<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Sampling and PCR method for detecting pathogenic Fusarium oxysporum strains in onion harvest

Latvala, S.

2020-03

Latvala , S , Haapalainen , M , Kivijarvi , P , Suojala-Ahlfors , T , Iivonen , S & Hannukkala , A 2020 , ' Sampling and PCR method for detecting pathogenic Fusarium oxysporum strains in onion harvest ' , Letters in Applied Microbiology , vol. 70 , no. 3 , pp. 210-220 . <https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.13264>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/327009>

<https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.13264>

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

The effects of co-colonising ectomycorrhizal fungi on mycorrhizal colonisation and sporocarp formation in *Laccaria japonica* colonising seedlings of *Pinus densiflora*

Shijie Zhang¹ · Lu-Min Vaario^{2,3} · Yan Xia⁴ · Norihisa Matsushita² · Qifang Geng¹ · Momi Tsuruta¹ · Hiroyuki Kurokuchi² · Chunlan Lian¹

Received: 19 November 2018 / Accepted: 19 March 2019
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

Forest trees are colonised by different species of ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi that interact competitively or mutualistically with one another. Most ECM fungi can produce sporocarps. To date, the effects of co-colonising fungal species on sporocarp formation in ECM fungi remain unknown. In this study, we examined host plant growth, mycorrhizal colonisation, and sporocarp formation when roots of *Pinus densiflora* are colonised by *Laccaria japonica* and three other ECM fungal species (*Cenococcum geophilum*, *Pisolithus* sp., and *Suillus luteus*). Sporocarp numbers were recorded throughout the experimental period. The biomass, photosynthetic rate, and mycorrhizal colonisation rate of the seedlings were also measured at 45 days, 62 days, and 1 year after seedlings were transplanted. Results indicated that *C. geophilum* and *S. luteus* may negatively impact mycorrhizal colonisation and sporocarp formation in *L. japonica*. Sporocarp formation in *L. japonica* was positively correlated with conspecific mycorrhizal colonisation but negatively correlated with the biomass of seedlings of *P. densiflora*. The co-occurring ECM fungi largely competed with *L. japonica*, resulting in various effects on mycorrhizal colonisation and sporocarp formation in *L. japonica*. A variety of mechanisms may be involved in the competitive interactions among the different ECM fungal species, including abilities to more rapidly colonise root tips, acquire soil nutrients, or produce antibiotics. These mechanisms need to be confirmed in further studies.

Keywords Sporocarps formation · Co-colonisation · Ectomycorrhizal fungi · *Laccaria japonica* · *Pinus densiflora*

Introduction

Substantial research has been conducted on the evolutionary systematics, reproductive dynamics, ecological distribution, genetic

diversity, genomes, and transcriptomes of ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi (Nara et al. 2003; Wang and Hall 2004; Lian et al. 2006; Fortin and Lamhamedi 2009; Xu et al. 2016; Parladé et al. 2017; Vaario et al. 2017). Nevertheless, environmental factors influencing the formation of ECM sporocarps are still poorly characterised. Such knowledge would be most useful for the cultivation of particular edible and commercially valuable ECM sporocarps, including both Ascomycetes (e.g. *Tuber* spp.) and Basidiomycetes such as *Amanita caesarea* (Scop.) Pers., *Boletus edulis* Bull., and *Tricholoma matsutake* (Ito & Imai) Singer (Wang and Hall 2004; Wang et al. 2012). Previous studies revealed that sporocarp formation in ECM fungi was affected by host genotype and soil properties (Last et al. 1984), and decreased with the reduction of carbohydrate supply to the roots of host plant (Högberg et al. 2001; Kuikka et al. 2003). Therefore, improving host growth may positively impact sporocarp formation in ECM fungi (Högberg et al. 2001; Nara et al. 2003). Soil nitrogen availability has been reported to significantly affect ECM sporocarp production depending on the amount and

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-019-00890-6>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Chunlan Lian
lian@anesc.u-tokyo.ac.jp

- ¹ Asian Natural Environmental Science Center, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-8 Midori-cho, Nishitokyo, Tokyo 188-0002, Japan
- ² Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan
- ³ Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, PO Box 27, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland
- ⁴ College of Life Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China

duration of nitrogen additions (Velmalala et al. 2014). Molecular studies have provided new insights into ECM sporocarp formation and development in *Tuber borchii* (Gabella et al. 2005), *Laccaria bicolor* (Martin et al. 2008), and *Tuber melanosporum* (Martin et al. 2010). Expression of the aquaporin genes JQ585592 and JQ585595 was highly upregulated during sporocarp development in *L. bicolor*. The aquaporin-mediated transmembrane transport of water and carbon dioxide played an important role in sporocarp development in *L. bicolor* as well (Xu et al. 2016). Despite these findings, research on sporocarp formation is still scarce because of the environmental factors involved in sporocarp formation and the difficulty of producing sporocarps under controlled conditions (Smith and Read 2008; Wang et al. 2012; Sakamoto 2018).

In nature, forest trees are typically colonised by multiple species of ECM fungi (Tedersoo et al. 2007). ECM fungi are patchily distributed in the forest floor and influence one another's growth (Pickles et al. 2010). ECM fungi interact with a wide range of other soil fungi, including ECM fungi that co-occur on the same host plant (Villeneuve et al. 1991; Shaw et al. 1995; Velmalala et al. 2014). To date, a number of studies of ECM competition have been conducted. Hortal et al. (2008) found a negative correlation of the percentage of mycorrhizas between *Lactarius deliciosus* and *Rhizopogon roseolus* in pairwise interactions, which was also observed in interactions between species in the genus *Rhizopogon* (Kennedy et al. 2007, 2009, Kennedy 2010). The environmental conditions seem to affect the competitive outcomes among ECM fungi. Erland and Finlay (1992) reported that the lower competitors of ECM fungi at low temperature became the equivalent or superior competitors at the high temperature due to the different colonising ability of ECM fungi to *Pinus sylvestris* roots at different temperatures. Moreover, in the interaction between *Piloderma croceum* and *Piloderma* sp. 1, *P. croceum* was dominant at low N concentrations, while *Piloderma* sp. 1 predominantly colonised the root tips of *Picea abies* at high N concentrations (Mahmood 2003; Kennedy 2010). However, whether sporocarp formation is influenced by co-colonising ECM fungal species is still poorly understood.

Laccaria japonica Popa & Nara is symbiotically associated with deciduous and coniferous trees in the Pinaceae, Fagaceae, and Salicaceae families in Japan (Vincenot et al. 2012, 2017). The sporocarps of *L. japonica* growing in nurseries, volcanic deserts, and forest edges usually appear from May to November in Japan (Vincenot et al. 2017). In our laboratory, sporocarps of *L. japonica* can be formed within 3 months under controlled conditions. Thus, *L. japonica* is an ideal experimental model for studying the mechanism of fruit body formation. Additionally, the Japanese red pine *Pinus densiflora*, a common coniferous tree species in Japan, is widely cultivated for timber production and

traditional gardening. Several hundred ECM fungal species have been reported from *P. densiflora* forests, such as the Ascomycetes *Cenococcum geophilum* Fr., *Helvella elastica*, and *H. macropus*, and the Basidiomycetes *Amanita* spp., *Boletus* spp., *Coltricia* spp., *Pisolithus* spp., *Laccaria* spp., *Lactarius* spp., *Russula* spp., and *Suillus* spp. (Yamada and Katsuya 2001; Satomura et al. 2003). Therefore, in this study, we used the symbiosis between *P. densiflora* and ECM fungi to investigate the effects of co-colonising ECM fungi on mycorrhizal colonisation and sporocarp formation in *L. japonica*.

Materials and methods

ECM fungal cultures

Laccaria japonica (Lj) and three co-colonising ECM fungal species, *Cenococcum geophilum* (Cg), *Pisolithus* sp. (PS), and *Suillus luteus* (Sl), were used in this study. PS was previously known as *Pisolithus tinctorius* (Wu et al. 1999). The three co-colonising fungal species were chosen based on their abundance in *P. densiflora* forests and associations with a wide range of woody plants. More importantly, these three species can easily form ectomycorrhizae under laboratory conditions (Martin et al. 2002; Park et al. 2006; Douhan et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2015).

Samples of Lj, Cg, and PS were kindly provided by Dr. Kazuhide Nara (Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, University of Tokyo, Japan). Sl isolate was isolated from a sporocarp on Mount Fuji, Japan. The Lj host tree was *Salix reinii* and the host of the other three ECM fungal species was *P. densiflora*. All fungal cultures were maintained on modified Melin-Norkrans agar medium (Marx 1969).

Seedlings of *Pinus densiflora*

Seeds of *P. densiflora* were kindly provided by Dr. Maki Narimatsu (Iwate Prefectural Forestry Technology Center, Japan) and stored in a polyethylene bag in the dark at 4 °C. Seeds were surface-sterilised by a 10-min submersion in 1% v/v sodium hypochlorite (NaClO), rinsed with deionised water, and germinated on autoclaved (180 min at 121 °C) soil mixture in plastic pots (36 × 18 × 15 cm). The soil mixture was composed of a 2:1 v/v mixture of Shibaname soil, volcanic sand with pH 5.8–6.0 from Setogahara, Higashikurume, Japan, and forest soil from the University of Tokyo Tanashi Forest [see Chen et al. 2015 for details of the physicochemical properties of the soil mixture].

Preparation of seedlings colonised by ECM fungi

Non-mycorrhizal seedlings of *P. densiflora* were germinated approximately 2 to 3 months before the experiment started. These seedlings were then individually inoculated using fresh

149 agar plugs (ca. 2 × 2 cm) containing fungal mycelia. Four
150 types of ECM-colonised seedlings were prepared: Lj, Cg,
151 PS, and SI seedlings. Seedlings that were not inoculated
152 (NM) served as the control. All seedlings were maintained
153 in a temperature-controlled greenhouse at 20–25 °C with a
154 16-h day and 8-h night light cycle.
155 Seedlings were randomly examined under a stereomicro-
156 scope to confirm mycorrhizal colonisation. Seedlings with
157 mycorrhizal colonisation rates of more than 90% were chosen
158 for further study.

159 **Experimental setup**

160 Study details are summarised in Fig. 1. Polypropylene pots
161 (bottom diameter × upper diameter × depth = 6 × 9 × 14 cm)
162 were surface-sterilised with 70% v/v ethanol and filled with
163 510 g of autoclaved soil mixture. Four seedlings were planted
164 in each pot according to the following treatments: (1) 4 NM
165 seedlings (control), (2) 1 Lj and 3 NM seedlings (Lj + NM),
166 (3) 1 Lj, 2 NM, and 1 Cg seedling (Lj + Cg), (4) 1 Lj, 2 NM,
167 and 1 PS seedling (Lj + PS), and (5) 1 Lj, 2 NM, and 1 SI
168 seedling (Lj + SI). The Lj + Cg, Lj + PS, and Lj + SI treat-
169 ments represented the co-colonising treatments. Co-colonising treat-
170 ments had 15 replicates each and the control had nine repli-
171 cates. The soil in each pot was kept at approximately 80% soil
172 moisture by adding tap water at 2- to 3-day intervals during
173 the experimental period.

174 **In situ seedling photosynthetic rates**

175 Seedling photosynthetic rates were measured using a portable
176 LI-6400 photosynthetic system (Li-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA)
177 equipped with a conifer chamber (LI-6400-05, Li-COR). All
178 seedling needles were placed inside the conifer chamber under
179 natural light with 400 ppm CO₂ at 25 °C. Photosynthetic rates
180 were first measured 30 days post-transplant and then at ap-
181 proximately 30-day intervals until the end of the experiment.
182 Three control pots and five pots for each treatment were ran-
183 domly chosen to measure photosynthetic rates at each time-
184 point. One randomly selected formerly NM seedling from
185 each pot and all Lj, Cg, PS, and SI seedlings in the selected
186 treatment pots were used for the measurements.

187 **Tracking the appearance of *L. japonica* primordia**
188 **and sporocarps**

189 We defined the initial aggregate of mycelia that the distinct
190 cap and stipe were not developed as primordia. The structure
191 with distinct pileus and stipe was defined as sporocarp. Once
192 Lj primordia emerged at the soil surface, the numbers of
193 primordia were recorded every 3–4 days until the sporocarps
194 appeared. Similarly, once the sporocarps appeared, sporocarp
195 numbers in each pot were recorded, and sporocarps (length:
196 5–10 mm) were collected every 3–4 days until the end of the
197 experiment. The collected sporocarps were dried in an oven at
198 60 °C for 48 h and weighed.

199 **Quantifying ECM colonisation rates and determining**
200 **seedling biomass**

201 Samples were harvested at three time-points for examination:
202 (1) 45 days after seedlings were transplanted (before Lj
203 primordia appeared), (2) 62 days post-transplant (when Lj
204 sporocarps first appeared), and (3) 1 year post-transplant.
205 Seedlings in three control pots and five pots for each treatment
206 were harvested at each sampling period. All seedlings were
207 used to determine mycorrhizal colonisation rates and biomass.
208 The roots of each seedling were separated from the shoot and
209 washed gently in tap water. All root tips were then examined
210 under a stereomicroscope to determine the mycorrhizal colo-
211 nisation rate.

212 Colonisation rate (%) = number of mycorrhizal root
213 tips/total number of root tips of whole seedling × 100.

214 After examining the roots, the roots and shoots of all seed-
215 lings were dried at 60 °C for 48 h to determine biomass.

216 **Quantifying *L. japonica* mycelia in soil**
217 **and mycorrhizal root tips using real-time PCR**

218 **Sampling** To examine the development of Lj mycelia, we
219 sampled the soil and Lj-mycorrhizal root tips of formerly
220 NM seedlings from each pot at 45 days, 62 days, and 1 year
221 after seedlings were transplanted. The soil in each pot was
222 mixed well after seedlings were removed, and approximately
223 5 g of soil was collected from each pot. Samples were

Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the experimental setup. Seedlings of *Pinus densiflora* were used in all treatments. Each pot (circle) contained four seedlings. NM: non-mycorrhizal seedling, Lj: seedling colonised by

Laccaria japonica, Cg: seedling colonised by *Cenococcum geophilum*, PS: seedling colonised by *Pisolithus* sp., SI: seedling colonised by *Suillus luteus*, Con: control

immediately processed in an FDS-1000 vacuum freeze dryer (Tokyo Rikakikai Co. Ltd., Japan) and stored at -30°C . In addition, 10 mycorrhizal root tips with Lj were sampled from each formerly NM seedling, dried using silica-gel desiccant at 4°C , and stored at -30°C .

DNA extraction DNA from 0.1 g of dry soil per sample was extracted using the ISOIL for Beads Beating kit (Nippon Gene Co. Ltd., Fukuyama, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Ten dried mycorrhizal root tips per sample were used for DNA extraction, which was carried out using a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Lian et al. 2003).

Designing species-specific Lj primers The species-specific primers La03f (ATGAGCCTGATGTGGCTGT) and La03r (TGGCAATGAATGGAAGC) in the rDNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS1) region were designed using Primer Express 5.0 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). To increase primer specificity to Lj, we changed several nucleotides in each primer sequence. The size of PCR-amplified products was 147 bp. We confirmed that this primer pair was specific to Lj, as they did not amplify Cg, PS, and Sl DNA, or DNA extracted from control soil samples (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Real-time PCR We prepared a standard curve for Lj abundance to quantify Lj mycelia in the soil. First, 0.02 g of freeze-dried mycelia was collected from a 1-month-old Lj colony growing on cellophane. The mycelia were thoroughly mixed with 0.98 g of freeze-dried soil using a mixer-mill machine (Retsch GmbH & Co. KG, Tokyo, Japan). DNA was extracted from the mixture as previously described for other soil samples. We performed a tenfold serial dilution of the extracted sample from 10^0 to 10^{-5} , and quantified DNA at each dilution step using real-time PCR (RT-PCR). A standard curve was also prepared for Lj abundance in mycorrhizal root tips. DNA from 11.40 mg of freeze-dried mycelia of the 1-month-old Lj colony was extracted using the modified CTAB method and diluted from 10^0 to 10^{-5} for quantification by RT-PCR. Relative biomass of Lj mycelia in the soil and mycorrhizal root tips was estimated by interpolating the Cq value of each sample in the standard curves (Parladé et al. 2007; De la Varga et al. 2012). For RT-PCR, DNA samples were amplified in a Thermal Cycler Dice® TP800 RT-PCR system (Takara Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) using the THUNDERBIRD® SYBR® qPCR Mix (Toyobo Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan). Each RT-PCR reaction sample (20 μl) contained 7.4 μl ddH₂O, 0.3 μl (20 μM) forward (La03f) and reverse (La03r) primers, 10 μl THUNDERBIRD® SYBR® qPCR Mix, and 2 μl DNA solution. PCR conditions were 95°C for 1 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 30 s, and a final dissociation period of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for

30 s, and 95°C for 15 s. Data were processed with the Thermal Cycler Dice® RT-PCR Software Version 4.0. Results were converted to mg g^{-1} of soil or root tip dry weight.

Statistical analysis

Results are presented as mean values $\pm$ standard deviation and mean $\pm$ 95% confidence interval in the tables and figures, respectively. All datasets were tested for data normality and homogeneity of variance.

Non-parametric pairwise comparisons among the different mycorrhizal treatments of the numbers of primordia and sporocarps, sporocarp dry weight, seedling biomass and photosynthetic rates, total number of Lj-colonised root tips, and biomass of Lj mycelia in mycorrhizal root tips were performed using the Wilcoxon rank sum test ($n = 5$, $\alpha = 0.05$). *P* values were corrected using the Benjamini–Hochberg method. The Wilcoxon rank sum test was also used to compare, within the same mycorrhizal treatment, the biomass of Lj mycelia in mycorrhizal root tips collected 45 and 62 days after seedlings were transplanted. Similarly, the mycorrhizal colonisation rates of the four ECM fungal species were compared at 45 days, 62 days, and 1 year post-transplant within the same mycorrhizal treatments ($n = 5$).

Seedling biomass and photosynthetic rates of formerly NM seedlings were compared between each mycorrhizal treatment and the control using the non-parametric Steel test (R-Package “nparcomp”) ($n = 3-5$).

The association between total number of Lj-colonised root tips and numbers of Lj primordia and sporocarps, and the association between biological parameters of seedlings of *P. densiflora* and numbers of Lj primordia and sporocarps, were tested using non-parametric Spearman's rank correlation. All statistical analyses were performed with R 3.5.1 software (R Core Team 2018).

Results

L. japonica primordium and sporocarp formation

The Lj primordia were firstly observed in the Lj + NM treatment 50 days after seedlings were transplanted. Thereafter, Lj primordia were observed in the Lj + PS and Lj + Cg treatments at 51 days, and in the Lj + Sl treatment at 63 days. Lj sporocarps initially appeared in the Lj + PS, Lj + NM, Lj + Cg, and Lj + Sl treatments at 62, 63, 65, and 72 days post-transplant, respectively (Table 1). PS and Sl primordia and sporocarps were not observed during the study. Primordia and sporocarps were also not observed in control NM pots.

Numbers of Lj primordia were recorded until the first sporocarp appeared in the Lj + PS treatment at 62 days

Mycorrhiza

Table 1 The time of first appearance of primordia and sporocarps of *Laccaria japonica* in the different treatments

Treatments	First appearance (days)/after transplanting	
	Primordia	Sporocarps
Con	None	None
Lj + NM	50	63
Lj + Cg	51	65
Lj + PS	51	62
Lj + Sl	63	72

NM, non-mycorrhizal seedling; Lj, seedling colonised by *Laccaria japonica*; Cg, seedling colonised by *Cenococcum geophilum*; PS, seedling colonised by *Pisolithus* sp.; Sl, seedling colonised by *Suillus luteus*; Con, control

post-transplant. Primordia were not observed in the Lj + Sl treatments. A low number of primordia was observed in the Lj + Cg treatment, although the difference with the Lj + NM and Lj + Cg treatments was not statistically significant (Fig. 2a). Sporocarp abundance was recorded during the 1-year study period. Sporocarp number and biomass was lowest in the Lj + Sl treatment, and significantly lower than sporocarp abundance in the Lj + PS treatment ($n = 5$, $P < 0.05$, pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test; Fig. 2b, c).

Growth and photosynthetic rates of seedlings of *P. densiflora*

No significant differences in seedling root or shoot growth were observed among the Lj + NM, Lj + Cg, Lj + PS, and Lj + Sl treatments at 45 and 62 days after seedlings were transplanted (Fig. 3a, b). One year post-transplant, shoot growth in the Lj + Sl treatment was significantly greater than in the other treatments ($n = 5$, $P < 0.05$, pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test; Fig. 3a), but no significant differences in root growth were found (Fig. 3b). Shoot and root growth in the control was significantly lower than in the Lj + NM, Lj + Cg, Lj + PS, and Lj + Sl treatments 1 year post-transplant ($n = 3-5$, $P < 0.05$, Steel test; Supplementary Table S1).

Significantly lower photosynthetic rates were observed for NM seedlings in control pots compared to formerly NM seedlings in the mycorrhizal treatment pots at 62 days and 1 year post-transplant ($n = 3-5$, $P < 0.05$, Steel test; Supplementary Fig. S2a). Photosynthetic rates of the formerly NM seedlings were not significantly different among the mycorrhizal treatments. No significant differences in the photosynthetic rates of the Lj, Cg, PS, and Sl seedlings were found among the mycorrhizal treatments at all sampling times (Supplementary Fig. S2b, c).

Fig. 2 a Total number of primordia of *Laccaria japonica* observed in each treatment at 62 days after seedlings were transplanted. *L. japonica* sporocarps were first observed at 62 days post-transplant. b Total number and c total dry weight of sporocarps for each treatment 1 year after seedlings were transplanted. Lj + NM: treatment with non-mycorrhizal seedlings and seedlings colonised by *L. japonica*; Lj + Cg: treatment with non-mycorrhizal seedlings and seedlings colonised by *L. japonica* and *Cenococcum geophilum*; Lj + PS: treatment with non-mycorrhizal seedlings and seedlings colonised by *L. japonica* and *Pisolithus* sp.; Lj + Sl: treatment with non-mycorrhizal seedlings and seedlings colonised by *L. japonica* and *Suillus luteus*. Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments ($n = 5$, $P < 0.05$, Wilcoxon rank sum test, P value adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg [BH] method)

Mycorrhizal colonisation of seedlings

During the study period, mycorrhizal roots were not observed in control seedlings. In all co-colonising treatments, the roots of all NM, Lj, Cg, PS, and Sl seedlings were colonised by both Lj and the corresponding ECM fungal species at 45 days after seedlings were transplanted (Fig. 4).

For the Lj + NM treatment, the roots of the formerly NM seedlings and Lj inoculated seedlings were almost

Fig. 3 Total net increase in **a** shoot and **b** root biomass of all seedlings of *Pinus densiflora* in each pot at 45 days, 62 days, and 1 year after seedlings were transplanted. Each pot contained four seedlings. NM: non-mycorrhizal seedling, Lj: seedling colonised by *Laccaria japonica*, Cg: seedling colonised by *Cenococcum geophilum*, PS: seedling colonised by

Pisolithus sp., SI: seedling colonised by *Suillus luteus*. Values are reported as means $\pm$ standard deviation (SD; $n = 5$). Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments ($n = 5$, $P < 0.05$, Wilcoxon rank sum test, P value adjusted using the BH method)

completely colonised by Lj, with colonisation rates of more than 88% 45 days post-transplant. But the colonisation rate decreased 1 year post-transplant (Fig. 4a, b). For the Lj + Cg treatment, Lj and Cg colonisation rates in the roots of the formerly NM and Lj seedlings did not differ markedly at 45 and 62 days post-transplant. One year post-transplant, the roots of seedlings were dominantly colonised by Cg (Fig. 4c, d). For the Cg seedlings, the roots were dominantly colonised over the course of the experiment (colonisation rate $> 77\%$) (Fig. 4e). For the Lj + PS treatment, Lj colonisation rates ($> 67\%$) in the roots of the formerly NM and Lj seedlings were significantly higher than those of PS ($< 28\%$) ($n = 5$, $P < 0.05$, Wilcoxon rank sum test; Fig. 4f, g). In the roots of the PS seedlings, Lj colonisation rate was significantly higher than that of PS at 45 days post-transplant but significantly lower 1 year post-transplant ($n = 5$, $P < 0.05$, Wilcoxon rank sum test; Fig. 4h). For the Lj + SI treatment, in the formerly NM and SI seedlings, the roots were dominantly colonised by SI (rate $> 72\%$) at 45 days and 62 days post-transplant but the colonisation rates of Lj and SI were not significantly different at 1 year post-transplant ($n = 5$, $P > 0.05$, Wilcoxon rank sum test; Fig. 4i, k). In the roots of Lj seedlings, Lj and SI colonisation rates were not significant though the SI rate was a little higher than Lj at 62 days post-transplant (Fig. 4j).

The total numbers of Lj root tips in each pot in the different mycorrhizal treatments are shown in Fig. 5. The number of Lj root tips in the Lj + Cg and Lj + SI treatments was significantly lower than in the Lj + NM and Lj + PS treatments at 45 and 62 days post-transplant ($n = 5$, $P < 0.05$, pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test). One year post-transplant, the number of Lj root tips in the Lj + SI treatment was significantly lower than in the Lj + PS treatment ($n = 5$, $P < 0.05$, pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test).

L. japonica mycelial biomass in soil and mycorrhizal roots

Lj mycelium was not detected in most soil samples at 45 and 62 days after seedlings were transplanted. By contrast, Lj mycelium was detected in the mycorrhizal root tips of formerly NM seedlings. No significant differences in Lj mycelial biomass in the mycorrhizal root tips of formerly NM seedlings were observed among the different treatments at 45 and 62 days post-transplant (Supplementary Table S2). The Lj mycelial biomass in mycorrhizal root tips at 62 days post-transplant was higher than mycelial biomass at 45 days post-transplant (Supplementary Table S2).

Associations between primordium and sporocarp abundance and the total numbers of *L. japonica* root tips, and growth of *P. densiflora* seedlings

Primordium abundance was positively and significantly correlated with the total numbers of Lj root tips at 45 days ($r = 0.77$, $P < 0.01$) and 62 days ($r = 0.83$, $P < 0.01$) post-transplant (Table 2). Primordium abundance was also negatively and significantly correlated with total net increase in root dry weight (45 days post-transplant, $r = -0.48$, $P < 0.05$), and with the photosynthetic rate of NM seedlings (62 days post-transplant, $r = -0.56$, $P < 0.05$; Table 2).

Similarly, sporocarp abundance was positively and significantly correlated with the total numbers of Lj root tips at 45 days ($r = 0.56$, $P < 0.05$) and 62 days ($r = 0.59$, $P < 0.01$) post-transplant (Table 2). In addition, sporocarp abundance was negatively and significantly correlated with the total net increase in both shoot ($r = -0.60$, $P < 0.01$) and root ($r = -0.80$, $P < 0.01$) dry weight 1 year post-transplant (Table 2).

Fig. 4 Changes of mycorrhizal colonisation rate of different ECM fungi in formerly NM seedlings and in seedlings colonised by *L. japonica* (Lj), *C. geophilum* (Cg), *Pisolithus* sp. (PS), *S. luteus* (Sl). For treatments see Fig. 1. Colonisation rates were measured at 45 days, 62 days, and 1 year after seedlings were transplanted. Values are represented as mean $\pm$ SD ($n = 5$). The Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to test for differences in colonisation rate between Lj and the other fungal species, * $P < 0.05$

Discussion

Effects of interspecific competition on ectomycorrhizae formation

In this study, we investigated the effects of co-colonisation between *L. japonica* and other ECM fungal species in the roots

of *P. densiflora* on host plant growth and sporocarp formation. The presence of other co-colonising species affected primordium and sporocarp formation by *L. japonica*, likely as the result of competition among the different ECM fungal species. The number of primordia and sporocarps produced depended on the mycorrhizal colonisation rate of *L. japonica*. Of the three ECM fungal species used to co-colonise *P. densiflora* in this

Fig. 5 Number of root tips of *L. japonica* on *P. densiflora* roots at 45 days, 62 days, and 1 year after seedlings were transplanted. For treatments see Fig. 1. Values are represented as mean $\pm$ SD ($n = 5$). Pairwise comparisons using the Wilcoxon rank sum test were conducted to test for differences among treatments. Letters indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$, P value adjusted using the BH method)

study, *S. luteus* negatively affected sporocarp formation by *L. japonica*, *C. geophilum* showed a similar trend, and *Pisolithus* sp. had no effect.

Cenococcum geophilum has a global distribution range and is the most abundant fungal species in natural communities of ectomycorrhizae (Koide et al. 2005; Pickles et al. 2012). Our results revealed that in the treatment with both *L. japonica* and *C. geophilum*, the roots of *P. densiflora* were predominantly colonised by *C. geophilum* 1 year after seedlings were transplanted (Fig. 4c–e), suggesting that *C. geophilum* was more successful than *L. japonica* in colonising the roots of *P. densiflora*, which decreased sporocarp formation in *L. japonica*. Our results confirmed previous findings that *C. geophilum* is often the dominant ECM fungal species in pairwise interactions with other fungal species (Shaw et al. 1995; Dalong et al. 2011).

Pisolithus tinctorius forms extensive rhizomorphs that promote nutrient and water transport to the roots of the host plant (Cairney and Smith 1992; Cairney and Chambers 1997). However, mycorrhizal colonisation appears to be impeded by rhizomorphs (Wu et al. 1999). In general, *P. tinctorius* are regarded as poor competitors of other ECM fungi as they are unable to sustain the enhancement of growth in host seedlings under cool conditions (McAfee and Fortin 1986; Cairney and Chambers 1997; Garcia-Barreda et al. 2015). Wu et al. (1999) examined interactions among three ECM fungal species, *P. tinctorius*, *S. luteus*, and an unidentified species termed “Tanashi 01”. In the experiments with both *S. luteus* and *P. tinctorius*, the presence of the other species did not affect the colonisation rate of each species but inhibited mycelial development. By contrast, mycorrhizal colonisation and growth in *P. tinctorius* were inhibited by

Tanashi 01. In our study, *L. japonica* dominated the mycorrhizae in the roots of non-inoculated seedlings in the presence of *Pisolithus* sp. (formerly known as *P. tinctorius*) (Lj + PS treatment) throughout the experimental period (Fig. 4f), which indicated that *Pisolithus* sp. was out-competed by *L. japonica*. Additionally, other species of *Pisolithus* such as *P. arhizus* have been shown to be less competitive in interspecific interactions (Parladé and Alvarez 1993).

Suillus luteus has a higher capacity for decomposing organic substrates than other mycorrhizal fungal species (Dighton et al. 1987) and has been shown to significantly improve the growth of its host seedlings (Lu et al. 2016). Accordingly, we recorded significantly higher aboveground seedling biomass in the presence of *S. luteus* (Lj + SI treatment) 1 year after seedlings were transplanted (Fig. 3a). This may be why *S. luteus* is able to colonise seedling roots at a higher rate than *L. japonica*. The congeneric *S. granulatus* also seems to more efficiently access limiting resources for host plant growth, and to transfer these resources to its host, thus, increasing host plant biomass (Kipfer et al. 2012).

To date, little is known about colonisation competition among different ECM fungal species. ECM fungal species have different capacities for nutrient uptake and transfer, and also differ in their ability to promote host growth (Burgess et al. 1993, 1994; Agerer 2001). Differences in the ability to rapidly colonise root tips or acquire soil nutrients may be attributed to nutrient competition between paired ECM fungal species (Kennedy 2010). Moreover, the ways that extraradical hyphae emanate and explore their surroundings are different among ECM fungal species (Read 1992; Colpaert et al. 1992; Thomson et al. 1994; Agerer 2001). These interspecific differences may affect competition in root colonisation among ECM fungal species. In our study, the four species of ECM fungi had hyphae of different exploration types, ranging from short to long distance (Agerer 2001), representing differences in foraging strategies. In preliminary experiments, mycelial growth rates in *Pisolithus* sp. and *S. luteus* were significantly higher than in *L. japonica* and *C. geophilum* in mycelial culture. In the first month, growth rates of *L. japonica* and *C. geophilum* were similar, but *C. geophilum* grew faster thereafter. While preparing the mycorrhizal seedlings of *P. densiflora*, we found that ectomycorrhizae formation in *L. japonica* and *S. luteus* was significantly faster than in plants inoculated by *C. geophilum* and *Pisolithus* sp. (unpublished data). However, as co-colonisers, *C. geophilum* and *S. luteus* significantly inhibited mycorrhizal colonisation by *L. japonica*. In turn, *L. japonica* significantly inhibited ectomycorrhizae formation in *Pisolithus* sp. (Fig. 4). These results suggest that the intrinsic mycelial growth rate and ectomycorrhizae formation capability of each ECM fungal species may not have a strong influence on competitive outcomes among co-colonising species.

t2.1 **Table 2** Correlations between the number of primordia and sporocarps of *Laccaria japonica* and the biological parameters of seedlings of *Pinus densiflora* at 45 days, 62 days, and 1 year after seedlings were transplanted

Biological parameter			45 days		62 days		One year	
			Spearman's rho coefficient	P value	Spearman's rho coefficient	P value	Spearman's rho coefficient	P value
t2.4	The total numbers of primordia	<i>n</i> = 20	Total numbers of Lj root tips/pot	0.77	0.00	0.83	0.00	—
t2.5			Total net increment dry weight of shoot/pot	− 0.08	0.73	− 0.29	0.22	—
t2.6			Total net increment dry weight of root/pot	− 0.48	0.03	− 0.28	0.23	—
t2.7			Net photosynthetic rate of formerly NM seedlings	− 0.16	0.51	− 0.56	0.01	—
t2.8			Net photosynthetic rate of Lj seedling	0.25	0.30	− 0.33	0.15	—
t2.9		<i>n</i> = 5	Net photosynthetic rate of Cg seedling	0.20	0.78	− 0.20	0.78	—
t2.10			Net photosynthetic rate of PS seedling	− 0.10	0.95	0.00	1.00	—
t2.11			Net photosynthetic rate of SI seedling	—	—	—	—	—
t2.12		<i>n</i> = 20	Total numbers of Lj root tips/pot	0.56	0.01	0.59	0.00	0.25
t2.13			Total net increment dry weight of shoot/pot	− 0.22	0.36	− 0.11	0.64	− 0.60
t2.14	The total numbers of sporocarps	<i>n</i> = 20	Total net increment dry weight of root/pot	− 0.11	0.63	0.05	0.85	− 0.80
t2.15			Net photosynthetic rate of formerly NM seedlings	0.02	0.94	− 0.35	0.13	− 0.16
t2.16			Net photosynthetic rate of Lj seedling	− 0.01	0.97	− 0.24	0.32	− 0.25
t2.17		<i>n</i> = 5	Net photosynthetic rate of Cg seedling	− 0.40	0.52	− 0.50	0.45	0.10
t2.18			Net photosynthetic rate of PS seedling	− 0.40	0.52	0.70	0.23	− 0.10
t2.19			Net photosynthetic rate of SI seedling	0.70	0.23	− 0.70	0.23	0.30

NM, non-mycorrhizal seedling; Lj, seedling colonised by *Laccaria japonica*; Cg, seedling colonised by *Cenococcum geophilum*; PS, seedling colonised by *Pisolithus* sp.; SI, seedling colonised by *Suillus luteus*; Con, control

522 Mycorrhizal fungi can produce antimicrobial substances
523 that defend against rhizosphere microorganisms (Zhang
524 et al. 2011; Mohan et al. 2015; Mateos et al. 2017).
525 Therefore, the inhibition of ectomycorrhizae formation by
526 co-existing ECM fungal species might be due in part to the
527 production of antimicrobial substances.
528 Finally, it is possible that the soil mineral and nutrient content
529 was unfavourable for mycorrhizae formation and seedling
530 growth over the 1-year study period. The number of mycorrhizal
531 root tips containing *L. japonica* and *S. luteus* decreased 1 year
532 after seedlings were transplanted. By contrast, the number of
533 mycorrhizal root tips containing *C. geophilum* increased after
534 1 year. It has been previously reported that *C. geophilum* de-
535 velops well in soils with poor nutrient conditions (Frankland
536 and Harrison 1985; McAfee and Soil 1989; Villeneuve et al.
537 1991). Therefore, the soil nutrient content may have affected
538 interactions among the ECM fungal species.

Relationship between primordium and sporocarp formation in *L. japonica* and host growth

539
540
541 Our results revealed that colonisation by ECM fungi signif-
542 icantly increased host seedling biomass, consistent with
543 findings from previous studies (Smith and Read 2008).
544 Further, the rate of sporocarp formation may influence host
545 plant biomass. The biomass of seedlings of *P. densiflora*
546 decreased with increases in sporocarp number (Figs. 2 and
547 3, Table 2). Previous studies have shown that sporocarp
548 abundance decreased significantly when hosts were artifi-
549 cially defoliated or when host trees were girdled, again
550 supporting our findings (Högberg et al. 2001; Kuikka
551 et al. 2003). A study using ¹⁴C-labelled photosynthates re-
552 vealed that recently produced photosynthates were mainly
553 transferred to the sporocarps of *L. japonica* (reported in this
554 study as *Laccaria amethystina*; Teramoto et al. 2012).

Factors influencing primordium and sporocarp formation in *L. japonica*

Primordium abundance was significantly and positively correlated with the total numbers of *L. japonica* root tips 45 and 62 days before sporocarp formation (Table 2), whereas sporocarp abundance had a weak positive correlation with the total numbers of *L. japonica* root tips 1 year post-transplant (Table 2). During the experiment, we observed the formation of numerous primordia, but most of them did not grow further and only few developed into sporocarps. This phenomenon was also reported by Teramoto et al. (2012). Mycorrhizal colonisation rates appeared to directly influence primordium formation, but the development of primordia to sporocarps may be dependent on the nutrients available and the presence of competitors. Biotic factors such as interspecific competition and abiotic factors such as moisture levels, substrate pH, temperature, and nutrients have been shown to influence sporocarp development (Godbout and Fortin 1990; Kües and Liu 2000; Kennedy 2010).

Effects of interspecific competition on the mycelial biomass of *L. japonica* in soil and mycorrhizae

Our results indicate that the mycelial biomass of *L. japonica* in soil and mycorrhizal root samples was not influenced by co-colonising ECM fungal species, which are consistent with previous reports (Hortal et al. 2008; De la Varga et al. 2012). The DNA of *L. japonica* was not detected in most of the samples at 45 and 62 days after seedlings were transplanted (Supplementary Table S2), indicating that there was little extraradical mycelium in the soil at those sampling time points. It has been reported that *Laccaria* spp. and some *Lactarius* spp. have hyphae classified as medium- or short-distance exploration types (Agerer 2001). The extraradical soil mycelia of *Lactarius deliciosus* were shown to be significantly affected by sampling time (Parladé et al. 2007). Sampling time may be an important consideration for measuring the mycelial biomass of *L. japonica* in soil or mycorrhizal root tips. The mycorrhizal colonisation rate of *L. japonica* in non-inoculated seedlings ranged from 23 to 88% in this study. In other words, most of the seedlings hosted mycorrhizae with *L. japonica*. This may be why we did not find differences in the mycelial biomass of *L. japonica* in mycorrhizal root tips among seedlings in the different treatments.

Conclusions

The results of this study showed that the ECM fungal species co-colonising the roots of seedlings of *P. densiflora* had different effects on sporocarp formation in *L. japonica*. *Cenococcum geophilum* and *S. luteus* appeared to have a

negative impact on the mycorrhizal colonisation rate and sporocarp formation in *L. japonica*, but *Pisolithus* sp. had no effect. Sporocarp formation in *L. japonica* was positively correlated with its own mycorrhizal colonisation rate, but negatively correlated with seedling biomass. The coexisting ECM fungus might have more influence on mycorrhizal colonisation and sporocarp formation rather than extraradical mycelia of *L. japonica* in this study.

In our study, investigations were carried out in controlled greenhouse systems. We recommend further investigations in the field environment to fully understand the competitive mechanisms of ECM fungal species.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to Mitsuko Goto, Ruiyang Xu, Jiali Li, Suguru Tanaka, Masayuki Kubota for technical assistance; the staff of the University of Tokyo Tanashi Forest for providing the forest soils and the vacuum freeze dryer; Kazuhide Nara for providing the cultures of ECM fungi; Maki Narimatsu for providing the seeds of *P. densiflora*. We are thankful to Kenji Fukuda for providing insightful comments which improved this manuscript. We thank Dr. Jan Colpaert and two anonymous reviewers for revising and useful comments on the manuscript.

Funding information This work was supported in part by a JSPS KAKENHI (granted to CL, no. 17H03824).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

References

- Agerer R (2001) Exploration types of ectomycorrhizae. *Mycorrhiza* 11: 107–114
- Burgess TI, Malajczuk N, Grove TS (1993) The ability of 16 ectomycorrhizal fungi to increase growth and phosphorus uptake of *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. and *E. diversicolor* F. Muell. *Plant Soil* 153:155–164
- Burgess T, Dell B, Malajczuk N (1994) Variation in mycorrhizal development and growth stimulation by 20 *Pisolithus* isolates inoculated on to *Eucalyptus grandis* W. Hill ex Maiden. *New Phytol* 127:731–739
- Cairney J, Chambers S (1997) Interactions between *Pisolithus tinctorius* and its hosts: a review of current knowledge. *Mycorrhiza* 7:117–131
- Cairney J, Smith S (1992) Influence of intracellular phosphorus concentration on phosphate absorption by the ectomycorrhizal basidiomycete *Pisolithus tinctorius*. *Mycol Res* 96:673–676
- Chen Y, Nara K, Wen Z, Shi L, Xia Y, Shen Z, Lian C (2015) Growth and photosynthetic responses of ectomycorrhizal pine seedlings exposed to elevated Cu in soils. *Mycorrhiza* 25:561–571
- Colpaert JV, Van Assche JA, Luitjens K (1992) The growth of the extramatrical mycelium of ectomycorrhizal fungi and the growth response of *Pinus sylvestris* L. *New Phytol* 120:127–135
- Dalong M, Luhe W, Guoting Y, Liqiang M, Chun L (2011) Growth response of *Pinus densiflora* seedlings inoculated with three indigenous ectomycorrhizal fungi in combination. *Braz J Microbiol* 42: 1197–1203
- De la Varga H, Águeda B, Martínez-Peña F, Parladé J, Pera J (2012) Quantification of extraradical soil mycelium and ectomycorrhizae

- of *Boletus edulis* in a Scots pine forest with variable sporocarp productivity. *Mycorrhiza* 22:59–68
- Dighton J, Thomas E, Latter P (1987) Interactions between tree roots, mycorrhizas, a saprotrophic fungus and the decomposition of organic substrates in a microcosm. *Biol Fertil Soils* 4:145–150
- Douhan GW, Huryn KL, Douhan LI (2007) Significant diversity and potential problems associated with inferring population structure within the *Cenococcum geophilum* species complex. *Mycologia* 99:812–819
- Erland S, Finlay R (1992) Effects of temperature and incubation time on the ability of three ectomycorrhizal fungi to colonize *Pinus sylvestris* roots. *Mycol Res* 96:270–272
- Fortin JA, Lamhamedi MS (2009) Ecophysiology of sporocarp development of ectomycorrhizal basidiomycetes associated with boreal forest gymnosperms. In: Khasa D, Piché Y, AP Coughlan (eds) *Advances in mycorrhizal science and technology*. NRC Research Press, Ottawa. pp 161–173
- Frankland JC, Harrison AF (1985) Mycorrhizal infection of *Betula pendula* and *Acer pseudoplatanus*: relationships with seedling growth and soil factors. *New Phytol* 101:133–151
- Gabella S, Abba S, Duplessis S, Montanini B, Martin F, Bonfante P (2005) Transcript profiling reveals novel marker genes involved in fruiting body formation in *Tuber borchii*. *Eukaryot Cell* 4:1599–1602
- Garcia-Barreda S, Molina-Grau S, Reyna S (2015) Reducing the infectivity and richness of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a calcareous *Quercus ilex* forest through soil preparations for truffle plantation establishment: a bioassay study. *Fungal Biol* 119:1137–1143
- Godbout C, Fortin JA (1990) Cultural control of basidiome formation in *Laccaria bicolor* with container-grown white pine seedlings. *Mycol Res* 94:1051–1058
- Högberg P, Nordgren A, Buchmann N, Taylor AF, Ekblad A, Högberg MN, Nyberg G, Ottosson-Löfvenius M, Read DJ (2001) Large-scale forest girdling shows that current photosynthesis drives soil respiration. *Nature* 411:789–792
- Hortal S, Pera J, Parladé J (2008) Tracking mycorrhizas and extraradical mycelium of the edible fungus *Lactarius deliciosus* under field competition with *Rhizopogon* spp. *Mycorrhiza* 18:69–77
- Kennedy P (2010) Ectomycorrhizal fungi and interspecific competition: species interactions, community structure, coexistence mechanisms, and future research directions. *New Phytol* 187:895–910
- Kennedy PG, Hortal S, Bergemann SE, Bruns TD (2007) Competitive interactions among three ectomycorrhizal fungi and their relation to host plant performance. *J Ecol* 95:1338–1345
- Kennedy PG, Peay KG, Bruns TD (2009) Root tip competition among ectomycorrhizal fungi: are priority effects a rule or an exception? *Ecology* 90:2098–2107
- Kipfer T, Wohlgenuth T, van der Heijden MG, Ghazoul J, Egli S (2012) Growth response of drought-stressed *Pinus sylvestris* seedlings to single- and multi-species inoculation with ectomycorrhizal fungi. *PLoS One* 7:e35275
- Koide RT, Xu B, Sharda J, Lekberg Y, Ostiguy N (2005) Evidence of species interactions within an ectomycorrhizal fungal community. *New Phytol* 165:305–316
- Kües U, Liu Y (2000) Fruiting body production in basidiomycetes. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 54:141–152
- Kuikka K, Härmä E, Markkola A, Rautio P, Roitto M, Saikkonen K, Ahonen-Jonnarth U, Finlay R, Tuomi J (2003) Severe defoliation of scots pine reduces reproductive investment by ectomycorrhizal symbionts. *Ecology* 84:2051–2061
- Last FT, Mason PA, Pelham J, Ingleby K (1984) Fruitbody production by sheathing mycorrhizal fungi: effects of ‘host’ genotypes and propagating soils. *For Ecol Manag* 9:221–227
- Lian C, Hogetsu T, Matsushita N, Guerin-Laguette A, Suzuki K, Yamada A (2003) Development of microsatellite markers from an ectomycorrhizal fungus, *Tricholoma matsutake*, by an ISSR-suppression-PCR method. *Mycorrhiza* 13:27–31
- Lian C, Narimatsu M, Nara K, Hogetsu T (2006) *Tricholoma matsutake* in a natural *Pinus densiflora* forest: correspondence between above- and below-ground genets, association with multiple host trees and alteration of existing ectomycorrhizal communities. *New Phytol* 171:825–836
- Lu N, Yu M, Cui M, Luo Z, Feng Y, Cao S, Sun Y, Li Y (2016) Effects of different ectomycorrhizal fungal inoculates on the growth of *Pinus tabulaeformis* seedlings under greenhouse conditions. *Forests* 7:1–14
- Mahmood S (2003) Colonisation of spruce roots by two interacting ectomycorrhizal fungi in wood ash amended substrates. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 221:81–87
- Martin F, Diez J, Dell B, Delaruelle C (2002) Phylogeography of the ectomycorrhizal *Pisolithus* species as inferred from nuclear ribosomal DNA ITS sequences. *New Phytol* 153:345–357
- Martin F, Aerts A, Ahrén D, Brun A, Danchin EGJ, Duchaussoy F, Gibon J, Kohler A, Lindquist E, Pereda V, Salamov A, Shapiro HJ, Wuyts J, Blaudez D, Buée M, Brokstein P, Canbäck B, Cohen D, Courty PE, Coutinho PM, Delaruelle C, Detter JC, Deveau A, DiFazio S, Duplessis S, Fraissinet-Tachet L, Lucie E, Frey-Klett P, Fourrey C, Feussner I, Gay G, Grimwood J, Hoegger PJ, Jain P, Kilari S, Labbé J, Lin YC, Legué V, le Tacon F, Marmeisse R, Melayah D, Montanini B, Muratet M, Nehls U, Niculita-Hirzel H, Secq MPOL, Peter M, Quesneville H, Rajashekar B, Reich M, Rouhier N, Schmutz J, Yin T, Chalot M, Henrissat B, Kües U, Lucas S, van de Peer Y, Podila GK, Polle A, Pukkila PJ, Richardson PM, Rouzé P, Sanders IR, Stajich JE, Tunlid A, Tuskan G, Grigoriev IV (2008) The genome of *Laccaria bicolor* provides insights into mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Nature* 452:88–92
- Martin F, Kohler A, Murat C, Balestrini R, Coutinho PM, Jaillon O, Montanini B, Morin E, Noel B, Percudani R, Porcel B, Rubini A, Amicucci A, Amselem J, Anhouard V, Arcioni S, Artiguenave F, Aury JM, Ballario P, Bolchi A, Brenna A, Brun A, Buée M, Cantarel B, Chevalier G, Couloux A, da Silva C, Denoeud F, Duplessis S, Ghignone S, Hilselberger B, Iotti M, Marçais B, Mello A, Miranda M, Pacioni G, Quesneville H, Riccioni C, Ruotolo R, Splivallo R, Stocchi V, Tisserant E, Viscomi AR, Zambonelli A, Zampieri E, Henrissat B, Lebrun MH, Paolocci F, Bonfante P, Ottonello S, Wincker P (2010) Périgord black truffle genome uncovers evolutionary origins and mechanisms of symbiosis. *Nature* 464:1033–1038
- Marx DH (1969) The influence of ectotrophic mycorrhizal fungi on the resistance of pine roots to pathogenic infections. I. Antagonism of mycorrhizal fungi to root pathogenic fungi and soil bacteria. *Phytopathology* 59:153–163
- Mateos E, Olazola J, Pajares JA, Pando V, Diez JJ (2017) Influence of *Suillus luteus* on *Fusarium* damping-off in pine seedlings. *Afr J Biotechnol* 16:268–273
- McAfee B, Fortin J (1986) Competitive interactions of ectomycorrhizal mycobionts under field conditions. *Can J Bot* 64:848–852
- McAfee BJ, Soil F-JA (1989) Ectomycorrhizal colonization on black spruce and jack pine seedlings outplanted in reforestation sites. *Plant Soil* 116:9–17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02327251>
- Mohan V, Nivea R, Menon S (2015) Evaluation of ectomycorrhizal fungi as potential bio-control agents against selected plant pathogenic fungi. *JAIR* 3:408–412
- Nara K, Nakaya H, Hogetsu T (2003) Ectomycorrhizal sporocarp succession and production during early primary succession on Mount Fuji. Evaluation of ectomycorrhizal fungi as potential bio-control agents against selected plant pathogenic fungi. 158:193–206
- Park SH, Jeong HS, Lee YM, Eom AH, Lee CS (2006) Identification of ectomycorrhizal Fungi from *Pinus densiflora* seedlings at an abandoned coal mining spoils. *J Ecol Environ* 29:143–149

- 788 Parladé J, Alvarez IF (1993) Coinoculation of aseptically grown Douglas
789 fir with pairs of ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Mycorrhiza* 3:93–96
- 790 Parladé J, Hortal S, Pera J, Galipienso L (2007) Quantitative detection of
791 *Lactarius deliciosus* extraradical soil mycelium by real-time PCR
792 and its application in the study of fungal persistence and interspecific
793 competition. *J Biotechnol* 128:14–23
- 794 Parladé J, Martínez-Peña F, Pera J (2017) Effects of forest management
795 and climatic variables on the mycelium dynamics and sporocarp
796 production of the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Boletus edulis*. *For Ecol*
797 *Manag* 390:73–79
- 798 Pickles BJ, Genney DR, Potts JM, Lennon JJ, Anderson IC, Alexander IJ
799 (2010) Spatial and temporal ecology of scots pine ectomycorrhizas.
800 *New Phytol* 186:755–768
- 801 Pickles BJ, Genney DR, Anderson IC, Alexander IJ (2012) Spatial anal-
802 ysis of ectomycorrhizal fungi reveals that root tip communities are
803 structured by competitive interactions. *Mol Ecol* 21:5110–5123
- 804 R Core Team B (2018) R: A language and environment for statistical
805 computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna,
806 Austria. Available at: <https://www.R-project.org/>. Version 3.5.1.
807 Released July 2, 2018. Accessed October 3, 2018
- 808 Read DJ (1992) The mycorrhizal mycelium. In: Allen MF (ed)
809 Mycorrhizal functioning. Chapman and Hall, New York, pp 102–
810 132
- 811 Sakamoto Y (2018) Influences of environmental factors on fruiting body
812 induction, development and maturation in mushroom-forming fun-
813 gi. *Fungal Biol Rev* 32:236–248
- 814 Satomura T, Nakatsubo T, Horikoshi T (2003) Estimation of the biomass
815 of fine roots and mycorrhizal fungi: a case study in a Japanese red
816 pine (*Pinus densiflora*) stand. *J For Res* 8:221–225
- 817 Shaw T, Dighton J, Sanders F (1995) Interactions between
818 ectomycorrhizal and saprotrophic fungi on agar and in association
819 with seedlings of lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*). *Mycol Res* 99:
820 159–165
- 821 Smith SE, Read DJ (2008) Mycorrhizal symbiosis, 3rd edn. Academic
822 press, New York
- 823 Tedersoo L, Suvi T, Beaver K, Kõljalg U (2007) Ectomycorrhizal fungi of
824 the Seychelles: diversity patterns and host shifts from the native
825 *Vateriopsis seychellarum* (Dipterocarpaceae) and *Intsia bijuga*
826 (Caesalpinaceae) to the introduced *Eucalyptus robusta*
827 (Myrtaceae), but not *Pinus caribea* (Pinaceae). *New Phytol* 175:
828 321–333
- 829 Teramoto M, Wu B, Hogetsu T (2012) Transfer of 14 C-photosynthate to
830 the sporocarp of an ectomycorrhizal fungus *Laccaria amethystina*.
831 *Mycorrhiza* 22:219–225
- 832 Thomson BD, Grove TS, Malajczuk N, Hardy GSJ (1994) The effective-
833 ness of ectomycorrhizal fungi in increasing the growth of
834 *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. In relation to root colonization and hy-
835 phal development in soil. *New Phytol* 126:517–524
- 836 Vaario LM, Yang X, Yamada A (2017) Biogeography of the Japanese
837 gourmet fungus, *Tricholoma matsutake*: a review of the distribution
838 and functional ecology of Matsutake. In: Biogeography of
839 Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. Springer, pp 319–344
- 840 Velmala SM, Rajala T, Heinonsalo J, Taylor AF, Pennanen T (2014)
841 Profiling functions of ectomycorrhizal diversity and root structuring
842 in seedlings of Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) with fast-and slow-
843 growing phenotypes. *New Phytol* 201:610–622
- 844 Villeneuve N, Tacon F, Bouchard D (1991) Survival of inoculated
845 *Laccaria bicolor* in competition with native ectomycorrhizal fungi
846 and effects on the growth of outplanted Douglasfir seedlings. *Plant*
847 *Soil* 135:95–107
- 848 Vincenot L, Nara K, Sthultz C, Labbe J, Dubois M, Tedersoo L, Martin F,
849 Selosse M (2012) Extensive gene flow over Europe and possible
850 speciation over Eurasia in the ectomycorrhizal basidiomycete
851 *Laccaria amethystina* complex. *Mol Ecol* 21:281–299
- 852 Vincenot L, Popa F, Laso F, Donges K, Rexer KH, Kost G, Yang ZL,
853 Nara K, Selosse MA (2017) Out of Asia: biogeography of fungal
854 populations reveals Asian origin of diversification of the *Laccaria*
855 *amethystina* complex, and two new species of violet *Laccaria*.
856 *Fungal Biol* 121:939–955
- 857 Wang Y, Hall IR (2004) Edible ectomycorrhizal mushrooms: challenges
858 and achievements. *Can J Bot* 82:1063–1073
- 859 Wang Y, Cummings N, Guerin-Laguette A (2012) Cultivation of basidi-
860 mycete edible ectomycorrhizal mushrooms: *Tricholoma*,
861 *Lactarius*, and *Rhizopogon*. In: Edible Ectomycorrhizal
862 Mushrooms. Springer, pp 281–304
- 863 Wu B, Nara K, Hogetsu T (1999) Competition between ectomycorrhizal
864 fungi colonizing *Pinus densiflora*. *Mycorrhiza* 9:151–159
- 865 Xu H, Navarro-Ródenas A, Cooke JEK, Zwiazek JJ (2016) Transcript
866 profiling of aquaporins during basidiocarp development in *Laccaria*
867 *bicolor* ectomycorrhizal with *Picea glauca*. *Mycorrhiza* 26:19–31
- 868 Yamada A, Katsuya K (2001) The disparity between the number of
869 ectomycorrhizal fungi and those producing fruit bodies in a *Pinus*
870 *densiflora* stand. *Mycol Res* 105:957–965
- 871 Zhang RQ, Tang M, Chen H, Tian ZQ (2011) Effects of ectomycorrhizal
872 fungi on damping-off and induction of pathogenesis-related proteins
873 in *Pinus tabulaeformis* seedlings inoculated with *Amanita vaginata*.
874 *For Pathol* 41:262–269
- 875
- 876 **Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to
877 jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.
878