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A B S T R A C T

Background: Whether low occupational class amplifies the risk of disability retirement among employees with cardiovascular disease (CVD) is unknown. We examined this issue in two prospective cohort studies.

Methods: In the Finnish Public Sector Study and the Helsinki Health Study (n = 50,799 employees), prevalent CVD (coronary heart disease or stroke, n = 1269) was ascertained using records from national health registers, self-reported doctor-diagnosed diseases, and Rose Angina Questionnaire. Data linkage to national pension registers allowed the follow up of disability retirement among the participants for a mean of six years. We analysed the associations of occupational class and CVD with disability retirement using Cox regression, tested interactions between occupational class and prevalent CVD in predicting disability retirement by calculating the Synergy Index, and pooled the results from the two studies using fixed-effect meta-analysis.

Results: Compared with the participants from high occupational class and no CVD, the participants from the low occupational class without CVD had a 2.13-fold (95% CI 1.97–2.30); those with high occupational class and CVD a 2.18-fold (1.73–2.74); and those with both low occupational class and CVD a 4.49-fold (3.83–5.26) risk of disability retirement. A Synergy Index of 1.55 (1.16–2.06) suggested a greater than additive effect for low occupational class and CVD in combination.

Conclusions: Individuals with both low occupational class and CVD are at a particularly high risk of premature exit from the labour market due to work disability. These findings suggest that better preventive strategies are needed to improve prognosis in this risk group.

© 2016 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The population is ‘greying’ in Europe: there were more than seven people of working age in 1950 to one out of labour force but due to increasing life expectancy and decreasing birth rate, the corresponding number will be fewer than two within the next 30 years [1]. There is a rapid decline in employment rates after age 55, health problems playing a major role in early exits. For example, the proportion of individuals outside the labour market due to health reasons at age 60 is about a third of all economically inactive at that age [2]. Better understanding of factors predicting disability retirement is important for interventions and preventive policies.

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD), such as ischemic heart disease and cerebrovascular diseases, remain among the leading causes of years of life lost worldwide [3]. Their impact is not only on mortality but also on work disability, which may often persist for a long period of time or even become a permanent condition [4]. As the workforce is aging, CVD is not uncommon in working-age populations, and due to more effective treatments, many CVD patients remain in employment despite illnesses [5]. However, CVD is a major risk factor for premature exit from the labour market, as indicated by work disability retirement [6–10].

There is also established evidence on socioeconomic inequalities in morbidity and mortality, due to CVD in particular [11,12], with individuals from low socioeconomic groups having significantly higher disease rates than those from high socioeconomic groups [12]. In addition,
recurrent events or death as adverse outcomes among CVD patients have shown to be more common among those with lower socioeconomic status [13]. In agreement with this, an inverse socioeconomic gradient has been observed in the risk of disability retirement [14,15]. However, we are not aware of studies that have examined whether this gradient is similar for people with and without CVD. Most of the research on this topic has focused on the short-term effect of socioeconomic status on return to work in a patient group (e.g., CVD) hospitalized or functionally disabled [6,8,9]. Knowing not only the risk factors but also combinations of risk factors is important for policies aiming at reducing socioeconomic inequalities in health and functional capacity among working populations.

In this study we used data from two large occupational cohorts to examine the associations of occupational class and prevalent CVD with subsequent disability retirement. We assessed the extent to which a combination of low occupational class and CVD affect the risk of disability retirement over and above their independent effects [16].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Populations and design

We used data from two Finnish cohort studies, the Finnish Public Sector Study (FPS) [17] and the Helsinki Health Study (HHS) [18]. The FPS examines the employees of 10 Finnish municipalities and 21 hospitals. The base cohort consisted of FPS employees of all ages who responded to the survey in 2004 (n = 48,076 provided informed consent, response rate 68%). Of these, 44,516 (93%) were alive and not on disability pension at the beginning of follow-up (2005) and provided data on occupational class, CVD, and all covariates. The mean follow-up was 6.3 (SD = 1.6) years. The FPS non-responders were slightly younger than the responders (mean age 45 versus 46 years), more often men (32% versus 20%) and more often from lower occupational classes (46% versus 43%). The HHS examines municipal employees of the City of Helsinki, Finland. The baseline survey was mailed to employees who turned 40, 45, 50, 55, or 60 in 2000, 2001 and 2002. Altogether, 6605 responded to the baseline survey and provided informed consent (response rate 67%). Of the responders, 6283 (95%) were alive and not on disability pension at the beginning of follow-up (the year following the survey) and provided data on occupational class, CVD and all covariates. The mean follow-up was 6.2 (SD = 1.7) years. The HHS non-responders were slightly younger than the responders (46% aged 40–45 versus 42%), more often men (28% versus 20%) and more often from lower occupational classes (57% versus 51%) [18].

