and 2) ureteral visibility

**The reference category

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-018-3938-y>

✉ Sofia Visuri
sofia.visuri@fimnet.fi

¹ Department of Pediatric Surgery, Uppsala University Children's Hospital, Sjukhusvägen 85, 751 85 Uppsala, Sweden

² Department of Pediatric Nephrology and Transplantation, Helsinki University Hospital, and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

³ Department of Pediatric Radiology, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

⁴ Department of Pediatric Surgery, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland