<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Reduction of structural impacts and distinction of
photosynthetic pathways in a global estimation of GPP from
space-borne solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence

Zhang, Zhaoying

2020-04

Zhang , Z , Zhang , Y , Porcar-Castell , A , Joiner , J , Guanter , L , Yang , X , Migliavacca ,
M , Ju , W , Sun , Z , Chen , S , Martini , D , Zhang , Q , Li , Z , Cleverly , J , Wang , H &
Goulas , Y 2020 , ' Reduction of structural impacts and distinction of photosynthetic
pathways in a global estimation of GPP from space-borne solar-induced chlorophyll
fluorescence ' , Remote Sensing of Environment , vol. 240 , 111722 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111722>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/340659>

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111722>

cc_by_nc_nd

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Reduction of structural impacts and distinction of photosynthetic pathways in a global estimation of GPP from space-borne solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence

Zhaoying Zhang^{1,2}, Yongguang Zhang^{1,2,3*}, Albert Porcar-Castell⁴, Joanna Joiner⁵, Luis Guanter⁶, Xi Yang⁷, Mirco Migliavacca⁸, Weimin Ju^{1,2,3}, Zhigang Sun^{9,10}, Shiping Chen¹¹, David Martini⁸, Qian Zhang^{1,2}, Zhaohui Li^{1,2}, James Cleverly¹², Hezhou Wang¹³, Yves Goulas¹⁴

¹ Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of Geographic Information Science and Technology, Key Laboratory for Land Satellite Remote Sensing Applications of Ministry of Natural Resources, School of Geography and Ocean Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210023, China.

² International Institute for Earth System Sciences, Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of Geographic Information Science and Technology, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China.

³ Jiangsu Center for Collaborative Innovation in Geographical Information Resource Development and Application, Nanjing, China.

⁴ Optics of Photosynthesis Laboratory, Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research /Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

⁵ National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

⁶ Centro de Tecnologías Físicas, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camí de Vera s/n, 46022 València, Spain

⁷ Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, 22904, USA

⁸ Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Hans-Knoell-Str. 10, 07745 Jena, Germany

⁹ Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

¹⁰ College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

¹¹ State Key Laboratory of Vegetation and Environmental Change, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100093, China

¹² Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Network, School of Life Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, Broadway NSW 2007 Australia

¹³ Farmland Irrigation Research Institute of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Xinxiang, Henan, China

¹⁴ LMD/IPSL, CNRS, ENS, PSL Research University, Ecole polytechnique, Université Paris-Saclay, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Sorbonne Universités, 91128 Palaiseau, France

* Corresponding author, phone: +86-2589681569, E-mail: yongguang_zhang@nju.edu.cn

Abstract

Quantifying global photosynthesis remains a challenge due to a lack of accurate remote sensing proxies. Solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) has been shown to be a good indicator of photosynthetic activity across various spatial scales. However, a global and spatially challenging estimate of terrestrial gross primary production (GPP) based on satellite SIF remains unresolved due to the confounding effects of species-specific physical and physiological traits and external factors, such as canopy structure or photosynthetic pathway (C_3 or C_4). Here we analyze an ensemble of far-red SIF data from OCO-2 satellite and ground observations at multiple sites, using the spectral invariant theory to reduce the effects of canopy structure and to retrieve a structure-corrected total canopy SIF emission (SIF_{total}). We find that the relationships between observed canopy-leaving SIF and ecosystem GPP vary significantly among biomes. In contrast, the relationships between SIF_{total} and GPP converge around two unique models, one for C_3 and one for C_4 plants. We show that the two single empirical models can be used to globally scale satellite SIF observations to terrestrial GPP. We obtain an independent estimate of global terrestrial GPP of 129.56 ± 6.54 PgC/year for the 2015-2017 period, which is consistent with the state-of-the-art data- and process-oriented models. The new GPP product shows improved sensitivity to previously undetected 'hotspots' of productivity, being able to resolve the double-peak in GPP due to rotational cropping systems. We suggest that the direct scheme to estimate GPP presented here, which is based on satellite SIF, may open up new possibilities to resolve the dynamics of global terrestrial GPP across space and time.

Keyword: Photosynthesis; Photosynthetic pathway; Chlorophyll fluorescence; Canopy structure; Spectral invariant theory.

1. Introduction

Gross primary production (GPP) by terrestrial vegetation is the single largest CO₂ flux (Fu et al., 2019) and is commonly estimated by global land surface models supported by eddy covariance flux measurements (Beer et al., 2010; Sitch et al., 2015). GPP dynamics dominate inter-annual variability in net biome productivity (Jung et al., 2011) and are the main factors contributing to the uncertainties in current global vegetation models and thus carbon cycle projections (Anav et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2015; Mystakidis et al., 2016). The lack of observational constraints for GPP at regional to global scales is a critical gap in carbon cycle science, limiting our ability to monitor crucial ecosystem services and to better understand the factors that regulate terrestrial GPP and its multiple feedbacks within the Earth System (Friedlingstein et al., 2006; Arneth et al., 2010; Kulmala et al., 2014).

In the last decades, reflectance-based vegetation indices (VIs) and inversion of physical radiative transfer models have been widely used in estimating canopy structural (e.g. leaf area index) and biochemical parameters (e.g. chlorophyll content) (Haboudane et al., 2004; Gitelson et al., 2005; Gamon et al., 2019; Gitelson et al., 2019). However, estimating actual plant productivity from VIs requires auxiliary data, such as photosynthetically active radiation, which adds considerable uncertainty (Guanter et al., 2014). A promising tool to estimate large-scale GPP is solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF), which is electromagnetic radiation in the 650-850 nm range emitted by chlorophyll-a molecules under illumination during the first steps of photosynthesis (Krause and Weis, 1991; Meroni et al., 2009; Pagán et al., 2019). In terrestrial plants, both photosynthetic carbon uptake and chlorophyll fluorescence emission are directly controlled by the amount and distribution of absorbed photosynthetic active radiation (APAR) within the canopy (Porcar-Castell et al., 2014; van der Tol et al., 2014; Damm et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Miao et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2018a). Chlorophyll fluorescence is intrinsically linked to photochemical efficiency and can, under certain circumstances, track actual plant photochemistry (Genty et al., 1989; Porcar-Castell et al., 2014). Therefore, SIF is a possibly better probe for photosynthesis dynamics compared to other remote sensing measurements of vegetation. The direct link between photosynthesis and chlorophyll fluorescence indicates that SIF can be used as a proxy of GPP (Guanter et al., 2014; van der Tol et al., 2014; Rascher et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2017).

Recently, satellite retrievals of SIF from GOME-2, GOSAT, OCO-2 and TROPOMI (Joiner et al., 2013; Frankenberg et al., 2014; Köhler et al., 2018) have been used for estimating GPP either by constraining terrestrial biosphere or process-based models (Koffi et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2015;

MacBean et al., 2018; Norton et al., 2018), or directly, exploiting the linear relationship between SIF and GPP (Guanter et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018b; Li and Xiao, 2019). The interest in a direct approach lies in its simplicity as it requires minimal amounts of ancillary data and parameterizations. However, this approach has not reached its full potential at the global scale due to the impact of numerous confounding factors, including species-specific physical and physiological traits, sun-viewing geometry, landscape heterogeneity including topography, and presence of photosynthetically inactive surfaces inside canopies (He et al., 2017; Migliavacca et al., 2017; Wood et al., 2017; Mohammed et al., 2019). All of them can contribute with spatial variation to the scaling by affecting the relationship between SIF and GPP. The extent to which we can scale SIF to global terrestrial GPP depends on the understanding of the relationships between SIF and GPP across biomes. Although two recent studies suggested a nearly universal GPP-SIF relationship across distinct vegetation types at the scale of large satellite pixels (Sun et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018b), many previous studies have showed that the relationships between ecosystem GPP and SIF are biome-dependent (Guanter et al., 2012; Damm et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2018) due to the existing discrepancies in species-specific physical and physiological traits. In addition, many other external factors, such as sun-viewing geometry (Zhang et al., 2018c), also affect SIF-GPP relationship.

Using measured and Soil-Canopy-Observation of Photosynthesis and the Energy balance (SCOPE) simulation data, Zhang et al. (2016) and Migliavacca et al. (2017) found that variations in canopy structure (e.g. leaf inclination) significantly affect the GPP-SIF relationships; plant functional traits, such as leaf chlorophyll content and the maximum rate of carboxylation (V_{cmax}), have a secondary but not negligible effect. Canopy-leaving SIF observed by satellite sensors only represents a portion of total canopy SIF emission ($\text{SIF}_{\text{total}}$) and the fraction of canopy-leaving SIF to $\text{SIF}_{\text{total}}$ is mainly determined by the overall canopy architecture, resulting in varying relationships between canopy-leaving SIF and ecosystem GPP across different biomes (Sun et al., 2018). In addition, physiological differences between species could also prevent the global convergence of GPP-SIF relationships. For example, C_4 plants (covering 18% of the vegetated land surface (Still et al., 2003)) are able to sustain higher rates of photosynthesis at high light and high temperatures compared to C_3 plants (Genty et al., 1989), which could result in divergent GPP-SIF relationships between C_3 and C_4 plants (Wood et al., 2017). It is thus important to better understand how GPP-SIF relationships are affected by physical and physiological factors across different ecosystem types.

Here, we address the following scientific question on the use of SIF to estimate photosynthesis from both field and satellite SIF observations: How do the GPP-SIF relationships vary across biomes dominated by species with contrasting canopy structures and different carbon

122 metabolic pathways? To achieve this goal, we conduct a global analysis of the effects of canopy
123 structure (e.g. leaf inclination) and photosynthetic pathway (e.g. C₃ vs C₄ plants) on the GPP-SIF
124 relationships by combining time series of SIF data from both *in situ* field measurements and OCO-2
125 satellite together with eddy covariance (EC) flux data from different biomes. Following a recent
126 approach (Yang and van der Tol, 2018), we also estimate SIF_{total} at the leaf level to represent the
127 integrated fluorescence emission of all leaves in the canopy (see Methods section), which is closely
128 related to photosynthesis. A universal scheme for the direct and independent quantification of
129 seasonal dynamics of terrestrial GPP based on SIF_{total} would constitute a significant step forward in
130 the study of the global carbon cycle, its dynamics, and feedbacks within the Earth System.

131 **2. Material and methods**

132 ***2.1 Ground-based SIF and GPP measurements***

133 Ground- and satellite-based far-red SIF (760 and 757 nm, respectively) observations will be
134 compared with GPP across biomes in this study. SIF and flux data were measured during the growth
135 stage at five field sites including one temperate forest (Harvard Forest from US, US-Ha1), one
136 semiarid grassland (Majadas from Spain, ES-LM1) and three irrigated cropland sites (Avignon from
137 France, FR-Avg, Jurong and Shangqiu from China, CN-Jrn, CN-Shq) (see **Appendix Tables A1**).

138 CN-Jrn is located at the Jurong Observation Station of Nanjing University, Jiangsu Province,
139 China. The mean annual temperature is 15.2°C and mean annual precipitation is 1058.8 mm. In this
140 study, summer rice was planted and measurements were conducted from August to October 2016.
141 An automated field spectroscopy SIF system (Fluospec2) similar to that of Yang et al. (2018b) was
142 developed for continuous measurements of canopy reflectance and SIF. The Fluospec2 system was
143 placed at 8 m above the ground, including two spectrometers: HR2000+ (OceanOptics, Inc., Dunedin,
144 Florida) with 400-1000 nm wavelength range at a spectral resolution of 3 nm for reflectance
145 measurement and QEPro (OceanOptics, Inc., Dunedin, FL, USA) with 730-780 nm wavelength
146 range at a spectral resolution of 0.1 nm for SIF measurement. The spectral fitting method (SFM) was
147 used to extract the SIF at 760 nm, assuming linear functions of SIF and reflectance with wavelength
148 at the spectral region of 757-767 nm.

149 Another Fluospec2 system was installed at 10 m above the ground in CN-Shq, which is
150 located at Shangqiu, Henan Province, China. The mean annual temperature is 13.9°C and mean
151 annual precipitation is 708 mm. In this study, corn was planted and measurements were conducted

152 from June to October, 2017. Details on the measurements of SIF at this site can be found in Li et al.
153 (2020).

154 FR-Avg is planted with winter wheat at Montfavet near Avignon, France (Goulas et al., 2017).
155 The mean annual temperature is about 14.6 °C and the annual precipitation is 676 mm. HR2000+
156 (full width at half-maximum (FWHM) 0.4 nm at 687 nm and 0.5 nm at 760 nm, Ocean Optics,
157 Dudenin, FL, USA) was used in FR-Avg, and SIF at 760 nm was extracted with the Fraunhofer line
158 discrimination (FLD). Measurements were conducted from 3 March (tillering) to 16 June (grain
159 filling), 2010.

160 ES-LM1 is managed as a typical wood pasture (*Iberian Dehesa*) in Spain (Migliavacca et al.,
161 2017). The mean annual temperature is *ca.* 16.7 °C and annual precipitation is *ca.* 650 mm.
162 Continuous hyperspectral measurements were acquired using a fully automated Fluorescence Box
163 (FLOX, JB Hyperspectral Devices, Düsseldorf, Germany) with a QEPro spectrometer (Ocean Optics,
164 USA), which covers 650-800 nm spectral region with FWHM of 0.3 nm. SIF in the O₂-A absorption
165 band (760 nm) was retrieved by SFM. The data were collected from March to June, 2017, and NDVI
166 with the threshold of 0.3 derived from QEPro measurement was used to exclude non-growing season
167 data. Both spectral measurements and eddy covariance data used in this study were collected for the
168 herbaceous layer, and therefore we refer to the vegetation type as GRA, although the site is classified
169 as SAV following the IGBP classification.

170 US-Ha1 is a 70–100 year-old mixed temperate forest in central Massachusetts, USA. The
171 dominant deciduous tree species includes red oak (*Quercus rubra*) and red maple (*Acer rubrum*). The
172 mean annual temperature is *ca.* 7.5°C and the annual precipitation is 1200 mm. At US-Ha1, a
173 spectroscopy system (FluoSpec) was equipped with a hyper spectrometer placed at 5 m above
174 canopy (Yang et al., 2015). The key component of FluoSpec is HR2000+ (OceanOptics, Inc.,
175 Dunedin, Florida) with a spectral resolution of ~0.13 nm in 680-775 nm. SIF was calculated at 760
176 nm with SFM that extracts SIF via the oxygen absorption method (O₂-A) (Meroni et al., 2009).

177 Ground continuous SIF and fluxes data are averaged over half-hour or hourly intervals. A
178 sunshine sensor (BF5, Delta-T Devices, Cambridge, UK) is used in US-Ha1 and FR-Avg to measure
179 diffuse (PAR_{diff}) and total PAR (PAR_{total}). The days with average PAR_{diff}/PAR_{total} greater than 0.7
180 are defined as extremely cloudy days and excluded for further analysis. For CN-Jrn, CN-Shq, and
181 ES-LM1, the extremely cloudy days are excluded according to clearness index (actual shortwave
182 radiation/top of atmosphere shortwave radiation) with a threshold of 0.3. The viewing zenith angle
183 (VZAs) are set as zero for CN-Jrn, CN-Shq, FR-Avg, and ES-LM1 sites, and 30° for US-Ha1 site.

184 Thirty-six EC flux sites are chosen for comparison with satellite SIF data after visual
185 checking homogeneity with MODIS land cover (LC) map (see **Appendix Table A2**) and the

186 surrounding landscape for these sites is displayed in **Fig. 1**. A relatively small number of sites is used
187 in this study (e.g., compared to the 64 sites used in a previous study (Li et al., 2018b)) because we
188 find that some sites used in Li et al. (2018b) are heterogeneous or close to water. GPP values are
189 determined after standard gap-filling and flux partitioning procedures (Reichstein et al., 2005). The
190 net flux is partitioned into GPP and ecosystem respiration, which is estimated from night-time and
191 extrapolated to daytime (Reichstein et al., 2005). It is important to note that the crop sites are divided
192 into C₃ and C₄ crops according to farming practice; for example at a farm field (Rosemount- G21,
193 US-Ro1), corn (C₄) and soybean (C₃) were planted in 2015 and 2016, respectively.

Fig. 1. Surrounding landscape at 36 flux sites (blue star). The spatial distribution of these sites is presented in Fig. S1. The base map is the land cover map based on the MODIS Land Cover Type product (MCD12C1) with the IGBP land cover classification scheme (Fig. S2). A 30 km radius around the flux tower is shown as the red circle.

2.2 Far-red SIF data from OCO-2

We used the latest OCO-2 SIF Lite product (V8r), which provides three observation modes (nadir, glint and target). VZA is close to 0° for nadir mode but varies with time and space for glint and target modes (Frankenberg et al., 2014). Recent work has reported the directional anisotropy of OCO-2 SIF in target and glint modes, and that varying VZA affects the relationship between GPP and SIF (Zhang et al., 2018c). Both viewing direction and canopy structure effects on SIF can be reduced by deriving total canopy SIF emission (SIF_{total}) (Yang and van der Tol, 2018); all three modes were used in this study.

The retrieval of OCO-2 SIF, based on infilling of the Fraunhofer lines at 757 nm and 771 nm, is accomplished by using a singular vector decomposition technique (Guanter et al., 2012; Frankenberg et al., 2014). Only the SIF at 757 nm was used here after quality filtering owing to its stronger signal and better relationship with GPP than SIF at 771 nm (Li et al., 2018a). For each EC flux site, the SIF value at each overpass was determined as the mean value of all available observations located within a 30 km radius of the site location. Before averaging, land cover data provided in OCO-2 SIF product was used to remove water, snow, and urban pixels. Since US-IB1 is surrounded by urban areas, an adjacent location (-88.7°W, 41.86°N) was used for extracting OCO-2 SIF data. A preliminary comparison of OCO-2 SIF (757 nm) and *in situ* SIF of four field sites showed generally good consistencies in the amplitudes and seasonal variations (see **Fig. S3** in this supplement).

2.3 Total canopy SIF emission (SIF_{total})

Canopy-leaving SIF observed (SIF_{obs}) by both ground and satellite sensors represents only a portion of fluorescence emission of all photosystems (SIF_{PS}) or all leaves (SIF_L) in the canopy. The scaling factor from SIF_{PS} to SIF_L for the far-red band is clearly lower than that for the red band. For example, Liu et al. (2018) reported that the ratio of SIF_{PS} to SIF_L for the far-red band (~1.5) was closer to 1 than that for the red band (~9). Therefore, it is sufficient to downscale far-red SIF_{obs} to SIF_L as a proxy of SIF_{PS} . Before interpreting the relationship between SIF_{obs} and GPP, the information about the escape probabilities of SIF from leaf to canopy level (f_{LC}) is required to reduce the viewing direction and canopy structure effects on SIF_{obs} . Recently, Yang and van der Tol (2018) have reported that f_{LC} can be derived from canopy bi-directional reflectance factor (BRF) as:

$$f_{LC} = \frac{BRF_{\lambda}}{i_0 \omega_{\lambda}} \quad (1)$$

where i_0 is canopy interception and ω_λ is leaf albedo (leaf reflectance + transmittance).

Spectral invariant theory is used as the theoretical basis of **Eq. (1)**. This theory predicts that the radiation scattered by a canopy depends on the leaf albedo and three spectrally invariant structural parameters, including directional escape probability ($\rho(\Omega)$), recollision probability (p), and canopy interception (i_0) (Knyazikhin et al., 1998; Smolander and Stenberg, 2005). Therefore, BRF can be described by (Knyazikhin et al., 2013):

$$BRF_\lambda = \frac{\rho(\Omega)i_0\omega_\lambda}{1 - p\omega_\lambda} \quad (2)$$

Similarly, f_{LC} can be expressed as (Yang and van der Tol, 2018):

$$f_{LC} = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \rho(\Omega) (p\omega_\lambda)^i = \frac{\rho(\Omega)}{1 - p\omega_\lambda} \quad (3)$$

Combining **Eqs. (2)** and **(3)** leads to **Eq. (1)**. However, it should be noted that the spectral invariant theory only holds for a dark soil background (Knyazikhin et al., 2013); therefore, **Eq. (1)** can only be applied under situations where soil background has negligible effect on BRF. The woody branches within the canopy could also affect the application of spectral invariant theory to some extent. To reduce the soil background effect on the calculation of f_{LC} , Zeng et al. (2019b) proposed to replace BRF with near-infrared reflectance of vegetation (NIR_V). NIR_V , the product of total BRF from both vegetation and soil background in a near-infrared band (NIR_T) and normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), was originally proposed by Badgley et al. (2017) to represent the reflectance from vegetation. Therefore, **Eq. (1)** is rewritten as below:

$$f_{LC} = \frac{NIR_T \times NDVI}{i_0\omega_\lambda} \quad (4)$$

In this study, NIR_T was replaced by the BRF at 760 nm for ground SIF and 757 nm for OCO-2 SIF to keep the band consistency between SIF and NIR_T . BRF is calculated as the ratio of canopy radiance to solar irradiance for both ground and satellite platforms. The BRF data for OCO-2 were calculated from the top-of-atmosphere (TOA) continuum radiances (L) as provided in OCO-2 SIF products as below:

$$BRF = \pi L / (E \times \cos(\theta)), \quad (5)$$

where E is the solar irradiance at top of atmosphere at 757 nm and is set to $1259.8 \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ nm}^{-1}$ according to the ASTM G173-03 Reference Spectra derived from SMARTS v. 2.9.2 (Gueymard, 2001), and θ is the solar zenith angle. Atmospheric correction is not conducted for OCO-2 BRF due to lack of sufficient auxiliary information. The atmospheric absorption and scattering at 757 nm is minimal on sunny days, and hence TOA BRF shows strong relationships ($R^2 = 0.999$) to top-of-canopy BRF at 757 nm (see **Fig S4** for MODTRAN simulations).

The i_0 is commonly calculated as follows (Chen and Leblanc, 2001):

$$i_0 = 1 - \exp(-G(\theta) \times \text{LAI} \times \text{CI} / \cos(\theta)), \quad (6)$$

where LAI and CI are leaf area index and clumping index, respectively. LAI data are obtained from MODIS C6 LAI/FPAR products (MCD15A2H) (Myneni et al., 2015). CI data provided by He et al. (2012) at a spatial resolution of 500 m were derived from the MODIS bidirectional reflectance distribution function/albedo (MCD43A1) (Schaaf et al., 2002). The Normalized Difference between Hotspot and Darkspot index (Chen et al., 2005) was used to estimate CI; more details about the CI calculation can be found in He et al. (2012). $G(\theta)$ is the mean value of geometric function and is fixed at 0.5 in this study. To match the OCO-2 footprint (1.3×2.25 km²) and MODIS data with spatial resolution of 500 m, the MODIS pixels located within the boundary of OCO-2 footprint were averaged to be consistent with a single OCO-2 SIF measurement (see an example in **Fig. S5** in the supplement). However, we acknowledge that the potential mismatch between OCO-2 footprint and MODIS footprints could add to the uncertainty of $\text{SIF}_{\text{total}}$.

To keep consistency with OCO-2 SIF_{obs} , f_{LC} (**Eq. 4**) should be calculated with NIR_T and NDVI under the same sun-viewing geometry as OCO-2 SIF. NIR_T can be replaced by the BRDF at 757 nm from the OCO-2 SIF product, but NDVI was not available. RossThick-LiSparseR (RTLRSR) BRDF model can be used to simulate the red and near-infrared reflectance at any sun-target-viewing geometry. The parameters used to drive the RTLRSR model are provided by MCD43A1 product. The simulated red and near-infrared reflectances under the same sun-viewing geometries as OCO-2 SIF are used to calculate NDVI. Since the absorption of radiation at 757 nm commonly exhibits little variation (<0.05 for broadleaf and < 0.1 for needleleaf) (Hovi et al., 2017), the variations in ω_λ are not considered for simplicity. Further research is needed to account for this. The re-absorption effect should be taken into account when this approach is applied to red SIF (Gitelson et al., 1998; Ramos and Lagorio, 2006; Romero et al., 2018).

We define a new SIF quantity - total canopy SIF emission ($\text{SIF}_{\text{total}}$), which is not equal to SIF_L in magnitude, but a proxy of it:

$$\text{SIF}_{\text{total}} = \text{SIF}_{\text{obs}} / f_{\text{LC}} \propto \text{SIF}_L. \quad (7)$$

2.4 Link between SIF and GPP

Based on the light-use-efficiency concept (Monteith, 1972), the instantaneous GPP_{t_0} at time t_0 can be expressed as follows (Guanter et al., 2014):

$$\text{GPP}_{t_0} = \text{PAR}_{t_0} \times f\text{PAR}_{t_0} \times \varepsilon_{t_0,p}, \quad (8)$$

where PAR_{t0} is photosynthetic active radiation arriving at the top of canopy, $fPAR_{t0}$ is the fraction of PAR absorbed by the plant canopy and $\varepsilon_{t0,p}$ is the overall light-use efficiency at photosystem level for the whole canopy. Instantaneous SIF_{obs} at time t_0 (SIF_{t0}) can be similarly expressed as follows:

$$SIF_{t0} = PAR_{t0} \times fPAR_{t0} \times \varepsilon_{t0,f} \times f_{PL} \times f_{LC}, \quad (9)$$

where $\varepsilon_{t0,f}$ is the overall light-use efficiency of fluorescence at photosystem level, and f_{PL} is the escape probability of fluorescence from the photosystem level to leaf level. Thus, a relationship between GPP_{t0} and SIF_{t0} can be established by combining **Eqs. (8) and (9)** as:

$$GPP_{t0} = \frac{SIF_{t0}}{f_{PL} \times f_{LC}} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{t0,p}}{\varepsilon_{t0,f}} \quad (10)$$

Compared with instantaneous GPP (GPP_i), daily mean GPP (GPP_d) conveys more accurate information on actual photosynthesis (e.g., minimizing the effect of short-term modulations due to changes in vapor pressure deficit or illumination conditions) at a temporal scale that is of interest in carbon cycle studies. In contrast to the continuous observations available from EC sites, only one snapshot per day of SIF is typically available from each satellite instrument in low Earth orbit. To obtain GPP_d , SIF_{t0} is converted to SIF_d as below (Frankenberg et al., 2011):

$$SIF_d = SIF_{t0} / \cos(\theta_{t0}) \times \int_0^{24} \cos(\theta_t) dt \quad (11)$$

where θ_t and θ_{t0} are the solar zenith angles at times t and t_0 , respectively. $\cos(\theta_t)$ is set as zero before sunrise and after sunset. The conversion is based on the diurnal variation of PAR and assumes constant fluorescence yield and fraction of absorbed PAR throughout the day. This day-length correction has been adopted for GOME-2, GOSAT, OCO-2 and TROPOMI SIF.

The feasibility of the day-length correction method was tested at five ground sites where continuous SIF measurements are available. SIF_i close to the overpass time (13:30) of OCO-2 SIF was converted to SIF_d using the day-length correction method for each of the five field sites. Corrected SIF_d was compared to daily averaged SIF (true SIF_d) from continuous *in situ* observations. We found that corrected SIF_d was well correlated with true SIF_d (slope close to 1, and $R^2 > 0.68$, **Fig. 2**). This indicated that the day-length correction was able to capture diurnal variations of SIF. However, it must be noted that potential deviations of SIF from the diurnal cycle of illumination (e.g. due to photosynthetic midday depression) were not accounted for with this approach (Zhang et al., 2018a).

Fig. 2. Comparison of true daily SIF and corrected daily SIF from instantaneous SIF at the overpass time of OCO-2 (~13:30 h). All regressions are statistically significant ($p < 0.01$). Note: A = FR-Avg (Wheat), H = US-Ha1 (Forest), J = CN-Jrn (Rice), L = ES-LM1 (Grass), and S = CN-Shq (Corn). The cloudy days were excluded in this study when the clearness index < 0.5 .

Similar to **Eq. (10)**, we can link GPP_d and SIF_d using:

$$GPP_d = \frac{SIF_d}{f_{PL} \times f_{LC}} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{d,p}}{\varepsilon_{d,f}} \quad (12)$$

Eq. (12) thus represents a linear model between GPP_d and SIF_d with an intercept of zero, and hence the GPP-SIF regression models should be forced to go through the origin (Sun et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2018). However, at leaf and small canopy scales, it might be not appropriate to force SIF-GPP regression to go through the origin. Under water stress, for example, electron transport does not fully shut down and photorespiration takes the energy from the light reaction. Other metabolic pathways and routes can also kick in under certain stresses, and hence a non-zero intercept is possible. In addition, if $\varepsilon_{t0,p}$ and $\varepsilon_{t0,f}$ show non-synchronized responses to environment, SIF and GPP will exhibit a non-linear trend, and hence a non-linear model is required, such as hyperbolic model (Damm et al., 2015). It remains unclear which model is optimal at field and satellite levels, therefore, this study tested and compared three regression models (hyperbolic model, linear model with a non-zero intercept, and linear model with a zero intercept). Generally, although nonlinear models provide a more accurate approximation to the relationship between SIF and GPP at the sub-daily or instantaneous scale (such as half-hour scale) (Damm et al., 2015; Gu et al., 2019), a linear

328 model is frequently used to investigate the seasonal SIF-GPP relationship at the daily and longer
329 temporal scales (Sun et al., 2017; Verma et al., 2017; Wood et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2018).

330

331 ***2.5 Global GPP products***

332 In addition to EC flux tower GPP, three global GPP products were used for comparison
333 purpose. The first one was from the FLUXCOM project (Tramontana et al., 2016), which was
334 derived based on three machine learning methods with remote sensing data (FLUXCOM RS GPP
335 products) or combined remote sensing and meteorological data (FLUXCOM RS+METEO GPP
336 products) as inputs. The second one was from the Vegetation Photosynthesis Model (VPM) GPP,
337 which was based on the light-use-efficiency concept (Zhang et al., 2017). The third one was the
338 Boreal Ecosystem Productivity Simulator (BEPS) GPP, which was a process-based diagnostic model
339 and separated the canopy into sunlit and shaded portions (Liu et al., 1997; Chen et al., 1999). In
340 addition, twelve terrestrial carbon models in TRENDY project were used for comparison of GPP
341 estimates (Sitch et al., 2015).

342 ***2.6 Land cover (LC)***

343 The first LC data we used was from MCD12C1 V6 with a spatial resolutions of 0.05° (Friedl
344 et al., 2010). The International Geosphere–Biosphere Programme (IGBP) classification system was
345 employed. Except for evergreen deciduous forest (EBF) and grassland (GRA), other classes were
346 reclassified for simplicity (following Frankenberg et al. (2011) and Sun et al. (2018)): evergreen and
347 deciduous needleleaf forests were reclassified as needleleaf forest (NF), deciduous broadleaf forest
348 (DBF) and mixed forest as DBF, open and close shrubland as shrubland (SHR), woody savannas and
349 savannas (SAV) as SAV, and croplands (CRO) and cropland/natural vegetation mosaics as CRO.
350 One hundred pixels of original MCD12C1 data were aggregated into one grid box at 0.5° resolution,
351 and resulting grid boxes with a fraction of dominant vegetation type larger than (less than) 0.6 were
352 assumed to be homogeneous (heterogeneous) grid boxes. These homogeneous and heterogeneous
353 grid boxes were used for building relationships between OCO-2 SIF and FLUXCOM RS GPP for
354 various vegetation types to show the landscape heterogeneity effect on SIF-GPP relationship. Both
355 OCO-2 SIF observations and FLUXCOM RS GPP for 2015 were aggregated to 0.5° grid box and
356 monthly scales. Since different biomes had unbalanced number of data points ranging from tens to
357 thousands, the data points for each biome were binned into 20 levels. It is noteworthy that
358 MCD12C1 data do not provide information about photosynthetic pathway, hence C₃ and C₄ crops

could not be separated. In addition, crop grid boxes were commonly made up of mixed C₃ and C₄ crops, with most of them having a high fraction of C₃ crop at 0.5° resolution. Therefore, the LC of mixed crop grid boxes was assumed to be C₃ crops and will be compared with other C₃ plants. The second data source we used for LC was from Synmap Historical Landuse (Jung et al., 2011), which provides the fractions of C₄ crops and grasses as well as other C₃ plants within each 0.5°×0.5° gridbox.

2.7 Global GPP estimation from OCO-2 SIF_{total}

The OCO-2 SIF_{total}-GPP model parameterized at 36 EC flux sites globally is used to scale OCO-2 SIF_{total} to global terrestrial GPP, and is further compared with current GPP products. Due to the discrete footprint of OCO-2 SIF and to avoid spatial gaps, all OCO-2 SIF observations for 2015-2017 are aggregated to a 1.5° spatial resolution and monthly time scale to obtain monthly averaged SIF_{total} (SIF_{month}). As indicated in the studies by Frankenberg et al. (2014) and Sun et al. (2018), the sampling bias or spatial error caused by the coarse aggregation and averaging is relatively small. The linear model with a zero intercept was used at the coarse spatio-temporal resolution (Sun et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2018), since most of the variations of GPP and SIF result from APAR (Li et al., 2018a; Sun et al., 2018) and GPP and SIF are zero when APAR is zero. For each gridbox, we calculate the fractions of C₃ (f₃) and C₄ (f₄) plants, and the monthly averaged GPP (GPP_{month}) is determined as:

$$GPP_{month} = (s_3 \times f_3 + s_4 \times f_4) \times SIF_{month} \quad (14)$$

where s_3 and s_4 were the slopes of linear SIF_{total}-GPP models for C₃ and C₄ plants, respectively. **Equation (14)** assumes that one grid box shares the same SIF for C₃ and C₄ plants under the assumption that C₃ and C₄ plants have similar $\varepsilon_{t0,f}$ (Zhang et al., 2014; Wood et al., 2017). The yearly GPP (GPP_{year}) was directly averaged from GPP_{month}, and the resulting GPP_{year} uncertainty was estimated with an error propagation model (see **Text S1** and **Fig. S6** in the supplement). Estimated annual mean GPP from OCO-2 SIF_{total} over 2015-2017 was directly compared with other GPP products. Since FLUXCOM RS+METEO GPP did not temporally overlap with OCO-2 SIF_{total} for 2017, annual mean GPP from FLUXCOM RS+METEO GPP was derived over the most recent three years (2014–2016).

3. Results

3.1 Relationships between GPP and in-situ SIF

We first examine the SIF-GPP relationships for five field sites over the growing season (**Fig. 3**). In general, the best relationship between SIF and GPP is obtained with a hyperbolic model, followed by a linear model with a non-zero intercept (see the residual plots in **Figs. S7-S9** in the supplement). For individual sites, SIF_{total} clearly improves the relationship with GPP as compared with SIF_{obs} only for the grassland site ES-LM1 (R^2 improves from 0.59 to 0.69 with a hyperbolic model); a slight improvement is obtained for the C4 corn crop at the [at CN-Shq site](#) (R^2 from 0.85 to 0.88 for hyperbolic model). SIF_{total} -GPP relationships are degraded as compared with those of SIF_{obs} -GPP for the forest site of US-Ha1 and rice site of CN-Jrn. However, SIF_{total} significantly improves the relationship with GPP for all C₃ sites combined (R^2 increases from 0.56 to 0.72 with the hyperbolic model), with a more compact residual distribution around the zero intercept line. In addition, there is no significant difference in R^2 of SIF_{total} -GPP for hyperbolic model ($R^2 = 0.72$), linear model with a non-zero intercept ($R^2 = 0.72$), and linear model with a zero intercept ($R^2 = 0.71$). The larger variability and lower R^2 associated with all C₃ plants as compared with C₄ plants is attributed to the fact that four plant functional types (wheat, forest, rice, and grass) were combined as C₃ plants.

Fig. 3. Relationships between GPP and *in situ* SIF_{obs} and SIF_{total} for the five sites: wheat at FR-Avg, forest at US-Ha1, rice at CN-Jrn, grass at ES-LM1, and corn at CN-Shq. There is a pair of panels for each site and the set of all sites; the left panel of each pair is for SIF_{obs} and the right is for SIF_{total}. Note: C3 and C4 are C₃ and C₄ plants, respectively. Three regression methods (solid line: hyperbolic model, dash-dot line: linear model with a non-zero intercept, dashed line: linear model with a zero intercept) are used in each subplot and all regressions are statistically significant ($p < 0.01$). The standard errors of the fitted parameters are presented in **Tables S2-S3** of the supplement.

3.2 Relationships between GPP and OCO-2 SIF

Figure 4 shows relationships between OCO-2 SIF and GPP for eight vegetation types. Unlike the results obtained at the field level, there is no clear difference in R² among hyperbolic model and the linear models with either a zero or non-zero intercept for all vegetation types except evergreen broadleaf forest (EBF). Compared to the linear models, the residues of the hyperbolic models are more symmetrically distributed around zeros (see **Figs. S10-S12** in the supplement). However, the linear model is sufficient for our purpose to analyze the structural and physiological effects on the SIF-GPP models at satellite level among different biomes. In addition, the 95% confidential intervals of intercepts also indicate that the assumption of a zero intercept for linear models is also valid in most cases at a coarse spatial resolution (see **Table S2**). When the viewing direction and canopy

structure effects are reduced, SIF_{total} exhibits closer relationships to GPP for all vegetation types except DBF and GRA. Consistent with the field results, SIF_{total} significantly improves the relationship with GPP for all C_3 sites (R^2 from 0.72 to 0.77 for the linear model with zero intercept). Leave-one-out cross-validation is applied to evaluate the model accuracy for all C_3 sites and the resulting RMSE values are 1.99 to 2.08 $gC/m^2/d$ for SIF_{obs} -based models and 1.87 to 1.88 $gC/m^2/d$ for SIF_{total} -based models (**Fig. S13**).

The slopes of linear relationships between GPP and *in situ* SIF and OCO-2 SIF are displayed in **Fig. 5** and **Fig. S14**. In general, the regression slopes between SIF_{obs} and GPP vary significantly among the distinct vegetation types at the field level, with a coefficient of variation (CV) of 0.43 and 0.41 for linear models with a non-zero and zero intercept, respectively (**Fig. 5A** and **Fig. S14A**). When the canopy structure effects are reduced, similar slopes among four C_3 plant sites can be observed with a CV of 0.23 and 0.19 (**Fig. 5B** and **Fig. S14B**). Although the CV of slopes of SIF_{obs} -GPP relationships at the satellite level are smaller than that at the field level (**Fig. 5C** and **Fig. S14C**), a more consistent GPP- SIF_{total} relationship is obtained for C_3 plants, with a CV of 0.13 and 0.16 for linear models with a non-zero and zero intercept, respectively (**Fig. 5D** and **Fig. S14D**).

In addition, the slope for the C_4 crop site (CN-Shq) was significantly higher than that for other C_3 sites using either SIF_{obs} or SIF_{total} for the field sites. However, this phenomenon is not observed for satellite-based SIF_{obs} -GPP relationships, in which EBF, SHR, and SAV show similar or higher slopes than the C_4 crop. On the contrary, the photosynthetic-pathway dependent GPP models clearly emerge for SIF_{total} after viewing direction and canopy structure effects on SIF_{obs} are accounted for. The steeper slope of GPP- SIF_{total} relationship for C_4 plant is due to the larger GPP of C_4 plants, while the dynamic range of SIF_{total} for C_3 and C_4 plants is shown to be the same (**Fig. 4**). As compared to all C_4 plants, larger fitting uncertainties are observed for C_4 crops due to the smaller number of sample points (**Tables S4-S5**), and hence more data should be collected for C_4 crops in the future. This result also indicates that there is an important role of photosynthetic pathway on the SIF_{total} -GPP relationships.

Fig. 4. Similar to Figure 3 but uses OCO-2 SIF and is compared with GPP at more FLUXNET sites for eight vegetation types: NF (needleleaf forest), EBF (evergreen broadleaf forest), DBF (deciduous broadleaf forest), SHR (shrubland), SAV (savanna), GRA (grass), C3C (C₃ crop), and C4C (C₄ crop). There is a pair of panels for each vegetation type and the set of all vegetation types; the left panel of each pair is for SIF_{obs} and the right is for SIF_{total}. Three regression methods (solid line: hyperbolic model, dash-dot line: linear model with a non-zero intercept, dashed line: linear model with a zero intercept) are used in each subplot and all regressions are statistically significant ($p < 0.01$). The standard errors of fitting parameters are given in **Tables S4-S5** of the supplement.

Fig. 5. Slopes of relationships between GPP and (first row) ground-based SIF and (second row) OCO-2 SIF. First column: $GPP = \text{slope} \times SIF_{\text{obs}}$; second column: $GPP = \text{slope} \times SIF_{\text{total}}$. CV indicates the coefficient of variation of slopes among all C₃ plants. The significance (p-value) of the difference in the slopes between any two biomes are presented in **Tables S6-S9** of the supplement.

3.3 Relationships between FLUXCOM GPP and OCO-2 SIF

We further examine how the new GPP-SIF model (SIF_{total}) above compare to current global estimates of GPP, and analyze the relationships between FLUXCOM GPP and OCO-2 SIF_{obs} and SIF_{total} across seven vegetation types at the global scale (**Fig. 6**). For homogeneous grids, the slopes of SIF_{obs} -GPP relationships vary significantly among biomes with a CV of 0.24; there are smaller slopes for crop and grass and a higher slope for NF (**Fig. 6A**). This biome-specific slope of SIF_{obs} with respect to FLUXCOM GPP is consistent with previous work (Guanter et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2018). Consistent with FLUXNET results (**Figs. 3-5**), the CV of the regression slopes of SIF_{total} -FLUXCOM GPP relationships is reduced from 0.24 to 0.14 after removing the effect of canopy structure (**Fig. 6B**). For heterogeneous grids, the CV of regression slopes is further

reduced from 0.24 to 0.16 for SIF_{obs} (**Fig. 6C**) and from 0.14 to 0.08 for SIF_{total} (**Fig. 6D**), which is expected since biome-specific slopes are averaged and smoothed.

Fig. 6. Scatter plots of FLUXCOM GPP with OCO-2 (A) SIF_{obs} and (B) SIF_{total} for homogeneous 0.5° grids with dominant land cover fraction > 0.6 . (C-D) similar to (A-B) but for heterogeneous 0.5° grids with dominant land cover fraction < 0.6 . CV indicates the coefficient of variation of slopes among all vegetation types.

3.4 Estimation of global terrestrial GPP with the SIF_{total} -based model

To directly investigate the performance of the SIF_{total} -GPP relationships, we estimate annual global GPP of terrestrial vegetation with the derived SIF_{total} -based linear models with a zero intercept in **Fig. 4** that are calibrated with 36 EC flux tower GPP and the corresponding OCO-2 SIF_{total} . Due to the significant difference in slopes observed between C_3 and C_4 plants, it is logical to apply two separate SIF_{total} -based GPP models for C_3 and C_4 plants. The global GPP estimates from satellite-based SIF_{total} data (**Fig. 7A**) are compared to current state-of-the-art GPP estimates (**Fig. 7B-D**). We also compare the zonally averaged and biome-averaged GPP from four different GPP estimates. The GPP estimated with OCO-2 SIF_{total} is generally consistent with FLUXCOM RS+METEO GPP and VPM GPP (**Fig. 7E-F**). The SIF_{total} -based GPP estimations are able to depict the spatial patterns of vegetation GPP globally, consistent with existing global GPP estimates. This agreement is also supported by the strong linear correlations between OCO-2 SIF_{total} -based GPP estimates and FLUXCOM RS+METEO and VPM GPP on a per pixel basis ($r > 0.9$, **Fig. 8**). Total global annual

mean GPP is estimated to be 129.56 ± 6.54 PgC/year from OCO-2 SIF_{total} over 2015-2017, which is consistent with the reported values of global GPP: 102 - 135 PgC/year (Beer et al., 2010), 112 - 169 PgC/year (Anav et al., 2015), and those (113 - 183 PgC/year) from twelve terrestrial carbon models in TRENDY project (S3 scenario) over 2014-2016 (**Fig. S15**).

Fig. 7. Global three-year averaged GPP distribution from (A) OCO-2 SIF_{total} -derived GPP over 2015-2017, (B) FLUXCOM RS+METEO GPP over 2014-2016, (C) VPM GPP over 2015-2017, and (D) BEPS GPP over 2015-2017, and the comparison of (E) zonally averaged and (F) biome-averaged GPP. Six boxes in panel (A) indicate regions of interest that are further explored in **Fig. 10**.

Fig. 8. The relationships between OCO-2 SIF_{total}-based GPP and (A) FLUXCOM RS+METEO GPP, (B) VPM GPP, and (C) BEPS GPP.

Continuing the spatial comparison of SIF_{total}-based GPP to the FLUXCOM RS+METEO GPP, our estimates capture the high photosynthesis of agricultural regions, such as Western Europe (**Fig. S16A**). Specifically, SIF-based GPP estimates captured the ‘hotspots’ of high photosynthesis in the US Corn Belt for the period of June to September (**Fig. 9A**), which are missing in the FLUXCOM GPP. This suggests underestimation of C₄ crop GPP by some current models, supporting previous findings (Guanter et al., 2014). In addition, VPM GPP also shows lower GPP than SIF_{total}-based GPP over the Amazon tropical rainforest region (**Fig. 9B**); this is attributed to underestimation of VPM GPP at this region (Zhang et al., 2017).

Fig. 9. Spatial distribution of mean GPP difference between SIF_{total}-derived GPP (2015-2017) and (A) FLUXCOM RS+METEO GPP (2014-2016), (B) VPM GPP (2015-2017), (C) BEPS GPP (2015-2017) averaged over June-September.

The seasonal cycles of GPP over six selected regions (depicted in **Fig. 7A**) are presented in **Fig. 10**, which provides additional insight into the comparison of GPP estimates. For the North American crop regions, VPM and SIF_{total}-based GPP exhibited higher estimates than FLUXCOM RS+METEO and BEPS GPP during the peak of the growing seasons (**Fig. 10A**). BEPS GPP shows clearly higher magnitudes than other GPP estimates over Europe (**Fig. 10B**). Over the Asian crop regions, both VPM and SIF_{total}-based GPP are able to capture the double peak pattern of GPP caused by the double cropping system (**Fig. 10C**); this provides more accurate predictions of agricultural productivity and crop yields. In the Amazon rainforest and Australian shrubland, all GPP estimates

exhibit a low seasonal variation (**Fig. 10D and F**). In the African savannas, four GPP estimates show consistently opposite seasonality of vegetation with minimal GPP in July and August (**Fig. 10E**).

Fig. 10. Seasonal dynamics of four GPP estimates over (A) North America (Crop), (B) Europe (needleleaf forest, NF), (C) Asia (crop), (D) South America (evergreen broadleaf forest, EBF), (E) Africa (savanna), (F) Australia (Bushland/Woodland). The standard error is shown only for SIF_{total}-based GPP for simplicity.

4. Discussion

4.1 Effects of canopy structure on the GPP-SIF relationship

Accurate and direct quantification of photosynthesis at the ecosystem, landscape, regional, and global scales plays a critical role in understanding the influence of terrestrial ecosystems on the carbon cycle (Friedlingstein et al., 2014; Anav et al., 2015), the feedbacks between biosphere and atmosphere (Kulmala et al., 2004; Arneth et al., 2010), and the assessment of future climate predictions (Friedlingstein et al., 2014). Although SIF has been shown to be a promising tool to estimate GPP across various spatial scales, the use of satellite SIF observations for constraining global terrestrial GPP is hindered by the recent debate on whether the GPP-SIF relationship is biome-specific (Frankenberg et al., 2011; Guanter et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2016) or consistent across biomes (Sun et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018b). Here, we present an ensemble analysis of GPP-SIF relationships combining satellite and ground-based SIF observations with flux tower GPP across distinct biomes. Statistically significant but biome-specific GPP-SIF relationships are obtained after

time of day adjustment (**Figs. 3-5**). This result agrees with many previous studies but does not support the slope convergence recently observed by Sun et al. (2017) where data from three contrasting flux sites were used. This discrepancy may be due to differences in number of sites or temporal coverage between studies. In addition, Li et al. (2018b) suggested a nearly universal linear relationship between OCO-2 SIF and GPP across biomes, which may be biased by the landscape heterogeneity (**Fig. 6**). Although the CV at the satellite level is smaller than that at the field level, there are 14 pairs of biomes (only C_3 plants) showing significantly different ($p < 0.05$ in **Table S9**) slopes of GPP-SIF_{obs} relationships for the linear model with a zero intercept.

Compared with observed canopy-leaving SIF_{obs} from remote sensing measurements, the slope of the relationship between GPP and SIF_{total} exhibits less variability. The smaller CV in slopes of SIF_{total}-GPP relationships across biomes for C_3 plants suggests a possibility to establish a more consistent GPP model from SIF_{total} globally. Our results suggest that SIF_{total} can be used as a proxy of canopy photosynthesis regardless of different canopy architectures across biomes for C_3 plants. After reducing canopy architecture effects from the observed SIF, the SIF_{total} signal is closer to the leaf level SIF signal and therefore should be better connected to the dynamics of photosynthesis (Liu et al., 2018; Yang and van der Tol, 2018; Zeng et al., 2019a; Zhang et al., 2019). This result also indicates that the effects of canopy structure can be mitigated using BRF from reflectance measurements under actual scenarios. With the gradually increasing spatial and temporal coverage of satellite SIF products, e.g. the future Fluorescence Explorer (FLEX) mission by the European Space Agency that should deliver bi-weekly SIF data at approximately 300m spatial resolution (Drusch et al., 2017), the SIF_{total}-based approach will open up a new range of possibilities for mapping terrestrial GPP (Zhang et al., 2019).

4.2 Effect of photosynthetic pathway on the GPP-SIF relationship

The emerging photosynthetic-pathway dependent GPP-SIF relationships suggest that different plant growth strategies should be considered. In this study, we examine the dominant role of canopy structure on GPP-SIF relationship that controls the escape probability f_{LC} (Migliavacca et al., 2017). However, the more consistent $\varepsilon_{t0,p}/\varepsilon_{t0,f}$ ratio found in C_3 plants may not hold for C_4 plants. This could be the result of photorespiration that decreases the overall efficiency of photosynthesis in plants with C_3 metabolism but has little effect on C_4 plants (Pearcy and Ehleringer, 1984; Collatz et al., 1992). Therefore, C_3 plants tend to exhibit smaller photosynthetic efficiency, or $\varepsilon_{t0,p}$ than C_4 plants (Ehleringer and Björkman, 1977; Pearcy and Ehleringer, 1984; Beadle and Long, 1985; Krall

575 and Edwards, 1992; Kellogg, 2013; Zhang et al., 2014; Gitelson et al., 2018), supporting the smaller
576 slope in SIF-GPP relationships found for C₃ plants as compared with C₄ plants (**Figs. 3-5**).

577 However, similar canopy-level SIF values are produced for both crop types (i.e., similar $\varepsilon_{t0,f}$)
578 if everything else is kept equal based on SCOPE simulations (Zhang et al., 2014). This is supported
579 by the same dynamic range of OCO-2 SIF_{total} for C₃ and C₄ plants (**Fig. 4**). Due to the higher CO₂
580 assimilation rate of C₄ plants (Pearcy and Ehleringer, 1984; Collatz et al., 1992), the higher
581 $\varepsilon_{t0,p}/\varepsilon_{t0,f}$ will produce a higher GPP-SIF slope than that for C₃ plants (**Figs. 3-5**). After accounting
582 for canopy structure effects, the statistically significant ($p < 0.01$ in **Table S9**) difference in slopes of
583 OCO-2 SIF-GPP relationships for C₃ and C₄ plants may be attributed to the different $\varepsilon_{t0,p}$ under
584 similar $\varepsilon_{t0,f}$. The slope for C₄ plants is 1.62 times higher than that for C₃ plants (**Fig. 4**), and the ratio
585 agrees well with that (1.5) assumed in the study of Zhang et al. (2017). This difference of slope may
586 not be only due to the photorespiration of C₃ and C₄ plants. Other environmental factors, such as
587 temperature, water supply and CO₂ concentration, may also need to be accounted for (Ehleringer and
588 Björkman, 1977; Sage and Kubien, 2007; Ghannoum, 2008). Due to the statistically significant ($p <$
589 0.01 in **Table S9**) difference in the slopes for C₃ and C₄ plants, therefore, it is necessary to establish
590 SIF_{total}-based GPP models for C₃ and C₄ plants separately (**Fig. 4**). Considering the dominance of C₃
591 plants globally, however, a consistent GPP model from SIF_{total} across different C₃ vegetation types
592 observed here would help improve regional and global GPP estimates based on remotely sensed SIF
593 observations.

594 If we do not consider C₄ plants and use the SIF_{total}-GPP model of C₃ plants alone for all
595 plants, the global GPP is predicted as 126.82 PgC/year. As expected, ignoring the difference of C₃/C₄
596 plants would underestimate the GPP from C₄ crops by 2.74 PgC/year, which accounts for about 15%
597 of GPP from crops. The OCO-2 observations are aggregated into 1.5° grid boxes in our study and
598 many grid boxes contain a mixture of C₃ and C₄ plants. We assume that a linear relationship between
599 SIF and GPP holds for mixed soybean (C₃) and corn (C₄) pixels (Wood et al., 2017). Using the
600 fractions of C₃ and C₄ plants would lead to accurate GPP estimates for individual grid boxes. This
601 separate consideration for C₃ and C₄ plants and using the fraction of C₃ and C₄ plants are also
602 adopted in the vegetation photosynthesis model (VPM) to map global GPP production with 500 m
603 spatial resolution (Zhang et al., 2017). Recently, Li and Xiao (2019) have reported the estimated
604 global terrestrial GPP of 135.5 ± 8.8 PgC/year from artificial SIF, which is derived from MODIS
605 EVI, air temperature, photosynthetically active radiation, and vapor pressure deficit. In addition, the
606 separation of C₃ and C₄ plant is not considered in their study. Our results highlight the need for
607 separate models for C₃ and C₄ plants as well as an updated fractional map for C₃/C₄ plants.

4.3 Scaling SIF_{total} to terrestrial GPP globally

Interestingly, the emerging photosynthetic-pathway dependent GPP-SIF relationships for C_3 and C_4 plants provide very simple models for estimating GPP. Due to its physiological link to photosynthesis and absorbed PAR, SIF has been recently shown to provide a constraint on GPP globally (Lee et al., 2015; Norton et al., 2018). However, the parameterization of SIF-based models is still a critical issue due to inconsistency of GPP-SIF relationships among distinct biomes. An important implication of our work is that the dependence of GPP-SIF_{total} relationships on land cover data can be relaxed and only a fractional map of C_3/C_4 plants is needed to estimate GPP globally. Despite the use of only two separate models for C_3 and C_4 plants, our estimates of global GPP agree well with the independent FLUXCOM RS+METEO and VPM products both in the spatial patterns and magnitude of GPP at the global scale. It is not surprising that our GPP estimates are able to capture the spatial patterns of vegetation productivity over the globe since OCO-2 SIF provides a reasonable depiction of the spatial patterns of vegetation (Norton et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018).

Our data-driven SIF_{total}-based model provides a novel and straightforward approach for estimating terrestrial GPP by considering and correcting for the effect of canopy structure and the C_3/C_4 photosynthetic pathway. We demonstrate the potential of SIF_{total} as a direct proxy of terrestrial GPP at the global scale, bypassing the need to use complex models and biome-specific parameterizations to assimilate the information. In addition, the SIF_{total}-based GPP estimates can be applied to the study of feedbacks related to anthropogenic activities (Zhu et al., 2016) or CO₂ fertilization (Smith et al., 2016) on the terrestrial vegetation. Although it is not possible to fully validate our annual mean GPP estimate, our estimates in the magnitude are in line with previous studies (Beer et al., 2010; Anav et al., 2015; Campbell et al., 2017; Joiner et al., 2018) and this good agreement supports the usefulness of a consistent SIF-based GPP model after mitigating canopy structure effects. However, it should be noted that our aim is not to provide a definitive estimate of global annual GPP from SIF; rather, we intend to investigate whether simple and consistent SIF-based GPP models can be obtained.

5. Conclusion

Our results show that the slopes of observed canopy-level SIF with respect to GPP are significantly different among biomes; this is mainly due to canopy structure effects. After accounting for these effects using BRF from corresponding reflectance measurements, a more consistent GPP-SIF relationship can be derived across biomes for C_3 plants as shown with both ground- and satellite-

based SIF observations. On the other hand, a separate GPP-SIF relationship still exists for C₃ and C₄ plants which is attributed to variations of photosynthetic pathway. Our work demonstrates the potential to establish photosynthetic-pathway dependent models to estimate GPP from SIF after accounting for the canopy structure effects. Based on our OCO-2 SIF_{total}-based GPP model for C₃ and C₄ plants, we obtain an estimate of global annual GPP of 129.56±6.54 PgC/year. This is in line with estimates from a state-of-the-art up-scaled flux tower product and a vegetation photosynthesis model in terms of both magnitude and spatial patterns. This provides confidence that the SIF_{total}-based model produces robust estimates of global terrestrial vegetation photosynthesis. The photosynthetic-pathway dependent SIF_{total}-based model for estimating GPP proposed in this study provides other ways of using SIF to resolve the dynamics of global terrestrial GPP across space and time.

Credit author statement

Zhaoying Zhang: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing

Yongguang Zhang: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Funding acquisition

Albert Porcar-Castell: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing

Joanna Joiner: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing

Luis Guanter: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing

Xi Yang: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing

Mirco Migliavacca: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing

Weimin Ju: Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft

Zhigang Sun: Resources, Writing - Original Draft

Shiping Chen: Resources, Writing - Original Draft

David Martini: Resources, Writing - Original Draft

Qian Zhang: Resources, Writing - Original Draft

Zhaohui Li: Resources, Writing - Original Draft

James Cleverly: Resources, Writing - Original Draft

672 **Hezhou Wang:** Resources, Writing - Original Draft

673 **Yves Goulas:** Resources

674

675 **Acknowledgment**

676 This research was financially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China
677 (2019YFA0606601), International Cooperation and Exchange Programs between NSFC and DFG
678 (41761134082), General Program of National Science Foundation of China (41671421), and
679 Academy of Finland (288039). MM and DM received funding from the European Union's Horizon
680 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no.
681 721995 (TRuStEE). MM and DM thank the Alexander von Humboldt foundation for supporting the
682 research activity in Majadas de Tietar through the Max Planck Research Prize to Markus Reichstein.
683 JJ was supported by NASA through the **Making Earth** System Data Records for Use in Research
684 Environments (**MEaSUREs**) Program.

685 The authors would thank NASA and the OCO-2 team for making the SIF dataset available.
686 This work used eddy covariance data acquired and shared by the FLUXNET community, including
687 these networks: AmeriFlux, AfriFlux, AsiaFlux, CarboAfrica, CarboEuropeIP, CarboItaly,
688 CarboMont, ChinaFlux, Fluxnet-Canada, GreenGrass, ICOS, KoFlux, LBA, NECC, OzFlux-TERN,
689 TCOS-Siberia, and USCCC. The ERA-Interim reanalysis data are provided by ECMWF and
690 processed by LSCE. The FLUXNET eddy covariance data processing and harmonization was carried
691 out by the European Fluxes Database Cluster, AmeriFlux Management Project, and Fluxdata project
692 of FLUXNET, with the support of CDIAC and ICOS Ecosystem Thematic Center, and the OzFlux,
693 ChinaFlux and AsiaFlux offices. The USDA-ARS supported Cook Agronomy Farm Long-Term
694 Agro-ecosystem Research site provided data for this work. Support for the Reynolds Creek Critical
695 Zone Observatory Cooperative is provided by USDA ARS and NSF Grant #EAR 1331872. OCO-2
696 SIF product (V8r) is available at <https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/>. TRENDY S3 GPP data from Dr. S. Sitch
697 (s.a.sitch@exeter.ac.uk) upon request. FLUXCOM GPP is available from Dr. Martin Jung
698 (mjung@bgc-jena.mpg.de). VPM GPP is available at <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.3789814>.
699 The global C₃/C₄ map was obtained from Dr. Martin Jung (mjung@bgc-jena.mpg.de) upon request.
700 MCD12C1, MCD15A2H, and MCD43A1 are available at <https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/>. We
701 greatly appreciate the anonymous reviewers for their insightful and constructive comments that
702 helped us to improve our manuscript.

703

Appendix Table A1. Basic information about field sites for ground continuous SIF and GPP measurements. CRO = crop, GRA = grass, SAV = savanna.

Site ID	Name	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	IGBP	Reference
CN-Jrn	Jurong	31.8068	119.2172	CRO (C3: rice)	This study
CN-Shq	Shangqiu	34.5203	115.5894	CRO (C4: corn)	(Li et al., 2020)
ES-LM1	Majadas de Tietar - North	39.9427	-5.7786	SAV (EC and SIF measurements conducted on the GRA layer)	(El-Madany et al., 2018)
FR-Avg	Avignon	43.9176	4.8796	CRO (C3: wheat)	(Daumard et al., 2010)
US-Ha1	Harvard	42.538	-72.171	Forest	(Yang et al., 2015)

Appendix Table A2. Basic information about flux sites using for OCO-2 SIF-based GPP estimates. ENF = evergreen needleleaf forest, SAV = savanna, GRA = grassland, OSH = open shrubland, EBF = evergreen broadleaf forest, CRO = crop, DBF = deciduous broadleaf forest, CSH = close shrubland, WSA = woody savanna, and MF = mixed forest. C3 and C4 represent C₃ and C₄ plants, respectively.

Site ID	Year	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	IGBP	Reference
AU-ASM	2014-2018	-22.2828	133.2493	ENF	(Cleverly et al., 2013)
AU-DaS	2014-2018	-14.1592	131.3881	SAV	(Beringer et al., 2016)
AU-Dry	2014-2018	-15.2588	132.3706	SAV	(Beringer et al., 2016)
AU-GWW	2014-2018	-30.1913	120.6541	SAV	(Macfarlane, 2013)
AU-Lit	2015-2018	-13.1790	130.7945	SAV	(Beringer et al., 2016)
AU-Stp	2014-2018	-17.1507	133.3502	GRA	(Beringer et al., 2016)
AU-TTE	2014-2018	-22.2870	133.6400	OSH	(Cleverly et al., 2016)
AU-TUM	2014-2018	-35.6566	148.1517	EBF	(Woodgate, 2013)
AU-Ync	2014-2018	-34.9893	146.2907	GRA	(Beringer, 2013)
BE-Lon	2014-2017	50.5516	4.7462	CRO (C3: wheat)	(Moureaux et al., 2006)
CA-SCC	2015-2016	61.3079	-121.2992	ENF	(Helbig et al., 2017)
CN-Dul	2014-2016	42.0467	116.2836	GRA	(Chen et al., 2009)
CN-Xil	2014-2018	43.5506	116.6722	GRA	(Chen et al., 2009)
CN-Yuc	2015-2017	36.8333	116.5667	CRO (C3: wheat; C4: corn)	(Li et al., 2006)
FI-Hyy	2014-2018	61.8474	24.2948	ENF	(Sun et al., 2003)
FI-Var	2015-2018	67.7549	29.61	ENF	(Ruuskanen et al., 2003)
RU-Fyo	2014-2018	56.4615	32.9221	ENF	(Kurbatova et al., 2008)
US-ARM	2014-2018	36.6058	-97.4888	CRO	-
US-Bar	2014-2017	44.0646	-71.2881	DBF	-
US-CF1	2017-2018	46.7815	-117.0821	CRO	-
US-CF2	2017-2018	46.784	-117.0908	CRO	-
US-CF3	2017-2018	46.7551	-117.1261	CRO	-
US-CF4	2017-2018	46.7518	-117.1285	CRO	-
US-GLE	2014-2018	41.3665	-106.2399	ENF	(Frank et al., 2014)
US-Ha1	2014-2018	42.5378	-72.1715	DBF	(Urbanski et al., 2007)
US-Ho1	2014-2018	45.2041	-68.7402	ENF	-
US-HRC	2014-2018	34.5857	-91.7475	CRO	-
US-IB1	2014-2017	41.8593	-88.2227	CRO	-
US-RC2	2014-2018	46.7776	-117.0807	CRO	-
US-Rls	2015-2018	43.1439	-116.7356	CSH	-
US-Ro1	2014-2016	44.7143	-93.0898	CRO	(Baker and Griffis, 2005)
US-Ro2	2015-2016	44.7288	-93.0888	CRO	-

US-Ro5	2017-2018	44.691	-93.0576	CRO	-
US-Ro6	2017-2018	44.6946	-93.0578	CRO	-
US-SRM	2014-2018	31.8214	-110.8661	WSA	(Scott et al., 2009)
US-Syv	2014-2018	46.242	-89.3477	MF	(Desai et al., 2005)

References

- Anav, A., Friedlingstein, P., Beer, C., Ciais, P., Harper, A., Jones, C., et al. (2015). Spatiotemporal patterns of terrestrial gross primary production: A review. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 53, 785-818.
- Arneth, A., Harrison, S.P., Zaehle, S., Tsigaridis, K., Menon, S., Bartlein, P.J., et al. (2010). Terrestrial biogeochemical feedbacks in the climate system. *Nature Geoscience*, 3, 525-532.
- Badgley, G., Field, C.B., & Berry, J.A. (2017). Canopy near-infrared reflectance and terrestrial photosynthesis. *Science Advances*, 3, e1602244.
- Baker, J., & Griffis, T. (2005). Examining strategies to improve the carbon balance of corn/soybean agriculture using eddy covariance and mass balance techniques. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 128, 163-177.
- Beadle, C.L., & Long, S.P. (1985). Photosynthesis — is it limiting to biomass production? *Biomass*, 8, 119-168.
- Beer, C., Reichstein, M., Tomelleri, E., Ciais, P., Jung, M., Carvalhais, N., et al. (2010). Terrestrial gross carbon dioxide uptake: global distribution and covariation with climate. *Science*, 329, 834-838.
- Beringer, J. (2013). Yanco JAXA OzFlux tower site OzFlux: Australian and New Zealand Flux Research and Monitoring hdl: 102.100.100/14235
- Beringer, J., Hutley, L.B., McHugh, I., Arndt, S.K., Campbell, D., Cleugh, H.A., et al. (2016). An introduction to the Australian and New Zealand flux tower network – OzFlux. *Biogeosciences*, 13, 5895-5916.
- Campbell, J.E., Berry, J.A., Seibt, U., Smith, S.J., Montzka, S.A., Launois, T., et al. (2017). Large historical growth in global terrestrial gross primary production. *Nature*, 544, 84.
- Chen, J., Liu, J., Cihlar, J., & Goulden, M. (1999). Daily canopy photosynthesis model through temporal and spatial scaling for remote sensing applications. *Ecological Modelling*, 124, 99-119.
- Chen, J.M., & Leblanc, S.G. (2001). Multiple-scattering scheme useful for geometric optical modeling. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 39, 1061-1071.
- Chen, J.M., Menges, C.H., & Leblanc, S.G. (2005). Global mapping of foliage clumping index using multi-angular satellite data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 97, 447-457.

743 Chen, S., Chen, J., Lin, G., Zhang, W., Miao, H., Wei, L., et al. (2009). Energy balance and partition
744 in Inner Mongolia steppe ecosystems with different land use types. *Agricultural and Forest*
745 *Meteorology*, 149, 1800-1809.

746 Cleverly, J., Boulain, N., Villalobos - Vega, R., Grant, N., Faux, R., Wood, C., et al. (2013).
747 Dynamics of component carbon fluxes in a semi - arid Acacia woodland, central Australia.
748 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 118, 1168-1185.

749 Cleverly, J., Eamus, D., Van Gorsel, E., Chen, C., Rumman, R., Luo, Q., et al. (2016). Productivity
750 and evapotranspiration of two contrasting semiarid ecosystems following the 2011 global
751 carbon land sink anomaly. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 220, 151-159.

752 Collatz, G.J., Ribascarbo, M., & Berry, J.A. (1992). Coupled photosynthesis-stomatal conductance
753 model for leaves of C₄ plants. *Functional Plant Biology*, 19, 519-538.

754 Damm, A., Guanter, L., Paul-Limoges, E., van der Tol, C., Hueni, A., Buchmann, N., et al. (2015).
755 Far-red sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence shows ecosystem-specific relationships to gross
756 primary production: An assessment based on observational and modeling approaches. *Remote*
757 *Sensing of Environment*, 166, 91-105.

758 Daumard, F., Champagne, S., Fournier, A., Goulas, Y., Ounis, A., Hanocq, J.F., & Moya, I. (2010).
759 A field platform for continuous measurement of canopy fluorescence. *IEEE Transactions on*
760 *Geoscience & Remote Sensing*, 48, 3358-3368.

761 Desai, A.R., Bolstad, P.V., Cook, B.D., Davis, K.J., & Carey, E.V. (2005). Comparing net ecosystem
762 exchange of carbon dioxide between an old-growth and mature forest in the upper Midwest,
763 USA. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 128, 33-55.

764 Drusch, M., Moreno, J., Del Bello, U., Franco, R., Goulas, Y., Huth, A., et al. (2017). The
765 fluorescence explorer mission concept—ESA's earth explorer 8. *IEEE Transactions on*
766 *Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 55, 1273-1284.

767 Ehleringer, J., & Björkman, O. (1977). Quantum yields for CO₂ uptake in C₃ and C₄ plants:
768 dependence on temperature, CO₂, and O₂ concentration. *Plant Physiology*, 59, 86-90.

769 El-Madany, T.S., Reichstein, M., Perez-Priego, O., Carrara, A., Moreno, G., Pilar Martín, M., et al.
770 (2018). Drivers of spatio-temporal variability of carbon dioxide and energy fluxes in a
771 Mediterranean savanna ecosystem. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 262, 258-278.

772 Frank, J.M., Massman, W.J., Ewers, B.E., Huckaby, L.S., & Negrón, J.F. (2014). Ecosystem
773 CO₂/H₂O fluxes are explained by hydraulically limited gas exchange during tree mortality
774 from spruce bark beetles. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 119, 1195-1215.

775 Frankenberg, C., Fisher, J.B., Worden, J., Badgley, G., Saatchi, S.S., Lee, J.E., et al. (2011). New
776 global observations of the terrestrial carbon cycle from GOSAT: Patterns of plant
777 fluorescence with gross primary productivity. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38, 351-365.

778 Frankenberg, C., O'Dell, C., Berry, J., Guanter, L., Joiner, J., Koehler, P., et al. (2014). Prospects for
779 chlorophyll fluorescence remote sensing from the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2. *Remote*
780 *Sensing of Environment*, 147, 1-12.

781 Friedl, M.A., Sullamenashe, D., Tan, B., Schneider, A., Ramankutty, N., Sibley, A., & Huang, X.M.
782 (2010). MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: Algorithm refinements and characterization
783 of new datasets. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 114, 168-182.

784 Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., et al. (2006). Climate–
785 carbon cycle feedback analysis: Results from the C⁴MIP model intercomparison. *Journal of*
786 *Climate*, 19, 3337-3353.

787 Friedlingstein, P., Meinshausen, M., Arora, V.K., Jones, C.D., Anav, A., Liddicoat, S.K., & Knutti,
788 R. (2014). Uncertainties in CMIP5 climate projections due to carbon cycle feedbacks.
789 *Journal of Climate*, 27, 511-526.

790 Fu, Z., Stoy, P.C., Poulter, B., Gerken, T., Zhang, Z., Wakbulcho, G., & Niu, S. (2019). Maximum
791 carbon uptake rate dominates the interannual variability of global net ecosystem exchange.
792 *Global Change Biology*, 0

793 Gamon, J.A., Somers, B., Malenovský, Z., Middleton, E.M., Rascher, U., & Schaepman, M.E.
794 (2019). Assessing vegetation function with imaging spectroscopy. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 40,
795 489-513.

796 Genty, B., Briantais, J.M., & Baker, N.R. (1989). The relationship between the quantum yield of
797 photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. *BBA - General*
798 *Subjects*, 990, 87-92.

799 Ghannoum, O. (2008). C₄ photosynthesis and water stress. *Annals of Botany*, 103, 635-644.

800 Gitelson, A., Viña, A., Solovchenko, A., Arkebauer, T., & Inoue, Y. (2019). Derivation of canopy
801 light absorption coefficient from reflectance spectra. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 231,
802 111276.

803 Gitelson, A.A., Arkebauer, T.J., & Suyker, A.E. (2018). Convergence of daily light use efficiency in
804 irrigated and rainfed C₃ and C₄ crops. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 217, 30-37.

805 Gitelson, A.A., Buschmann, C., & Lichtenthaler, H.K. (1998). Leaf chlorophyll fluorescence
806 corrected for re-absorption by means of absorption and reflectance measurements. *Journal of*
807 *Plant Physiology*, 152, 283-296.

808 Gitelson, A.A., Viña, A., Ciganda, V., Rundquist, D.C., & Arkebauer, T.J. (2005). Remote
809 estimation of canopy chlorophyll content in crops. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32,
810 L08403.

811 Goulas, Y., Fournier, A., Daumard, F., Champagne, S., Ounis, A., Marloie, O., & Moya, I. (2017).
812 Gross primary production of a wheat canopy relates stronger to far red than to red solar-
813 induced chlorophyll fluorescence. *Remote Sensing*, 9, 97.

814 Gu, L., Han, J., Wood, J.D., Chang, C.Y.-Y., & Sun, Y. (2019). Sun-induced Chl fluorescence and
815 its importance for biophysical modeling of photosynthesis based on light reactions. *New*
816 *Phytologist*, 223, 1179-1191.

817 Guanter, L., Frankenberg, C., Dudhia, A., Lewis, P.E., Gómez-Dans, J., Kuze, A., et al. (2012).
818 Retrieval and global assessment of terrestrial chlorophyll fluorescence from GOSAT space
819 measurements. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 121, 236-251.

820 Guanter, L., Zhang, Y., Jung, M., Joiner, J., Voigt, M., Berry, J.A., et al. (2014). Global and time-
821 resolved monitoring of crop photosynthesis with chlorophyll fluorescence. *Proceedings of the*
822 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111, E1327-E1333.

823 Gueymard, C.A. (2001). Parameterized transmittance model for direct beam and circumsolar spectral
824 irradiance. *Solar Energy*, 71, 325-346.

825 Haboudane, D., Miller, J.R., Pattey, E., Zarco-Tejada, P.J., & Strachan, I.B. (2004). Hyperspectral
826 vegetation indices and novel algorithms for predicting green LAI of crop canopies: Modeling
827 and validation in the context of precision agriculture. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 90,
828 337-352.

829 He, L., Chen, J.M., Liu, J., Mo, G., & Joiner, J. (2017). Angular normalization of GOME - 2 Sun -
830 induced chlorophyll fluorescence observation as a better proxy of vegetation productivity.
831 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 5691-5699.

832 He, L., Chen, J.M., Pisek, J., Schaaf, C.B., & Strahler, A.H. (2012). Global clumping index map
833 derived from the MODIS BRDF product. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 119, 118-130.

834 Helbig, M., Chasmer, L.E., Desai, A.R., Kljun, N., Quinton, W.L., & Sonnentag, O. (2017). Direct
835 and indirect climate change effects on carbon dioxide fluxes in a thawing boreal forest-
836 wetland landscape. *Glob Chang Biol*, 23, 3231-3248.

837 Hovi, A., Raitio, P., & Rautiainen, M. (2017). A spectral analysis of 25 boreal tree species. *Silva*
838 *Fenn*, 51, 1-16.

839 Joiner, J., Guanter, L., Lindstrot, R., Voigt, M., Vasilkov, A.P., Middleton, E.M., et al. (2013).
840 Global monitoring of terrestrial chlorophyll fluorescence from moderate-spectral-resolution
841 near-infrared satellite measurements: methodology, simulations, and application to GOME-2.
842 *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 6, 2803-2823.

843 Joiner, J., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, Y., Duveiller, G., Jung, M., Lyapustin, A., et al. (2018). Estimation of
844 terrestrial global gross primary production (GPP) with satellite data-driven models and eddy
845 covariance flux data. *Remote Sensing*, 10, 1346.

846 Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Margolis, H.A., Cescatti, A., Richardson, A.D., Arain, M.A., et al. (2011).
847 Global patterns of land - atmosphere fluxes of carbon dioxide, latent heat, and sensible heat
848 derived from eddy covariance, satellite, and meteorological observations. *Journal of*
849 *Geophysical Research*, 116, G00J07.

850 Kellogg, E.A. (2013). C4 photosynthesis. *Current Biology*, 23, R594-R599.

851 Knyazikhin, Y., Martonchik, J.V., Myneni, R.B., Diner, D.J., & Running, S.W. (1998). Synergistic
852 algorithm for estimating vegetation canopy leaf area index and fraction of absorbed
853 photosynthetically active radiation from MODIS and MISR data. *Journal of Geophysical*
854 *Research: Atmospheres*, 103, 32257-32275.

855 Knyazikhin, Y., Schull, M.A., Stenberg, P., Mottus, M., Rautiainen, M., Yang, Y., et al. (2013).
856 Hyperspectral remote sensing of foliar nitrogen content. *Proceedings of the National*
857 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110, E185-E192.

858 Koffi, E.N., Rayner, P.J., Norton, A.J., Frankenberg, C., & Scholze, M. (2015). Investigating the
859 usefulness of satellite derived fluorescence data in inferring gross primary productivity within
860 the carbon cycle data assimilation system. *Biogeosciences*, 12, 4067-4084.

861 Köhler, P., Frankenberg, C., Magney, T.S., Guanter, L., Joiner, J., & Landgraf, J. (2018). Global
862 retrievals of Solar - Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence with TROPOMI: First results and
863 intersensor comparison to OCO - 2. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, 10456-10463.

864 Krall, J.P., & Edwards, G.E. (1992). Relationship between photosystem II activity and CO₂ fixation
865 in leaves. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 86, 180-187.

866 Krause, G., & Weis, E. (1991). Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis: the basics. *Annual
867 Review of Plant Biology*, 42, 313-349.

868 Kulmala, M., Nieminen, T., Nikandrova, A., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H.E., Kajos, M.K., et al.
869 (2014). CO₂-induced terrestrial climate feedback mechanism: From carbon sink to aerosol
870 source and back. *Boreal Environment Research*, 19, 122-131.

871 Kulmala, M., Suni, T., Lehtinen, K., Maso, M.D., Boy, M., Reissell, A., et al. (2004). A new
872 feedback mechanism linking forests, aerosols, and climate. *Atmospheric Chemistry and
873 Physics*, 4, 557-562.

874 Kurbatova, J., Li, C., Varlagin, A., Xiao, X., & Vygodskaya, N. (2008). Modeling carbon dynamics
875 in two adjacent spruce forests with different soil conditions in Russia. *Biogeosciences*, 5,
876 969-980.

877 Lee, J.E., Berry, J.A., van der Tol, C., Yang, X., Guanter, L., Damm, A., et al. (2015). Simulations of
878 chlorophyll fluorescence incorporated into the Community Land Model version 4. *Global
879 Change Biology*, 21, 3469-3477.

880 Li, J., Yu, Q., Sun, X., Tong, X., Ren, C., Wang, J., et al. (2006). Carbon dioxide exchange and the
881 mechanism of environmental control in a farmland ecosystem in North China Plain. *Science
882 in China Series D: Earth Sciences*, 49, 226-240.

883 Li, X., Xiao, J., & He, B. (2018a). Chlorophyll fluorescence observed by OCO-2 is strongly related
884 to gross primary productivity estimated from flux towers in temperate forests. *Remote
885 Sensing of Environment*, 204, 659-671.

886 Li, X., Xiao, J., He, B., Arain, M.A., Beringer, J., Desai, A.R., et al. (2018b). Solar - induced
887 chlorophyll fluorescence is strongly correlated with terrestrial photosynthesis for a wide
888 variety of biomes: First global analysis based on OCO-2 and flux tower observations. *Global
889 Change Biology*, 24, 3990-4008.

890 Li, X., & Xiao, J.F. (2019). Mapping photosynthesis solely from solar-induced chlorophyll
891 fluorescence: A global, fine-resolution dataset of gross primary production derived from
892 OCO-2. *Remote Sensing*, 11, 2563.

893 Li, Z., Zhang, Q., Li, J., Yang, X., Wu, Y., Zhang, Z., et al. (2020). Solar-induced chlorophyll
894 fluorescence and its link to canopy photosynthesis in maize from continuous ground
895 measurements. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 236, 111420.

896 Liu, J., Chen, J., Cihlar, J., & Park, W. (1997). A process-based boreal ecosystem productivity
897 simulator using remote sensing inputs. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 62, 158-175.

898 Liu, X., Guanter, L., Liu, L., Damm, A., Malenovsky, Z., Rascher, U., et al. (2018). Downscaling of
899 solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence from canopy level to photosystem level using a
900 random forest model. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 231, 110772.

901 Lu, X., Cheng, X., Li, X., & Tang, J. (2018). Opportunities and challenges of applications of
902 satellite-derived sun-induced fluorescence at relatively high spatial resolution. *Science of The
903 Total Environment*, 619-620, 649-653.

904 MacBean, N., Maignan, F., Bacour, C., Lewis, P., Peylin, P., Guanter, L., et al. (2018). Strong
905 constraint on modelled global carbon uptake using solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence
906 data. *Scientific reports*, 8, 1973.

907 Macfarlane, C. (2013). Great Western Woodlands OzFlux: Australian and New Zealand flux
908 research and monitoring hdl: 102.100.100/14229

909 Meroni, M., Rossini, M., Guanter, L., Alonso, L., Rascher, U., Colombo, R., & Moreno, J. (2009).
910 Remote sensing of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence: Review of methods and
911 applications. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113, 2037-2051.