2.2. Occupational class

For both cohorts, occupational class was derived from the employers’ personnel registers, and assigned to one of four categories based on job titles: Managers and professionals such as teachers and physicians; semi-professionals such as nurses and foremen; routine non-manual workers such as clerical employees and child minders; and manual workers such as technical and cleaning staff. Of these, managers, professionals and semi-professionals were categorized into a high occupational class, and routine non-manual workers and manual workers into a low occupational class.

2.3. Cardiovascular disease

In the FPS, prevalent CVD included ischemic heart disease and cerebrovascular disease and those with CVD were defined as having at least one of the following: Special reimbursement for medication due to cardiac failure or coronary artery disease (from the register of the Social Insurance Institute of Finland); sickness absences or hospitalization with ICD-10 codes I20-I25, I46-I50, and I60-I69 between 2003 and 2004 (from the registers of the Social Insurance Institute of Finland and the National Institute of Health and Welfare); or a self-reported doctor-diagnosed cardiovascular disease (coronary thrombosis or angina) in the 2004 survey. The HHS defined prevalent CVD in a similar way, except that it included the Rose questionnaire [19,20] in the survey to define prevalent angina at baseline, and did not include self-reported doctor-diagnosed coronary thrombosis.

2.4. Work disability retirement

Information on work disability retirement (the dates of granted disability pensions) was obtained for both cohorts from the Finnish Centre for Pensions, the official pension register in Finland, and was linked to the survey data. The participants were followed up for the incidence of disability pension for a maximum of seven years, starting from the beginning of the year following the survey year. In Finland, allowance for work disability pension can be granted after 300 days of sickness absence and this can be either fixed-term (usually for a year at a time), or permanent.

2.5. Covariates

In both cohorts, covariates were measured at the baseline and included sex and age, which were retrieved from employers’ registers. In the FPS, other somatic disease included asthma, rheumatoid arthritis, cancer, and diabetes; information on which was derived from electronic medical records (cancer from the Finnish Cancer Registry, and the rest of the diseases from the Special Refund Entitlement Register of the Social Insurance Institute). The HHS included the same somatic diseases, but these were based on a check-list of self-reported doctor-diagnosed diseases. In both cohorts, common mental disorder was measured by a psychological distress scale, the 12-item General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) [21,22]. In the GHQ-12, respondents rate the extent to which they are affected by each of the 12 symptoms (1 = not at all, 2 = as much as usual, 3 = slightly more than usual, 4 = much more than usual). Participants with a rating of 3 or 4 in at least four items of the total measure were coded as cases of common mental disorder [23]. In both cohorts, obesity (body mass index ≥ 30 kg/m²) and smoking (yes/no) were based on survey responses.

2.6. Statistical analyses

We used ANOVA and χ² tests to assess differences between the baseline characteristics of participants with and without CVD in both cohorts. We used Cox proportional hazard regression analysis to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and the 95% CI for disability pension. Predictor variables were CVD, occupational class, and their combinations. Follow-up started at the beginning of the year following the survey year for both cohorts, and lasted a maximum of seven years, or until the awarding of work disability or old-age pension, or death, whichever occurred first. The status of CVD and occupational class was based on baseline information although there might have been some changes in these exposures during follow-up. Men and women were analysed together due to a relatively small number of men in both cohorts. The first model was adjusted for age and sex, and the second model for age, sex, other somatic disease, common mental disorder, obesity, and smoking. We calculated the Synergy Index (5) to examine whether the joint association of low occupational class and CVD deviated from their additive effect. This was done using the previously reported algorithm [16] in which S = [HR (low occupational class and having CVD) − 1] / [HR (high occupational class and CVD) − 1] + [HR (low occupational class and no CVD) − 1]. We used an Excel sheet provided by Andersson et al. [16] to calculate S and its 95% confidence intervals (available at www.spie.net). A Synergy Index of 1.0 implies perfect additivity and >1.0 indicates that the joint effects of low occupational class and CVD on subsequent disability retirement are more than additive, i.e., more than one would assume by summing the two effects. To obtain a summary estimate across the two studies, the study-specific estimates were pooled using fixed-effect meta-analysis. We conducted χ² statistics to assess the heterogeneity between the FPS and HHS estimates, which describes the percentage of variability in point estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error [24]. All study-specific analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 statistical software, and meta-analyses were performed using Stata version 13.

3. Results

The mean age of the participants was 45.5 (SD = 9.6) in the FPS and 49.2 (SD = 6.5) in the HHS, and the proportion of women was 80.1% and 78.5%, respectively. Prevalent CVD was ascertained in 878 (2.0%) FPS participants and 391 (6.2%) HHS participants (Table 1). Of the 878 FPS participants with CVD, 818 (93.2%) had ischemic heart disease (IHD) only, 55 (6.3%) had stroke only, and 5 (0.6%) had both. Of the 391 HHS participants with CVD, 378 (96.7%) had IHD only and 13 (3.3%) had stroke only. Participants with prevalent CVD were older, more often men (in FPS), of lower occupational class, had more comorbid diseases and common mental disorders, and were more often obese than those free from CVD in both cohorts. No difference between the CVD cases’ and non-cases’ smoking was found in either cohort.

The associations between CVD and the incidence of work disability pension, and between occupational class and the incidence of work disability pension are shown in Online Online Supplemental Table 1, both for each cohort separately, and for the cohorts in combination. In the age- and sex-adjusted model, CVD was associated with an HR of 2.95 (95% CI 2.55–3.41) for disability retirement in the FPS, and an HR of 2.28 (95% CI 1.77–2.94) in the HHS. Adjustment for covariates attenuated this estimate to some extent (HRs 2.33 and 1.65). The pooled estimate for CVD associated with work disability pension was HR = 2.14 (95% CI 1.88–2.43) in the multivariable adjusted model, although the association was stronger in the FPS cohort than in the HHS cohort (I² = 80.6%, p = 0.023). Low occupational class was associated with disability retirement in both cohorts (multivariable adjusted HR = 2.16, 95% CI 2.00–2.34 in FPS; HR = 1.92, 95% CI 1.58–2.33 in HHS). The pooled
estimate indicated an HR of 2.13 (95% CI 1.98–2.29) between low occupational class and disability retirement, with little difference between the effect estimates of the studies ($I^2 = 17.7\%, p = 0.270$).

The joint associations of occupational class and CVD with incident disability retirement are presented in Table 2. In the FPS, low occupational class without CVD and high occupational class with CVD were associated with a similar risk of disability retirement (HRs 2.17 and 2.46, respectively in the multivariable adjusted model). A combination of low occupational class and CVD was associated with an amplified risk (HR = 4.96), which was confirmed by a Synergy Index ($S = 1.51, 95\% CI 1.10–2.07$). These findings were replicated in the HHS, except that the association for a combination of high occupational class and CVD tended to be smaller (HR = 1.43) than that for low occupational class without CVD (1.87). Again, the joint association of low occupational class and CVD suggested synergy (HR = 3.27), although the Synergy Index was not statistically significant ($S = 1.74, 95\% CI 0.88–3.44$).

Also shown in Table 2, pooled estimates in the multivariable adjusted model suggested an HR of 2.13 for disability retirement among participants with low occupational class without CVD, an HR of 2.18 among those with high occupational class and CVD, and an HR of 4.49 among those with a combination of low occupational class and CVD. The estimate was smaller in the HHS than that in the FPS ($I^2 = 79.2\%, p = 0.028$). The pooled Synergy Index was significant ($S = 1.55, 95\% CI 1.16–2.06$ in the multivariable adjusted model. We found no heterogeneity between study cohorts for the pooled Synergy Index ($I^2 = 0.0\%, p = 0.712$).

Fig. 1 demonstrates how events of disability retirement by a combination of low occupational class and CVD accumulate at an accelerating rate with age. The most pronouncing differences between groups are seen after the age 55.

4. Discussion

In this prospective study of two large occupational cohorts, we examined the joint associations of low occupational class and prevalent CVD with the incidence of disability retirement, and demonstrated that low occupational class amplifies the adverse effect of CVD on disability retirement. A combination of low occupational class and prevalent CVD was associated with a 4.5-fold increased risk of disability retirement when compared to individuals with high occupational class and no CVD. The corresponding hazard ratios of disability for low occupational class without CVD, and for CVD in high occupational class were both approximately two-fold.

Our findings of a two-fold independent risk of disability retirement associated with low occupational class and CVD confirms previous research that has reported associations of low socioeconomic status [14,15] and CVD [6–10] with work disability. We found support for our hypothesis that low occupational class and CVD together might assert their influence on work disability pension over and above their independent effects. The reasons behind the amplifying effect of low occupational class might relate to the same universal mechanisms that generate inequalities in health across socioeconomic strata. These include access to care, which is a broad concept incorporating at least five different dimensions: Approachability, i.e., one’s ability to identify health care services and the positive effects of their use on health; affordability and accommodation, referring to physically available health care services; affordability, i.e. one’s economic capacity to spend resources and time on health care; and acceptability, which refers to the socio-cultural factors that shape people’s perception of health care and their appropriateness [25]. There is evidence of poorer adherence to treatment and monitoring of chronic diseases among people with low socioeconomic status [26] although not confirmed in our data with adherence to statin therapy as an outcome [27]. Unfortunately, in our data we had no detailed information on health service use associated with CVD or different aspects of access to care, although in Finland universal health care is available to all citizens. However, in Finland, there is a parallel health care system of private services which are more commonly used by affluent people with high socioeconomic positions [28]. As a consequence, these services have differential access according to socioeconomic status. There is also evidence of socioeconomic inequality in deaths amenable to health care interventions in Finland [29].

The second pathway potentially explaining why low occupational class might amplify the risk of disability retirement among individuals with CVD involves health risk behaviours such as smoking, obesity and an unhealthy diet [25,30]. In our study, the associations persisted after adjustment for smoking and obesity, and there was little difference between the smoking prevalence of CVD cases and non-cases in the cohorts studied. It is well-known that smoking is strongly associated with the incidence of CVD [31]. Smoking cessation is common after the onset of CVD [32] although less common among people with low income [26].
Our findings support the notion that the prevention of adverse outcomes, such as premature exit from the labour market due to health reasons by simply targeting unhealthy behaviours at the individual level, might be an insufficient agenda, although an unhealthy lifestyle is socially patterned, i.e., affected by the socioeconomic circumstances in which people live [25].

It is also possible that the people in our study from a low occupational class had more severe CVD than those from a high occupational class. This hypothesis has been supported by a Finnish study that reported an increased risk of major adverse cardiac events, coronary mortality and re-revascularization after coronary revascularizations among coronary patients with a low socioeconomic status [33].

Furthermore, as working capacity concerns health status on the one hand, and the demands of work on the other hand, work disability schemes in many countries, including Finland, are based on judgments of an interplay between an individual’s health resources and work demands [30]. Both health status (i.e., more severe disease) and work demands (i.e., more physically or psychosocially strenuous work) may lie behind their higher risk of disability retirement among people from a low occupational class when they have a CVD.

4.1. Strengths and limitations

The specific strengths of this study include its large cohort sizes and prospective study design with a long follow-up, and its objective measures of occupational class and work disability pension retrieved from liable national registers and employers’ records. Several limitations are noteworthy. Although we controlled for several covariates in our datasets, some unobserved variables might partly explain the observed associations. We dichotomised the occupational groups in ‘high’ and ‘low’ according to the occupational hierarchy. In the future, larger studies allowing analysis of specific occupational groups are needed to obtain a more detailed analysis of occupational class differences in disability retirement among CVD cases.

Although the pattern of findings was the same across the two cohort studies and no heterogeneity was observed in the Synergy Index,
heterogeneity was found in the study-specific association between CVD and disability retirement; the association was stronger in FPS than HHS. Although both populations were public sector employees, HHS participants were older, which may contribute to the observed heterogeneity. In addition, the vast majority of CVD cases (83%) in HHS was identified using the Rose Angina Questionnaire which was not available in FPS in which 36% of the cases were based on self-reported doctor-diagnosed CVD. The questionnaire identifies potential undetected angina cases, thus making the case definition different in the two cohorts. However, the Rose Questionnaire is considered a valid screening instrument for heart disease in epidemiological studies [34] as well as in the HHS study [20]. The validity of prevalent self-reported doctor-diagnosed coronary heart disease has been shown to be satisfactory in FPS data [35]. However, further research with several cohorts is needed to detect the sources of heterogeneity in detail.

Furthermore, public sector employees comprise predominantly women, thus, sex-specific analyses were not possible to carry out. The responders represented about 2/3 of the eligible population and women, older employees and those in higher occupational classes were more likely to respond in both cohorts. However, the responders’ sex distribution (about 80% women) corresponds to that among the total Finnish municipal sector (80% women) although we acknowledge that non-response is a potential source of bias, more so among men. Nevertheless, overall such bias is unlikely to substantially distort results concerning relative risks of health, and a detailed non-response analysis on FHS cohort suggested that survey non-response did not seriously bias the findings on socioeconomic inequalities in health [36]. Data on race/ethnicity and social support in private life [25] were not available. However, it is known from a record sample that the study cohorts are approximately 95% Finnish-born and our previous study has shown that social support is an unlikely mechanism explaining the social class differences in work disability [37].

Finally, these data represent the Finnish municipal sector organisations. Although the spectrum of jobs covers a large number of non-manual and manual occupations, the cohorts were not representative of the Finnish working population. Compared to the rest of Europe, educational attainment in Finland is high, particularly among younger age groups, which may further limit the generalisability of our findings to other countries [38].

5. Conclusions
The risk of work disability retirement among people with CVD is amplified by low occupational class, so that employees with low occupational class and CVD are at a particularly high risk of premature exit from the labour market due to disability. These findings suggest that low socioeconomic status should be taken into considerations as a risk factor for poor labour market outcomes in CVD. The mechanisms of the observed association are not known and require further investigation. Future research should also examine whether specific support targeted at employees with CVD and low occupational class is beneficial in terms of prevention of premature exit from the labour market due to work disability.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.12.166.
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