912 Miao, G., Guan, K., Yang, X., Bernacchi, C.J., Berry, J.A., DeLucia, E.H., et al. (2018). Sun-induced
913 chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthesis, and light use efficiency of a soybean field from
914 seasonally continuous measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 123

915 Migliavacca, M., Perez-Priego, O., Rossini, M., El-Madany, T.S., Moreno, G., van der Tol, C., et al.
916 (2017). Plant functional traits and canopy structure control the relationship between
917 photosynthetic CO₂ uptake and far-red sun-induced fluorescence in a Mediterranean
918 grassland under different nutrient availability. *New Phytologist*, 214, 1078-1091.

919 Mohammed, G.H., Colombo, R., Middleton, E.M., Rascher, U., van der Tol, C., Nedbal, L., et al.
920 (2019). Remote sensing of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) in vegetation:
921 50 years of progress. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 231, 111177.

922 Monteith, J.L. (1972). Solar radiation and productivity in tropical ecosystems. *Journal of Applied
923 Ecology*, 9, 747-766.

924 Moureaux, C., Debacq, A., Bodson, B., Heinesch, B., & Aubinet, M. (2006). Annual net ecosystem
925 carbon exchange by a sugar beet crop. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 139, 25-39.

926 Myneni, R., Knyazikhin, Y., & Park, T. (2015). MCD15A2H MODIS/Terra+ Aqua Leaf Area
927 Index/FPAR 8-day L4 Global 500 m SIN Grid V006. Distributed by NASA EOSDIS Land
928 Processes DAAC.

929 Mystakidis, S., Davin, E.L., Gruber, N., & Seneviratne, S.I. (2016). Constraining future terrestrial
930 carbon cycle projections using observation-based water and carbon flux estimates. *Glob
931 Chang Biol*, 22, 2198-2215.

932 Norton, A.J., Rayner, P.J., Koffi, E.N., & Scholze, M. (2018). Assimilating solar-induced
933 chlorophyll fluorescence into the terrestrial biosphere model BETHY-SCOPE v1. 0: model
934 description and information content. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11, 1517-1536.

935 Pagán, B., Maes, W., Gentine, P., Martens, B., & Miralles, D. (2019). Exploring the potential of
 936 satellite solar-induced fluorescence to constrain global transpiration estimates. *Remote*
 937 *Sensing*, *11*, 413.

938 Pearcy, R., & Ehleringer, J. (1984). Comparative ecophysiology of C₃ and C₄ plants. *Plant, Cell &*
 939 *Environment*, *7*, 1-13.

940 Porcar-Castell, A., Tyystjarvi, E., Atherton, J., van der Tol, C., Flexas, J., Pfundel, E.E., et al. (2014).
 941 Linking chlorophyll a fluorescence to photosynthesis for remote sensing applications:
 942 mechanisms and challenges. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *65*, 4065-4095.

943 Ramos, M.E., & Lagorio, M.G. (2006). A model considering light reabsorption processes to correct
 944 in vivo chlorophyll fluorescence spectra in apples. *Photochemical & Photobiological*
 945 *Sciences*, *5*, 508-512.

946 Rascher, U., Alonso, L., Burkart, A., Cilia, C., Cogliati, S., Colombo, R., et al. (2015). Sun-induced
 947 fluorescence - a new probe of photosynthesis: First maps from the imaging spectrometer
 948 HyPlant. *Global Change Biology*, *21*, 4673-4684.

949 Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., et al. (2005). On the
 950 separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and
 951 improved algorithm. *Global Change Biology*, *11*, 1424-1439.

952 Romero, J.M., Cordon, G.B., & Lagorio, M.G. (2018). Modeling re-absorption of fluorescence from
 953 the leaf to the canopy level. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *204*, 138-146.

954 Ruuskanen, T.M., Reissell, A., Keronen, P., Aalto, P.P., Laakso, L., Gronholm, T., et al. (2003).
 955 Atmospheric trace gas and aerosol particle concentration measurements in Eastern Lapland,
 956 Finland 1992-2001. *Boreal Environment Research*, *8*, 335-350.

957 Sage, R.F., & Kubien, D.S. (2007). The temperature response of C₃ and C₄ photosynthesis. *Plant,*
 958 *Cell & Environment*, *30*, 1086-1106.

959 Schaaf, C.B., Gao, F., Strahler, A.H., Lucht, W., Li, X., Tsang, T., et al. (2002). First operational
 960 BRDF, albedo nadir reflectance products from MODIS. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *83*,
 961 135-148.

962 Scott, R.L., Jenerette, G.D., Potts, D.L., & Huxman, T.E. (2009). Effects of seasonal drought on net
 963 carbon dioxide exchange from a woody - plant - encroached semiarid grassland. *Journal of*
 964 *Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, *114*, G04004.

965 Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Gruber, N., Jones, S.D., Murray-Tortarolo, G., Ahlström, A., et al.
 966 (2015). Recent trends and drivers of regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide.
 967 *Biogeosciences*, *12*, 653-679.

968 Smith, W.K., Reed, S.C., Cleveland, C.C., Ballantyne, A.P., Anderegg, W.R., Wieder, W.R., et al.
 969 (2016). Large divergence of satellite and Earth system model estimates of global terrestrial
 970 CO₂ fertilization. *Nature Climate Change*, *6*, 306.

971 Smolander, S., & Stenberg, P. (2005). Simple parameterizations of the radiation budget of uniform
 972 broadleaved and coniferous canopies. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *94*, 355-363.

973 Still, C.J., Berry, J.A., Collatz, G.J., & DeFries, R.S. (2003). Global distribution of C₃ and C₄
974 vegetation: Carbon cycle implications. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 17, 1006.

975 Sun, Y., Frankenberg, C., Jung, M., Joiner, J., Guanter, L., Köhler, P., & Magney, T. (2018).
976 Overview of Solar-Induced chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF) from the Orbiting Carbon
977 Observatory-2: Retrieval, cross-mission comparison, and global monitoring for GPP. *Remote*
978 *Sensing of Environment*, 209, 808-823.

979 Sun, Y., Frankenberg, C., Wood, J.D., Schimel, D.S., Jung, M., Guanter, L., et al. (2017). OCO-2
980 advances photosynthesis observation from space via solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence.
981 *Science*, 358, eaam5747.

982 Suni, T., Rinne, J., Reissell, A., Altimir, N., Keronen, P., Rannik, U., et al. (2003). Long-term
983 measurements of surface fluxes above a Scots pine forest in Hyytiälä, southern Finland,
984 1996-2001. *Boreal Environment Research*, 8, 287-302.

985 Tramontana, G., Jung, M., Schwalm, C.R., Ichii, K., Camps-Valls, G., Raduly, B., et al. (2016).
986 Predicting carbon dioxide and energy fluxes across global FLUXNET sites with regression
987 algorithms. *Biogeosciences*, 13, 4291-4313.

988 Urbanski, S., Barford, C., Wofsy, S., Kucharik, C., Pyle, E., Budney, J., et al. (2007). Factors
989 controlling CO₂ exchange on timescales from hourly to decadal at Harvard Forest. *Journal of*
990 *Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 112, G02020.

991 van der Tol, C., Berry, J.A., Campbell, P.K.E., & Rascher, U. (2014). Models of fluorescence and
992 photosynthesis for interpreting measurements of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence.
993 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 119, 2312-2327.

994 Verma, M., Schimel, D., Evans, B., Frankenberg, C., Beringer, J., Drewry, D.T., et al. (2017). Effect
995 of environmental conditions on the relationship between solar - induced fluorescence and
996 gross primary productivity at an OzFlux grassland site. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
997 *Biogeosciences*, 122, 716-733.

998 Wood, J.D., Griffis, T.J., Baker, J.M., Frankenberg, C., Verma, M., & Yuen, K. (2017). Multiscale
999 analyses of solar-induced fluorescence and gross primary production. *Geophysical Research*
1000 *Letters*, 44, 533-541.

1001 Woodgate, W. (2013). Tumbarumba OzFlux tower site OzFlux: Australian and New Zealand Flux
1002 Research and Monitoring hdl: 102.100.100/14241

1003 Yang, K., Ryu, Y., Dechant, B., Berry, J.A., Hwang, Y., Jiang, C., et al. (2018a). Sun-induced
1004 chlorophyll fluorescence is more strongly related to absorbed light than to photosynthesis at
1005 half-hourly resolution in a rice paddy. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 216, 658-673.

1006 Yang, P., & van der Tol, C. (2018). Linking canopy scattering of far-red sun-induced chlorophyll
1007 fluorescence with reflectance. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 209, 456-467.

1008 Yang, X., Shi, H., Stovall, A., Guan, K., Miao, G., Zhang, Y., et al. (2018b). FluoSpec 2—An
1009 automated field spectroscopy system to monitor canopy solar-induced fluorescence. *Sensors*,
1010 18, 2063.

1011 Yang, X., Tang, J., Mustard, J.F., Lee, J.-E., Rossini, M., Joiner, J., et al. (2015). Solar-induced
1012 chlorophyll fluorescence that correlates with canopy photosynthesis on diurnal and seasonal
1013 scales in a temperate deciduous forest. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42, 2977-2987.

1014 Zeng, Y., Badgley, G., Dechant, B., Ryu, Y., Chen, M., & Berry, J. (2019a). A practical approach for
1015 estimating the escape ratio of near-infrared solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence. *Remote*
1016 *Sensing of Environment*, 232, 211209.

1017 Zeng, Y., Badgley, G., Dechant, B., Ryu, Y., Chen, M., & Berry, J. (2019b). A practical approach for
1018 estimating the escape ratio of near-infrared solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence. *Remote*
1019 *Sensing of Environment*, 211209.

1020 Zhang, Y., Xiao, X., Wu, X., Zhou, S., Zhang, G., Qin, Y., & Dong, J. (2017). A global moderate
1021 resolution dataset of gross primary production of vegetation for 2000-2016. *Scientific Data*,
1022 4, 170165.

1023 Zhang, Y., Xiao, X., Zhang, Y., Wolf, S., Zhou, S., Joiner, J., et al. (2018a). On the relationship
1024 between sub-daily instantaneous and daily total gross primary production: Implications for
1025 interpreting satellite-based SIF retrievals. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 205, 276-289.

1026 Zhang, Y.G., Guanter, L., Berry, J.A., Joiner, J., van der Tol, C., Huete, A., et al. (2014). Estimation
1027 of vegetation photosynthetic capacity from space-based measurements of chlorophyll
1028 fluorescence for terrestrial biosphere models. *Global Change Biology*, 20, 3727-3742.

1029 Zhang, Y.G., Guanter, L., Berry, J.A., van der Tol, C., Yang, X., Tang, J., & Zhang, F. (2016).
1030 Model-based analysis of the relationship between sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence and
1031 gross primary production for remote sensing applications. *Remote Sensing of Environment*,
1032 187, 145-155.

1033 Zhang, Y.G., Guanter, L., Joiner, J., Song, L., & Guan, K. (2018b). Spatially-explicit monitoring of
1034 crop photosynthetic capacity through the use of space-based chlorophyll fluorescence data.
1035 *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 210, 362-374.

1036 Zhang, Z.Y., Chen, J.M., Guanter, L., He, L.M., & Zhang, Y.G. (2019). From canopy-leaving to total
1037 canopy far-red fluorescence emission for remote sensing of photosynthesis: first results from
1038 TROPOMI. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46, 12030-12040.

1039 Zhang, Z.Y., Zhang, Y.G., Joiner, J., & Migliavacca, M. (2018c). Angle matters: bidirectional effects
1040 impact the slope of relationship between gross primary productivity and sun-induced
1041 chlorophyll fluorescence from Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 across biomes. *Global Change*
1042 *Biology*, 24, 5017-5020.

1043 Zhu, Z., Piao, S., Myneni, R.B., Huang, M., Zeng, Z., Canadell, J.G., et al. (2016). Greening of the
1044 Earth and its drivers. *Nature Climate Change*, 6, 791-795.

1045